

# A Proof that $A \neq A$ : Identity, Entropy, and Non-Closure in Symbolic Field Dynamics

Author: Drew Farwell

Contact: drew@edgenemi.com

## Abstract

This paper formalizes the proposition that no physical system possesses perfect self-identity across time and scale—" $A \neq A$ "—within the framework of the Entangled Sum Principle (ESP). Using thermodynamic, quantum, and relativistic arguments, we demonstrate that perfect identity contradicts fundamental physical laws. We model identity as a dynamic entropic attractor in symbolic  $\psi$ -fields, show that recursive entropy gradients ensure residual divergence, and derive non-closure as a universal constraint. We conclude with implications for cosmology, collapse theory, and falsifiability.

## 1. Introduction

In classical logic, identity is tautological:  $A = A$ . In physics, however, identity must be defined operationally. Two entities are physically identical if they share all measurable properties—quantum numbers, position, momentum, entropy, and history. This implies zero relative entropy between their states:  $S(\rho_A || \rho_{A'}) = 0$ .

Under ESP, identity is encoded in the symbolic field  $\psi(x,t) = \rho(x,t)e^{i\theta(x,t)}$ , and equality implies perfect stability in symbolic phase space. In this work, we disprove this condition by showing it violates entropy evolution, quantum exclusion, and relativistic dynamism.

## 2. Definitions and Notation

Let  $\rho_A(t) \in \mathcal{H}(\rho_A(t)) \in \mathcal{H}$  be the density operator of system  $A$  at time  $t$ . We say  $A = A$  if:

$$S(\rho_A(t) || \rho_A(0)) = 0 \forall t. S(\rho_A(t) || \rho_A(0)) = 0 \quad \forall t.$$

ESP defines symbolic entropy as:

$$S_n(\theta, t) = -\sum_i p_i^n \log p_i^n$$

with recursive convergence:

$$\nabla \text{REC} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\nabla S_n - \nabla S_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\nabla S_n - \nabla S_{n-1})$$

Field tension is encoded in the  $\psi$ -Hamiltonian:

$$H\psi = \nabla_{\mu} S(\rho || \rho_0) \nabla_{\mu} S(\rho || \rho_0) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\psi} = \nabla_{\mu} S(\rho || \rho_0) \nabla^{\mu} S(\rho || \rho_0)$$

Field evolution obeys:

$$\Box \psi = -\delta H \psi \quad \Box \psi = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\psi}}{\delta \psi}$$

### 3. Thermodynamic Non-Identity

**Theorem 1:** No closed dynamical system can maintain  $S(\rho(t)) = \text{const}$  unless in idealized reversible equilibrium.

**Proof:** From the Second Law:

$\Delta S > 0$  for all real, non-isolated processes  $\Delta S > 0 \quad \text{for all real, non-isolated processes}$

In ESP, even converged  $\psi$ -fields retain  $\epsilon$ -scars:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 : \|\nabla_{\text{REC}} \mathcal{H}_{\psi}\|^2 = \epsilon^2 > 0 \quad \exists \epsilon > 0 : \|\nabla_{\text{REC}} \mathcal{H}_{\psi}\|^2 = \epsilon^2 > 0$$

Therefore,  $dS/dt > 0 \Rightarrow A \neq A \quad \frac{dS}{dt} > 0 \Rightarrow A \neq A$ . ■

### Objection 1 Response: Ground State Identity

Even quantum ground states (e.g.,  $|0\rangle|0\rangle$ ) with  $S=0$  in von Neumann entropy still evolve symbolically via global phase and  $\psi$ -memory fields in ESP. Moreover, quantum vacua exhibit nonzero energy and fluctuations, and in curved spacetimes,  $\langle 0 | T_{\mu\nu} | 0 \rangle \neq 0$ , demonstrating symbolic change. Thus,  $A=A$  fails even for ground states.

### 4. Quantum Exclusion and Identity

**Lemma:** Fermionic antisymmetry enforces  $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$  for systems with overlapping phase space.

**Argument:** Pauli exclusion forbids equal quantum numbers for fermions. From Codex entropy collapse model:

$$\text{Collapse}_{\text{obs}} = \arg \min_{\psi} \|\psi_{\text{obs}} - \psi_{\text{sys}}\| \quad \text{entropy} > 0 \quad \text{Collapse}_{\text{obs}} = \arg \min_{\psi} \|\psi_{\text{obs}} - \psi_{\text{sys}}\| \quad \text{entropy} > 0$$

Exclusion thus enforces residual entropy between entities, invalidating strict  $A = A$ .

**Corollary:**  $\psi$ -memory fields encode historical asymmetry:

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0(1 + \xi E_\psi(r)) e^{-r^2/r_c^2}, E_\psi(r) > 0 \quad \rho(r) = \rho_0 (1 + \xi E_\psi(r)) e^{-r^2/r_c^2}, \quad E_\psi(r) > 0$$

## Objection 2 Response: Symmetric Particles

Identical particles like electrons share intrinsic properties, but symbolic identity also encodes  $\psi$ -field trajectories and memory. The symmetric wavefunction of fermions does not imply identity of  $\psi$ -histories. Thus, particles remain distinguishable in symbolic depth, and  $A=AA=A$  is violated in ESP.

