EU ACCESS

YOUR GATEWAY TO EU FUNDING AND EXPERT SUPPORT

EU FUNDING ATLAS

2026–2027 | 2028–2035

Programmes, finance and project delivery



A strategic guide for business leaders, researchers and public authorities

Bosko Nektarijevic

A funding decision starts with your project

A company needs capital to expand. A research team needs the freedom and resources to test a difficult question. A city needs an investment that can be built, operated and paid for. All three may find support in the European funding system, but they will enter through different institutions, financial instruments and assessment procedures.

This Atlas helps you answer four questions: which route fits the work; who makes the funding decision; what evidence is needed to compete; and what obligations follow an award. Start with the need and the activity. Use programme names only after you understand those two things.

Choose the reading path that matches your decision

Your role	Start here	Leave with
Business leader or SME owner	The business route; instrument comparison; programme profiles; opportunity register.	A shortlist matched to project maturity, funding need, cash capacity and commercial objective.
Scientist or research manager	The research route; Horizon map; scientific profiles; work programmes; proposal method.	A defensible fit between the research question, action, team, method and expected outcomes.
Public or institutional manager	The territorial and external-action routes; delivery bodies; investment finance; management.	The competent authority, approval chain, readiness requirements and delivery responsibilities.

Navigation and official links

Inside this Atlas

Programme codes open programme profiles. T codes open topic guides. W codes identify governing-document records. O codes open dated opportunity analyses. C codes open scheme checks. F codes identify proposed future instruments.

External official resources

Programme and legal framework: programme overview and legislation. Performance: implementation reports. Funded projects: examples and results. Notices and dossiers: actual opportunity terms. Application portal: submission route.

Underlined black text is clickable. A linked page is not evidence that a call is currently open; read the status, eligibility and stage in the official dossier.

Periods and evidence

2026–2027 is the closing part of the 2021–2027 MFF. The future section covers the proposed 2028–2034 framework and a separate 2035 outlook. Proposed structures have dashed borders and explicit labels. They are preparation signals until the final rules and opportunities exist.

Information cut-off: 30 September 2026. The opportunity register is a dated selection with stated access and evidence status, not a complete live census. Programme profiles describe the route; eligibility, rates and deadlines must be resolved in the current official dossier. The 67 profiles cover programmes, facilities and other access routes; they are not 67 equivalent legal programmes. National interventions, intermediary products and all live calls have not been exhaustively enumerated. This distinction is essential when using the Atlas for a funding decision.

Author Bosko Nektarijevic | EU Access

Contents

A funding decision starts with your project 2
For business leaders and SME owners 5
For scientists and research managers 7
For public and institutional managers 9
The architecture of EU spending 11
Current programme families 16
Find funding by topic 20
Programme profiles 26
Work programmes and implementation documents 181
Related finance and development partners 186
The databases and portals you actually need 189
Calls: deadlines and project design 198
Scheme-specific application checks 287
Preparing for 2028 to 2035 294
Project development proposal writing and management handbook 305
Write so an expert can assess the project 326
Project management methods: from BSN to PM² 327
Teamwork and consortium performance 337
Power, influence and political games in projects 343
Adaptive leadership when the plan is not enough 348
Steve Quarrie: Secrets to Success in practice 354
BSN continuity: what is retained and updated 361

For business leaders and SME owners

Choose the business funding route

Create or demonstrate

Research and technology → Horizon Europe and EIC; industrial decarbonisation → Innovation Fund.

Deploy or scale

Investment and deployment → ERDF, DIGITAL, LIFE and sector measures; bankable growth → InvestEU and EIB/EIF-backed finance.

Sell to a public buyer

Goods and services → TED, institutional tenders, Delegations, development-bank and UN procurement.

Decision filters: project maturity • country and applicant • financial instrument • own resources • delivery capacity.

Decide what the money must achieve before deciding which programme to pursue. Developing a technology, buying proven equipment and selling a service to a public buyer are three different transactions. A strong project can fail simply because it is offered to the wrong instrument.

Business objective	Routes worth examining	Question that decides fit
Prove a new technology	Horizon Europe, EIC and sector R&I; national innovation schemes.	Is the uncertainty technical, and does the action support the present maturity?
Deploy or modernise operations	ERDF, Digital Europe, LIFE and sector measures; CAP or EMFAF where applicable.	Are your location, sector, investment and expenditure timing eligible?
Scale a bankable business	InvestEU intermediaries, EIB/EIF-backed products and commercial co-finance.	Can the business repay debt or justify an equity investment on the provider's terms?
Demonstrate industrial decarbonisation	Innovation Fund, relevant research/demonstration calls and national investment support.	Can you evidence the emissions effect, technical readiness and financing plan?
Win a customer	TED, institution tenders, Delegations, development-bank and UN procurement.	Can you meet the buyer's specification, qualification and delivery risk?

Approve a funding strategy before a bid budget

Give each investment or development concept its own funding route and financing gap. Separate the cost of preparing an application from the cash needed to deliver the project. A grant award may still

require own contribution, expenditure before reimbursement and commercial activity after the support ends.

For every shortlisted opportunity, ask the team for a one-page investment or bid case: strategic benefit; exact eligibility; achievable outputs; partner commitments; own resources; payment timing; and the evidence that makes selection plausible. Assign a sponsor who can stop work when a decisive condition fails.

Start with Horizon Europe · European Regional Development Fund · Digital Europe Programme · InvestEU · Innovation Fund · Single Market Programme

What money and risk actually move

Grant → beneficiary Grant authority transfers a grant contribution. Eligible action, co-finance and grant obligations.	**Loan ⇄ borrower** Bank or finance provider advances a loan; the borrower repays. Tenor, price, security, covenants and cash flow.
Equity ⇄ company Investment fund provides capital in exchange for shares. Valuation, dilution, governance and exit.	**Guarantee → lender or intermediary** Guarantor provides risk cover. The underlying loan still requires its own approval and terms.

Blending and intermediaries: a grant and a loan may finance distinct shares of project cost. Follow EU mandate → implementing partner → local product → recipient. A guarantee is not cash awarded to the company.

Compare total project cost, eligible cost, grant, loan principal, equity and guarantee exposure as different quantities. Never add guaranteed finance and mobilised investment as extra cash. Provider appraisal remains necessary.

Access to finance | EIB products

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: INVESTEU · PSLF · SAFE

For scientists and research managers

From scientific question to funding branch

Research purpose	Institutional purpose
Frontier research → ERC; researcher careers and mobility → MSCA; collaborative challenges → Horizon clusters.	Research infrastructures; innovation and exploitation; Widening and research-system reform.

Match the action first; then confirm host, country, consortium, method, outcomes and submission conditions.

The useful question is not whether your subject appears in an EU strategy. It is whether the instrument will fund the kind of knowledge you seek, the method required to obtain it and the team that can deliver it. Start by distinguishing investigator-led research, collaborative challenge research, career development, infrastructure access and innovation finance.

Frame the scientific case

State the unresolved question, the limits of existing knowledge and the advance your method can credibly achieve. Explain why the design can discriminate between competing explanations or demonstrate the proposed capability. Specify data access, analytical methods, validation, uncertainty and reproducibility in proportion to the work.

Fit the action before assembling the consortium

ERC, MSCA, collaborative Horizon topics, EIC schemes and partnership calls have different purposes and entry rules. A consortium assembled for a broad policy theme may be unsuitable for the eventual topic. Identify essential scientific, clinical, industrial, user and regulatory roles from the work, then test the applicable country and legal-entity conditions.

Make impact credible at the relevant scale

Separate a scientific output from its use and from wider effects. A dataset may enable later research; a prototype may require certification and manufacturing investment; a method may need a health-service or industrial owner to adopt it. State these dependencies and show who will act on them. Strong evidence of a modest contribution is more convincing than unsupported claims of transformation.

Before drafting	Evidence to secure
Scientific fit	Exact topic or scheme; research gap; expected outcome; appropriate action type.
Feasibility	Methods, facilities, data, permissions, sample or validation logic, critical dependencies.

Before drafting	Evidence to secure
Team	Role-specific competence, committed effort, named access and delivery responsibility.
Uptake	Results owner, intended user, access rights, next development step and adoption conditions.

Start with Horizon Europe · Euratom Research and Training Programme · Research Fund for Coal and Steel

Choose the scientific funding branch

Excellent Science

ERC: investigator-led frontier research; host and principal-investigator eligibility.

MSCA: doctoral and postdoctoral training and mobility; action-specific consortium.

Research infrastructures: access, integration and capacities.

Global Challenges

Clusters 1–6: collaborative research and innovation against topic outcomes.

Health; society; security; digital, industry and space; climate, energy and mobility; food and nature.

JRC non-nuclear direct actions: institutional research, not a generic open grant.

Innovative Europe

EIC Pathfinder, Transition and Accelerator are distinct schemes, with separate selection.

European Innovation Ecosystems; EIT KIC innovation, education and business routes.

Widening and ERA

Participation, institutional capacity and research-system reform.

Check action-specific countries, coordinator roles and expected institutional change.

Cross-cutting delivery: Missions, European Partnerships and New European Bauhaus link activities across the structure. The main work programme and ERC, EIC, EIT and partnership documents remain distinct. Match research question, team model and maturity to the branch's own rules.

Use W01–W05 for the controlling documents. Arrows between EIC schemes describe a possible development pathway only; each scheme has independent eligibility and selection. Research, demonstration and market finance must not be treated as one continuous entitlement.

Horizon structure and programming

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: HE

For public and institutional managers

Follow the public investment route

Territorial delivery

Cohesion, social, CAP and maritime funding → national or regional programmes and delivery bodies.

Networks and reform

Strategic infrastructure and finance → CEF, InvestEU, EIB and preparation support; reforms → TSI.

External action

IPA III, NDICI and country facilities → programming, operations and named implementers.

Readiness: mandate • feasibility • permits • procurement • co-finance • operating costs • accountable owner.

Your funding route depends on who owns the investment, where it is delivered and who can authorise the expenditure. An EU programme page may explain policy objectives without being the place to apply. Regional programmes, CAP interventions, national measures and bank-financed operations all require a more local or specialised entry point.

Build the investment pipeline around readiness

For each concept, identify the public need, owner, affected users, technical scope, permits, land or data access, procurement requirements, capital cost and operating budget. Make preparation gaps visible. Advisory support can improve a project's readiness, but it does not guarantee the later grant or loan.

Locate the actual decision maker

Follow the fund into the approved programme, intervention or action document and then to the managing authority, paying agency, National Agency, Delegation or entrusted implementer. Confirm whether access is a public competition, a nominated action, a state-level request, a bank appraisal or procurement. The same policy objective can generate several of these routes.

Give delivery obligations a budget and an owner

A grant-supported asset still needs operating finance, maintenance and accountable management. Procurement can determine the project's critical path. A public loan creates obligations beyond the grant period. Approve these conditions with the project concept so that an award leads to a service or capability that can be sustained.

Start with European Regional Development Fund · Cohesion Fund · European Social Fund Plus · Technical Support Instrument · LIFE · JASPERS advisory facility · Western Balkans Investment Framework

Find the national or local entry point

Cohesion and social

ERDF / Cohesion Fund / ESF+ / JTF → programme → priority → scheme → managing authority or intermediate body.

Find the exact territory and call. Interreg, I3 and urban actions have distinct routes.

Agriculture and rural

EAGF / EAFRD → CAP Strategic Plan → intervention → paying agency or LEADER local action group.

Farm payments, rural investment or local development call.

Maritime and fisheries

EMFAF → national programme → specific measure → national delivery body or local operator.

National measure or local coastal-development opportunity.

Decisive filters: territory • legal applicant • eligible activity • cost timing • co-finance • selection criteria. Location and the approved intervention come before the call.

An EU-level fund regulation does not establish eligibility for a particular local scheme. For RRF and other national plans, follow the actual national measure and its implementer. Some national programmes also use financial instruments instead of grants.

National single portals

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: ERDF · COHESION · ESFPLUS · JTF · EAGF · EAFRD · EMFAF

The architecture of EU spending

EU spending combines policy choices, legal authority, budget decisions and implementation. The MFF limits annual commitments by broad policy heading and overall payments; programme acts define what can be supported; annual budgets and financing decisions make resources available. None of those steps alone is an award to an applicant.

The complete funding map

Read from the policy family to the programme profile, then to its governing document and actual access route. The map covers all 67 profiles in this Atlas; programmes, facilities and other routes have different legal status.

2026–2027 | The seven MFF headings

1 Single market innovation and digital Horizon Europe • InvestEU • Connecting Europe Facility • Digital Europe Programme • Single Market Programme • Fiscalis • Customs Programme • EU Anti-Fraud Programme • EU Space Programme • EU Secure Connectivity • Euratom Research and Training Programme • ITER / European Fusion Contribution	**2 Cohesion resilience and values** Erasmus+ • EU4Health • Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values • Creative Europe • Justice Programme • Pericles IV • Technical Support Instrument • Union Civil Protection Mechanism / rescEU • European Social Fund Plus • European Regional Development Fund • Cohesion Fund • Recovery and Resilience Facility • Support to the Turkish Cypriot Community • European Solidarity Corps
3 Natural resources and environment LIFE • European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund • Just Transition Fund • European Agricultural Guarantee Fund • European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development • Fisheries agreements and regional fisheries organisations	**4 Migration and border management** Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund • Border Management and Visa Instrument • Customs Control Equipment Instrument

Continue through headings 5–7 and the additional routes on the next part of the map.

2026–2027 | Complete the system boundary

5 Security and defence

Internal Security Fund • European Defence Fund • Nuclear safety and decommissioning • European Defence Industry Programme • Ignalina nuclear decommissioning

6 Neighbourhood and the world

NDICI–Global Europe • IPA III • Humanitarian Aid • Common Foreign and Security Policy expenditure • Overseas Countries and Territories / Greenland • Ukraine Facility • Macro-Financial Assistance • Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans • Reform and Growth Facility for Moldova • European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation

7 European public administration

European public administration

Beyond the seven headings

Research Fund for Coal and Steel • Innovation Fund • Modernisation Fund • EU Solidarity Fund • European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers • Brexit Adjustment Reserve • Social Climate Fund • Western Balkans Investment Framework • JASPERS advisory facility • Security Action for Europe • Just Transition Public Sector Loan Facility • European Peace Facility • Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform • Pilot projects and preparatory actions • Legacy and closing instruments • Communication and other institutional expenditure

RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources. Related EIB/EIF, EBRD, UN and bilateral finance follows separate mandates. Proposed 2028–2034 structures are mapped in the future section.

How policies become programmes

The Treaties establish EU competences. Political priorities, evidence, consultations, evaluations and impact assessments inform Commission proposals. Parliament and the Council of the EU adopt legislation under the applicable procedure. The European Council gives political direction and often negotiates the broad budget settlement, but it is not the institution that awards a research grant or local investment scheme.

Most programme legislation follows the ordinary legislative procedure; specific legal bases can differ. The MFF regulation is adopted unanimously by the Council after Parliament's consent. The own-resources decision follows a separate process with national approval requirements. Annual budgets are agreed by Parliament and the Council within the applicable framework.

How programmes become opportunities

A programme may use annual or multiannual work programmes, national and regional programmes, CAP plans, country action documents or financial mandates. These documents specify actions, resources and implementation. An action can produce an open call, a tender, a direct or nominated

award, a contribution agreement, a loan or an intermediary product. An applicant must follow the route down to its operative conditions.

MFF decision process | Treaty budget provisions

How policy becomes spendable money

Policy and legal authority	Budget authority
Commission: evidence, consultation, impact assessment and proposals. Parliament and Council adopt the programme act under the applicable Treaty procedure. Legal act: objectives, eligible actions, management and financial rules.	MFF: Council unanimity with Parliament's consent; annual spending ceilings. Own resources: separate Council decision and national approval requirements. Annual budget: Parliament and Council authorise commitments and payments.
↓ Programming and financing decision	**↓ Award and delivery**
Work programme, approved national plan, action document or financial mandate turns legal objectives and resources into implementable actions.	The competent authority selects a grant, contract, investment or entrusted operation. The agreement defines the delivery obligations.

Locate both decisions: the one that authorises the activity and the one that funds it.

MFF ceilings, programme eligibility and an actual award are separate decisions. Budget commitments authorise obligations; payments discharge them. Audit and evaluation feed evidence back into the next policy and programming cycle.

Budget procedures

Three management routes

Direct

Commission or executive agency publishes the call or tender, evaluates and signs.

Applicant or supplier: Funding & Tenders or the stated buyer system.

Check: agency, action, official call ID and agreement.

Shared

Commission approves the programme; the Member State delivers. Managing or paying authority and any intermediate body.

Applicant: national, regional or local scheme portal.

Check: country, territory, programme, intervention and delegated powers.

Indirect

Commission entrusts an authorised partner: IFI, UN body, agency or other implementer.

Recipient or supplier follows the implementing partner's own procedure.

Check: EU funding origin, entrusted operation, final award body and rules.

Downstream routes: a beneficiary may procure supplies or run an authorised cascade call; a bank may select a local intermediary. Follow the final transaction. The publishing website is not necessarily the awarding institution.

Management mode belongs to the action, not just to the programme name. One fund can use several routes. TED publishes procurement notices; it does not award the funding.

Management modes

Who does what

Institution or body	Role in the funding chain	What the reader uses it for
Commission and policy DGs	Propose policy and legislation; programme and implement the budget within their responsibilities.	Find the legal programme, financing decision, current documents and responsible service.
Parliament and Council	Legislate and exercise budgetary functions under the applicable procedure.	Distinguish a political proposal or negotiating position from adopted law.
Executive agencies	Implement assigned programme actions on the Commission's behalf.	Follow the specific action, call helpdesk, evaluation and agreement process.
National and regional authorities	Implement shared-management programmes and other national measures.	Find the correct territory, scheme, selection authority and application system.
EU Delegations and external services	Manage or support country-level external action within the relevant mandate.	Follow country priorities, action documents, grants, tenders and implementers.
EIB EIF and entrusted partners	Deliver finance, guarantees, advisory or entrusted operations under their mandates.	Locate the actual product, intermediary, appraisal or procurement route.
EU bodies and institutional buyers	Purchase goods and services; some implement specialised actions.	Find the buyer, notice, lot, specifications and contract conditions.
Auditors and control bodies	Examine legality, regularity, systems and performance within their mandates.	Understand evidence and accountability; use public findings to improve design.

EU Who is Who | Executive agencies

Single market innovation and digital

Research and knowledge

HE Horizon Europe

EURATOM Euratom Research and Training Programme

ITER ITER / European Fusion Contribution

Digital and strategic systems

DIGITAL Digital Europe Programme

SPACE EU Space Programme

SECURE EU Secure Connectivity

Networks and investment

CEF Connecting Europe Facility

INVESTEU InvestEU

Single market and administrations

SMP Single Market Programme

FISCALIS Fiscalis

CUSTOMS Customs Programme

ANTIFRAUD EU Anti-Fraud Programme

Analytical families within the stated MFF headings. Programme names and codes lead to the detailed profiles; card size has no budget meaning.

Analytical grouping within the stated MFF headings, not additional legal programmes. The catalogue profile gives components, management, finance and access. RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources; card size carries no budget meaning.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: HE · INVESTEU · CEF · DIGITAL · SMP · FISCALIS · CUSTOMS · ANTIFRAUD · SPACE · SECURE · EURATOM · ITER

Cohesion resilience and values

Territorial and social investment

ERDF European Regional Development Fund

COHESION Cohesion Fund

ESFPLUS European Social Fund Plus

Education culture and civic life

ERASMUS Erasmus+

ESC European Solidarity Corps

CREATIVE Creative Europe

CERV Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values

Health preparedness and reform

EU4HEALTH EU4Health

UCPM Union Civil Protection Mechanism / rescEU

TSI Technical Support Instrument

RRF Recovery and Resilience Facility

Justice and specific support

JUSTICE Justice Programme

PERICLES Pericles IV

TCC Support to the Turkish Cypriot Community

Analytical families within the stated MFF headings. Programme names and codes lead to the detailed profiles; card size has no budget meaning.

Analytical grouping within the stated MFF headings, not additional legal programmes. The catalogue profile gives components, management, finance and access. RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources; card size carries no budget meaning.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: ERASMUS · EU4HEALTH · CERV · CREATIVE · JUSTICE · PERICLES · TSI · UCPM · ESFPLUS · ERDF · COHESION · RRF · TCC · ESC

Resources migration and borders

Agriculture and rural development

EAGF European Agricultural Guarantee Fund

EAFRD European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development

Environment transition and fisheries

LIFE LIFE

JTF Just Transition Fund

EMFAF European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund

FISHAGREE Fisheries agreements and regional fisheries organisations

Migration and integrated borders

AMIF Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund

BMVI Border Management and Visa Instrument

CCEI Customs Control Equipment Instrument

Analytical families within the stated MFF headings. Programme names and codes lead to the detailed profiles; card size has no budget meaning. BMVI and CCEI are separate components of the Integrated Border Management Fund. EAGF and EAFRD finance distinct CAP interventions.

Analytical grouping within the stated MFF headings, not additional legal programmes. The catalogue profile gives components, management, finance and access. RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources; card size carries no budget meaning.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: LIFE · EMFAF · JTF · EAGF · EAFRD · AMIF · BMVI · CCEI · FISHAGREE

Security defence and administration

Security and defence industry

ISF Internal Security Fund

EDF European Defence Fund

EDIP European Defence Industry Programme

Nuclear safety and site closure

NUCLEAR Nuclear safety and decommissioning

IGNALINA Ignalina nuclear decommissioning

Institutional expenditure

ADMIN European public administration

Analytical families within the stated MFF headings. Programme names and codes lead to the detailed profiles; card size has no budget meaning. ISF concerns internal security; EDF and EDIP have different research and industrial purposes. Institutions also procure.

Analytical grouping within the stated MFF headings, not additional legal programmes. The catalogue profile gives components, management, finance and access. RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources; card size carries no budget meaning.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: ISF · EDF · NUCLEAR · EDIP · ADMIN · IGNALINA

Neighbourhood and the world

Development and enlargement

NDICI NDICI–Global Europe

IPAIII IPA III

Country investment and reform

UKRAINE Ukraine Facility

WBGROWTH Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans

MOLDOVA Reform and Growth Facility for Moldova

MFA Macro-Financial Assistance

Humanitarian peace and territories

HUM Humanitarian Aid

CFSP Common Foreign and Security Policy expenditure

OCT Overseas Countries and Territories / Greenland

Nuclear safety cooperation

EINS European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation

Analytical families within the stated MFF headings. Programme names and codes lead to the detailed profiles; card size has no budget meaning.

Analytical grouping within the stated MFF headings, not additional legal programmes. The catalogue profile gives components, management, finance and access. RRF uses NextGenerationEU resources; card size carries no budget meaning.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: NDICI · IPAIII · HUM · CFSP · OCT · UKRAINE · MFA · WBGROWTH · MOLDOVA · EINS

Funding beyond the seven headings

ETS-linked resources

INNOVATION Innovation Fund

MODERNISATION Modernisation Fund

SCF Social Climate Fund

Platforms and advisory

STEP Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform

WBIF Western Balkans Investment Framework

JASPERS JASPERS advisory facility

Loans and transition finance

SAFE Security Action for Europe

PSLF Just Transition Public Sector Loan Facility

RFCS Research Fund for Coal and Steel

Other expenditure boundaries

EPF European Peace Facility

PPPA Pilot projects and preparatory actions

COMM Communication and other institutional expenditure

Special and closing routes

EUSF EU Solidarity Fund

EGF European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers

BAR Brexit Adjustment Reserve

LEGACY Legacy and closing instruments

Financing sources, platforms and legacy obligations remain distinct. EPF is off-budget; STEP coordinates existing instruments; advisory approval does not constitute investment approval.

