

University of Central Florida research studies: NO and ADHD in college students NO and Anxiety in college students

Gulnora Hundley, PhD, LMHC, LMFT Caitlyn Bennett, PhD, LMHC

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

NF and ADHD study (brief overview)

- 11 participants with ADHD
- 16 sessions; no control group
- Assessments: Conners Adult ADHD Rating Scale, BDI-II; BAI; Self-Efficacy for Learning Form-Abridged
- Assessment points: pre, mid, post, and four week follow up.
- Friedman ANOVA

Results for NO and ADHD study

 The results of the current study indicate that there were significant improvements in scores in inattention ($X^{2}_{(3)}$ = 10.268, *p* = .016), hyperactivity $(X_{(3)}^2 = 10.151, p = .017)$, self-concept $(X_{(3)}^2 = 11.745,$ p = .008), depression ($X^{2}_{(3)} = 13.165$, p = .004), anxiety $(X_{(3)}^2 = 10.078, p = .018)$, and academic selfefficacy $(X_{(3)}^2 = 18.361, p < .001)$ over time. A significant difference in scores was not found in the participants' impulsivity scores ($X^{2}_{(3)} = 3.284, p =$.350).



NO and Anxiety study

Statement of the Problem



Increased rates of anxiety, stress, and depression

> Suicide is 2nd highest cause of death for ages 15-29

Impacts mental and physical functioning; decreased academic success Limited availability of MH services; universities are failing to meet the needs of students

Purpose

- Determine whether there is a difference between college students receiving NF training (vs those who do not) and anxiety, depression, and stress scores over time
 - Treatment group: 16 sessions of NF training
 - Control group: assessments/cortisol only; no NF training sessions



Research Questions

• Primary Research Question:

 Does Neurofeedback (NF) training reduce anxiety, depression, and stress scores over time for the treatment group as compared to the control group? If yes, how much do participants' anxiety, depression, and stress scores decrease over time?

• Exploratory Research Question 1:

- Does NF training reduce anxiety, depression, and stress scores for the treatment group over time? If yes, how much do **treatment group** participants' anxiety, depression, and stress scores decrease over time?
- Does NF training reduce anxiety, depression, and stress scores for the control group over time? If yes, how much do control group participants' anxiety, depression, and stress scores decrease over time?



• Exploratory Research Question 2:

 Is there a significant difference in mean scores over time between the treatment group and control group depending on specific demographic variables?

Secondary Research Question:

– Is there a significant difference in cortisol levels over time between the treatment and control groups?


• Exploratory Research Question 3:

– Is there a relationship between treatment group and control group participants' BAI, PSS, BDI-II, and SAT scores and their cortisol scores at each time point?



Research Design

- Quasi-experimental, nonequivalent control group design
- Treatment Group (16 NF training sessions)



Waitlist Control Group (assessments/saliva only)



Sampling & Recruitment


- **Convenience sampling**, with inclusionary criteria
 - For example: no hearing impairment; at least part-time; self-report of anxiety/worry/nervousness/stress

Recruitment

- Classrooms
 - Psychology courses; Engineering & Computer Science; Health Sciences; Career
- Flyer was created for advertising
 - SARC, FYAE, Graduate Studies, & bulletin boards
 - Email to faculty and staff members
 - Social media pages for Counselors

Procedures

IRB approval → Recruitment → Screening phone call





Results

Exploratory RQ1: Treatment Group (RM-MANOVA)

Multivariate Test (Within-Subjects)					
Wilks' λ	F	р	partial η^2	Obs. Power	
.290	F _(12, 37) = 7.53	< .001	.71	1.00	

Univariate Tests (Test)					
Test	F	р	partial η^2	Obs. Power	
BAI	<i>F</i> _(3, 144) = 21.24	< .001	.31	1.00	
^PSS	F _(3, 144) = 14.66	< .001	.23	1.00	
^BDI-II	F _(3, 144) = 13.55	< .001	.22	.99	
SAT	F _(3, 144) = 40.61	< .001	.46	1.00	



Results

Exploratory RQ1: Control Group (RM-MANOVA)

Multivariate Test (Within-Subjects)					
Wilks' λ	F	р	partial η^2	Obs. Power	
.404	F _(12, 8) = .985	.526	.60	.239	

Univariate Tests (Test)					
Test	F	p	partial η^2	Obs. Power	
BAI	F _(3, 57) = .907	.443	.046	.237	
PSS	F _(3, 57) = .778	.511	.039	.207	
^BDI-II	F _(3, 57) = .440	.667	.023	.120	
^SAT	$F_{(3, 57)} = 3.565$.046	.16	.581	

Results

Exploratory RQ2: Demographics (RM-MANOVA)

Multivariate Test (Within-Subjects)					
Demo. Variable	Wilks' λ	F	ρ	partial η²	Obs. Power
Age	.585	F _(24,84) = 1.075	.389	.235	.769
Race/Ethnic.	.521	F _(24,84) = 1.374	.161	.278	.879
Gender	.553	F _(24,84) = 1.207	.261	.256	.829
Major	.446	F _(36,125) = 1.091	.353	.236	.894
Counseling	.546	F _(48,164) = .581	.985	.140	.630

Limitations

Research Design

- Quasi-experimental
 - Lack of randomization
- Different facilitators; pts may have developed rapport
- Maturation effects (over 12 week period)
- History effects
 - Hurricane Irma
 - Some pts reported beginning counseling/psychiatric care after beginning study
- Music plays with audiofeedback; could make pts calm

Sampling

- Majority of participants from UCF (difficult to generalize)
- Over 20% receiving current counseling

Instrumentation

- Social desirability (use self-report assessments)
- Cortisol collection procedures



Thank you!

Questions?

Gulnora.Hundley@ucf.edu

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA