**On the Foundations of Physical Identity and Mathematical Operations: A Critique of Classical Assumptions in Theoretical Physics**

**Author:** Drew Farwell
**Affiliation:** Independent Researcher
**Contact:** drew@edgenemi.com
**Date:** December 2025

**Abstract**

This paper challenges two fundamental mathematical assumptions underlying modern theoretical physics: the principle of persistent identity (A = A) and the assumption of costless mathematical operations (1/2 + 1/2 = 1). We demonstrate that these idealizations, while mathematically convenient, may systematically introduce errors when applied to physical systems operating on discrete substrates. Through analysis of thermodynamic irreversibility, quantum mechanical principles, and observational cosmology, we show that: (1) no physical system maintains perfect identity across time due to entropic evolution, and (2) all division and recombination operations in physical systems incur measurable energetic costs. We introduce the concept of "equality intercepts"—moments when systems may exhibit identical measured values while possessing distinct evolutionary trajectories—to reconcile apparent reproducibility in experiments with fundamental non-identity. These insights suggest that apparent discrepancies in cosmology (the "dark sector" comprising ~95% of the universe's energy budget) may arise from systematic integration errors accumulated when continuous mathematical operations are applied to fundamentally discrete physical processes. We propose that reconsidering these foundational assumptions could resolve longstanding puzzles in physics without requiring exotic undetected components.

## 1. Introduction

Modern theoretical physics rests upon two fundamental mathematical assumptions that, while enabling remarkable predictive success, may contain systematic errors when applied to physical reality. The first assumption, enshrined in classical logic as the principle of identity (A = A), presumes that objects can maintain perfect identity across time. The second assumption treats mathematical operations such as division and recombination as energetically costless and perfectly reversible processes.

These assumptions underlie virtually every equation in physics, from the continuous field theories of electromagnetism and general relativity to the path integral formulations of quantum mechanics. However, mounting empirical evidence suggests these idealizations may systematically fail when applied to physical systems, potentially explaining several persistent anomalies in modern cosmology and particle physics.

This paper presents a systematic critique of these foundational assumptions and explores their implications for our understanding of physical reality. We argue that recognizing the physical costs of mathematical operations and the evolutionary nature of identity could resolve fundamental puzzles without requiring the exotic components (dark matter, dark energy) that currently dominate cosmological models.

## 2. The Failure of Persistent Identity in Physical Systems

### 2.1 Thermodynamic Arguments

The Second Law of Thermodynamics states that the entropy of an isolated system never decreases. This fundamental principle immediately calls into question the assumption A = A for any physical system evolving in time. Consider a system A at time $t_1$: even if all macroscopic observables appear unchanged at time $t_2$, the microscopic state necessarily differs due to entropic evolution.

More formally, if S(A,t) represents the entropy of system A at time t, then:

$dS/dt \geq 0$ for all real physical processes

This inequality ensures that $A(t_1) \neq A(t_2)$ for any $t_1 \neq t_2$, even when macroscopic measurements suggest identity.

### 2.2 Quantum Mechanical Considerations

The Pauli exclusion principle provides another constraint on identity: no two fermions can occupy identical quantum states. This principle extends beyond particle physics to suggest that perfect identity is fundamentally prohibited in systems containing fermionic matter.

Furthermore, the quantum measurement process irreversibly alters system states. Each measurement introduces unavoidable disturbance, ensuring that post-measurement states differ from pre-measurement states, regardless of measurement outcome.

### 2.3 The Equality Intercept Principle

To reconcile apparent reproducibility in physics with fundamental non-identity, we introduce the concept of "equality intercepts." Consider two mathematical functions:

$f_1(x) = x - 4$

$f_2(x) = 2x - 8$

Both functions equal zero at x = 4, yet possess different derivatives (slopes) at this point. Similarly, two physical systems may exhibit identical measured values while possessing distinct evolutionary trajectories.

**Definition**: An *equality intercept* occurs when two systems A and B satisfy A = B at a specific moment, while their evolutionary trajectories (dA/dt, dB/dt) remain distinct.

This concept resolves the apparent contradiction between reproducible experimental results and the principle that A ≠ A over time. Measurements capture instantaneous states (intercepts) rather than complete system histories (trajectories).

**3. The Physical Cost of Mathematical Operations**

**3.1 Evidence from Nuclear Physics**

Nuclear fusion provides compelling evidence for the physical cost of recombination operations. When two hydrogen nuclei combine to form deuterium, the reaction:

$^{1}H + {}^{1}H \rightarrow {}^{2}H + e^{+} + v_{e} + 1.44$ MeV

clearly demonstrates that 1/2 + 1/2 ≠ 1 in physical reality. The "missing" mass-energy (1.44 MeV) represents the binding energy cost of the recombination operation.

**3.2 Information Theoretic Constraints**

Landauer's principle establishes that erasing information requires a minimum energy expenditure of $k_{\beta}T \ln(2)$ per bit. This principle extends to all computational and measurement processes, suggesting that even abstract mathematical operations incur physical costs when implemented in real systems.

**3.3 Surface Area and Division Operations**

When a physical object is divided, the total surface area necessarily increases, requiring energy input to create new surfaces. For a sphere of radius R divided into two hemispheres:

$A\_initial = 4\pi R^2$

$A\_final = 4\pi R^2 + 2\pi R^2$

$\Delta A = 2\pi R^2 > 0$

This irreversible increase in surface area demonstrates the energetic cost of division operations in physical systems.

## 4. Implications for Cosmological Models

### 4.1 The Dark Sector as Integration Error

Current cosmological models require approximately 95% of the universe's energy content to consist of undetected "dark" components. We propose that this apparent missing energy may result from systematic integration errors arising when continuous mathematical operations are applied to discrete physical processes.