These are separate analytical categories, not an extra MFF heading. STEP coordinates support from existing instruments. Advisory approval does not constitute investment approval. EIB/EIF, EBRD, UN and bilateral sources have their own governance and may also implement EU mandates.

EU programme directory and official overviews

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: RFCS · INNOVATION · MODERNISATION · EUSF · EGF · BAR · SCF · WBIF · JASPERS · SAFE · PSLF · EPF · STEP · PPPA · LEGACY · COMM

Find funding by topic

Use topics to build a funding shortlist

Start with the need

Research; digital; business; climate; transport; environment; agriculture; fisheries.

Locate the public purpose

Education; employment; health; culture; rights; migration; security; public administration.

Follow the delivery context

Cities and regions; enlargement and development; humanitarian response; nuclear activities; project preparation.

Topic → programme profile → applicant and country conditions → governing document → dated opportunity record.

Use a topic to form a shortlist, then filter by applicant, country, activity, maturity and instrument. Programme links below lead to profiles. Opportunity links lead to the dated register; their presence establishes relevance for investigation, not eligibility.

Research and scientific careers

T01 Programmes HE · EURATOM · RFCS

Relevant activities ERC; MSCA; infrastructures; collaborative R&I.

Likely users and support Universities, researchers, RTOs, firms. Grant / fellowship / procurement.

Decisive check Research question, host conditions, action type and scientific fit.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O001 · O004 · O005 · O005B · O005C · O046

Digital AI data and cybersecurity

T02 Programmes HE · DIGITAL · CEF · SPACE · SECURE · ERDF · INVESTEU · STEP

Relevant activities Digital deployment; EDIHs; data spaces; connectivity.

Likely users and support SMEs, public services, infrastructure and research bodies. Grant / contract / loan / equity.

Decisive check Separate research from deployment; check ownership and security conditions.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O005C · O008 · O009 · O010 · O011 · O012 · O013 · O039 · O040 · O041 · O042 · O043 · O044 · O045

Business growth and industrial innovation

T03 Programmes HE · SMP · INVESTEU · ERDF · JTF · STEP · INNOVATION

Relevant activities EIC; EEN; I3; regional investment; intermediated finance.

Likely users and support Startups, SMEs, clusters, firms, RTOs. Grant / equity / guarantee-backed loan / advisory.

Decisive check Company stage, bankability, S3 alignment and market evidence.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O002 · O003 · O003B · O003C · O003D · O003E · O006 · O007 · O013 · O038 · O046

Climate energy and industrial transition

T04 Programmes HE · LIFE · CEF · INNOVATION · MODERNISATION · JTF · PSLF · SCF · INVESTEU

Relevant activities Mitigation; clean energy; grids; demonstration; local transition.

Likely users and support Utilities, municipalities, firms, NGOs. Grant / auction support / loan / guarantee / preparation assistance.

Decisive check Climate additionality, permits, carbon method and implementation maturity.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O003 · O003B · O003E · O005 · O014B · O018 · O020 · O036 · O047 · O048

Transport logistics and mobility

T05 Programmes HE · CEF · ERDF · COHESION · INVESTEU · SPACE

Relevant activities Research; TEN-T; urban mobility; satellite services.

Likely users and support Transport operators, public authorities, firms, RTOs. Grant / contract / loan.

Decisive check Network eligibility, operational access, permits and co-finance.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O014 · O036 · O050 · O051 · O052 · O053 · O054 · O055 · O056 · O057

Environment biodiversity water and circular economy

T06 Programmes LIFE · HE · ERDF · COHESION · EMFAF · EAFRD · NDICI

Relevant activities Nature; water; waste; circular production; ecosystems.

Likely users and support Municipalities, utilities, NGOs, firms, researchers. Grant / procurement / loan.

Decisive check Ecological baseline, replicability, land rights and operating costs.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O003D · O014C · O018 · O022 · O023 · O024 · O037

Agriculture food forestry and rural development

T07 Programmes EAGF · EAFRD · HE · LIFE · ERDF

Relevant activities CAP interventions; rural investment; LEADER; food systems.

Likely users and support Farmers, cooperatives, rural actors, research consortia. Payments / grant / financial instrument.

Decisive check National CAP plan and paying-agency rules determine access.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O003C · O022 · O023

Fisheries aquaculture and blue economy

T08 Programmes EMFAF · FISHAGREE · HE · LIFE · ERDF · NDICI

Relevant activities National EMFAF measures; direct blue-economy actions; cooperation.

Likely users and support Fishers, aquaculture firms, coastal authorities, researchers. Grant / payment / contract / loan.

Decisive check Vessel, activity, coastal area and environmental requirements.

Education training youth sport and volunteering

T09 Programmes ERASMUS · ESC · ESFPLUS · HE

Relevant activities Mobility; partnerships; solidarity; skills; doctoral training.

Likely users and support Education bodies, youth and sport organisations, NGOs. Grant / unit contribution / lump sum.

Decisive check National-agency versus central route and accreditation requirements.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O004 · O009 · O024 · O058 · O059 · O060 · O061

Employment inclusion and social enterprise

T10 Programmes ESFPLUS · EGF · ERDF · INVESTEU · AMIF

Relevant activities National employment/inclusion measures; EaSI; microfinance.

Likely users and support Training bodies, social enterprises, authorities, NGOs. Grant / microloan / guarantee / service contract.

Decisive check Target population, national measure, participant evidence and outcomes.

Health care biotech and emergency preparedness

T11 Programmes EU4HEALTH · HE · DIGITAL · UCPM · ERDF · INVESTEU

Relevant activities Research; service deployment; joint actions; infrastructure.

Likely users and support Health authorities, hospitals, firms, NGOs, researchers. Grant / nomination-based action / tender / finance.

Decisive check Data access, clinical evidence, designated authority and ethics.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O005B · O008 · O015D · O015F

Culture media heritage and creative industries

T12 Programmes CREATIVE · HE · CERV · ERDF · ERASMUS

Relevant activities Culture cooperation; MEDIA; research; territorial heritage.

Likely users and support Cultural bodies, media, firms, cities, researchers. Grant / loan / contract.

Decisive check Strand country participation, rights ownership and audience strategy.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O049

Democracy equality justice and rights

T13 Programmes CERV · JUSTICE · NDICI · IPAIII

Relevant activities Civic participation; Daphne; judicial cooperation; external human rights.

Likely users and support Civil society, local authorities, judicial and training bodies. Action or operating grant / tender / regrant.

Decisive check Rights holders, legal-entity restrictions and participation countries.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O009 · O012 · O019 · O021 · O024

Migration borders and internal security

T14 Programmes AMIF · BMVI · ISF · HE · UCPM

Relevant activities National programmes; thematic facilities; security research.

Likely users and support Authorities, NGOs, technology and research organisations. Grant / contract / nomination-based action.

Decisive check Mandate, practitioner involvement, safeguards and restricted access.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O015 · O015B · O015C · O015D · O015E · O015F · O016 · O016B · O016C · O017 · O017B · O017C

Defence space and strategic security

T15 Programmes EDF · EDIP · SAFE · EPF · SPACE · SECURE · HE

Relevant activities Defence R&D; industrial capacity; public procurement; space.

Likely users and support Defence firms, consortia, authorities, space suppliers. Grant / procurement / sovereign loan / entrusted support.

Decisive check Control, ownership, security, export and programme-specific country rules.

Public administration taxation customs and integrity

T16 Programmes TSI · FISCALIS · CUSTOMS · CCEI · ANTIFRAUD · PERICLES · JUSTICE · ADMIN · COMM · PPPA

Relevant activities Reform support; professional cooperation; equipment; institutional purchasing.

Likely users and support Authorities, competent services, suppliers, expert bodies. Technical support / grant / contract.

Decisive check Authority-only access often applies; private suppliers use procurement.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O010 · O011 · O020 · O025 · O026 · O027 · O028 · O029 · O030 · O031

Cities regions and territorial investment

T17 Programmes ERDF · COHESION · ESFPLUS · JTF · EAFRD · EMFAF · RRF · BAR · EUSF

Relevant activities National and regional programmes; Interreg; urban and local measures.

Likely users and support Local authorities, utilities, territorial partnerships, firms. Grant / contract / loan / transferred public support.

Decisive check Actual managing authority, territorial eligibility and spending period.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O006 · O007 · O014 · O014B · O014C · O017 · O017B · O017C · O036 · O047 · O048

Enlargement neighbourhood and development

T18 Programmes IPAIII · NDICI · UKRAINE · WBGROWTH · MOLDOVA · WBIF · TCC · OCT · MFA · EINS

Relevant activities Country programming; facilities; delegations; implementing partners.

Likely users and support Partner-country bodies, firms, NGOs, IFIs, international organisations. Grant / tender / budget support / loan / guarantee.

Decisive check Country action document and final implementing body decide the route.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O018 · O019 · O020 · O021 · O022 · O023 · O025 · O026 · O027 · O028 · O029 · O030 · O031

Humanitarian response peace and resilience

T19 Programmes HUM · UCPM · CFSP · EPF · NDICI

Relevant activities Humanitarian partnerships; civil protection; missions; peace support.

Likely users and support Recognised humanitarian partners, authorities and suppliers. Partner agreement / grant / procurement / contribution.

Decisive check Operational mandate and partnership status; emergency rules differ.

Nuclear safety decommissioning and transition

T20 Programmes EURATOM · ITER · NUCLEAR · IGNALINA · EINS · RFCS · LEGACY

Relevant activities Research; fusion procurement; site works; safety assistance; closing awards.

Likely users and support Research bodies, designated sites, authorities and specialist suppliers. Grant / contract / entrusted support.

Decisive check Separate research from site procurement; safety and security approvals.

Project preparation and financing services

T21 Programmes JASPERS · WBIF · INVESTEU · PSLF · CEF

Relevant activities JASPERS; ELENA; InvestEU Advisory Hub; bank appraisal.

Likely users and support Promoters, municipalities, utilities, intermediaries. Advisory / preparation grant / repayable finance.

Decisive check Advisory approval does not secure the later investment or grant.

Opportunities in the dated Atlas register — select a record: O036 · O047 · O048

Programme profiles

How to read every programme profile

Overview and fit

Programme map; objective; best-fit conditions; internal branches and their governing documents.

Decision and evidence

Applicants; authority and access; finance; controlling documents; portfolio evidence; official resources.

Actual opportunity

Calls and access records; deadline and evidence status; links to notices and Atlas analysis.

Each programme uses the same visual sequence. Component, policy objective, legal strand and delivery mechanism remain distinct.

Each profile separates legal strands, policy objectives, action families and delivery mechanisms, and identifies the document governing each branch. The core structures are mapped across all 67 existing profiles. A full inventory of every national measure, partnership action and current work-programme amendment is a deeper layer: the links identify where those operative records must be checked. Programme codes and call links provide navigation within this Atlas.

Performance evidence is shown with its unit and reporting period where a figure is established. For other instruments, the official performance and project links provide the route to inspect the portfolio. Missing counts are not zero. Grant totals, selected projects, organisations, participations and final recipients cannot be added without reconciling scope and overlap.

Heading 1 Single market innovation and digital

HE Horizon Europe · INVESTEU InvestEU · CEF Connecting Europe Facility · DIGITAL Digital Europe Programme · SMP Single Market Programme · FISCALIS Fiscalis · CUSTOMS Customs Programme · ANTIFRAUD EU Anti-Fraud Programme · SPACE EU Space Programme · SECURE EU Secure Connectivity · EURATOM Euratom Research and Training Programme · ITER ITER / European Fusion Contribution

Heading 2 Cohesion resilience and values

ERASMUS Erasmus+ · EU4HEALTH EU4Health · CERV Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values · CREATIVE Creative Europe · JUSTICE Justice Programme · PERICLES Pericles IV · TSI Technical Support Instrument · UCPM Union Civil Protection Mechanism / rescEU · ESFPLUS European Social Fund Plus · ERDF European Regional Development Fund · COHESION Cohesion Fund · RRF Recovery and Resilience Facility · TCC Support to the Turkish Cypriot Community · ESC European Solidarity Corps

Heading 3 Natural resources and environment

LIFE LIFE · EMFAF European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund · JTF Just Transition Fund · EAGF European Agricultural Guarantee Fund · EAFRD European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development · FISHAGREE Fisheries agreements and regional fisheries organisations

Heading 4 Migration and border management

AMIF Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund · BMVI Border Management and Visa Instrument · CCEI Customs Control Equipment Instrument

Heading 5 Security and defence

ISF Internal Security Fund · EDF European Defence Fund · NUCLEAR Nuclear safety and decommissioning · EDIP European Defence Industry Programme · IGNALINA Ignalina nuclear decommissioning

Heading 6 Neighbourhood and the world

NDICI NDICI–Global Europe · IPAIII IPA III · HUM Humanitarian Aid · CFSP Common Foreign and Security Policy expenditure · OCT Overseas Countries and Territories / Greenland · UKRAINE Ukraine Facility · MFA Macro-Financial Assistance · WBGROWTH Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans · MOLDOVA Reform and Growth Facility for Moldova · EINS European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation

Heading 7 European public administration

ADMIN European public administration

Additional and cross-cutting routes

RFCS Research Fund for Coal and Steel · INNOVATION Innovation Fund · MODERNISATION Modernisation Fund · EUSF EU Solidarity Fund · EGF European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers · BAR Brexit Adjustment Reserve · SCF Social Climate Fund · WBIF Western Balkans Investment Framework · JASPERS JASPERS advisory facility · SAFE Security Action for Europe · PSLF Just Transition Public Sector Loan Facility · EPF European Peace Facility · STEP Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform · PPPA Pilot projects and preparatory actions · LEGACY Legacy and closing instruments · COMM Communication and other institutional expenditure

Horizon Europe

HE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | HE

01 Components and branches

Pillar I: ERC, MSCA, research infrastructures. Pillar II: six clusters and JRC. Pillar III: EIC, innovation ecosystems and EIT. Widening and ERA; Missions; New European Bauhaus; horizontal activities and partnerships.

02 Governing documents

Main Work Programme 2026–2027, separate ERC and EIC annual work programmes, JRC programming, EIT documents and partnership work programmes.

03 Access → DG RTD and policy DGs; REA, ERCEA, EISMEA, HaDEA, CINEA and relevant partnerships according to action. Direct and entrusted routes. Main collaborative grants use Funding & Tenders.

04 Calls and opportunities View 28 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Develop new knowledge, technologies and solutions and strengthen Europe's research and innovation system. A research question, industrial challenge or institutional reform must be matched to its actual action type.

Best fit Select the topic before designing the consortium. ERC, EIC and partnership calls have their own rules; main-work-programme conditions cannot be transferred automatically. Research outcomes, exploitation and credible delivery capacity are central to fit.

Internal structure and governing documents

The legal pillars, main-work-programme parts, individual schemes and partnership implementation routes are different levels. EDF has its own legal programme and budget; Euratom complements Horizon. Neither should be counted as an ordinary Horizon cluster.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
HE.01 Pillar I → ERC Council and grant schemes	Starting, Consolidator, Advanced, Synergy and Proof of Concept; ERC Plus only under its own applicable programme and notice. Principal-investigator and host rules differ from collaborative grants.	ERC annual work programme
HE.02 Pillar I → MSCA Action family	Doctoral Networks, Postdoctoral Fellowships, Staff Exchanges, COFUND, MSCA and Citizens; check researcher recruitment, mobility and institutional conditions per action.	Main WP Part 2

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
HE.03 Pillar I → Research infrastructures Programme component	INFRADEV, INFRAEOSC, INFRASERV, INFRATECH and INFRANET organise landscape, open-science, access, technology and network actions; individual topic conditions govern access.	Main WP Part 3
HE.04 Pillar II → Cluster 1 Health Cluster	Health across the life course; environmental and social determinants; diseases; care systems; tools and technologies; competitive health industry.	Main WP Part 4
HE.05 Pillar II → Cluster 2 Culture Creativity and Inclusive Society Cluster	Democracy and governance; cultural heritage and creative industries; social and economic transformations.	Main WP Part 5
HE.06 Pillar II → Cluster 3 Civil Security for Society Cluster	Crime and terrorism; borders; infrastructure; cybersecurity; disaster resilience; security research and innovation. Practitioner participation is often topic-specific.	Main WP Part 6
HE.07 Pillar II → Cluster 4 Digital Industry and Space Cluster	Industrial production, materials, data, computing, digital technologies and space R&I. Deployment under DIGITAL and infrastructure under CEF are distinct.	Main WP Part 7
HE.08 Pillar II → Cluster 5 Climate Energy and Mobility Cluster	Climate science; cross-sector transition; energy supply; energy use; transport technologies; mobility services and systems.	Main WP Part 8
HE.09 Pillar II → Cluster 6 Food Bioeconomy Natural Resources Agriculture and Environment Cluster	Biodiversity; food; circular bioeconomy; clean environment; land/ocean/climate; communities; governance and observation.	Main WP Part 9
HE.10 Pillar II → JRC Direct research action	Commission research and scientific services; institutional procurement, collaboration and access routes have their own rules.	JRC work programme

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
HE.11 Pillar III → EIC Council and instruments	Pathfinder Open/Challenges; Transition; Accelerator Open/Challenges; STEP Scale Up; business acceleration services. These are separately selected routes, not automatic stages.	EIC annual work programme and challenge guides
HE.12 Pillar III → European Innovation Ecosystems Programme component	Connect innovation actors and support ecosystem capacities and cross-border innovation; action and participant conditions vary.	Main WP Part 10
HE.13 Pillar III → EIT Institute and KIC system	Knowledge and Innovation Communities run education, innovation and business activities and their own selected opportunities; the KIC operator's notice controls entry.	EIT programming and KIC business plans
HE.14 Widening participation and spreading excellence Horizontal programme part	Teaming, Twinning, ERA Chairs, Excellence Hubs, Hop-on and other named actions when programmed; specific Widening-country and coordinator conditions.	Main WP Part 11 WIDERA
HE.15 Strengthening the European Research Area Horizontal programme part	Research-system reform, careers, open science, ethics, gender and institutional change; check each ERA topic's required actors.	Main WP Part 11 WIDERA
HE.16 EU Missions Cross-cutting mission portfolios	Adaptation; Cancer; Ocean and Waters; Climate-Neutral and Smart Cities; Soil. A mission is not a separate grant programme and can mobilise other instruments.	Main WP Part 12
HE.17 New European Bauhaus Facility Cross-cutting R&I work-programme part	Research and demonstration linking sustainability, inclusion and quality of living environments; distinguish its Horizon R&I component from other funding.	Main WP Part 13
HE.18 Horizontal activities Work-programme part	Cross-cutting 2026–2027 actions; use the exact call and topic rather than treating the part as an unrestricted application channel.	Main WP Part 14

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
HE.19 European Partnerships Co-funded co-programmed or institutionalised delivery	Co-programmed topics use the main WP; co-funded partnerships add national funder rules; Joint Undertakings and Article 185 partnerships publish their own programmes and notices.	Partnership or JU annual programme and national annexes
HE.20 General introduction and General Annexes Common programming and rules	Read admissibility, eligibility, funding, capacity, award criteria and procedures with the specific topic; topic-specific conditions can qualify general provisions.	Main WP Parts 1 and 15

Main WP 2026–2027 parts and current files | ERC funding and work programmes | EIC work programmes | European Partnerships | EIT opportunities

European Partnerships and EIT: the named second layer

The Commission's current index reports a portfolio of 60 partnerships. Its visible sector lists yield 59 named entries, reproduced below, including ten EIT communities and newer candidates or launches. The headline/list discrepancy is unresolved; no extra partnership has been invented to force the count. Listing in the portfolio does not establish that a partnership is fully operational or has an open applicant call. The directory below names the entries in the published sector lists and distinguishes the delivery route. Check the linked sector record and operator for the exact current work programme and call. It is not an all-partnership-call census.

Climate, energy and mobility

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Clean Hydrogen	JU
Clean Aviation	JU
Single European Sky ATM Research 3	JU
Europe's Rail	JU
Connected, Cooperative and Automated Mobility	Co-programmed
Batt4EU	Co-programmed
Zero-emission Waterborne Transport	Co-programmed

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Zero-emission Road Transport	Co-programmed
Built4People	Co-programmed
Solar Photovoltaics	Co-programmed
Driving Urban Transitions	Co-funded
Clean Energy Transition	Co-funded

Official sector directory and operator links

Culture, creativity and inclusive society

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Resilient Cultural Heritage	Co-funded / development status to check
Social Transformations and Resilience	Co-funded / development status to check

Official sector directory and operator links

Digital, industry and space

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Chips, formerly Key Digital Technologies	JU
Smart Networks and Services	JU
European High Performance Computing	JU
European Metrology	Article 185
AI, Data and Robotics	Co-programmed
Photonics	Co-programmed
Made in Europe	Co-programmed
Clean Steel – Low-carbon Steelmaking	Co-programmed
Processes4Planet	Co-programmed

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Global Competitive Space Systems	Co-programmed
Textiles of the Future	Co-programmed / launch status to check
Innovative Advanced Materials for the EU	Co-programmed / launch status to check
Virtual Worlds	Co-programmed / launch status to check

Official sector directory and operator links

Food, bioeconomy, natural resources, agriculture and environment

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Circular Bio-based Europe	JU
Biodiversa+	Co-funded
Sustainable Blue Economy	Co-funded
Water4All	Co-funded
Animal Health and Welfare	Co-funded
Accelerating Farming Systems Transitions	Co-funded
Agriculture of Data	Co-funded
Safe and Sustainable Food Systems	Co-funded
PRIMA: Research and Innovation in the Mediterranean Area	Article 185
Forests and Forestry for a Sustainable Future	Co-funded / launch status to check

Official sector directory and operator links

Health

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Innovative Health Initiative	JU

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Global Health EDCTP3	JU
Transforming Health and Care Systems	Co-funded
ERA4Health	Co-funded
Rare Diseases	Co-funded
One Health Antimicrobial Resistance	Co-funded
Personalised Medicine	Co-funded
Pandemic Preparedness	Co-funded / launch status to check
Brain Health	Co-funded / launch status to check
Risk Assessment of Chemicals	Co-funded

Official sector directory and operator links

Across themes and EIT

Named partnership / community	Delivery form
Innovative SMEs / Eurostars	Co-funded
EIT InnoEnergy	KIC
EIT Climate	KIC
EIT Digital	KIC
EIT Food	KIC
EIT Health	KIC
EIT RawMaterials	KIC
EIT Manufacturing	KIC
EIT Urban Mobility	KIC
EIT Culture & Creativity	KIC
EIT Water	KIC — 2026 start-up phase
European Open Science Cloud	Co-programmed

Official sector directory and operator links

Which work programme and whose decision?

Delivery form	Who manages access	Documents that determine entry
JU	The Joint Undertaking and its entrusted evaluation/award arrangements.	JU annual work programme and topic; read contributions, membership, ownership or security conditions where applicable.
Article 185	The dedicated implementation structure and participating national funders.	Partnership programme and call; distinguish EU-level and national contribution/eligibility provisions.
Co-funded	Partnership call secretariat plus each participating national or regional funder.	Joint call text, strategic agenda and national annex for every applicant. Central scientific eligibility does not guarantee national funding eligibility.
Co-programmed	Commission or responsible agency for the Horizon call.	Relevant main-WP cluster/topic and partnership strategic agenda. The partnership association's activities are not the same as an EU grant award.
KIC	The specific Knowledge and Innovation Community or appointed scheme operator.	EIT programming, KIC business plan and actual education, innovation, business or cascade-call terms.