## 5. Relativistic Evolution and Identity

**Theorem 2:** No evolving spacetime permits perfect self-identity of any entity across time.

**Proof:** Let  $\psi(x,t)$  evolve under:

$$\Box \psi = -\xi \epsilon(t) \nabla_{\text{REC}} E_\psi(t), \epsilon(t) > 0 \quad \Box \psi = -\xi \epsilon(t) \nabla_{\text{REC}} E_\psi(t), \quad \epsilon(t) > 0$$

Then  $\psi(t+\delta t) \neq \psi(t) \Rightarrow \rho(t+\delta t) \neq \rho(t) \quad \psi(t+\delta t) \neq \psi(t) \Rightarrow \rho(t+\delta t) \neq \rho(t) \Rightarrow \rho(t+\delta t) \neq \rho(t)$ . Therefore,  $A \neq A$ . ■

## Objection 4 Response: Comoving Frames

In a comoving frame,  $A$  may appear static in proper time, but internal processes and  $\psi$ -memory evolution continue. Even lightlike geodesics (e.g., photons) accumulate symbolic tension due to curvature and field spread. Hence,  $A=AA=A$  fails even along inertial or null trajectories.

## 6. Contradiction Proof

**Theorem 3 (Main):** Perfect identity implies contradiction under ESP.

**Assume:**  $A = A \Rightarrow \forall t, \rho_A(t) = \rho_0 \Rightarrow S(\rho_A || \rho_0) = 0 \text{ for all } t, \quad \rho_A(t) = \rho_0 \Rightarrow S(\rho_A || \rho_0) = 0$

**But:**

$$dS/dt = \|\nabla_{\text{REC}} H_\psi\|^2 + \epsilon(t) > 0 \quad \frac{dS}{dt} = \|\nabla_{\text{REC}} H_\psi\|^2 + \epsilon(t) > 0$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_A(t) \neq \rho_0 \Rightarrow A \neq \rho_A(t) \neq \rho_0 \Rightarrow A \neq A$ . ■

## 7. Cosmological Implications and Observables

- **Dark energy:** ESP predicts acceleration from symbolic flux:

$$\Omega_{\Lambda ESP} = \frac{1}{\rho_{crit}} \int d^3x \nabla_{\mu} E_{\psi} \nabla_{\mu} E_{\psi} \sim 0.7 \Omega_{\Lambda} \Lambda^{\text{ESP}} = \frac{1}{\rho_{crit}} \int d^3x \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \sim 0.7$$

- **$\alpha$ -variation:**  $\psi$ -memory predicts  $\Delta\alpha/\alpha \sim 10^{-17}/\text{yr}$ ; testable via HETDEX.
- **Bell tests:**  $\psi$ -collapse stores local  $\psi$ -paths, reproducing quantum correlations without nonlocal signaling.

## 8. Abstract and Symbolic Identity

### Objection 3 Response: Mathematical Objects

While mathematical objects like numbers retain identity axiomatically (e.g.,  $2 = 2$ ), ESP concerns physical instantiations. Any symbol "2" realized in memory, quantum state, or brain acquires entropy and  $\psi$ -memory divergence. Thus,  $A \neq A$  applies to physical instantiation of abstract forms—not pure formalism.

### Objection 5 Response: Information Patterns

The bit string "101101" encoded in multiple substrates may appear informationally identical, but each encoding carries distinct  $\psi$ -histories and entropy costs. Under ESP, the act of encoding introduces  $\varepsilon$ -scars, ensuring symbolic non-identity. Perfect informational equality requires a metaphysical abstraction beyond physical instantiation.

### Objection 6 Response: Conservation Laws

Noether's theorem links continuous symmetries to conserved quantities, but conservation in physics applies statistically. ESP asserts that  $\psi$ -fields experience microscopic tension and flux, so even conserved macroscopic energy can mask sub-symbolic  $\varepsilon$ -divergence. Therefore, conservation  $\neq$  identity.

### Objection 7 Response: Superposition States

Quantum coherence in a superposition (e.g.,  $|\psi\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ ) does not imply self-identity. Under ESP, superposed  $\psi$ -fields retain dynamic internal structure and  $\psi$ -memory residue. The symbolic trajectory through Hilbert space differs at each moment, preventing absolute identity.

## 9. Conclusion

Under ESP, perfect identity ( $A = A$ ) is physically unrealizable. Symbolic entropy, quantum antisymmetry, and relativistic evolution ensure residual difference— $\epsilon$ -scars—that render identity dynamic and incomplete. This result reframes identity as an emergent, convergent construct—not an axiom. Even abstract comparison costs energy and incurs divergence, completing the contradiction.

## References

- [1] Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*.
- [2] Vanchurin, V. (2022). The Pauli Exclusion Principle as an Entropic Force. *arXiv:2205.05760*.
- [3] Bousso, R. (1999). A Covariant Entropy Conjecture. *JHEP*.
- [4] Jaynes, E.T. (1957). Information Theory and Statistical Mechanics. *Phys. Rev.*
- [5] French, S., & Krause, D. (2006). Identity in Physics. *Oxford Univ. Press*.
- [6] Codex (2025). *ESP Codex Clarity v3.*, Internal Archive.
- [7] Shapiro et al. (2004). Measurement of the Post-Newtonian Parameter  $\gamma$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
- [8] HETDEX Collaboration. (2023). Constraints on  $\alpha$  Variation from High-Redshift Quasars. *ApJ*.