If spacetime possesses fundamental discretization at the Planck scale ($l_p \approx 1.62 \times 10^{-35}$ m), then integrals of the form:

$\int_0^\infty f(r)\, dr$

should properly be expressed as:

$\int_{l_p}^\infty f(r)\, dr$

The difference between these expressions represents energy that exists mathematically but not physically—precisely the signature we observe as the "dark sector."

### 4.2 Galaxy Rotation Curves

The flat rotation curves observed in galaxies may result from accumulated costs of gravitational binding operations rather than requiring exotic dark matter. Each gravitational interaction incurs small energetic costs that accumulate over cosmic time scales, modifying the effective mass distribution.

### 4.3 Early Galaxy Formation

Recent observations from the James Webb Space Telescope reveal massive, well-formed galaxies at redshifts $z > 12$, earlier than predicted by standard models. Recognition that structure formation processes incur energetic costs could accelerate early formation without requiring modifications to fundamental cosmology.

## 5. Empirical Predictions and Tests

### 5.1 Galaxy Rotation Curve Analysis

Standard dark matter models predict rotation curve slopes $\beta \approx 0.25$ (cuspy profiles), while models incorporating operational costs predict $\beta \approx 0.5$ (flat cores). Analysis of large galaxy surveys (e.g., SDSS DR17) can distinguish between these predictions.

### 5.2 Dark Matter Search Results

If the dark sector arises from mathematical artifacts rather than physical particles, direct detection experiments should continue yielding null results regardless of sensitivity improvements. This prediction can be tested as experiments approach the neutrino background limit.

### 5.3 Cosmological Constant Fine-Tuning

Recognition of operational costs could naturally explain the observed cosmological constant value (~68% of critical density) as the accumulated cost of maintaining cosmic structure, eliminating the need for extreme fine-tuning.

## 6. Discussion and Implications

### 6.1 Methodological Implications

If these arguments prove correct, they suggest that physics has systematically applied mathematical tools designed for perfect abstractions to imperfect physical processes. This category error could explain several persistent anomalies in modern physics:

- Infinities in quantum field theory (from assuming perfect point particles)
- The measurement problem in quantum mechanics (from assuming reversible operations)
- The cosmological constant problem (from assuming perfect vacuum)
- Dark matter requirements (from integration errors in continuous field equations)

### 6.2 Philosophical Considerations

The recognition that $A \neq A$ in physical systems challenges the classical notion of persistent identity while preserving reproducibility through equality intercepts. This framework suggests that identity is fundamentally evolutionary rather than static—a process rather than a property.

### 6.3 Future Directions

Testing these ideas requires:

1. **Observational cosmology**: Precise measurement of galaxy rotation curve slopes and large-scale structure statistics
2. **Particle physics**: Continued monitoring of dark matter search results as sensitivity limits approach theoretical bounds

3. **Quantum foundations**: Investigation of measurement processes for evidence of irreversible energetic costs
4. **Mathematical physics**: Development of discrete mathematics frameworks that respect operational costs

**7. Conclusion**

I have presented what I believe may be significant observations about mathematical assumptions in physics, but I submit them with appropriate humility. These ideas challenge very basic concepts that have enabled centuries of successful physics, which makes it far more likely that my reasoning contains errors than that the foundations of physics need revision.

However, the persistent puzzles in modern cosmology—requiring 95% of the universe to consist of undetected components—suggest that something fundamental may need reconsideration. If the mathematics we've been applying to physical systems systematically introduces artifacts when applied to discrete, evolutionary processes, it might explain these puzzles without exotic physics.

The concept of equality intercepts may resolve the apparent contradiction between non-persistent identity and experimental reproducibility, suggesting that measurements capture instantaneous states rather than complete system histories.

Most importantly, I believe these questions can be answered definitively through observation and experiment within the next few years. Galaxy rotation curve measurements, dark matter search results, and cosmological surveys will either support or refute these ideas based on objective data rather than theoretical preference.

I do not ask the physics community to accept these ideas, but rather to help evaluate them. If they contain merit, collaborative development could lead somewhere important. If they are flawed—as they very well may be—expert critique will identify the errors and help redirect the inquiry more productively.

In either case, I believe we all benefit from occasionally questioning our most fundamental assumptions, even if only to confirm their validity through renewed scrutiny.

**Acknowledgments**

I am grateful to engage with the physics community on these questions and welcome all feedback, whether supportive or critical. As an independent researcher, I particularly value input from those with institutional access to computational and observational resources that could help test these ideas rigorously.

Special thanks to online physics communities where preliminary versions of these concepts received thoughtful, constructive criticism that helped refine the arguments presented here.

**References**

1. Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183-191.
2. Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6.
3. Riess, A. G., et al. (2022). A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant with 1 km $s^{-1}$ $Mpc^{-1}$ Uncertainty from the Hubble Space Telescope and the SH0ES Team. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 934(1), L7.
4. Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K. (1970). Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 159, 379.
5. Wheeler, J. A., & Zurek, W. H. (1983). *Quantum Theory and Measurement*. Princeton University Press.
6. Zwicky, F. (1933). Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln. *Helvetica Physica Acta*, 6, 110-127.
7. XENON Collaboration. (2022). First Dark Matter Search with Nuclear Recoils from the XENONnT Experiment. *Physical Review Letters*, 131(4), 041003.
8. Robertson, B. E., et al. (2023). Identification and properties of intense star-forming galaxies at redshifts z > 10. *Nature Astronomy*, 7(5), 611-621.