EIT Water was selected in 2025 and has a 2026 start-up phase; do not imply that a full portfolio of mature operating calls already exists. Older EIT communities can have changed financing or partnership arrangements: their current operator notice controls the applicant route. For other new portfolio entries, verify launch and agreement status before classifying a planned action as open.

Complete Commission partnership portfolio | EIT Water establishment

Applicants Universities, research organisations, businesses, public bodies and civil society. EU and associated-country entities are central; participation and entitlement to EU funding differ for other countries.

Authority and access DG RTD and policy DGs; REA, ERCEA, EISMEA, HaDEA, CINEA and relevant partnerships according to action. Direct and entrusted routes. Main collaborative grants use Funding & Tenders.

Finance Commission indicative 2021–2027 envelope: EUR 93.5bn following the MFF mid-term revision, including NGEU resources. Grant rates, lump sums and investment terms differ by action.

Controlling documents Main Work Programme 2026–2027, separate ERC and EIC annual work programmes, JRC programming, EIT documents and partnership work programmes. See W01–W05.

Portfolio evidence 19456 signed grants; 31006 distinct participants. Cumulative 2021–2025; dashboard freeze 5 Jan 2026. Grant count differs from 21329 selected proposals.

Official examples CORDIS and programme dashboard. Search the instrument and component, then inspect public reports, outputs and beneficiaries.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/695 and Decision (EU) 2021/764

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T01 · T02 · T03 · T04 · T05 · T06 · T07 · T08 · T09 · T11 · T12 · T14 · T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
ERC Starting Grants 2027 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-14; exact time to verify	O001 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EIC Accelerator Open full proposal Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O002 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003 Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Alternative Concepts and Key Enabling Technologies for Fusion Power Plants Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003B Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Biotech for Regenerating Agricultural Soils Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003C Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Boosting the European Critical Raw Materials value chain Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003D Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Deep Tech for Climate Adaptation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003E Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
MSCA Doctoral Networks 2026 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-24 17:00 CET	O004 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Advanced Materials for Miniaturised Energy Harvesting Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Biotechnology for Healthy Ageing Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
DeepRAP: Deep Reasoning, Abstraction & Planning towards trustworthy Cognitive AI Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Energy efficient urban and sub-urban public transport, complemented by shared mobility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Transition to low-temperature heating solutions in multi-apartment buildings Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Introducing circular economy models in the construction sector, from buildings to city scale Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Improving capabilities of law enforcement to counter climate-related challenges Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Preventing misuse of emerging technologies for criminal purposes, including lawful access to data Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Missing persons: prevention and investigation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Crime prevention approaches addressing addictions and crime Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015D Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Security against terrorism and lone-actor violence in confined spaces Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015E Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Prevention and mitigation of misuse of synthetic biology Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015F Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Advanced border surveillance and situational awareness Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Accessible and available travel facilitation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Reliability of age assessment methods in security and border management Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Tools and processes to support stress tests of critical infrastructure Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Security challenges of the green transition in urban and peri-urban areas Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Capabilities for resilience of critical entities to disasters, including hybrid scenarios Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EIC Pre Accelerator 2027 planned round Official call or tender notice	Planned 2027-11-18	O038 Planned not open Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Excellence Hubs Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-03-04; verify exact Portal time	O046 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Erasmus+

ERASMUS | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | ERASMUS

01 Components and branches

KA1: education, youth and sport mobility. KA2: cooperation, excellence, innovation, capacity building and sport events. KA3: policy development. Jean Monnet; DiscoverEU; virtual exchanges; horizontal priorities and support services.

02 Governing documents

2026 Erasmus+ Programme Guide and Call EAC/A12/2025, C/2025/6080; annual work programme; agency notices and amendments.

03 Access → DG EAC, EACEA and National Agencies. Centrally managed actions use Funding & Tenders; decentralised actions use the relevant National Agency and Erasmus application system.

04 Calls and opportunities View 4 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Support learning mobility, institutional cooperation and policy development in education, training, youth and sport.

Best fit Choose sector, action and country route together. An October round is available only where the National Agency actually opens it. OID and PIC are used in different application systems.

Internal structure and governing documents

Education sectors are not separate legal programmes. A Key Action can contain centrally managed and National Agency actions, with different forms, identifiers and deadlines. A Programme Guide action is not proof that every country has an open round.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ERASMUS.01 KA1 → Higher education mobility Action	Student study/traineeships and staff teaching/training; European and international dimensions; institutional accreditation and agreements.	2026 Programme Guide Part B and National Agency call
ERASMUS.02 KA1 → VET school and adult education mobility Sector-specific actions	Accredited projects and short-term projects; learners and staff; each education field has its own conditions.	Sector chapter and accreditation rules
ERASMUS.03 KA1 → Youth Actions	Youth exchanges, mobility of youth workers, youth participation activities and DiscoverEU Inclusion.	Youth action chapters and National Agency rounds

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ERASMUS.04 KA1 → Sport staff mobility Action	Learning mobility for coaches and other sport staff with a grassroots development purpose.	Sport staff action chapter
ERASMUS.05 DiscoverEU general action Individual opportunity	Youth travel passes through the European Youth Portal; separate from organisation-led DiscoverEU Inclusion grants.	Published travel round
ERASMUS.06 Virtual exchanges Centrally managed action	Facilitated online exchanges in higher education and youth involving the specified regions and countries.	EACEA call and Guide
ERASMUS.07 KA2 → Partnerships for cooperation Action family	Cooperation Partnerships, Small-scale Partnerships and European Partnerships for School Development where opened.	Respective 2026 Guide chapter and agency notice
ERASMUS.08 KA2 → Partnerships for excellence Action family	European Universities, Centres of Vocational Excellence, Erasmus Mundus Joint Masters and Erasmus Mundus Design Measures.	Separate action chapters and central calls
ERASMUS.09 KA2 → Partnerships for innovation Action family	Alliances for Innovation and Forward-looking Projects when programmed; lots and required education/business mix differ.	Annual financing decision and action call
ERASMUS.10 KA2 → Capacity building Four field-specific actions	Higher education, VET, youth and sport in eligible partner-country contexts; regions, strands and minimum partnership rules vary.	Action-specific central call and regional conditions
ERASMUS.11 KA2 → Sport events Action	Not-for-profit European sport events; required scale and participating organisations are action-specific.	Programme Guide and EACEA notice
ERASMUS.12 KA3 → Policy development and cooperation Key Action	European Youth Together and other policy-support actions, networks, evidence, dialogue and cooperation under the annual work programme.	Annual WP and specific notice
ERASMUS.13 Jean Monnet → Higher education Action family	Modules, Chairs and Centres of Excellence in European Union studies; networks under the relevant policy-debate action.	Jean Monnet Guide chapters

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ERASMUS.14 Jean Monnet → Other education and training Action family	Teacher training and learning EU initiatives; specific eligible education levels and institutions.	Jean Monnet Guide chapters
ERASMUS.15 Horizontal priorities and support services Programme-wide dimensions	Inclusion and diversity, digital transformation, environment/climate and democratic participation inform actions; SALTO, eTwinning and support platforms are not interchangeable applicant grants.	Guide Part A and action criteria

2026 Programme Guide | Programme structure | 2026 official call | National Agencies

Applicants Education and training institutions, youth and sport bodies, public authorities and other action-eligible organisations. Individuals usually participate through an organisation. EU and associated countries; specific international actions admit other partner countries.

Authority and access DG EAC, EACEA and National Agencies. Centrally managed actions use Funding & Tenders; decentralised actions use the relevant National Agency and Erasmus application system.

Finance Unit contributions, lump sums and other action-specific grant models. The national allocation and action determine the usable budget; an organisation's own contribution must follow the chosen action.

Controlling documents 2026 Erasmus+ Programme Guide and Call EAC/A12/2025, C/2025/6080; annual work programme; agency notices and amendments. See W06.

Portfolio evidence More than 34400 projects; over 85600 organisations; almost 1.5m mobility participants. 2024 annual results. Organisations and individual participants are different units, not an all-time total.

Official examples Erasmus Project Results Platform. Compare action, sector, partnership, outputs and target users.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/817

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T09 · T12

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Youth participation activities Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST	O058 Official 2026 Guide deadline verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Youth Exchanges Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST	O059 Official 2026 Guide deadline verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Small-scale Partnerships: optional additional round Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round	O060 Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cooperation Partnerships: optional second round Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round	O061 Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

InvestEU

INVESTEU | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | INVESTEU

01 Components and branches

Sustainable infrastructure; Research, innovation and digitalisation; SMEs; Social investment and skills; EU and Member State compartments; Advisory Hub and InvestEU Portal.

02 Governing documents

Regulation, investment guidelines, guarantee agreements, partner product descriptions and intermediary calls.

03 Access → Commission and approved implementing partners, including EIB and EIF; local banks, guarantee institutions and investment funds. Indirect implementation of the guarantee.

04 Calls and opportunities View 1 dated opportunity record and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Increase investment by using the EU guarantee to share risk with implementing partners and financial intermediaries.

Best fit Start with bankability and the country-specific intermediary offer. An EU guarantee announcement does not establish that a local lender has capacity remaining or will approve an individual borrower.

Internal structure and governing documents

The four policy windows are formal financing categories. The Fund, Advisory Hub and Portal serve different functions; the Portal is not an award procedure.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
INVESTEU.01 Sustainable infrastructure Policy window	Energy, transport, environment, digital and other eligible sustainable infrastructure.	Implementing partner agreement and product terms
INVESTEU.02 Research, innovation and digitalisation Policy window	Research to commercialisation and digital investment with risk-sharing finance.	Implementing partner financial product
INVESTEU.03 SMEs Policy window	Debt, guarantees and equity reaching eligible businesses, commonly through intermediaries.	Intermediary product and selection mandate
INVESTEU.04 Social investment and skills Policy window	Social infrastructure, skills, microfinance and social enterprise.	Implementing partner or intermediary product

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
INVESTEU.05 EU and Member State compartments Budget architecture	A national compartment has its own contribution and territorial conditions.	Contribution agreement and product
INVESTEU.06 Advisory Hub and InvestEU Portal Advisory and visibility services	Project preparation versus investor visibility; neither guarantees finance.	Advisory eligibility or Portal publication conditions

InvestEU structure

Applicants Promoters, firms, public entities and eligible final recipients apply for a product; banks and fund managers use a separate intermediary-selection route. Geography depends on the mandate and product.

Authority and access Commission and approved implementing partners, including EIB and EIF; local banks, guarantee institutions and investment funds. Indirect implementation of the guarantee.

Finance Loans, guarantees, equity and quasi-equity. A guarantee is risk cover, not a grant to the borrower. Interest, collateral, tenor and investment conditions are set for the actual product.

Controlling documents Regulation, investment guidelines, guarantee agreements, partner product descriptions and intermediary calls.

Official examples EIB financed projects and implementing-partner reports. Inspect the promoter, financing agreement scope, environmental documents and reported results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/523 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T03 · T04 · T05 · T10 · T11 · T21

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
European Local Energy Assistance Official call or tender notice	Rolling contact route; availability must be confirmed	O036 Rolling product route Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Connecting Europe Facility

CEF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | CEF

01 Components and branches

CEF Transport; CEF Energy → TEN-E; CEF Energy → Cross-border renewable energy; CEF Digital.

02 Governing documents

Separate transport and energy work programmes; CEF Digital Multiannual Work Programme 2024–2027, including the February 2026 amendment.

03 Access → DG MOVE, DG ENER and DG CONNECT; CINEA for transport and energy, HaDEA for relevant digital actions. Direct grants and procurement through specified systems.

04 Calls and opportunities View 8 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Complete strategic transport, energy and digital infrastructure connections where European network benefits justify support.

Best fit Confirm the eligible network/project category, permits, technical maturity and public endorsement before budgeting. A technically useful local asset may fall outside CEF's network scope.

Internal structure and governing documents

Transport, energy and digital are the three sectors. General and Cohesion envelopes, studies/works and military mobility are financing or action distinctions; use the sector work programme and exact topic.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CEF.01 CEF Transport Sector	TEN-T rail, inland waterways, ports, roads and nodes; smart/safe mobility; alternative fuels; military mobility where programmed. General and Cohesion envelopes have different access rules.	Multiannual WP 2021–2027 amended 5 June 2026
CEF.02 CEF Energy → TEN-E Sector action family	Eligible Projects of Common Interest and Projects of Mutual Interest; studies and works under their specific conditions.	CEF Energy multiannual WP and PCI/PMI list
CEF.03 CEF Energy → Cross-border renewable energy Sector action family	Preparatory studies and eligible cross-border renewable projects; status and cooperation requirements matter.	CB RES WP and call

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CEF.04 CEF Digital Sector	Backbone connectivity, submarine cables, 5G and other strategic digital connectivity; actions reflect amendments including AI-gigafactory-related support.	Multiannual WP 2024–2027 amended February 2026

Transport work programme and amendments | CEF Digital WP 2024–2027 | CEF Energy

Applicants Infrastructure promoters and eligible public or private entities. Network, project-of-common-interest or other action-specific status can be decisive; state endorsement may be required.

Authority and access DG MOVE, DG ENER and DG CONNECT; CINEA for transport and energy, HaDEA for relevant digital actions. Direct grants and procurement through specified systems.

Finance Co-financing rates vary substantially between studies, works, network categories and cohesion allocations. Construction readiness and complementary finance are often central.

Controlling documents Separate transport and energy work programmes; CEF Digital Multiannual Work Programme 2024–2027, including the February 2026 amendment. See W09.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1153

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T04 · T05 · T21

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Core network: inland waterways Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O050 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Core network: rail Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O051 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cohesion envelope: rail Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O052 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Military mobility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O053 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
European Maritime Space and inland ports Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O054 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
External borders Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O055 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Digitalisation of road transport Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O056 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Alternative fuels infrastructure: road and air Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O057 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Digital Europe Programme

DIGITAL | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | DIGITAL

01 Components and branches

SO1 High Performance Computing; SO2 Artificial intelligence; SO3 Cybersecurity and trust; SO4 Advanced digital skills; SO5 Deployment and best use; SO6 Semiconductors; EDIH network.

02 Governing documents

Main Work Programme 2025–2027 with October 2025 and March 2026 amendments; separate ECCC, EuroHPC and Chips programming.

03 Access → DG CONNECT, HaDEA, EuroHPC JU, ECCC and Chips JU according to objective and delegated action. National co-financing can be integral.

04 Calls and opportunities View 13 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Deploy strategic digital capacities and expand their uptake by businesses and public services.

Best fit Demonstrate deployment, adoption and operating sustainability. Check ownership restrictions, infrastructure access conditions and the actual body awarding the funding.

Internal structure and governing documents

The six specific objectives are the legal architecture. The main Commission work programme does not cover all DIGITAL implementation: ECCC, EuroHPC and Chips JU have separate programming and calls.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
DIGITAL.01 SO1 High Performance Computing Specific objective	Supercomputing capacity, access and associated capabilities, including AI-factory-related measures under the applicable EuroHPC decision.	EuroHPC work programmes and amendments
DIGITAL.02 SO2 Artificial intelligence Specific objective	AI, data spaces, cloud/edge, testing and experimentation and related capacities; distinguish grants, procurement and service access.	Main DIGITAL WP 2025–2027
DIGITAL.03 SO3 Cybersecurity and trust Specific objective	Cybersecurity capacity, tools, skills, network and legislative implementation; security and control restrictions can limit eligibility.	ECCC DIGITAL WP 2025–2027 and July 2026 amendment

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
DIGITAL.04 SO4 Advanced digital skills Specific objective	Specialised education and training, talent and digital-capacity actions.	Main WP and March 2026 amendment
DIGITAL.05 SO5 Deployment and best use Specific objective	EDIHs, interoperability, public-service deployment, digital identity, safer internet and other deployment actions.	Main WP; exact topic and action type
DIGITAL.06 SO6 Semiconductors Specific objective added by Chips legislation	Design capacities, pilot lines, competence centres and semiconductor capabilities; distinguish DIGITAL deployment from Horizon R&I contributions.	Chips JU multiannual WP 2023–2027 and annual decisions
DIGITAL.07 EDIH network Delivery mechanism within deployment	Hubs support businesses and public bodies; becoming a funded hub and using hub services are different routes.	Hub-selection notice or selected hub service offer

All DIGITAL work programmes | Main WP 2025–2027 | ECCC | EuroHPC | Chips JU

Applicants Businesses, research and technology organisations, public administrations and consortia according to topic. Some strategic actions restrict establishment, control or participation.

Authority and access DG CONNECT, HaDEA, EuroHPC JU, ECCC and Chips JU according to objective and delegated action. National co-financing can be integral.

Finance Grants, procurement and other action-specific forms; rates and matched national resources differ. Digital infrastructure investment is not automatically a 100% grant.

Controlling documents Main Work Programme 2025–2027 with October 2025 and March 2026 amendments; separate ECCC, EuroHPC and Chips programming. See W07.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/694 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T11

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Deploying health-record exchange and EHDS capacity Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 CEST	O008 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Network of Safer Internet Centres Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 CEST	O009 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EDIC Support Hub Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O010 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Digital solutions for regulatory compliance Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O011 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Information integrity research support framework Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O012 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Digital Europe dissemination and exploitation support Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O013 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cybersecure tools, technologies and services relying on AI Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O039 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Strengthening SME cybersecurity with AI-powered solutions Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O040 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Coordinated preparedness testing and other preparedness actions Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O041 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Regional Cable Hubs Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O042 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Enhancing the NCC Network Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O043 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cybersecurity capacities for legislative requirements Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O044 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Dual-use cybersecurity technologies Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O045 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

LIFE

LIFE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | LIFE

01 Components and branches

Environment → Nature and Biodiversity; Environment → Circular Economy and Quality of Life; Climate Action → Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation; Climate Action → Clean Energy Transition; SAP SNAP SIP; Technical assistance and other actions; NGO operating support.

02 Governing documents

LIFE Multiannual Work Programme 2025–2027, Decisions C(2025)955 and C(2025)6797; 2026 call dossiers.

03 Access → DG ENV, DG CLIMA and DG ENER with CINEA. Direct calls on Funding & Tenders; national contact points advise applicants.

04 Calls and opportunities View 1 dated opportunity record and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Implement environmental and climate objectives through practical interventions, replication, governance and market transformation.

Best fit Choose between standard, strategic, technical-assistance and clean-energy actions. Strategic projects require the relevant plan and mobilisation of complementary funding. A second-stage deadline is not an entry point for new applicants.

Internal structure and governing documents

LIFE has four formal subprogrammes. SAP, SNAP, SIP, technical assistance, other action grants and operating grants are project or grant types; they are not additional subprogrammes. Each call fixes the available combination.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
LIFE.01 Environment → Nature and Biodiversity Formal subprogramme	Nature conservation and biodiversity; projects may address sites, species, habitats, governance or strategic implementation.	LIFE multiannual WP 2025–2027 and NAT topic
LIFE.02 Environment → Circular Economy and Quality of Life Formal subprogramme	Circular economy, waste, water, air, soil, chemicals and environmental governance.	LIFE multiannual WP 2025–2027 and ENV topic

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
LIFE.03 Climate Action → Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Formal subprogramme	Emissions mitigation, climate resilience and governance; define a credible baseline and measurable additional effect.	LIFE multiannual WP 2025–2027 and CLIMA topic
LIFE.04 Climate Action → Clean Energy Transition Formal subprogramme	Market uptake, policy implementation, finance, skills and service models enabling clean energy; usually coordination/support rather than equipment investment.	LIFE multiannual WP 2025–2027 and CET call fiche
LIFE.05 SAP SNAP SIP Project types	Standard Action Projects; Strategic Nature Projects; Strategic Integrated Projects. Strategic applications use staged entry and qualifying plans.	Specific grant call and stage conditions
LIFE.06 Technical assistance and other actions Grant types	Preparation, capacity or other legislative/policy-priority support as programmed; not all are available to any applicant.	Exact annual topic
LIFE.07 NGO operating support Operating grant route	Framework partnership and specific operating-grant conditions; an invitation may be limited to selected framework partners.	FPA or SGA dossier

LIFE 2026 complete central-call deadline overview | LIFE programme and work programmes | 2026 CET call fiche

Applicants Eligible public and private legal entities, including NGOs and companies, in participating countries. Natural persons are generally outside the standard applicant route.

Authority and access DG ENV, DG CLIMA and DG ENER with CINEA. Direct calls on Funding & Tenders; national contact points advise applicants.

Finance Programme scale exceeds EUR 5.4bn for 2021–2027; the 2025–2027 work programme provides about EUR 2.3bn. Grant rates differ by project type and subprogramme.

Controlling documents LIFE Multiannual Work Programme 2025–2027, Decisions C(2025)955 and C(2025)6797; 2026 call dossiers. See W08 and C03.

Portfolio evidence Over 6500 projects since launch. CINEA cumulative headline; unique organisational participants not established here. The 132 awards announced in 2025 are a separate annual cohort.

Official examples LIFE public project database. Inspect an action in the same subprogramme and an appropriate implementation period.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/783

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T04 · T06 · T07 · T08

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
LIFE Strategic Nature Projects second stage Official call or tender notice	2027-03-04; invitation-only stage	O037 Closed to new entrants Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

EU4Health

EU4HEALTH | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | EU4HEALTH

01 Components and branches

Improve and foster health; Protect people from serious cross-border threats; Improve access to medicinal products devices and crisis-relevant products; Strengthen health systems; Implementation routes.

02 Governing documents

Annual EU4Health financing decision and action annexes; HaDEA call or tender dossier. Use the current annual decision and its amendments.

03 Access → DG SANTE and HERA with HaDEA and other entrusted bodies. Programme committee participation does not itself confer grant eligibility.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Strengthen health systems, prevention and crisis preparedness and improve access to health interventions.

Best fit Identify whether participation requires nomination by a national authority. Treat the four strands as orientation categories, then follow the exact action code and recipient conditions.

Internal structure and governing documents

The four broad objectives and ten specific objectives in Regulation 2021/522 are the legal structure. Thematic priorities such as cancer, digital health and preparedness are not free-standing subprogrammes. Annual work programmes select actions and award routes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EU4HEALTH.01 Improve and foster health General objective	Health promotion and disease prevention; prevention of health inequalities and action on determinants; international health cooperation.	Annual EU4Health financing decision and action code
EU4HEALTH.02 Protect people from serious cross-border threats General objective	Prevention, preparedness and response; reserves of essential products and a reserve of medical/healthcare/support staff.	Crisis-preparedness action or procurement dossier

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EU4HEALTH.03 Improve access to medicinal products devices and crisis-relevant products General objective	Availability, accessibility and affordability; distinguish market support, studies, grants and purchases.	Product-specific annual action
EU4HEALTH.04 Strengthen health systems General objective	Health data and digital tools; access to healthcare; EU health-law/policy implementation; integration among national systems.	Annual action and HaDEA notice
EU4HEALTH.05 Implementation routes Award modalities	Competitive project grants; joint actions/direct grants to designated authorities; procurement; international-organisation contributions and other authorised actions.	A named action does not necessarily create an open competition

Legal objectives and annual work programmes | HaDEA opportunities | Regulation 2021/522

Applicants Health authorities, public and private health-sector bodies, NGOs and other action-eligible entities. Open calls, designated-authority joint actions and procurement have different access rules.

Authority and access DG SANTE and HERA with HaDEA and other entrusted bodies. Programme committee participation does not itself confer grant eligibility.

Finance Initial 2021–2027 envelope EUR 5.3bn; Commission reports EUR 4.4bn following the mid-term revision. Annual action allocations and the dossier determine actual availability. Grants, direct grants, joint actions and procurement follow different access rules.

Controlling documents Annual EU4Health financing decision and action annexes; HaDEA call or tender dossier. Use the current annual decision and its amendments.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/522

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T11

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values

CERV | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | CERV

01 Components and branches

Union values; Equality rights and gender equality; Citizens engagement and participation; Daphne.

02 Governing documents

CERV work programme and amendments; topic document; model agreement; application and lump-sum instructions.

03 Access → DG JUST and EACEA according to action; direct calls on Funding & Tenders and programme contact points.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Protect Union values, rights and equality and enable civic participation and action against violence.

Best fit Demonstrate whose rights or participation will improve, how excluded groups shape the work and how results will continue. Do not substitute broad advocacy language for the topic's expected results.

Internal structure and governing documents

Four strands are legally distinct. Call names such as town twinning, remembrance, networks or Charter litigation are actions within those strands. Operating support and cascade support have their own access restrictions.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CERV.01 Union values Formal strand	Protect and promote Union values through civil-society organisations, including intermediary regranting where expressly authorised.	CERV WP 2026–2027 and exact call
CERV.02 Equality rights and gender equality Formal strand	Non-discrimination, equality, rights of the child, disability rights, data protection and citizenship-related rights as programmed.	CERV WP 2026–2027
CERV.03 Citizens engagement and participation Formal strand	Remembrance, democratic participation, town twinning and networks of towns; partner and geographic requirements vary.	Topic-specific call fiche
CERV.04 Daphne Formal strand	Prevent and combat gender-based violence and violence against children and other at-risk groups.	Daphne call and priority conditions

CERV overview and WP 2026–2027 | CERV WP 2026–2027 PDF

Applicants Civil-society organisations, public bodies, local authorities and other entities defined by the topic. Country participation, non-profit status and consortium rules differ between calls.

Authority and access DG JUST and EACEA according to action; direct calls on Funding & Tenders and programme contact points.

Finance Action and operating grants, with call-specific lump-sum or cost rules. Regranting is possible only where authorised and has its own downstream conditions.

Controlling documents CERV work programme and amendments; topic document; model agreement; application and lump-sum instructions.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/692

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T12 · T13

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Creative Europe

CREATIVE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | CREATIVE

01 Components and branches

Culture; MEDIA → Content; MEDIA → Business; MEDIA → Audience; Cross-sectoral; Culture Moves Europe and Perform Europe.

02 Governing documents

Annual Creative Europe work programme, strand-specific calls, model agreements and programme-country list.

03 Access → DG EAC and DG CONNECT with EACEA; Creative Europe Desks provide advice. Direct calls usually use Funding & Tenders.

04 Calls and opportunities View 1 dated opportunity record and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Support cultural cooperation, audiovisual competitiveness, media pluralism and cross-border circulation of European works.

Best fit Establish the eligible organisation type and commercial or non-profit conditions first. A production company and a municipal cultural institution may need entirely different schemes.

Internal structure and governing documents

Culture, MEDIA and Cross-sectoral are the three strands. Their action families and delegated schemes must be shown below the relevant strand, with the actual operator and call year.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CREATIVE.01 Culture Formal strand	European cooperation projects, networks, platforms, circulation of European literary works and sector-specific actions; mobility and performing-arts schemes can be delivered through selected operators.	Creative Europe annual WP 2026 and Culture call
CREATIVE.02 MEDIA → Content Action cluster	European co-development, slate/mini-slate development, TV/online content, video games and immersive content where programmed.	MEDIA annual topics
CREATIVE.03 MEDIA → Business Action cluster	Talent and skills, markets/networking, innovative tools/business models and distribution/sales support.	MEDIA annual topics
CREATIVE.04 MEDIA → Audience Action cluster	Cinemas, festivals, VOD networks, audience development and film education; eligible activities and co-finance vary.	MEDIA annual topics

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CREATIVE.05 Cross-sectoral Formal strand	Creative innovation, media literacy, news-media/journalism partnerships and support to the programme's common implementation.	Annual WP and specific cross-sectoral call
CREATIVE.06 Culture Moves Europe and Perform Europe Delegated grant schemes	Apply to the appointed operator under its own current call; the parent programme's Portal notice may select the operator, not the final artist or touring partnership.	Operator guidelines linked by the Commission

2026 annual WP | Creative Europe funding calls | Programme strands

Applicants Cultural and creative organisations, audiovisual companies, networks and media actors as permitted. Country participation can differ between strands and schemes.

Authority and access DG EAC and DG CONNECT with EACEA; Creative Europe Desks provide advice. Direct calls usually use Funding & Tenders.

Finance Grants under distinct cooperation, circulation, development, distribution, media and cross-sectoral schemes. Award size and co-financing are scheme-specific.

Controlling documents Annual Creative Europe work programme, strand-specific calls, model agreements and programme-country list.

Official examples Creative Europe project lists. Compare within the same strand and funding scheme.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/818

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T12

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Perform Europe: cross-border performing arts partnerships Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-22 23:59 CEST	O049 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Justice Programme

JUSTICE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | JUSTICE

01 Components and branches

Judicial cooperation; Judicial training; Access to justice; Operating support and procurement.

02 Governing documents

Justice work programme and annual or multiannual calls; submission forms and model grant agreement.

03 Access → DG JUST and the designated implementing body for the action; direct grant and procurement routes.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Improve judicial cooperation, judicial training and effective access to justice in civil and criminal matters.

Best fit Show how the proposal changes justice practice across the relevant systems. Verify professional access, cooperation agreements and data-protection requirements for judicial data.

Internal structure and governing documents

Judicial cooperation, judicial training and access to justice are programme objectives and action families. Annual or multiannual work programmes define the competitions.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
JUSTICE.01 Judicial cooperation Objective and action family	Civil and criminal judicial cooperation, mutual trust and effective application of EU law.	Justice work programme and topic
JUSTICE.02 Judicial training Objective and action family	Training and exchanges for relevant justice professionals.	Training topic, target groups and geographic rules
JUSTICE.03 Access to justice Objective and action family	Effective access, victims' rights and appropriate digital tools.	Access-to-justice topic or procurement
JUSTICE.04 Operating support and procurement Delivery routes	Selected networks or services under the financing decision.	Framework, operating-grant or tender conditions

Justice programme

Applicants Judicial authorities, training bodies, legal and civil-society organisations and eligible consortia. Participation follows the programme's country arrangements, not every EU policy instrument's list.

Authority and access DG JUST and the designated implementing body for the action; direct grant and procurement routes.

Finance Call-specific project and operating grants or contracts. The budget, rate and cost model must be established at topic level.

Controlling documents Justice work programme and annual or multiannual calls; submission forms and model grant agreement.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/693

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T13 · T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Pericles IV

PERICLES | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | PERICLES

01 Components and branches

Information exchange and cooperation; Technical, scientific and operational assistance; Equipment and external-country cooperation.

02 Governing documents

2026 Annual Work Programme, Commission Decision C(2026)479 final of 30 January 2026; individual calls and dossiers.

03 Access → DG ECFIN and competent authorities; direct grants and Commission-initiated actions.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Protect euro banknotes and coins against counterfeiting through professional exchange, training and specialised assistance.

Best fit Start with the competent authority's operational need and cross-border benefit. General entrepreneurship or financial-literacy projects do not fit this mandate.

Internal structure and governing documents

Pericles IV protects the euro against counterfeiting. General fraud prevention belongs to other instruments.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
PERICLES.01 Information exchange and cooperation Eligible action	Workshops, exchanges and cooperation among competent bodies.	2026 work programme and notice
PERICLES.02 Technical, scientific and operational assistance Eligible action	Specialised assistance within anti-counterfeiting competence.	Work programme eligibility and action conditions
PERICLES.03 Equipment and external-country cooperation Conditional support	Only eligible activities, countries and recipients under the programme rules.	Financing decision and specific grant terms

Pericles IV and 2026 work programme

Applicants Competent national authorities and participating anti-counterfeiting services. This is a specialist administrative cooperation programme.

Authority and access DG ECFIN and competent authorities; direct grants and Commission-initiated actions.

Finance A little over EUR 6m for 2021–2027, not the EUR 160m shown in the previous Atlas. Co-financing and exceptional rates follow the annual call.

Controlling documents 2026 Annual Work Programme, Commission Decision C(2026)479 final of 30 January 2026; individual calls and dossiers.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/840; Regulation (EU) 2021/1696

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Technical Support Instrument

TSI | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | TSI

01 Components and branches

Member State requests; Country and multi-country reform support; Flagship projects; Service-provider opportunities.

02 Governing documents

Annual TSI request cycle, national coordination instructions, approved support measures and subsequent provider contracts.

03 Access → Commission reform-support services and contracted or entrusted providers. Direct and indirect delivery; requests are not ordinary NGO grant applications.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Provide expertise to help Member States design and implement public reforms.

Best fit Define the reform problem, political ownership, staff availability and implementation decisions. A request for help does not remove the need for beneficiary resources to implement the reform.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Technical Support Instrument supports reforms at Member State request. Flagship projects are thematic offers, not permanent legal subprogrammes or general SME grants.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
TSI.01 Member State requests Entry mechanism	A coordinating authority submits a request for reform support through the annual cycle.	Annual request process and assessment conditions
TSI.02 Country and multi-country reform support Delivery pattern	Expertise, analysis and implementation assistance designed with the beneficiary authority.	Approved support project and implementing arrangement
TSI.03 Flagship projects Annual thematic offer	Predefined reform themes can change between request cycles.	Current flagship description and request guidance
TSI.04 Service-provider opportunities Procurement or entrusted implementation	Consultants and institutions may deliver approved support through separate selection.	Actual tender or implementing agreement

Technical Support Instrument

Applicants Member State public authorities request support through national coordinating authorities. Experts and consultancies may participate through the Commission's procurement or entrusted delivery.

Authority and access Commission reform-support services and contracted or entrusted providers. Direct and indirect delivery; requests are not ordinary NGO grant applications.

Finance Technical expertise and capacity support rather than a general transfer of investment capital to the requesting administration.

Controlling documents Annual TSI request cycle, national coordination instructions, approved support measures and subsequent provider contracts.

Portfolio evidence 135 projects supporting 390 reforms approved for the 2025 round. Annual approval cohort; reforms are not projects or participants. All-period beneficiary totals remain to be reconciled.

Official examples Technical support project examples. Compare the reform problem, authority ownership, provider role and implementation outcomes.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/240

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Union Civil Protection Mechanism / rescEU

UCPM | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | UCPM

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Prevention; Preparedness; Response; European Civil Protection Pool; rescEU.	Annual financing decisions and work programme; capacity-specific decisions; calls, deployment and transport arrangements.

03 Access → DG ECHO and the Emergency Response Coordination Centre, with participating-state authorities and partners.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Coordinate disaster prevention, preparedness and response and strengthen shared emergency-response capacity.

Best fit Distinguish a preparedness project from a request for disaster assistance. RescEU capacity support is linked to the mechanism's operational arrangements.

Internal structure and governing documents

Prevention, preparedness and response are functions. rescEU and the European Civil Protection Pool are capacity arrangements, not general open research programmes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
UCPM.01 Prevention Function	Risk assessment, disaster resilience and prevention capacity.	UCPM multiannual WP and action
UCPM.02 Preparedness Function	Training, exercises, knowledge exchange and response readiness.	WP, Union Civil Protection Knowledge Network actions and calls
UCPM.03 Response Function	Coordinated assistance and eligible transport or operational support following activation.	Response decision and operational conditions
UCPM.04 European Civil Protection Pool Capacity arrangement	Voluntarily pre-committed national response capacities and certification.	Capacity eligibility and certification arrangements
UCPM.05 rescEU EU reserve capacity	Strategic reserve developed and operated under programme rules.	Capacity-specific decision, grants and procurement

Work programmes and response decisions

Applicants Civil-protection authorities and action-eligible partners in participating states. Operational deployments and capacity grants have restricted routes.

Authority and access DG ECHO and the Emergency Response Coordination Centre, with participating-state authorities and partners.

Finance Grants, procurement and operational support. Emergency mobilisation, capacity acquisition and collaborative projects follow different financing rules.

Controlling documents Annual financing decisions and work programme; capacity-specific decisions; calls, deployment and transport arrangements.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Decision No 1313/2013/EU as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T11 · T14 · T19

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Single Market Programme

SMP | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | SMP

01 Components and branches

Internal market effectiveness; SME competitiveness; European standardisation; Consumer protection and product safety; Food chain animal and plant health; European statistics.

02 Governing documents

Component-specific work programmes and financing decisions, EISMEA and HaDEA dossiers, Eurostat and policy-DG publications.

03 Access → Relevant Commission services including DG GROW, DG JUST, DG SANTE and Eurostat; EISMEA and HaDEA for delegated actions.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Improve the functioning of the single market, enterprise competitiveness, standards, consumer protection, the food chain and European statistics.

Best fit Separate enterprise-support projects from direct company finance. Enterprise Europe Network services, Erasmus for Young Entrepreneurs exchanges and standards actions are different operational routes.

Internal structure and governing documents

The six specific objectives span different Commission services and programming documents. The SME component continues parts of COSME, but COSME is not a separate 2021–2027 programme. An EISMEA agency work programme is not a substitute for the SMP financing decision.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SMP.01 Internal market effectiveness Specific objective	Market surveillance, competition and internal-market governance, company law and market access support.	SMP objective-specific annual programme
SMP.02 SME competitiveness Specific objective	Enterprise Europe Network, Erasmus for Young Entrepreneurs, clusters, tourism and other enterprise-support actions when programmed.	SME work programme and EISMEA call
SMP.03 European standardisation Specific objective	Standards and representation of SMEs and societal interests; many actions are restricted to designated or framework partners.	Standardisation annual programme

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SMP.04 Consumer protection and product safety Specific objective	Consumer organisations, enforcement cooperation, safety and market evidence.	Consumer-policy annual programme
SMP.05 Food chain animal and plant health Specific objective	Veterinary and phytosanitary measures, safer food and feed, laboratories, training and food-waste actions.	Multiannual WP 2022–2027 and amendments
SMP.06 European statistics Specific objective	Production and dissemination of official statistics; statistical-authority and Eurostat procurement/grant routes.	Statistics annual programme

SMP legal texts and all work programmes | EISMEA SMP implementation

Applicants SMEs and enterprise-support bodies; administrations, standards organisations, consumer organisations, laboratories and statistical authorities depending on the component.

Authority and access Relevant Commission services including DG GROW, DG JUST, DG SANTE and Eurostat; EISMEA and HaDEA for delegated actions.

Finance Grants, contracts and support to designated bodies. A common programme name does not create a common applicant class or co-financing rate.

Controlling documents Component-specific work programmes and financing decisions, EISMEA and HaDEA dossiers, Eurostat and policy-DG publications.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/690

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T03

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Fiscalis

FISCALIS | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | FISCALIS

01 Components and branches

Collaboration; Human competency building; European electronic systems; Innovation and other supporting actions.

02 Governing documents

Fiscalis multiannual work programme, participating-country arrangements and procurement or action documents.

03 Access → DG TAXUD and national tax administrations; direct implementation and procurement.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Improve tax-administration cooperation, administrative capacity and interoperable European taxation systems.

Best fit For consulting firms, follow the actual contracting authority and technical specification. For authorities, use the designated programme coordinator.

Internal structure and governing documents

Fiscalis is cooperation between tax administrations. Its action forms should not be described as open business-funding subprogrammes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
FISCALIS.01 Collaboration Action form	Project groups, workshops, expert teams and related administrative cooperation.	2026–2027 multiannual work programme
FISCALIS.02 Human competency building Action form	Training and shared learning for relevant tax-administration functions.	Work programme and participation invitation
FISCALIS.03 European electronic systems Action form	Development, operation and support of tax IT systems.	Work programme, IT planning and procurement
FISCALIS.04 Innovation and other supporting actions Action form	Studies and eligible common support for programme objectives.	Specific financing decision or tender

Fiscalis 2026–2027 WP

Applicants Tax administrations of participating countries and authorised experts or suppliers. It is not a general tax subsidy for private firms.

Authority and access DG TAXUD and national tax administrations; direct implementation and procurement.

Finance Funding supports administration cooperation, systems and capacity. Supplier payment arises through a contract, not participation in a tax-policy network.

Controlling documents Fiscalis multiannual work programme, participating-country arrangements and procurement or action documents.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/847

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Customs Programme

CUSTOMS | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | CUSTOMS

01 Components and branches

Collaboration and expert teams; Human competency building; European electronic systems; Innovation and supporting actions.

02 Governing documents

Customs multiannual work programme, electronic-system plans and action or tender dossiers.

03 Access → DG TAXUD and national customs authorities; direct programme implementation and contracts.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support the customs union through shared digital systems, cooperation and professional capacity.

Best fit Separate customs revenue collection, the Customs cooperation programme and CCEI equipment grants. These are three different relationships to the EU budget.

Internal structure and governing documents

Customs cooperation and IT support under this programme are distinct from customs-control equipment financed by CCEI.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CUSTOMS.01 Collaboration and expert teams Action form	Common operational and administrative work among customs authorities.	2026–2027 Customs work programme
CUSTOMS.02 Human competency building Action form	Professional training, common learning and capacity.	Programme participation and action rules
CUSTOMS.03 European electronic systems Action form	Shared customs systems and related IT cooperation.	Multiannual strategic IT planning and procurement
CUSTOMS.04 Innovation and supporting actions Action form	Studies, demonstrations and support where provided for in the WP.	Specific action or tender dossier

Customs programme

Applicants Customs administrations of participating countries, with contractors or experts through authorised procedures.

Authority and access DG TAXUD and national customs authorities; direct programme implementation and contracts.

Finance Cooperation and systems funding. Major detection-equipment support belongs to CCEI and requires a separate record.

Controlling documents Customs multiannual work programme, electronic-system plans and action or tender dossiers.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/444

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

EU Anti-Fraud Programme

ANTIFRAUD | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | ANTIFRAUD

01 Components and branches

Hercule component; AFIS; IMS.

02 Governing documents

Annual EU Anti-Fraud Programme financing decision and work programme; call conditions and procurement notices.

03 Access → OLAF and Commission services; direct grants, procurement and information-system support.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Protect the Union's financial interests by improving prevention, detection and investigation of fraud.

Best fit Show a concrete improvement in protection of EU financial interests. General governance projects belong here only if the action's legal and operational scope supports them.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Union Anti-Fraud Programme has three components. Hercule is one component; it is not the name of the whole current programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ANTIFRAUD.01 Hercule component Formal component	Technical assistance, anti-fraud training and legal studies through annual actions.	2026 UAFP work programme and Hercule topic
ANTIFRAUD.02 AFIS Formal component	Anti-Fraud Information System and mutual administrative assistance.	System operation, development and procurement arrangements
ANTIFRAUD.03 IMS Formal component	Irregularity Management System supporting reporting obligations.	System governance and operational arrangements

2026 Hercule calls

Applicants Competent authorities and eligible bodies for specific grants; users of shared information systems follow administrative access rules.

Authority and access OLAF and Commission services; direct grants, procurement and information-system support.

Finance Equipment, training and cooperation grants or service contracts. AFIS and IMS are programme components, not generally open grant competitions.

Controlling documents Annual EU Anti-Fraud Programme financing decision and work programme; call conditions and procurement notices.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/785

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

EU Space Programme

SPACE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | SPACE

01 Components and branches

Galileo; EGNOS; Copernicus; Space Situational Awareness; GOVSATCOM.

02 Governing documents

EU Space Programme programming, EUSPA grants and tenders, ESA procurement and component service notices.

03 Access → DG DEFIS, EUSPA, ESA and entrusted operators according to component. EU and ESA routes must remain separately identified.

04 Calls and opportunities View 1 dated opportunity record and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Deliver European navigation, Earth observation and secure space-related services and support their use.

Best fit Decide whether the opportunity concerns infrastructure delivery, a downstream application, data access or research. Horizon space topics are linked but separately financed.

Internal structure and governing documents

The EU Space Programme has five components. Space research in Horizon and secure connectivity under IRIS² are distinct funding architectures.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SPACE.01 Galileo Formal component	Global satellite navigation system and associated services.	Space work programme and Commission, ESA or EUSPA notice
SPACE.02 EGNOS Formal component	Regional satellite navigation augmentation.	Implementation mandate and procurement
SPACE.03 Copernicus Formal component	Earth observation, including space, in-situ and service elements.	Entrusted entity work programme and procurement or call
SPACE.04 Space Situational Awareness Formal component	Space surveillance and tracking, space weather and near-Earth objects.	Component work programme and implementing body
SPACE.05 GOVSATCOM Formal component	Governmental satellite communications pooling and service provision.	Security and participation conditions, implementation notice

EU Space Programme

Applicants Space industry, technology and downstream service providers, public users and research organisations through the relevant contract or call.

Authority and access DG DEFIS, EUSPA, ESA and entrusted operators according to component. EU and ESA routes must remain separately identified.

Finance Procurement, grants and entrusted implementation. An EU-funded satellite service may be freely usable without a grant application.

Controlling documents EU Space Programme programming, EUSPA grants and tenders, ESA procurement and component service notices.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/696

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T05 · T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
EU complementary timing positioning and navigation Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 1 October 2026	O034 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

EU Secure Connectivity

SECURE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | SECURE

01 Components and branches

Governmental services; Commercial services; System deployment and operation.

02 Governing documents

Secure Connectivity basic act, concession and procurement documents, implementation decisions and operator supplier notices.

03 Access → DG DEFIS with EUSPA, ESA and contracted industrial operators according to mandate.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Develop resilient secure satellite connectivity for governmental users and wider connectivity services.

Best fit Trace the contractual supply chain. A large programme value is not an open grant envelope for SMEs; assess the actual industrial procurement or service opportunity.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Union Secure Connectivity Programme supports IRIS². Its implementation combines public-service requirements, contracts and private investment; it is not an unrestricted SME grant scheme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SECURE.01 Governmental services Service layer	Resilient and secure connectivity for authorised governmental users.	Secure connectivity regulation and service arrangements
SECURE.02 Commercial services Service layer	Commercial connectivity under the system's contractual and market arrangements.	Concession and commercial conditions
SECURE.03 System deployment and operation Implementation layer	Ground and space infrastructure, service development and lifecycle operation.	Concession, procurement and subcontract opportunities

Secure connectivity

Applicants Industrial consortia, operators, suppliers and authorised public users through the relevant concession, procurement or service route.

Authority and access DG DEFIS with EUSPA, ESA and contracted industrial operators according to mandate.

Finance Public contributions and private investment within the programme's implementation structure. Downstream supplier contracts are distinct from the principal concession.

Controlling documents Secure Connectivity basic act, concession and procurement documents, implementation decisions and operator supplier notices.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2023/588

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Social Fund Plus

ESFPLUS | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | ESFPLUS

01 Components and branches

Shared-management ESF+; Employment and Social Innovation EaSI; Social Innovation Plus; Finance for employment and social enterprise.

02 Governing documents

Approved ESF+ programme and amendments, national call and selection criteria; separate EaSI work-programme and call documents.

03 Access → DG EMPL and national/regional managing and intermediate bodies; Commission and entrusted bodies for relevant direct strands.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support employment, skills, education and social inclusion, including assistance addressing material deprivation.

Best fit Check target-group definitions, unit-cost evidence and outcome reporting before choosing a delivery model. EaSI opportunities must not be confused with the larger shared-management envelope.

Internal structure and governing documents

ESF+ has shared-management support and the directly/indirectly managed EaSI strand. Social innovation may be financed through several routes and is not a third legal fund.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ESFPLUS.01 Shared-management ESF+ Programme component	Employment, education/skills and social inclusion, including youth, child poverty and material deprivation under programme priorities.	Approved national/regional programme and local call
ESFPLUS.02 Employment and Social Innovation EaSI Programme strand	Employment/social-policy evidence, experimentation, mobility, networks and capacity; grants and procurement depend on the annual programme.	EaSI annual WP and Portal notice
ESFPLUS.03 Social Innovation Plus Implementation initiative	Transnational social innovation and competence-centre cooperation through the appointed operator; read operator calls and parent authority.	ESF Social Innovation Plus operator notice

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ESFPLUS.04 Finance for employment and social enterprise Related finance route	Financial support may come through InvestEU intermediaries; avoid presenting all former EaSI finance as a current EaSI grant.	Actual intermediary product

ESF+ and EaSI | ESF Social Innovation Plus | National programmes

Applicants Public authorities, training providers, employers, social partners and civil-society organisations under national rules. People usually receive services through funded providers.

Authority and access DG EMPL and national/regional managing and intermediate bodies; Commission and entrusted bodies for relevant direct strands.

Finance Grants, simplified costs, material-assistance operations and financial instruments where authorised. National programme allocations and target-group conditions control access.

Controlling documents Approved ESF+ programme and amendments, national call and selection criteria; separate EaSI work-programme and call documents.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1057; Common Provisions Regulation 2021/1060

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T09 · T10 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Regional Development Fund

ERDF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | ERDF

01 Components and branches

Investment for jobs and growth; Interreg A, B, C and D; European Urban Initiative; I3 Strands 1, 2a and 2b; territorial tools and financial instruments.

02 Governing documents

Partnership Agreement, approved programme, selection criteria, territorial or Smart Specialisation strategy and national/regional call dossier.

03 Access → DG REGIO and national/regional managing authorities and intermediate bodies; EISMEA for I3 and dedicated structures for urban and Interreg actions.

04 Calls and opportunities View 2 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Improve regional competitiveness, innovation, environmental performance and territorial development.

Best fit Choose location before searching: the same investment may be eligible in one region and excluded in another. Test the firm's size, sector, incentive effect and expenditure timing.

Internal structure and governing documents

ERDF is a fund implemented through many approved programmes, not one Europe-wide call calendar. Policy objectives, territorial tools and directly managed instruments are different levels.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ERDF.01 Investment for jobs and growth Goal and national/regional programmes	Smarter, greener, connected, social and closer-to-citizens priorities as allocated in the approved programme; businesses apply to the relevant territorial scheme.	Approved 2021–2027 programme and selection notice
ERDF.02 Interreg A Cooperation strand	Cross-border programmes; specific eligible territories and partners.	Individual Interreg programme and call
ERDF.03 Interreg B Cooperation strand	Transnational cooperation programmes; programme-area geography controls access.	Individual Interreg programme and call
ERDF.04 Interreg C Cooperation strand	Interregional cooperation including Interreg Europe, URBACT, INTERACT and ESPON; different purposes and applicant routes.	Each cooperation programme

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ERDF.05 Interreg D Cooperation strand	Outermost-regions cooperation with neighbouring countries and territories under the relevant programme.	Programme-specific notice
ERDF.06 European Urban Initiative Direct/indirect implementation instrument	Innovative actions and urban capacity/knowledge support; urban-authority eligibility and call themes apply.	EUI call and guidance
ERDF.07 I3 Strand 1 Directly managed instrument strand	Interregional innovation investments in shared S3 priorities and European value chains.	I3 WP 2025–2027 and investment call
ERDF.08 I3 Strand 2a Directly managed instrument strand	Integration of less-developed regions in interregional innovation value chains.	I3 WP 2025–2027 and investment call
ERDF.09 I3 Strand 2b Capacity-building strand	Develop regional capacity and interregional investment business cases; not the same grant as an investment strand.	I3 WP and capacity-building call
ERDF.10 Territorial tools and financial instruments Delivery mechanisms	Integrated territorial investment, community-led local development and repayable finance where programmed.	Local strategy, intermediary mandate and scheme rules

ERDF | Programmes and managing authorities | Interreg | I3 | European Urban Initiative

Applicants Businesses, research bodies, public authorities and civil society according to the approved programme and territorial call. Smart Specialisation and state-aid conditions can be decisive.

Authority and access DG REGIO and national/regional managing authorities and intermediate bodies; EISMEA for I3 and dedicated structures for urban and Interreg actions.

Finance Grants and financial instruments with national co-financing. Regional category, state-aid basis and cost method determine the actual support intensity.

Controlling documents Partnership Agreement, approved programme, selection criteria, territorial or Smart Specialisation strategy and national/regional call dossier.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1058; Regulation (EU) 2021/1060; Interreg Regulation 2021/1059

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T03 · T05 · T06 · T07 · T08 · T10 · T11 · T12 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 1 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-12 17:00 CET	O006 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 2a Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-12 17:00 CET	O007 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Cohesion Fund

COHESION | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | COHESION

01 Components and branches

Environment and climate; Trans-European transport networks; Technical assistance.

02 Governing documents

Approved programme, project-selection criteria, environmental assessments, national call or project-selection procedure; CEF conditions where relevant.

03 Access → DG REGIO, national managing authorities and relevant CEF bodies for transferred allocations.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support environmental and trans-European transport investment in eligible Member States.

Best fit Treat capital investment and long-term service sustainability together. Explain demand, environmental compliance, ownership, tariffs where relevant and who will operate the asset.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Cohesion Fund is implemented through approved national programmes. Its policy areas are not independent central subprogrammes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
COHESION.01 Environment and climate Investment scope	Environmental infrastructure, energy and climate-related eligible investment.	Approved national programme, priorities and selection criteria
COHESION.02 Trans-European transport networks Investment scope	Eligible TEN-T infrastructure under national programmes and the CEF contribution.	National programme or applicable CEF call
COHESION.03 Technical assistance Implementation support	Programme preparation, administration and capacity under the applicable allocation.	Approved programme and procurement or support action

Cohesion Fund

Applicants Public infrastructure promoters and other beneficiaries authorised by the national programme. Member State eligibility differs from ERDF coverage.

Authority and access DG REGIO, national managing authorities and relevant CEF bodies for transferred allocations.

Finance Project grants or authorised instruments under programme co-financing and financial rules. Large infrastructure requires credible procurement and operation arrangements.

Controlling documents Approved programme, project-selection criteria, environmental assessments, national call or project-selection procedure; CEF conditions where relevant.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1058; Regulation (EU) 2021/1060

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T05 · T06 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund

EMFAF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | EMFAF

01 Components and branches

Four priorities: sustainable fisheries; aquaculture and seafood processing; blue economy and coastal communities; international ocean governance. Delivery: shared and direct management.

02 Governing documents

National EMFAF programme, local strategy where relevant, direct-management work programme and exact call documents.

03 Access → DG MARE, national managing authorities, local fisheries groups and CINEA for delegated direct actions.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support sustainable fisheries, aquaculture, the blue economy and international ocean governance.

Best fit Check vessel and operator compliance, fleet-capacity restrictions and environmental performance. A generic SME eligibility statement is insufficient for a fisheries investment.

Internal structure and governing documents

The four legal priorities apply through shared-management national programmes and a distinct direct/indirect work programme. Blue-economy relevance alone does not establish eligibility for a national fisheries measure.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EMFAF.01 Sustainable fisheries and aquatic resources Legal priority	Fishing sustainability, conservation, control and data, with exclusions and fleet-related conditions.	National EMFAF programme or direct action
EMFAF.02 Sustainable aquaculture and processing/marketing Legal priority	Sustainable production, food supply and value-chain activities.	National intervention and selection criteria
EMFAF.03 Sustainable blue economy and coastal communities Legal priority	Territorial and community-led development and a sustainable blue economy.	National programme, local action group or central call

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EMFAF.04 International ocean governance Legal priority	International cooperation and ocean-governance activities.	Direct/indirect WP and relevant notice
EMFAF.05 Implementation distinction Management mode	National calls for shared-management measures; CINEA/Commission calls or entrusted operations for direct/indirect actions.	The same priority can have different award routes

National EMFAF programmes | Direct and indirect work programmes

Applicants Fishers, aquaculture and processing businesses, coastal communities, research bodies and authorities according to route. Vessel, operator and activity conditions matter.

Authority and access DG MARE, national managing authorities, local fisheries groups and CINEA for delegated direct actions.

Finance Grants and other authorised support with sector-specific ceilings and exclusions. Direct blue-economy calls differ from national fisheries aid.

Controlling documents National EMFAF programme, local strategy where relevant, direct-management work programme and exact call documents.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1139

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T06 · T08 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Just Transition Fund

JTF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | JTF

01 Components and branches

Territorial just transition priorities; Economic diversification and enterprise transition; Workers, skills and employment; Environment, energy and regeneration.

02 Governing documents

Approved Territorial Just Transition Plan, corresponding programme priorities, state-aid scheme and call.

03 Access → DG REGIO and the relevant national/regional managing authorities. Shared management.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Help territories manage the social and economic consequences of the transition towards climate neutrality.

Best fit Demonstrate the project's response to the territory's transition problem. Location alone may be insufficient if the investment does not fit the approved transition plan.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Just Transition Fund is one pillar of the Just Transition Mechanism. InvestEU support and the Public Sector Loan Facility are separate pillars, not JTF subprogrammes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
JTF.01 Territorial just transition priorities Geographic programming	Investments must follow approved Territorial Just Transition Plans and programme priorities.	JTF programme and territorial plan
JTF.02 Economic diversification and enterprise transition Eligible action family	Productive and business development support subject to legal exclusions and State aid rules.	Managing-authority scheme and local call
JTF.03 Workers, skills and employment Eligible action family	Reskilling, job-search assistance and inclusion linked to transition needs.	Local intervention and beneficiary rules
JTF.04 Environment, energy and regeneration Eligible action family	Eligible rehabilitation and transition investment; eligibility is not universal for energy projects.	Territorial plan, programme and selection conditions

Just Transition Fund

Applicants Entities and residents in approved transition territories through programme-specific measures. Territorial coverage is narrower than national coverage.

Authority and access DG REGIO and the relevant national/regional managing authorities. Shared management.

Finance Grants and authorised financial instruments. The JTF is one pillar of the Just Transition Mechanism; InvestEU and the public sector loan facility are separate pillars.

Controlling documents Approved Territorial Just Transition Plan, corresponding programme priorities, state-aid scheme and call.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1056

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T03 · T04 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Agricultural Guarantee Fund

EAGF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | EAGF

01 Components and branches

Decoupled direct payments; Coupled income support; Sectoral interventions; Agricultural market measures; Agricultural promotion.

02 Governing documents

Approved CAP Strategic Plan 2023–2027, national intervention rules, annual applications and paying-agency controls; separate promotion work programme.

03 Access → DG AGRI, accredited paying agencies and national authorities; REA for relevant agricultural promotion actions.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Finance CAP income support and market-related measures supporting farmers and agricultural markets.

Best fit Check active-farmer, land, conditionality and intervention requirements. CAP eligibility cannot be determined from an organisation's legal form alone.

Internal structure and governing documents

EAGF and EAFRD are financing funds of the CAP. From 2023, CAP Strategic Plans combine interventions under both funds. Direct payment applications are not Horizon-style competitive project calls.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EAGF.01 Decoupled direct payments Intervention family	Basic income support, redistributive support, complementary support for young farmers and eco-schemes subject to national CAP choices and conditions.	CAP Strategic Plan and paying-agency campaign
EAGF.02 Coupled income support Intervention family	Support linked to eligible agricultural sectors/production; country implementation and farmer conditions matter.	National intervention and annual application rules
EAGF.03 Sectoral interventions Intervention family	Fruit and vegetables, apiculture, wine, hops, olive oil/table olives and other authorised sectors where selected.	Approved CAP Plan and sector scheme
EAGF.04 Agricultural market measures Market-support instruments	Intervention, storage and exceptional measures under the common market organisation; triggers and eligible operators are specific.	Applicable regulation and national implementation notice

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EAGF.05 Agricultural promotion Separate legal action supported by agricultural budget	SIMPLE and MULTI promotion programmes with a separate annual WP and call; not a CAP-plan farmer-payment application.	Promotion annual WP and REA call

EAGF | CAP Strategic Plans by country | Agricultural promotion

Applicants Farmers and eligible agricultural organisations under national and EU conditions; promotion schemes have their own applicant definitions.

Authority and access DG AGRI, accredited paying agencies and national authorities; REA for relevant agricultural promotion actions.

Finance Area-, entitlement- or intervention-based support and specific grants. These are not generally project competitions comparable to Horizon Europe.

Controlling documents Approved CAP Strategic Plan 2023–2027, national intervention rules, annual applications and paying-agency controls; separate promotion work programme.

Official examples CAP Network project and practice database. Distinguish investment projects from annual farm-payment beneficiaries.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulations (EU) 2021/2115 and 2021/2116; market-specific legislation

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T07

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development

EAFRD | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | EAFRD

01 Components and branches

Environmental and climate commitments; natural constraints; mandatory-requirement disadvantages; investment; young/new farmers and rural start-up; risk management; cooperation; knowledge exchange.

02 Governing documents

CAP Strategic Plan 2023–2027 and amendments, rural intervention fiche, national/regional or local-action-group call; legacy programme closure rules where applicable.

03 Access → DG AGRI, national/regional authorities, paying agencies and LEADER local action groups. Shared management.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support rural investment, environmental management, farm development and rural community capacity.

Best fit Identify the intervention code and local selection body. LEADER projects must fit the local development strategy; a rural address does not automatically establish eligibility.

Internal structure and governing documents

The eight rural-development intervention types below follow the CAP Strategic Plan framework. A Member State chooses and specifies interventions; not every type is open in every region or year.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EAFRD.01 Environmental climate and management commitments Intervention type	Voluntary commitments beyond the relevant baseline with specific land, beneficiary and payment conditions.	CAP Plan Article 70 intervention
EAFRD.02 Natural or other area-specific constraints Intervention type	Compensatory support in designated areas.	CAP Plan Article 71 intervention
EAFRD.03 Area-specific disadvantages from mandatory requirements Intervention type	Specified Natura 2000/water-policy-related disadvantages under applicable conditions.	CAP Plan Article 72 intervention

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EAFRD.04 Investments Intervention type	Farm, forestry, environmental, infrastructure or rural investments permitted by the intervention.	CAP Plan Article 73 scheme and local call
EAFRD.05 Young and new farmers and rural business start-up Intervention type	Establishment and start-up support under national definitions and business-plan conditions.	CAP Plan Article 75 intervention
EAFRD.06 Risk-management tools Intervention type	Insurance and mutual-fund-type tools as selected by the Member State.	CAP Plan Article 76 intervention
EAFRD.07 Cooperation Intervention type	EIP-AGRI Operational Groups, LEADER and other cooperation; local action groups and specific partnerships have different calls.	CAP Plan Article 77 intervention and local strategy
EAFRD.08 Knowledge exchange and information Intervention type	Advice, training, demonstration and information under provider and beneficiary conditions.	CAP Plan Article 78 intervention

CAP Strategic Plan Regulation 2021/2115 | CAP Plans | EU CAP Network project examples

Applicants Farmers, rural enterprises, municipalities, community groups and other eligible rural actors according to intervention and location.

Authority and access DG AGRI, national/regional authorities, paying agencies and LEADER local action groups. Shared management.

Finance Grants, area-based commitments and authorised financial instruments. Co-financing, aid ceilings and selection vary between interventions.

Controlling documents CAP Strategic Plan 2023–2027 and amendments, rural intervention fiche, national/regional or local-action-group call; legacy programme closure rules where applicable.

Official examples CAP Network project and practice database. Distinguish investment projects from annual farm-payment beneficiaries.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulations (EU) 2021/2115 and 2021/2116

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T06 · T07 · T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund

AMIF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 4

Programme map | AMIF

01 Components and branches

Asylum; Legal migration and integration; Return; Solidarity and responsibility sharing; Thematic Facility.

02 Governing documents

National AMIF programme or Thematic Facility work programme, financing decision, call and applicable reporting rules.

03 Access → DG HOME, national authorities and entrusted partners. Shared, direct or indirect management depending on action.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support asylum systems, lawful migration and integration, return and solidarity in migration management.

Best fit Match the applicant role, migrant target group and service to the actual measure. National integration funding and central Union-action calls require separate searches.

Internal structure and governing documents

National programmes and the Thematic Facility are distinct delivery channels. Specific actions, Union actions and emergency assistance must be traced to their own decisions.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
AMIF.01 Asylum Specific objective	Common European Asylum System and reception-related capacity.	Approved national programme or Thematic Facility action
AMIF.02 Legal migration and integration Specific objective	Eligible migration and third-country national integration actions.	National programme or central topic
AMIF.03 Return Specific objective	Effective, safe and dignified return and reintegration within applicable safeguards.	National programme or financing decision
AMIF.04 Solidarity and responsibility sharing Specific objective	Cooperation and solidarity measures under the instrument.	Allocation, specific action or Union action

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
AMIF.05 Thematic Facility Financing channel	Union actions, emergency assistance and specific actions; access can be restricted.	Current facility work programme and amendments

AMIF programme and documents

Applicants Authorities, international organisations, NGOs and other eligible service providers under the chosen action. Target groups and eligible services are precisely defined.

Authority and access DG HOME, national authorities and entrusted partners. Shared, direct or indirect management depending on action.

Finance Grants and other authorised support, with rates, target-group evidence and unit costs set by the programme and call.

Controlling documents National AMIF programme or Thematic Facility work programme, financing decision, call and applicable reporting rules.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1147

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T10 · T14

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Border Management and Visa Instrument

BMVI | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 4

Programme map | BMVI

01 Components and branches

European integrated border management; Common visa policy; National programmes; Thematic Facility.

02 Governing documents

BMVI national programme, Thematic Facility decision, security and technical requirements, call or procurement dossier.

03 Access → DG HOME and national authorities, with entrusted bodies where specified. Shared, direct and indirect routes.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support external border management, the common visa policy and related systems and capabilities.

Best fit Check which authority owns the operational system and procurement. Suppliers usually reach the funding through a contract rather than becoming the instrument's policy beneficiary.

Internal structure and governing documents

BMVI is the border and visa instrument within the Integrated Border Management Fund. CCEI covers customs-control equipment separately.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
BMVI.01 European integrated border management Specific objective	Border management capabilities subject to fundamental-rights and technical requirements.	National BMVI programme or Thematic Facility action
BMVI.02 Common visa policy Specific objective	Visa processing and related cooperation and systems.	National programme or specific central action
BMVI.03 National programmes Management channel	Nationally allocated priorities and beneficiary selection.	Approved programme and competent-authority notice
BMVI.04 Thematic Facility Financing channel	Union actions, specific actions and emergency assistance.	2023–2027 WP and current revision; seventh revision listed in July 2026

BMVI documents

Applicants Border and visa authorities and other action-authorised entities. Security, interoperability and public-authority mandates may constrain participation.

Authority and access DG HOME and national authorities, with entrusted bodies where specified. Shared, direct and indirect routes.

Finance Capacity, systems, equipment and operational support according to the instrument. Distinct from the CCEI customs-equipment component of IBMF.

Controlling documents BMVI national programme, Thematic Facility decision, security and technical requirements, call or procurement dossier.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1148

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T14

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Internal Security Fund

ISF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 5

Programme map | ISF

01 Components and branches

Information exchange; Cross-border cooperation; Capacity to prevent and combat crime; Thematic Facility.

02 Governing documents

National ISF programme, Thematic Facility work programme, call conditions and security requirements.

03 Access → DG HOME and national authorities; shared, direct and indirect management as authorised.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Strengthen prevention and combating of crime, terrorism and cybercrime and improve security cooperation.

Best fit Separate internal-security implementation from Horizon civil-security research and EDF defence R&D. The same technology may face different eligibility and ownership rules in each route.

Internal structure and governing documents

ISF finances internal-security capacity. Security research under Horizon and defence under EDF are separate programmes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ISF.01 Information exchange Specific objective	Relevant information exchange between competent authorities.	National programme or Thematic Facility action
ISF.02 Cross-border cooperation Specific objective	Joint cooperation in prevention and combating serious crime and terrorism.	Specific action and participant conditions
ISF.03 Capacity to prevent and combat crime Specific objective	Eligible capability, training and prevention measures.	National selection or Union action
ISF.04 Thematic Facility Financing channel	Union actions, specific actions and emergency assistance.	2023–2027 WP and current revision; eighth revision listed in July 2026

ISF documents

Applicants Law-enforcement and other competent authorities, with research, civil-society or private partners where expressly permitted.

Authority and access DG HOME and national authorities; shared, direct and indirect management as authorised.

Finance Action-specific grants, equipment and systems support, operational cooperation and procurement.

Controlling documents National ISF programme, Thematic Facility work programme, call conditions and security requirements.

Official examples Kohesio plus the managing authority project list. Filter programme period and geography; national lists are needed where central coverage is incomplete.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1149

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T14

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

NDICI–Global Europe

NDICI | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | NDICI

01 Components and branches

Geographic programmes; thematic human rights, civil society, peace and global challenges programmes; rapid response; EFSD+ and External Action Guarantee; emerging-priorities cushion.

02 Governing documents

Country/regional/thematic multiannual indicative programme, action plan or individual measure, action document, financing agreement and downstream dossier.

03 Access → DG INTPA, DG MENA and other competent services, EEAS and EU Delegations; partner-country contracting authorities, IFIs and entrusted organisations.

04 Calls and opportunities View 4 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Finance external cooperation, development, neighbourhood priorities and responses to emerging challenges.

Best fit Trace the action document to the actual contracting or financing body. Global Gateway is a strategy connecting operations; it is not one universal open call.

Internal structure and governing documents

NDICI–Global Europe combines geographic and thematic programming, rapid response and an external investment architecture. EuropeAid is a legacy reference and call-number convention, not a separate fund; TED publishes procurement notices.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
NDICI.01 Geographic programmes Formal programming pillar	Neighbourhood; Sub-Saharan Africa; Asia and the Pacific; Americas and the Caribbean.	Country or regional multiannual indicative programme and annual/multiannual action plan
NDICI.02 Human rights and democracy Thematic programme	Rights and democracy actions under the thematic programme.	Thematic strategy, financing decision and call
NDICI.03 Civil society organisations Thematic programme	Civil-society capacity, participation and contribution to development.	Thematic action or country call guidelines and lots

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
NDICI.04 Peace, stability and conflict prevention Thematic programme	Relevant civilian peace and stability objectives.	Thematic action document and eligibility conditions
NDICI.05 Global challenges Thematic programme	Cross-border development challenges in the legal programme areas.	Thematic programme and adopted action
NDICI.06 Rapid response Formal programming pillar	Crisis response, resilience and foreign-policy needs under the relevant conditions.	Specific action/financing decision; often no open call
NDICI.07 EFSD+ and External Action Guarantee Financial architecture	Guarantees and blending through eligible finance institutions.	Investment window, guarantee or blending agreement and provider product
NDICI.08 Emerging challenges and priorities cushion Budget flexibility	Additional allocations; not a standalone application portal.	Commission allocation and implementing action

NDICI–Global Europe | International partnerships documents

Applicants Partner-country authorities, civil society, international organisations, firms and financing institutions depending on the action and nationality/origin rules.

Authority and access DG INTPA, DG MENA and other competent services, EEAS and EU Delegations; partner-country contracting authorities, IFIs and entrusted organisations.

Finance Grants, contracts, budget support, guarantees, blending and entrusted contributions. EFSD+ guarantees and total investment are not additive grant budgets.

Controlling documents Country/regional/thematic multiannual indicative programme, action plan or individual measure, action document, financing agreement and downstream dossier.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/947

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T06 · T08 · T13 · T18 · T19

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Indonesia CSOs Just Green Transition Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O018 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Indonesia CSOs Women and Girls Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O019 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EU China Think Tank Engagement on Green Governance Official call or tender notice	2026-10-30; time to verify	O020 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Vietnam NGO inclusive approaches and stakeholder participation Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O021 Official indexed notice; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

IPA III

IPAIII | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | IPAIII

01 Components and branches

Rule of law, fundamental rights and democracy; Good governance, acquis alignment and good neighbourly relations; Green agenda and sustainable connectivity; Competitiveness and inclusive growth; Territorial and cross-border cooperation; IPARD III.

02 Governing documents

IPA III Programming Framework 2021–2027, action documents and financing agreements, country IPARD programmes and cross-border programme manuals.

03 Access → DG ENEST and EU Delegations, national contracting authorities, entrusted agencies and financial institutions according to agreement.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Prepare beneficiaries for EU membership through reforms, institutional capacity and socio-economic convergence.

Best fit Start with the beneficiary country and financing agreement. A contractor, a grant applicant and a public recipient enter through different procedures even within one action.

Internal structure and governing documents

IPA III uses thematic windows and performance-based programming across beneficiaries. A window is not an automatically open call.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
IPAIII.01 Rule of law, fundamental rights and democracy Thematic window	Judicial, democratic and rights-related reforms.	IPA III programming framework and action plan
IPAIII.02 Good governance, acquis alignment and good neighbourly relations Thematic window	Administration, alignment and relevant cooperation.	Country/multi-country action document
IPAIII.03 Green agenda and sustainable connectivity Thematic window	Environment, climate, energy and connectivity.	Action document, investment framework or tender

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
IPAIII.04 Competitiveness and inclusive growth Thematic window	Business, social, skills and inclusive development measures.	Action plan and grant or investment terms
IPAIII.05 Territorial and cross-border cooperation Thematic window	Relevant cross-border and territorial cooperation.	Approved cooperation programme and joint call
IPAIII.06 IPARD III Rural-development delivery branch	National rural-development programmes with accredited delivery and calls.	Country IPARD III programme and paying-agency measures

IPA III

Applicants Beneficiary-country public institutions and eligible firms, NGOs and other entities through each action; IPARD and cross-border routes impose distinct geographic and applicant conditions.

Authority and access DG ENEST and EU Delegations, national contracting authorities, entrusted agencies and financial institutions according to agreement.

Finance Grants, procurement, budget support, technical assistance and investment/blending. IPARD uses national accredited structures and rural measures.

Controlling documents IPA III Programming Framework 2021–2027, action documents and financing agreements, country IPARD programmes and cross-border programme manuals.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1529

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T13 · T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Humanitarian Aid

HUM | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | HUM

01 Components and branches

Geographic crisis response; Thematic humanitarian support; EU humanitarian partnership; Humanitarian logistics and procurement.

02 Governing documents

Annual Worldwide Decision, Humanitarian Implementation Plan and technical annex, partnership certificate/agreement and action proposal guidance.

03 Access → DG ECHO and its partner organisations. Direct and indirect implementation with field-level delivery.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Provide needs-based relief and protection to people affected by conflict, disasters and other humanitarian crises.

Best fit Demonstrate assessed needs, access, protection, operational capacity and coordination. A development proposal cannot simply be relabelled as emergency relief.

Internal structure and governing documents

Humanitarian aid follows needs and crises. Geographic Humanitarian Implementation Plans and thematic policies are programming instruments; actions normally use established eligible partners.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
HUM.01 Geographic crisis response Programming route	Assistance based on humanitarian needs and principles.	Worldwide decision, HIP and technical annex
HUM.02 Thematic humanitarian support Programming route	Relevant sector and system support, preparedness and response capacity.	Thematic decision, policy and HIP conditions
HUM.03 EU humanitarian partnership Eligibility route	NGOs, international organisations and UN bodies under the applicable arrangements.	Partnership and grant-agreement conditions
HUM.04 Humanitarian logistics and procurement Operational route	Supplies and services for funded response.	Implementer procurement; distinct from a Commission grant application

Humanitarian financing decisions and HIPs

Applicants Certified humanitarian NGO partners, eligible international organisations and specialised partners under the applicable framework.

Authority and access DG ECHO and its partner organisations. Direct and indirect implementation with field-level delivery.

Finance Humanitarian grants and contributions, with needs-based allocations and possible emergency changes. Access often depends on prior partnership status.

Controlling documents Annual Worldwide Decision, Humanitarian Implementation Plan and technical annex, partnership certificate/agreement and action proposal guidance.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (EC) No 1257/96

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T19

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Defence Fund

EDF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 5

Programme map | EDF

01 Components and branches

Research actions; Development actions; Thematic categories; EUDIS; Non-thematic SME and disruptive-technology actions.

02 Governing documents

EDF Work Programme 2026 and amendment 1, call topic descriptions and security/ownership annexes.

03 Access → DG DEFIS and designated implementing arrangements; Funding & Tenders for central calls.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support collaborative defence research and development and strengthen the European defence industrial base.

Best fit Resolve ownership and control, classified-information handling and the industrial consortium before writing. National defence demand and credible exploitation are material, but not substitutes for eligibility.

Internal structure and governing documents

EDF has research and development actions, thematic categories and cross-cutting schemes. Annual topics control eligibility and restrictions. The following is a funding and governance map, not technical defence-development guidance.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EDF.01 Research actions Formal action category	Collaborative defence research under annual topics.	EDF 2026 WP, topic and general conditions
EDF.02 Development actions Formal action category	Collaborative development with action-specific co-financing and eligible activity rules.	Annual development topic and financing conditions
EDF.03 Thematic categories Annual programming layer	Capability-related categories are set in the annual WP; do not treat their names as permanent subprogrammes.	Current topic list and classification/security restrictions
EDF.04 EUDIS Innovation scheme	Measures supporting defence innovation, SMEs and ecosystem access within EDF implementation.	Specific challenge, acceleration or support-action rules

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EDF.05 Non-thematic SME and disruptive-technology actions Topic families	Distinct eligibility and consortium provisions can apply.	Individual topic conditions

EDF official programme and annual work programmes

Applicants Eligible entities in Member States and associated countries, subject to establishment, ownership/control, security and consortium rules. Product and technology eligibility is tightly defined.

Authority and access DG DEFIS and designated implementing arrangements; Funding & Tenders for central calls.

Finance 2026 work programme: approximately EUR 1bn. Research and development activities have different rates and bonuses; procurement orders are separate from R&D awards.

Controlling documents EDF Work Programme 2026 and amendment 1, call topic descriptions and security/ownership annexes. See W10.

Portfolio evidence 57 projects selected in the 2025 call results. Announced 15 Apr 2026; selection is not identical to completed grant signature. Programme-wide participants not established here.

Official examples EDF results and individual project factsheets. Factsheets show awarded designs; sensitive technical proposals may not be public.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/697

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

The checked 2026 EDF deadline was 29 September 2026; that round is closed at this cut-off.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Research Fund for Coal and Steel

RFCS | 2026–2027 | Related

Programme map | RFCS

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Coal research; Steel research; Annual and Big Tickets competitions.	RFCS legal decisions, annual information package, research priorities and topic-specific grant conditions.

03 Access → DG RTD and REA for relevant calls; research committees and technical groups support programme governance.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support research and innovation in coal and steel, including decarbonisation and the transition of former coal activities.

Best fit Identify whether the proposal is research, pilot or demonstration and how it meets the specific industrial transition objectives. Check the cost and funding rate for that category.

Internal structure and governing documents

Coal and steel are the two research sectors. Annual calls distinguish bottom-up and larger technology-transition actions; neither is part of an ordinary Horizon cluster.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
RFCS.01 Coal research Sector	Just transition, mine management and relevant coal-region research under legal objectives.	RFCS 2026 WP and coal topic
RFCS.02 Steel research Sector	Low-carbon steel and relevant industrial research.	RFCS 2026 WP and steel topic
RFCS.03 Annual and Big Tickets competitions Call forms	Distinct action conditions, scale and topic scope.	Exact 2026 notice; the main September 2026 deadline has passed

RFCS and 2026 WP

Applicants Universities, research bodies and industry under the relevant call. Coal-transition and steel-demonstration proposals need different technical evidence.

Authority and access DG RTD and REA for relevant calls; research committees and technical groups support programme governance.

Finance Financed from the assets and returns associated with the former ECSC, under its own legal framework. Do not count it as a Horizon component.

Controlling documents RFCS legal decisions, annual information package, research priorities and topic-specific grant conditions.

Official examples CORDIS and programme dashboard. Search the instrument and component, then inspect public reports, outputs and beneficiaries.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Decisions 2008/376/EC and 2008/389/EC as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T01 · T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

The checked September 2026 research deadline has passed. Use the official programme register for later notices.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Euratom Research and Training Programme

EURATOM | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | EURATOM

01 Components and branches

Fusion research; Fission, safety, security and radiation protection; JRC direct actions; Education, training and mobility.

02 Governing documents

Council Regulation (Euratom) 2025/1304 for 2026–2027; Euratom work programme and JRC direct-action documents.

03 Access → DG RTD, JRC and entrusted research bodies according to action. ITER and Fusion for Energy have a separately identified financing route.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Advance nuclear safety, radiation protection, fusion research, skills and nuclear scientific capacity.

Best fit Use the Euratom dossier rather than the Horizon main-work-programme annexes. Nuclear safeguards, safety, research security and specialised infrastructure can determine feasibility.

Internal structure and governing documents

Euratom has a separate Treaty basis and programme. Fusion, fission and JRC direct actions are different implementation branches; ITER construction has a separate budget route.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EURATOM.01 Fusion research Research branch	European fusion research through the relevant partnership and implementation arrangements.	Euratom WP and EUROfusion arrangements
EURATOM.02 Fission, safety, security and radiation protection Research branch	Collaborative research, infrastructures, education and training under specific topics.	Euratom 2026–2027 WP and call dossier
EURATOM.03 JRC direct actions Direct implementation	Commission Joint Research Centre research under its programme.	JRC work programme and procurement/access notices
EURATOM.04 Education, training and mobility Cross-cutting activity	Skills and mobility where explicitly covered by the Euratom programme or linked action.	Relevant Euratom or linked action rules

Euratom research programme

Applicants Eligible universities, laboratories, industry and consortia under Euratom-specific country and action rules.

Authority and access DG RTD, JRC and entrusted research bodies according to action. ITER and Fusion for Energy have a separately identified financing route.

Finance Commission catalogue reports about EUR 1.98bn for 2021–2027 research and training. The 2026–2027 extension must be distinguished from the 2021–2025 regulation and from ITER.

Controlling documents Council Regulation (Euratom) 2025/1304 for 2026–2027; Euratom work programme and JRC direct-action documents.

Official examples CORDIS and programme dashboard. Search the instrument and component, then inspect public reports, outputs and beneficiaries.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulations (Euratom) 2021/765 and 2025/1304

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T01 · T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

ITER / European Fusion Contribution

ITER | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 1

Programme map | ITER

01 Components and branches

European contribution through Fusion for Energy; Industrial and service procurement; Associated research and collaboration.

02 Governing documents

Fusion for Energy annual work programme, industrial procurement plan, tender specifications and ITER procurement records.

03 Access → Fusion for Energy, Commission and the ITER Organisation in their respective legal roles.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Deliver Europe's contribution to the international ITER fusion project and associated fusion-development obligations.

Best fit Assess qualification, technical interfaces, manufacturing capability and delivery risk. Funding for a research idea is a different route from supplying a complex ITER component.

Internal structure and governing documents

ITER support is an international fusion construction contribution, not a general research grant programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ITER.01 European contribution through Fusion for Energy Implementation channel	Delivery of Europe's obligations to the international project.	F4E annual work programme and budget
ITER.02 Industrial and service procurement Supplier route	Contracts and eligible supply-chain opportunities.	F4E or ITER procurement notice and qualification rules
ITER.03 Associated research and collaboration Separate access route	Only where a specific agreement or competition establishes access.	Actual agreement or call; not presumed from ITER relevance

Fusion for Energy procurement

Applicants Qualified industrial suppliers, engineering and research organisations through procurement and grant procedures.

Authority and access Fusion for Energy, Commission and the ITER Organisation in their respective legal roles.

Finance Commission catalogue reports approximately EUR 5.61bn for 2021–2027. Treat the EU contribution separately from total international project cost.

Controlling documents Fusion for Energy annual work programme, industrial procurement plan, tender specifications and ITER procurement records.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Decision 2007/198/Euratom as amended by Decision (Euratom) 2021/281

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Recovery and Resilience Facility

RRF | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | RRF

01 Components and branches

Six policy pillars; National reforms and investments; REPowerEU chapters; National beneficiaries and suppliers; Completion in 2026.

02 Governing documents

National plan and Council implementing decision; national schemes and contracts; Commission closure guidance. Milestones and targets had to be completed by 31 August 2026; final Member State payment requests are due by 30 September 2026 and Commission payments by 31 December 2026.

03 Access → Commission and national coordinating/implementing bodies; domestic grant, procurement and financial-product authorities.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Finance Member State reforms and investments under recovery and resilience plans, including REPowerEU chapters.

Best fit At the Atlas's cut-off, treat RRF as a closing facility. Do not advertise its historical envelope as fresh 2027 project funding. Verify any downstream obligation against the national contract and closure rules.

Internal structure and governing documents

The RRF is a performance-based facility using national recovery and resilience plans. Its six policy pillars are classifications; the national components, reforms and investments define delivery.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
RRF.01 Six policy pillars Classification	Green transition; digital transformation; smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; social and territorial cohesion; health and resilience; next generation.	RRF regulation and approved national plan
RRF.02 National reforms and investments Operative programming	Components, milestones, targets and financing in the Council-approved plan.	Council implementing decision and operational arrangements

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
RRF.03 REPowerEU chapters Plan component	Additional approved reforms and investments addressing relevant energy objectives.	Amended plan and REPowerEU chapter
RRF.04 National beneficiaries and suppliers Downstream access	Schemes and tenders run by national implementing bodies.	Actual national notice and expenditure timetable
RRF.05 Completion in 2026 Time constraint	Final implementation milestones and payment deadlines differ; do not imply new unrestricted 2027 RRF spending.	Regulation, amended plan and final-payment guidance

Recovery and Resilience Facility

Applicants Member States receive RRF support. Firms, authorities and organisations access downstream national schemes or contracts, not a general Commission project call.

Authority and access Commission and national coordinating/implementing bodies; domestic grant, procurement and financial-product authorities.

Finance Loans and non-repayable support. Plan allocations, disbursements and downstream project awards must be distinguished.

Controlling documents National plan and Council implementing decision; national schemes and contracts; Commission closure guidance. Milestones and targets had to be completed by 31 August 2026; final Member State payment requests are due by 30 September 2026 and Commission payments by 31 December 2026.

Official examples Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard and national plans. Trace milestones, targets and measures to the national plan and beneficiary data. A milestone is not a project.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/241 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Support to the Turkish Cypriot Community

TCC | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | TCC

01 Components and branches

Community development and infrastructure; Reconciliation, civil society and confidence; Acquis preparation and economic integration; Scholarships and people-to-people support.

02 Governing documents

Annual action programme, individual measures, grants and procurement dossiers, implementing-partner notices.

03 Access → Commission services for the settlement support programme and entrusted partners, including UNDP for certain implementation activities.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support the economic development of the Turkish Cypriot community and facilitate reunification-related preparation.

Best fit Use the exact geographic and legal eligibility wording. A Cyprus-wide or generic regional-funding assumption can misidentify the intended beneficiaries.

Internal structure and governing documents

Aid to the Turkish Cypriot community is a specific territorial assistance programme. Sector measures are annual actions, not general EU-wide subprogrammes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
TCC.01 Community development and infrastructure Action family	Eligible infrastructure and local development under the aid programme.	Annual action programme and financing decision
TCC.02 Reconciliation, civil society and confidence Action family	Community engagement and cooperation under specific actions.	Grant or implementing-partner notice
TCC.03 Acquis preparation and economic integration Action family	Capacity and standards-related support under applicable conditions.	Action document and procurement or grant

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
TCC.04 Scholarships and people-to-people support Action family	Individual or institutional support through designated schemes.	Scheme-specific implementing body and deadline

Aid programme

Applicants Eligible community institutions, businesses, civil-society organisations and individuals under the specific scheme or tender.

Authority and access Commission services for the settlement support programme and entrusted partners, including UNDP for certain implementation activities.

Finance Grants, contracts and entrusted contributions under annual allocations. Programme context is geographically and politically specific.

Controlling documents Annual action programme, individual measures, grants and procurement dossiers, implementing-partner notices.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (EC) No 389/2006

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Solidarity Corps

ESC | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 2

Programme map | ESC

01 Components and branches

Participation of young people in solidarity activities; Participation in humanitarian aid related solidarity activities; Quality Label; Support and networking.

02 Governing documents

2026 European Solidarity Corps Guide, annual call, Quality Label requirements and action forms.

03 Access → DG EAC, National Agencies and EACEA according to action. Organisation grants and individual volunteering opportunities have different entry routes.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Enable young people to participate in solidarity activities and volunteering responding to societal needs.

Best fit Check safeguarding, inclusion support, hosting capacity and participant eligibility. Solidarity Projects and international volunteering require different structures.

Internal structure and governing documents

The European Solidarity Corps has two strands. Solidarity projects and volunteering arrangements have different applicant and quality-label requirements.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ESC.01 Participation of young people in solidarity activities Formal strand	Volunteering projects, volunteering teams in high-priority areas and solidarity projects.	2026 ESC Guide and annual call
ESC.02 Participation in humanitarian aid related solidarity activities Formal strand	Humanitarian aid volunteering under specialised rules.	Guide, quality label and central call
ESC.03 Quality Label Entry condition	Certification for relevant organisational roles; not itself a project grant.	Quality Label procedure and role-specific assessment
ESC.04 Support and networking Supporting activities	Capacity, training and programme support under the Guide.	National Agency or central action arrangements

European Solidarity Corps Guide

Applicants Young people and eligible organisations; age, residence, Quality Label and organisational-role rules differ by action.

Authority and access DG EAC, National Agencies and EACEA according to action. Organisation grants and individual volunteering opportunities have different entry routes.

Finance Unit-based and action-specific grants and support. A volunteering placement is not a grant application by the volunteer.

Controlling documents 2026 European Solidarity Corps Guide, annual call, Quality Label requirements and action forms.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/888

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T09

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Innovation Fund

INNOVATION | 2026–2027 | ETS

Programme map | INNOVATION

01 Components and branches

Regular grant calls; Competitive bidding auctions; Project development assistance; Auctions-as-a-Service.

02 Governing documents

Delegated rules, call document, relevant-cost and greenhouse-gas methodology, auction terms, milestone and payment requirements.

03 Access → DG CLIMA and CINEA; EIB for relevant project-development assistance.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Demonstrate and scale innovative low-carbon technologies and processes with substantial emissions-reduction potential.

Best fit Build a credible project finance and delivery case. Distinguish innovation grants from competitive premium auctions; neither is a generic capital subsidy.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Innovation Fund is financed from EU ETS revenues. Regular grants, auctions and project-development assistance use different selection and payment mechanisms.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
INNOVATION.01 Regular grant calls Competitive route	Eligible innovative low-carbon projects under call-specific scale and sector windows.	Current Innovation Fund call and methodology
INNOVATION.02 Competitive bidding auctions Competitive route	Support allocated through published auction rules for defined technologies or markets.	Auction terms, qualification rules and bid ceiling
INNOVATION.03 Project development assistance Advisory route	Support for eligible projects through designated arrangements.	PDA selection and advisory conditions
INNOVATION.04 Auctions-as-a-Service Optional national route	National funding can use a common auction process under separate conditions.	Participating country terms and funding decision

Innovation Fund

Applicants Industrial and energy project promoters with eligible activities and locations. Maturity, financial credibility and emissions calculations are decisive.

Authority and access DG CLIMA and CINEA; EIB for relevant project-development assistance.

Finance ETS-financed grants and auction support. Auction revenue makes aggregate fund estimates variable; each call or auction has its own allocation and payment model.

Controlling documents Delegated rules, call document, relevant-cost and greenhouse-gas methodology, auction terms, milestone and payment requirements.

Portfolio evidence Over 210 projects and over EUR 12bn awarded by mid-2025. Historical cumulative benchmark across 11 calls; do not add later signature cohorts without deduplication. Unique participants not established here.

Official examples Innovation Fund project portfolio. Compare technology, scale, emissions method, maturity and award cohort.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Directive 2003/87/EC and Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/856 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T03 · T04

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Modernisation Fund

MODERNISATION | 2026–2027 | ETS

Programme map | MODERNISATION

01 Components and branches

Priority investments; Non-priority investments; National schemes and individual projects.

02 Governing documents

Member State scheme, investment proposal and EIB/Commission assessment records, national call and aid conditions.

03 Access → Beneficiary Member States, Commission, EIB and the Investment Committee in their respective assessment and decision roles.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Modernise energy systems and improve energy efficiency in eligible lower-income Member States.

Best fit Identify the national implementing scheme, not only the fund's EU-level envelope. Check energy-policy eligibility, emissions conditions and double-funding rules.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Modernisation Fund uses ETS revenues in eligible beneficiary Member States. Investment categories and national schemes control access.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
MODERNISATION.01 Priority investments Statutory category	Eligible energy-system and just-transition investment within the priority definitions.	National submission, EIB assessment and Commission decision
MODERNISATION.02 Non-priority investments Statutory category	Other eligible investments subject to additional assessment and limits.	Investment Committee recommendation and decision
MODERNISATION.03 National schemes and individual projects Delivery routes	Final beneficiaries access country-specific calls or arrangements.	National implementing authority and scheme rules

Modernisation Fund

Applicants Promoters in beneficiary Member States through nationally designated schemes and authorities; eligibility depends on investment category.

Authority and access Beneficiary Member States, Commission, EIB and the Investment Committee in their respective assessment and decision roles.

Finance Financed from ETS allowances. National calls may offer grants or other support; the fund is not a single central application competition.

Controlling documents Member State scheme, investment proposal and EIB/Commission assessment records, national call and aid conditions.

Official examples Modernisation Fund investment decisions. Compare confirmed investments, recipient countries, investment type and implementation status.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Directive 2003/87/EC; Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/1001 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T04

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Nuclear safety and decommissioning

NUCLEAR | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 5

Programme map | NUCLEAR

01 Components and branches

Bohunice programme; Kozloduy programme; JRC decommissioning and waste management; Knowledge dissemination.

02 Governing documents

Site plans, annual work programmes, entrusted implementation arrangements and operator/agency tender documents.

03 Access → Commission, JRC and designated implementation bodies including relevant national agencies and entrusted financial institutions.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support safe decommissioning and radioactive-waste management at specified nuclear installations.

Best fit Suppliers need nuclear-sector capability, qualification and safety assurance. Separate domestic decommissioning from external nuclear-safety cooperation and Euratom research.

Internal structure and governing documents

This profile concerns nuclear decommissioning and waste-management support within the EU. Ignalina and external nuclear-safety cooperation have separate legal profiles.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
NUCLEAR.01 Bohunice programme Site-specific programme	Decommissioning support for the designated Slovak site.	Approved decommissioning plan, annual WP and procurement
NUCLEAR.02 Kozloduy programme Site-specific programme	Decommissioning support for the designated Bulgarian units.	Approved decommissioning plan, annual WP and procurement
NUCLEAR.03 JRC decommissioning and waste management Commission implementation	JRC nuclear liabilities and related knowledge management.	JRC programme, budget and procurement
NUCLEAR.04 Knowledge dissemination Associated objective	Sharing decommissioning experience under programme conditions.	Specific work programme action

Nuclear decommissioning assistance

Applicants Designated operators and public bodies are principal recipients; commercial access is largely through specialised procurement.

Authority and access Commission, JRC and designated implementation bodies including relevant national agencies and entrusted financial institutions.

Finance Commission catalogue: EUR 626m for 2021–2027 in current prices, including nuclear safety actions. Ignalina has a separate instrument and profile.

Controlling documents Site plans, annual work programmes, entrusted implementation arrangements and operator/agency tender documents.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (Euratom) 2021/100

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Common Foreign and Security Policy expenditure

CFSP | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | CFSP

01 Components and branches

Civilian CSDP missions; EU Special Representatives; Non-proliferation, disarmament and other CFSP actions; Supplier opportunities.

02 Governing documents

Council action decision, financing arrangements, mission procurement and recruitment notices.

03 Access → Council decisions set actions; EEAS, the Commission's FPI and mission structures undertake their respective implementation roles.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Finance civilian foreign and security policy actions, missions and related international commitments.

Best fit Identify whether the opportunity is a contract, secondment, employment or grant. A mission budget is not directly accessible to any interested organisation.

Internal structure and governing documents

CFSP expenditure follows specific Council decisions and implementing arrangements. It is not a single public grant programme with a uniform work programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CFSP.01 Civilian CSDP missions Operational category	Mission mandates and associated operational support.	Council decision, mission budget and procurement
CFSP.02 EU Special Representatives Operational category	Mandate-related expenditure under Council decisions.	Specific mandate and implementing arrangements
CFSP.03 Non-proliferation, disarmament and other CFSP actions Action category	Civilian policy actions delivered by designated bodies.	Specific Council decision and agreement
CFSP.04 Supplier opportunities Procurement route	Services and supplies required by missions or implementing bodies.	Buyer notice, security and contractual requirements

EU external action

Applicants Designated mission and action entities; contractors, experts and eligible partners through mission-specific procedures.

Authority and access Council decisions set actions; EEAS, the Commission's FPI and mission structures undertake their respective implementation roles.

Finance Budget-funded civilian external action. Military operations and assistance under the European Peace Facility use a separate off-budget mechanism.

Controlling documents Council action decision, financing arrangements, mission procurement and recruitment notices.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Relevant CFSP Council decisions and EU Financial Regulation

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T19

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Overseas Countries and Territories / Greenland

OCT | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | OCT

01 Components and branches

Territorial cooperation allocations; Regional and thematic cooperation; Greenland; Emergency or reserve support.

02 Governing documents

Overseas Association Decision including Greenland, territorial/regional programming, action and financing documents.

03 Access → DG INTPA, relevant EU Delegations, territorial authorities and entrusted implementers.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support cooperation with Overseas Countries and Territories, including Greenland, and their sustainable development.

Best fit OCT status is distinct from EU outermost-region status. Do not apply ERDF, country-association or nationality rules interchangeably.

Internal structure and governing documents

Overseas Countries and Territories are not EU outermost regions. The association decision, territorial programming and regional/thematic allocations govern access.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
OCT.01 Territorial cooperation allocations Programming branch	Territory-specific priorities and implementation.	Multiannual indicative programme and action document
OCT.02 Regional and thematic cooperation Programming branch	Shared challenges and cooperation among eligible territories and partners.	Regional/thematic programme and action
OCT.03 Greenland Specific allocation and arrangements	Cooperation under the applicable association framework.	Greenland programme and financing agreement
OCT.04 Emergency or reserve support Conditional allocation	Mobilisation under the decision's conditions.	Specific financing decision

Overseas Countries and Territories

Applicants OCT authorities and eligible implementing organisations, firms and partners under territorial or regional actions.

Authority and access DG INTPA, relevant EU Delegations, territorial authorities and entrusted implementers.

Finance Grants, budget support, procurement and other authorised external-cooperation forms.

Controlling documents Overseas Association Decision including Greenland, territorial/regional programming, action and financing documents.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Decision (EU) 2021/1764

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

EU Solidarity Fund

EUSF | 2026–2027 | Special

Programme map | EUSF

01 Components and branches

Major and regional natural disasters; Major public health emergencies; Mobilisation and national implementation.

02 Governing documents

Disaster assessment, state application, mobilisation and implementation decisions, eligible public-expenditure evidence.

03 Access → Commission and beneficiary-state authorities; expenditure is implemented nationally.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Help eligible states meet public emergency and recovery expenditure following qualifying major disasters.

Best fit The country request deadline, damage thresholds and eligible emergency operations are central. Distinguish the fund from UCPM operational assistance and ordinary reconstruction investment.

Internal structure and governing documents

The EU Solidarity Fund provides assistance after qualifying disasters and major public-health emergencies. Public authorities apply; it is not a continuous grant competition for companies.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EUSF.01 Major and regional natural disasters Trigger category	Eligible emergency and recovery operations subject to damage thresholds and deadlines.	Regulation and disaster application
EUSF.02 Major public health emergencies Trigger category	Eligible public emergency measures under the statutory conditions.	Emergency application and Commission assessment
EUSF.03 Mobilisation and national implementation Budget and delivery process	EU mobilisation followed by national allocation and expenditure verification.	Mobilisation decision, implementation agreement and national procurement

EU Solidarity Fund

Applicants Eligible national authorities request assistance; final residents do not normally apply directly to the EU Solidarity Fund.

Authority and access Commission and beneficiary-state authorities; expenditure is implemented nationally.

Finance Disaster assistance mobilised under the applicable reserve and budget decisions. Compensation for every private loss is not the fund's purpose.

Controlling documents Disaster assessment, state application, mobilisation and implementation decisions, eligible public-expenditure evidence.

Official examples EU Solidarity Fund decisions and annual reports. Compare assistance decisions by disaster and recipient state; these are not general project-grant calls.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (EC) No 2012/2002 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers

EGF | 2026–2027 | Special

Programme map | EGF

01 Components and branches

Redundancy-event application; Personalised services; Allowances and incentives.

02 Governing documents

State application, mobilisation decision, approved coordinated package and national participant procedures.

03 Access → Commission and national labour-market authorities; Parliament and Council participate in mobilisation under the applicable procedure.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support displaced workers and self-employed people affected by major restructuring events.

Best fit Check the restructuring event, reference period and worker group. Link support to credible re-employment and avoid duplicating ESF+ financing for the same expenditure.

Internal structure and governing documents

The European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers is case-based support requested by Member States, not a direct application route for an individual worker.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EGF.01 Redundancy-event application Entry route	A Member State demonstrates the eligible event and proposed support package.	Regulation and national application
EGF.02 Personalised services Eligible support	Job search, counselling, training, entrepreneurship and related reintegration measures.	Approved package and beneficiary conditions
EGF.03 Allowances and incentives Conditional support	Complementary measures within applicable limits and safeguards.	Approved case and national delivery rules

EGF

Applicants Member States apply for a package serving defined displaced-worker groups. Individual workers enter through the approved national package.

Authority and access Commission and national labour-market authorities; Parliament and Council participate in mobilisation under the applicable procedure.

Finance Co-financed personalised support, not a bailout of the restructuring employer.

Controlling documents State application, mobilisation decision, approved coordinated package and national participant procedures.

Official examples European Globalisation Adjustment Fund cases. Inspect country applications, target workers, measures and implementation reports.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/691

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T10

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Brexit Adjustment Reserve

BAR | 2026–2027 | Special

Programme map | BAR

01 Components and branches

National Brexit adjustment measures; Reserve allocation and reconciliation; Residual national opportunities.

02 Governing documents

National implementation and closure reports, amended regulation and any still-operative national scheme.

03 Access → Commission and designated national bodies. Primarily legacy implementation and closure in the Atlas period.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Offset adverse consequences of the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the EU through time-limited support to affected Member States.

Best fit Confirm that a domestic opportunity is still accepting applications and that its spending period is valid. Archived Brexit programmes should remain searchable with a closure label.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Brexit Adjustment Reserve is a time-limited adjustment instrument. A continuing administrative or payment phase is not evidence of a new open 2026–2027 applicant call.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
BAR.01 National Brexit adjustment measures Eligible expenditure framework	Approved measures addressing the adverse consequences of UK withdrawal.	Regulation, eligibility period and national measure
BAR.02 Reserve allocation and reconciliation Budget implementation	Allocations, reporting and assessment under the reserve timetable.	Commission decision and Member State records
BAR.03 Residual national opportunities Conditional downstream route	Only an actual current national notice establishes access.	Competent authority's notice; do not infer availability

Brexit Adjustment Reserve

Applicants Member States are the EU-level recipients; downstream beneficiaries depend on national measures.

Authority and access Commission and designated national bodies. Primarily legacy implementation and closure in the Atlas period.

Finance A temporary reserve; historical allocation must not be described as fresh 2026–2027 call funding.

Controlling documents National implementation and closure reports, amended regulation and any still-operative national scheme.

Official examples Brexit Adjustment Reserve and national authorities. Follow national implementation and expenditure evidence rather than assuming a central project competition.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1755 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T17

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Social Climate Fund

SCF | 2026–2027 | Related

Programme map | SCF

01 Components and branches

Buildings and renovation; Clean heating and energy measures; Transport and mobility; Temporary direct income support.

02 Governing documents

Regulation (EU) 2023/955, national Social Climate Plan, approval decisions and domestic implementing schemes.

03 Access → Commission and national plan authorities, with implementing agencies and financial partners where authorised.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Address social effects of carbon pricing for buildings and road transport on vulnerable households, transport users and micro-enterprises.

Best fit Verify the plan's approval and actual scheme opening. A household's vulnerability definition and the intervention's social targeting are material eligibility conditions.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Social Climate Fund begins in 2026 and is implemented through approved Social Climate Plans. Its 2026–2032 duration differs from the current MFF.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SCF.01 Buildings and renovation Eligible investment category	Support for vulnerable households and micro-enterprises within approved plans.	National Social Climate Plan and scheme
SCF.02 Clean heating and energy measures Eligible investment category	Eligible access to cleaner energy and related affordability measures.	Approved intervention and beneficiary definition
SCF.03 Transport and mobility Eligible investment category	Affordable low- and zero-emission mobility under plan conditions.	National scheme and vulnerability criteria
SCF.04 Temporary direct income support Conditional support category	Temporary support within statutory limits and linked to the transition.	Approved plan, national eligibility and payment rules

Social Climate Fund

Applicants Member States submit plans. Final recipients enter through national measures targeting the legally defined vulnerable groups.

Authority and access Commission and national plan authorities, with implementing agencies and financial partners where authorised.

Finance The original legal framework covers 2026–2032 and sets an EU maximum of EUR 65bn, subject to applicable conditions and amendments. Plan budgets include national contribution.

Controlling documents Regulation (EU) 2023/955, national Social Climate Plan, approval decisions and domestic implementing schemes.

Official examples Social Climate Fund national planning. Use approved national plans and implementation sources when published; do not imply a mature historic project portfolio.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2023/955 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T04

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Ukraine Facility

UKRAINE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | UKRAINE

01 Components and branches

Pillar I: Ukraine Plan; Pillar II: Ukraine Investment Framework; Pillar III: assistance and support measures; Downstream implementation.

02 Governing documents

Ukraine Plan and implementing decisions, UIF mandate/product documents and downstream notices.

03 Access → Commission, Ukrainian authorities, IFIs and entrusted implementing partners.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support Ukraine's recovery, reconstruction, modernisation and accession-related reforms.

Best fit Classify the opportunity by pillar. Plan disbursement, an IFI loan and a reconstruction tender are linked but are not the same award.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Ukraine Facility has three pillars. Budget support to the state and investment through financial institutions are not open grants to any organisation.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
UKRAINE.01 Pillar I: Ukraine Plan Formal pillar	Financial support linked to reforms and investments in the approved Plan.	Ukraine Plan, payment conditions and implementation arrangements
UKRAINE.02 Pillar II: Ukraine Investment Framework Formal pillar	Guarantees, blending and investment support through eligible partners.	Framework decision, finance-provider product and project appraisal
UKRAINE.03 Pillar III: assistance and support measures Formal pillar	Technical assistance, capacity and other specified support.	Financing decision, action document and grant or tender
UKRAINE.04 Downstream implementation Access layer	Procurement, grants and finance products arising from approved measures.	Actual implementing-body notice and origin of funding

Ukraine Facility

Applicants Ukraine is the main plan recipient; companies, public promoters and partners enter through investment products, procurement, grants and technical assistance.

Authority and access Commission, Ukrainian authorities, IFIs and entrusted implementing partners.

Finance Up to EUR 50bn for 2024–2027 under the establishing regulation, combining non-repayable support and loans. Guarantees and mobilised investment require separate accounting.

Controlling documents Ukraine Plan and implementing decisions, UIF mandate/product documents and downstream notices.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2024/792

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Western Balkans Investment Framework

WBIF | 2026–2027 | Related

Programme map | WBIF

01 Components and branches

Investment grants and blending; Technical assistance; Guarantees and private-sector support; National investment pipeline.

02 Governing documents

WBIF call guidelines, project application, national endorsement, lead IFI appraisal and financing package.

03 Access → WBIF governance, European Commission, partner IFIs, bilateral donors and beneficiary-country coordination structures.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Combine grants, loans and technical assistance to prepare and deliver priority investments in the Western Balkans.

Best fit Secure project ownership, national pipeline fit and an IFI route early. A municipal concept alone is usually insufficient for a mature investment grant.

Internal structure and governing documents

WBIF is a joint investment platform combining EU, donor and finance-institution resources. It is not a substitute name for the Western Balkans Reform and Growth Facility.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
WBIF.01 Investment grants and blending Financing route	Eligible infrastructure and other investment combined with loans or finance.	WBIF call and lead financial institution appraisal
WBIF.02 Technical assistance Preparation route	Feasibility, design and implementation support through eligible sponsors.	Project submission and technical-assistance terms
WBIF.03 Guarantees and private-sector support Financing route	Relevant products delivered through participating institutions.	Mandate and intermediary product
WBIF.04 National investment pipeline Entry governance	Projects normally require national coordination and prioritisation.	National IPA Coordinator and WBIF submission procedure

WBIF official platform

Applicants Public promoters normally work through national coordination and a lead financial institution. Private-sector routes use the named facility and intermediary.

Authority and access WBIF governance, European Commission, partner IFIs, bilateral donors and beneficiary-country coordination structures.

Finance Blending platform combining multiple sources. It is distinct from the Western Balkans Reform and Growth Facility and must not be counted as a duplicate programme envelope.

Controlling documents WBIF call guidelines, project application, national endorsement, lead IFI appraisal and financing package.

Official examples EIB financed projects and implementing-partner reports. Inspect the promoter, financing agreement scope, environmental documents and reported results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Applicable grant agreements and facility/call rules; funding-source legal acts

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18 · T21

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

JASPERS advisory facility

JASPERS | 2026–2027 | Advisory

Programme map | JASPERS

01 Components and branches

Project preparation and appraisal support; Capacity building; Climate, environment and sector expertise.

02 Governing documents

JASPERS mandate, country or assignment work plan, request and agreed advisory scope.

03 Access → EIB advisory services in cooperation with the Commission and relevant national authorities.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Help public promoters prepare sound investment projects and strengthen project-development capacity.

Best fit Use advice before procurement and investment decisions are locked in. Subsequent grants or loans require separate approval and their own evidence.

Internal structure and governing documents

JASPERS is advisory support from the EIB and Commission partnership. It is not a grant fund for project capital costs.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
JASPERS.01 Project preparation and appraisal support Advisory service	Technical, economic and implementation advice for eligible public investment.	Agreed advisory assignment
JASPERS.02 Capacity building Advisory service	Institutional capability and good practice for investment preparation.	Agreed capacity programme or knowledge event
JASPERS.03 Climate, environment and sector expertise Cross-cutting expertise	Applied within the actual investment and advisory mandate.	Assignment scope, recipient and deliverable

JASPERS

Applicants Eligible public promoters and authorities under the advisory mandate and agreed assignment.

Authority and access EIB advisory services in cooperation with the Commission and relevant national authorities.

Finance Advisory support, not a cash grant or automatic financing approval.

Controlling documents JASPERS mandate, country or assignment work plan, request and agreed advisory scope.

Official examples EIB financed projects and implementing-partner reports. Inspect the promoter, financing agreement scope, environmental documents and reported results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Applicable Commission and EIB advisory mandate

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T21

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Macro-Financial Assistance

MFA | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | MFA

01 Components and branches

Country-specific loans and grants; Policy conditionality and disbursement; Downstream domestic measures.

02 Governing documents

Country legal decision, memorandum of understanding, loan agreement and disbursement notices.

03 Access → Commission, Council and Parliament under the applicable legal process; recipient finance ministries and central banks.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Provide exceptional macro-financial support to eligible neighbouring partner countries facing external-financing needs.

Best fit Use MFA to understand sovereign support and policy context. For actual commercial or project opportunities, identify separate procurement or sector programmes.

Internal structure and governing documents

Macro-financial assistance is country-specific EU financial assistance linked to policy conditions. It is not a business loan programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
MFA.01 Country-specific loans and grants Financial assistance	Support under an adopted country decision and financing conditions.	Legislative decision, memorandum and loan agreement
MFA.02 Policy conditionality and disbursement Control mechanism	Tranches depend on the applicable economic and policy conditions.	Memorandum and Commission assessment
MFA.03 Downstream domestic measures Separate route	Any national spending or procurement follows its own rules.	Actual national programme or notice, not the MFA headline

Macro-financial assistance

Applicants Partner-country states are recipients; this is not an open project-finance or NGO grant scheme.

Authority and access Commission, Council and Parliament under the applicable legal process; recipient finance ministries and central banks.

Finance Country-specific loans and/or grants. Each operation has its own amount, policy conditions and maturity.

Controlling documents Country legal decision, memorandum of understanding, loan agreement and disbursement notices.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Country-specific macro-financial assistance legal acts

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Customs Control Equipment Instrument

CCEI | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 4

Programme map | CCEI

01 Components and branches

Border-crossing control equipment; Customs laboratory equipment; National procurement.

02 Governing documents

CCEI work programme, needs assessment, grant call and procurement rules.

03 Access → DG TAXUD and national customs administrations.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Strengthen customs controls through appropriate detection and laboratory equipment.

Best fit Confirm the equipment category, authority mandate and maintenance plan before preparing a request.

Internal structure and governing documents

CCEI is the customs-control equipment instrument within the Integrated Border Management Fund. Customs IT cooperation has a separate programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
CCEI.01 Border-crossing control equipment Eligible equipment branch	Purchase, maintenance and upgrade of eligible customs-control equipment.	CCEI work programme and authority-specific call
CCEI.02 Customs laboratory equipment Eligible equipment branch	Equipment for customs laboratories under applicable priorities.	Work programme and laboratory needs assessment
CCEI.03 National procurement Downstream supplier route	Awarded public authorities buy equipment under procurement rules.	National tender and technical specification

CCEI

Applicants Member State customs authorities are the principal grant applicants; suppliers participate through procurement.

Authority and access DG TAXUD and national customs administrations.

Finance Equipment grants with specific eligibility and co-financing; distinguish from the Customs cooperation programme.

Controlling documents CCEI work programme, needs assessment, grant call and procurement rules.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1077

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Defence Industry Programme

EDIP | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 5

Programme map | EDIP

01 Components and branches

Industrial reinforcement actions; Common procurement actions; Ukraine Support Instrument; European Defence Projects of Common Interest; FAST and equity support; SEAP and security-of-supply framework.

02 Governing documents

Regulation 2025/2643 and multiannual work programme adopted 30 March 2026; individual calls.

03 Access → DG DEFIS and designated implementing bodies.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Strengthen defence production capacity, supply security and industrial cooperation.

Best fit Separate production and supply-chain investment from EDF research and SAFE sovereign loans.

Internal structure and governing documents

EDIP is a separate industrial-readiness programme, not an EDF subprogramme. Its Ukraine Support Instrument, common procurement, industrial actions and financial support have different rules.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EDIP.01 Industrial reinforcement actions Grant action family	Eligible industrial readiness and supply-chain investment.	2026 EDIP WP and individual topic
EDIP.02 Common procurement actions Grant action family	Incentives for eligible cooperative procurement.	Common-procurement topic and cooperation conditions
EDIP.03 Ukraine Support Instrument Dedicated instrument	Eligible support for the Ukrainian defence industrial base and cooperation.	USI topic, country, ownership and security conditions
EDIP.04 European Defence Projects of Common Interest Designation and support framework	Projects established through the relevant Council process; designation is not an open call.	Council act and subsequent financing measure

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EDIP.05 FAST and equity support Financial route	Finance through designated investment arrangements and intermediaries.	EIF or other implementing product
EDIP.06 SEAP and security-of-supply framework Legal arrangements	Cooperation structures and supply measures; not generic grant topics.	Regulation and implementing acts

EDIP implementation

Applicants Eligible industry and public actors under the specific action and ownership/origin conditions.

Authority and access DG DEFIS and designated implementing bodies.

Finance EUR 1.5bn for 2025–2027; programme adopted, not merely proposed.

Controlling documents Regulation 2025/2643 and multiannual work programme adopted 30 March 2026; individual calls.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2025/2643

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Security Action for Europe

SAFE | 2026–2027 | Loans

Programme map | SAFE

01 Components and branches

Member State loan support; Common procurement; Industry access.

02 Governing documents

Regulation, Council implementing decisions, national plans and procurement dossiers.

03 Access → Commission, Council and beneficiary governments.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support joint defence procurement by making EU-backed loans available to participating Member States.

Best fit Trace an approved plan to the actual buyer and procurement procedure; verify origin and eligibility rules in that contract.

Internal structure and governing documents

SAFE is an EU loan instrument for Member States supporting eligible defence-related investment and procurement. It does not create a direct grant application for a company.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
SAFE.01 Member State loan support Formal financing route	National plans and borrowing under the instrument.	SAFE regulation, approved plan and loan agreement
SAFE.02 Common procurement Implementation condition	Eligible cooperative procurement and supplier conditions.	Approved procurement action and contract
SAFE.03 Industry access Downstream supplier route	Firms respond to participating authorities' procurement or supply-chain opportunities.	Tender, ownership, origin and security conditions

SAFE

Applicants Member States borrow; suppliers access national or joint procurement.

Authority and access Commission, Council and beneficiary governments.

Finance Up to EUR 150bn in loans; not a EUR 150bn company grant programme.

Controlling documents Regulation, Council implementing decisions, national plans and procurement dossiers.

Official examples SAFE official implementation information. Trace Member State plans and subsequent procurements; sovereign loans are not direct company grants.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2025/1106

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T15

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans

WBGROWTH | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | WBGROWTH

01 Components and branches

Reform-linked support; Investment through WBIF; National implementation.

02 Governing documents

Approved Reform Agenda, payment conditions, facility agreements and WBIF project documents.

03 Access → Commission, beneficiary authorities, WBIF and partner IFIs.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support reform agendas and socio-economic convergence in Western Balkan beneficiaries.

Best fit Do not merge the facility, the Growth Plan policy and WBIF into one undifferentiated fund.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans links payments to reform agendas. It complements IPA III and channels part of investment through WBIF.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
WBGROWTH.01 Reform-linked support Facility mechanism	Payments depend on approved reform agendas and conditions.	Facility regulation, agenda and payment assessment
WBGROWTH.02 Investment through WBIF Delivery route	Eligible priority investments use WBIF and financial partners.	Approved pipeline, WBIF action and finance agreement
WBGROWTH.03 National implementation Downstream access	Domestic measures, procurement and project activities under approved use.	Actual implementing-body notice

Western Balkans Growth Plan

Applicants Beneficiary governments and subsequent eligible project recipients through defined delivery routes.

Authority and access Commission, beneficiary authorities, WBIF and partner IFIs.

Finance Establishing framework: EUR 6bn for 2024–2027, comprising EUR 2bn non-repayable support and EUR 4bn loans.

Controlling documents Approved Reform Agenda, payment conditions, facility agreements and WBIF project documents.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2024/1449

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Reform and Growth Facility for Moldova

MOLDOVA | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | MOLDOVA

01 Components and branches

Reform agenda and payments; Investment and implementation support; Downstream opportunities.

02 Governing documents

Regulation 2025/535, approved Reform Agenda, facility and financing arrangements.

03 Access → Commission, Moldova and relevant entrusted financing/implementation partners.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support Moldova's accession-related reforms and economic growth.

Best fit Identify the specific action and implementing entity before treating a reform allocation as an accessible project opportunity.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Reform and Growth Facility for Moldova uses reform-linked support and investment implementation, rather than a uniform open call programme.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
MOLDOVA.01 Reform agenda and payments Facility mechanism	Financial support linked to agreed reforms and conditions.	Facility regulation, reform agenda and payment decisions
MOLDOVA.02 Investment and implementation support Delivery route	Eligible investment and assistance through designated arrangements.	Financing agreement and implementing partner
MOLDOVA.03 Downstream opportunities Access layer	Relevant tenders, schemes and finance products require their own notice.	Moldovan authority or entrusted institution's dossier

Moldova growth plan

Applicants Moldovan authorities and downstream eligible recipients and contractors.

Authority and access Commission, Moldova and relevant entrusted financing/implementation partners.

Finance Loans and non-repayable support; distinguish the legal envelope, provisioning and investment expected to be mobilised.

Controlling documents Regulation 2025/535, approved Reform Agenda, facility and financing arrangements.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2025/535

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Just Transition Public Sector Loan Facility

PSLF | 2026–2027 | Related

Programme map | PSLF

01 Components and branches

Standalone projects; Framework loans; Advisory support.

02 Governing documents

Territorial Just Transition Plan, facility call, EIB appraisal and financing agreement.

03 Access → Commission/CINEA and EIB, with separate grant and lending decisions.

04 Calls and opportunities View 2 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Combine EU grants and EIB loans for public investments in territories affected by the climate transition.

Best fit Prepare the grant case and loan appraisal in parallel; grant selection is not automatic loan approval.

Internal structure and governing documents

The Public Sector Loan Facility is the third pillar of the Just Transition Mechanism. A CINEA grant is paired with an EIB loan; both assessments matter.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
PSLF.01 Standalone projects Current call topic	Individual eligible public investment combined with an EIB loan.	JTM-2026-PSLF standalone topic and EIB appraisal
PSLF.02 Framework loans Current call topic	Programmes of eligible investments under a framework-loan arrangement.	JTM-2026-PSLF framework-loan topic and allocation rules
PSLF.03 Advisory support Preparation route	Support for preparation does not establish eligibility or guarantee finance.	Advisory mandate and project assessment

PSLF current call and revised dates

Applicants Eligible public-sector promoters serving approved transition territories.

Authority and access Commission/CINEA and EIB, with separate grant and lending decisions.

Finance Blended grant and loan support; applicant borrowing capacity and project viability remain essential.

Controlling documents Territorial Just Transition Plan, facility call, EIB appraisal and financing agreement.

Official examples EIB financed projects and implementing-partner reports. Inspect the promoter, financing agreement scope, environmental documents and reported results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2021/1229

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T04 · T21

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Standalone projects under the Public Sector Loan Facility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-02-16 17:00 CET	O047 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Framework loans under the Public Sector Loan Facility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-02-16 17:00 CET	O048 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation

EINS | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 6

Programme map | EINS

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Nuclear safety and regulation; Radioactive waste and spent fuel; Safeguards.	Multiannual programming, action documents and tender/grant dossiers.

03 Access → Commission external-action services and entrusted partners.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Promote nuclear safety, radiation protection and safeguards in partner countries.

Best fit Separate regulatory cooperation abroad from EU domestic decommissioning and Euratom research.

Internal structure and governing documents

The European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation supports external nuclear safety. It is separate from Euratom research and EU decommissioning assistance.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EINS.01 Nuclear safety and regulation Objective	Cooperation on nuclear-safety culture, regulation and related capacity.	Multiannual indicative programme and action document
EINS.02 Radioactive waste and spent fuel Objective	Safe management within the instrument's external cooperation mandate.	Financing decision and implementation arrangement
EINS.03 Safeguards Objective	Effective safeguards and relevant technical cooperation under the legal mandate.	Action document and eligible implementing partner

International nuclear safety cooperation

Applicants Partner-country regulators and institutions; specialised implementing organisations and contractors.

Authority and access Commission external-action services and entrusted partners.

Finance External-cooperation grants, contracts and contributions.

Controlling documents Multiannual programming, action documents and tender/grant dossiers.

Official examples EU Aid Explorer and the responsible country authority. Follow activity identifiers to implementing partners, evaluations, contracts and published results.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (Euratom) 2021/948

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T18 · T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Fisheries agreements and regional fisheries organisations

FISHAGREE | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 3

Programme map | FISHAGREE

01 Components and branches

Access to fishing opportunities; Sectoral support; Implementation procurement.

02 Governing documents

Agreement and protocol, implementation programme and sectoral-support decisions.

03 Access → DG MARE, partner countries and relevant international organisations.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support sustainable fisheries partnerships and international fisheries governance.

Best fit An access agreement is not a general blue-economy call. Follow the individual protocol and implementation authority.

Internal structure and governing documents

Sustainable Fisheries Partnership Agreements are international agreements. Access payments and sectoral support are not EU-wide competitive project grants.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
FISHAGREE.01 Access to fishing opportunities Agreement component	EU access under a bilateral agreement and implementing protocol.	Protocol, opportunities and authorisation conditions
FISHAGREE.02 Sectoral support Agreement component	Support for partner-country fisheries policy and agreed objectives.	Protocol, joint committee and sector programme
FISHAGREE.03 Implementation procurement Conditional downstream route	Actual implementing measures may create supplier opportunities.	Partner authority's procurement or implementing notice

Fisheries agreements

Applicants Partner governments and designated bodies; industry access follows fisheries agreements and licensing.

Authority and access DG MARE, partner countries and relevant international organisations.

Finance Agreement contributions and sectoral support; distinct from EMFAF grants.

Controlling documents Agreement and protocol, implementation programme and sectoral-support decisions.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Individual agreements protocols and annual EU budget

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T08

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European Peace Facility

EPF | 2026–2027 | Off budget

Programme map | EPF

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Operations; Assistance measures; Implementation and procurement.	Council decisions and implementing/assistance-measure arrangements.

03 Access → Council and the facility's governance and implementation structures.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Finance common costs and assistance measures with military or defence implications.

Best fit Maintain separate accounting and legal status; do not describe EPF as an ordinary MFF grant programme.

Internal structure and governing documents

The European Peace Facility is intergovernmental and outside the EU budget. Its two pillars do not operate like public grant subprogrammes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
EPF.01 Operations Formal pillar	Common costs of eligible military CSDP operations.	Council decision, facility rules and operation procurement
EPF.02 Assistance measures Formal pillar	Measures decided by the Council for eligible partners.	Specific Council decision and implementing arrangement
EPF.03 Implementation and procurement Access layer	Authorised implementing actors procure under the applicable rules.	Actual notice and security restrictions

European Peace Facility

Applicants Authorised states, operations and implementing actors; contractor access follows individual procurement.

Authority and access Council and the facility's governance and implementation structures.

Finance Member State-financed off-budget mechanism, separate from civilian CFSP expenditure.

Controlling documents Council decisions and implementing/assistance-measure arrangements.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Decision (CFSP) 2021/509 as amended

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T15 · T19

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform

STEP | 2026–2027 | Cross programme

Programme map | STEP

01 Components and branches

Digital and deep technology; Clean and resource-efficient technologies; Biotechnologies; Skills and value chains; Sovereignty Seal and portal.

02 Governing documents

STEP Regulation, participating-programme amendments and exact calls.

03 Access → Commission and authorities managing the contributing programmes.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Coordinate support for critical technologies through existing EU funds and facilitate cumulative or alternative financing.

Best fit A seal can support visibility or alternative funding consideration; it is not a cash award or automatic national co-financing.

Internal structure and governing documents

STEP is an overlay aligning existing instruments around strategic technologies. It is not a separate universal grant programme, and the Sovereignty Seal is not an award.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
STEP.01 Digital and deep technology Strategic sector	Relevant critical technologies under the STEP definition.	Underlying programme and STEP conditions
STEP.02 Clean and resource-efficient technologies Strategic sector	Eligible critical technologies and associated value chains.	Relevant amended programme and call
STEP.03 Biotechnologies Strategic sector	Eligible critical biotechnology priorities.	Underlying call and definition
STEP.04 Skills and value chains Cross-cutting scope	Skills shortages and critical value chains where supported.	Actual programme and intervention

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
STEP.05 Sovereignty Seal and portal Recognition and discovery	Quality recognition and visibility; funding still depends on an instrument.	Seal criteria and linked funding opportunity

STEP portal

Applicants Applicants use participating programmes and their own eligibility rules.

Authority and access Commission and authorities managing the contributing programmes.

Finance Platform and amendments to existing instruments; avoid adding its headline mobilisation to the same programme allocations twice.

Controlling documents STEP Regulation, participating-programme amendments and exact calls.

Portfolio evidence Not a standalone programme portfolio. STEP seals and supported investments sit inside contributing programmes. Report seals and unique underlying awards separately; never sum them as additional EU-funded projects.

Official examples STEP supported projects and seals. Trace the seal or support to the originating programme and unique award.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Regulation (EU) 2024/795

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T02 · T03

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Pilot projects and preparatory actions

PPPA | 2026–2027 | Cross heading

Programme map | PPPA

01 Components and branches

Pilot projects; Preparatory actions; Grants, procurement or indirect implementation.

02 Governing documents

Adopted budget remarks, Draft Budget Working Document IV, financing decision and actual notice.

03 Access → Responsible Commission DG or delegated body identified for each action.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Test new policy activities or prepare future EU action within annual budget authorisations.

Best fit Search by exact action title and budget line. A parliamentary proposal is not proof of an adopted or open call.

Internal structure and governing documents

Pilot projects and preparatory actions are budget-authority initiatives. Their titles and implementing decisions change annually; they are not a stable family of legal programmes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
PPPA.01 Pilot projects Budget category	Experimental initiatives within Financial Regulation conditions.	Annual budget line and Commission financing decision
PPPA.02 Preparatory actions Budget category	Preparation of possible future action under the applicable time and funding limits.	Annual budget, work programme and action document
PPPA.03 Grants, procurement or indirect implementation Delivery forms	The action's decision determines whether there is a public competition.	Actual implementing DG or agency notice

EU annual budget

Applicants Recipients depend entirely on the budget item and implementation decision.

Authority and access Responsible Commission DG or delegated body identified for each action.

Finance Annual appropriations with specific legal and duration constraints; no common programme envelope.

Controlling documents Adopted budget remarks, Draft Budget Working Document IV, financing decision and actual notice.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis EU Financial Regulation and adopted annual budget

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

European public administration

ADMIN | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 7

Programme map | ADMIN

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Institutional operations; Procurement; Staff, pensions and related expenditure.	Institution budget section, procurement plan, TED or institution notice and tender documents.

03 Access → Each institution and authorised contracting body.

04 Calls and opportunities View 10 dated opportunity records and entry conditions. Confirm whether the relevant stage accepts new applicants.

Finance the operation of EU institutions and administrative functions.

Best fit Identify the buyer, procurement value and contract conditions; EU location or institutional relevance does not create grant eligibility.

Internal structure and governing documents

European public administration is an MFF heading and spending function, not a grant programme. Procurement, employment and institutional expenditure must remain separate.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
ADMIN.01 Institutional operations Expenditure category	Running costs and services of EU institutions and bodies.	Institution budget and procurement plan
ADMIN.02 Procurement External supplier route	Services, works and supplies bought by institutions.	TED or institution notice and tender specifications
ADMIN.03 Staff, pensions and related expenditure Internal expenditure	Employment and statutory obligations; not open project funding.	Staff rules and recruitment process

EU institutional procurement

Applicants Suppliers and service providers through contracts; recruitment follows separate procedures.

Authority and access Each institution and authorised contracting body.

Finance Administrative appropriations and contracts; salaries and pensions are non-call expenditure.

Controlling documents Institution budget section, procurement plan, TED or institution notice and tender documents.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Annual EU budget and EU Financial Regulation

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Legal services for EU Delegation and ECHO Nairobi Official call or tender notice	2026-10-05; time to verify	O025 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Procurement of a sport utility vehicle Official call or tender notice	2026-10-08; time to verify	O026 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Travel agency services Official call or tender notice	2026-10-20; time to verify	O027 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Security services Official call or tender notice	2026-12-10; time to verify	O028 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Strengthening partnership with US think tanks Official call or tender notice	2026-12-02; time to verify	O029 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Lease of copiers paper supply and maintenance Official call or tender notice	2026-10-16; time to verify	O030 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Supply of 30 night vision thermal binoculars Official call or tender notice	2026-10-26; time to verify	O031 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Access2Markets data 2027 to 2030 Official call or tender notice	Conflicting secondary dates 16 or 26 October 2026	O032 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
European Health Data Space IT systems Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 2 October 2026	O033 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Legal advice on EU and Euratom debt issuance Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 19 October 2026	O035 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Legacy and closing instruments

LEGACY | 2026–2027 | Legacy

Programme map | LEGACY

01 Components and branches

2014–2020 structural and investment funds; REACT-EU; Earlier EU research, education and external instruments.

02 Governing documents

Original legal act, award or financing agreement, amendments and closure rules.

03 Access → Original programme and contract authorities.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Keep earlier commitments and implementation visible without presenting them as new funding rounds.

Best fit Separate project implementation, final payments and new calls. Archive the original identity and link genuine successors rather than silently renaming the old instrument.

Internal structure and governing documents

This is a navigation category for earlier commitments, not a legal programme. Continued payments or reporting do not imply new applicant access.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
LEGACY.01 2014–2020 structural and investment funds Legacy agreements	Closure, reporting, recovery and continuing obligations under prior rules.	Original programme and agreement
LEGACY.02 REACT-EU Time-limited additional resources	Additional support delivered through prior-period cohesion programmes.	Amended programme, eligibility and closure rules
LEGACY.03 Earlier EU research, education and external instruments Legacy agreements	Continuing delivery, use of results and retention for earlier awards.	Original grant or contract and transition provisions

EU programme inventory

Applicants Existing recipients, contractors and implementing authorities; new access only where an authoritative notice remains valid.

Authority and access Original programme and contract authorities.

Finance Historical commitments, ongoing payments, repayments and closure balances.

Controlling documents Original legal act, award or financing agreement, amendments and closure rules.

Official examples Funding and Tenders public projects and results. Filter by programme, topic and year; open the award/project record and its public outputs.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Instrument-specific legal acts and agreements

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Ignalina nuclear decommissioning

IGNALINA | 2026–2027 | MFF heading 5

Programme map | IGNALINA

01 Components and branches

Ignalina decommissioning; Waste management and associated safety; Procurement and knowledge transfer.

02 Governing documents

Site decommissioning plans, financing and implementation decisions, procurement plans and tenders.

03 Access → DG ENER, Lithuanian authorities and entrusted implementation structures. Direct and indirect management.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Support Lithuania in safely dismantling the Ignalina nuclear power plant and managing its radioactive waste.

Best fit Follow the designated contracting authority and demonstrate nuclear safety, technical and delivery capability. This allocation is not a general open research fund.

Internal structure and governing documents

Ignalina has dedicated decommissioning assistance. It must not be merged with general nuclear research or other site programmes.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
IGNALINA.01 Ignalina decommissioning Site-specific support	Eligible decommissioning under the approved plan and legal programme.	Ignalina work programme and implementation agreement
IGNALINA.02 Waste management and associated safety Eligible action scope	Activities within the approved programme boundaries.	Decommissioning plan and specific contract
IGNALINA.03 Procurement and knowledge transfer Delivery routes	Implementing-body procurement and authorised dissemination.	Actual tender or work programme action

Nuclear decommissioning

Applicants Designated Lithuanian implementation bodies and qualified contractors through the actual procurement route.

Authority and access DG ENER, Lithuanian authorities and entrusted implementation structures. Direct and indirect management.

Finance Commission catalogue: EUR 552m for 2021–2027 in current prices. This is separate from the other nuclear decommissioning and safety programme.

Controlling documents Site decommissioning plans, financing and implementation decisions, procurement plans and tenders.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Council Regulation (EU) 2021/101

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T20

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Communication and other institutional expenditure

COMM | 2026–2027 | Cross heading

Programme map | COMM

01 Components and branches	02 Governing documents
Corporate and policy communication; Information networks and grants; Media, events and production procurement.	Adopted budget lines, financing decisions, representation or institution notices and tender/grant dossiers.

03 Access → DG COMM, Commission representations and other responsible institutions or departments.

04 Calls and opportunities Check the official access route and register. No individually verified open call is recorded in this selection.

Explain EU policies and deliver institutional information, media and public engagement activities authorised by the annual budget.

Best fit Search the institution as a contracting authority, including lower-value notices on its website. Identify the funding decision before adding the expenditure to the programme register.

Internal structure and governing documents

Communication activities appear in different institutional budget lines. This profile is a functional directory, not one legal programme with a common applicant rule.

Branch and classification	What it supports / how it works	Operative document
COMM.01 Corporate and policy communication Institutional activity	Communication of EU priorities and policies under specific budgets.	DG financing decision and procurement
COMM.02 Information networks and grants Action-specific support	Networks or information measures only where an actual call provides support.	Network framework and current call
COMM.03 Media, events and production procurement Supplier route	Services purchased against specifications.	TED or buyer tender and lot

Commission funding and tenders

Applicants Suppliers, network hosts and eligible organisations under the individual notice.

Authority and access DG COMM, Commission representations and other responsible institutions or departments.

Finance Annual appropriations, contracts and any specifically authorised grants; not a single legal funding programme.

Controlling documents Adopted budget lines, financing decisions, representation or institution notices and tender/grant dossiers.

Official examples TED awards and the implementing body. Search the buyer and CPV, then inspect awarded procedures and published technical specifications.

Official resources: Programme overview and legal framework | Implementation and performance reports | Funded projects and examples

Legal basis Annual EU budget and Financial Regulation

Related topic guides in this Atlas: T16

Calls and access at 30 September 2026

No individually verified open call is recorded for this profile in this dated selection. This does not mean that no opportunity exists. Follow the operative authority and official register below.

Official funding opportunities and access notices

Work programmes and implementation documents

Which document controls access

Direct programme actions	Other implementation routes
W01–W18: research, education, digital, climate, networks, defence, rights, health, security and institutional programming.	W19: national, regional and CAP documents. W20: external-action documents. W21: humanitarian documents. W22: financial mandates and products.

Programme → governing document → exact action or topic → current notice and annexes → application or appraisal.

The useful document is the one governing the action you intend to enter. Read the exact topic, intervention or product together with its general rules and amendments. Do not transfer a rate, country rule or evaluation model from another programme just because its subject is similar.

For centrally managed grants, keep the work programme and call dossier together. For national schemes, use the approved programme or CAP plan and the local intervention. For external action, follow the financing decision and action document. For finance, the mandate and actual product terms perform a different role from a grant work programme.

W01 Horizon Europe main Work Programme 2026–2027

Version and application Initial adoption announced 11 December 2025. The official index carries files dated 29 September 2026; that file date must not be substituted for the decision date.

General introduction; MSCA; research infrastructures; six clusters; innovation ecosystems; Widening and ERA; Missions; New European Bauhaus; horizontal activities; General Annexes.

Open the governing document or official register

W02 Horizon Europe General Annexes 2026–2027

Version and application Topic-specific deviations and later amendments control.

Admissibility, eligibility, capacity, exclusion, award criteria, procedure and grant setup. Main-WP rules do not automatically apply to ERC, EIC, EIT, Euratom or partnership calls.

Open the governing document or official register

W03 ERC Work Programme 2027

Version and application Commission Decision C(2026)4907, 20 July 2026; applicant guidance version 11.0, 22 July 2026.

Starting and other ERC schemes. The call year can differ from the submission year; apply the 2027 eligibility rules to ERC-2027-STG.

Open the governing document or official register

W04 EIC Work Programme 2026

Version and application Use the current EIC decision and amendments. The programme site labels a June 2026 PDF current, while EUR-Lex also indexes C(2026)5609; reconcile the operative version before relying on eligibility or investment conditions.

Pathfinder, Transition, Accelerator, STEP Scale Up and support actions. Exact action conditions and call identifiers belong in child records.

Open the governing document or official register

W05 Partnership EIT and JRC work programmes

Version and application Separate document families; check the relevant decision and its latest amendment.

Joint Undertaking annual work programmes; co-funded joint calls and national annexes; EIT/KIC implementation and calls; JRC direct actions.

Open the governing document or official register

W06 Erasmus Programme Guide 2026 and annual call

Version and application Call EAC/A12/2025, Official Journal C/2025/6080, published 12 November 2025. Retain guide version and corrigenda.

Read Part A, the exact Part B action and application rules together. Reconcile central calls with National Agency rounds.

Open the governing document or official register

W07 Digital Europe Work Programme 2025–2027

Version and application Main DIGITAL WP 2025–2027: October 2025 and March 2026 amendments. ECCC cybersecurity has a separate 2025–2027 WP updated in July 2026; Chips and EuroHPC have separate implementation documents.

Main deployment actions and related specialist programming. Store each amendment as a version, not as an additional programme.

Open the governing document or official register

W08 LIFE Multiannual Work Programme 2025–2027

Version and application Decisions C(2025)955 and C(2025)6797. Approximately EUR 2.3bn for the period.

Four subprogrammes and project types; annual call dossiers determine the opportunity details.

Open the governing document or official register

W09 CEF work-programme families

Version and application Three sector document families. The transport reference register lists an amendment of 5 June 2026; CEF Digital 2024–2027 has a February 2026 amendment. Energy has separate decisions.

Preserve sector, action type, network eligibility, financing source and any cohesion allocation.

Open the governing document or official register

W10 EDF Work Programme 2026

Version and application Official page lists amendment 1; approximately EUR 1bn programmed.

Research and development topics, SME/disruptive actions and support measures. Read ownership, control and security annexes.

Open the governing document or official register

W11 EDIP multiannual work programme

Version and application Adoption announced 30 March 2026, following Regulation 2025/2643.

Industrial reinforcement and Ukraine-support actions. Retrieve the actual action dossier before quoting rates or restrictions.

Open the governing document or official register

W12 Fiscalis Work Programme 2026–2027

Version and application Fiscalis multiannual WP 2026–2027, Commission decision C(2026)1480 and annex; use the current action and participation rules.

Tax-administration cooperation, systems, capacity and action arrangements.

Open the governing document or official register

W13 Pericles IV Annual Work Programme 2026

Version and application Commission Decision C(2026)479 final, 30 January 2026.

Protection of the euro against counterfeiting; grants and other authorised actions.

Open the governing document or official register

W14 CERV Work Programme 2026–2027

Version and application Official programme overview lists this document. Check the latest decision and amendment.

Four strands, planned calls and non-call actions. Annual labels must be tied to the underlying action code.

Open the governing document or official register

W15 Creative Europe Annual Work Programme 2026

Version and application Official Culture and Creativity document library publishes the 2026 work programme. Use the latest consolidated decision.

Culture, MEDIA and cross-sectoral actions, with separate dossiers and country conditions.

Open the governing document or official register

W16 EU4Health annual financing and work programmes

Version and application 2025 document located; 2026 decision and amendments not established in the Atlas. This is a verification gap, not a claim of non-publication.

Action annexes identify open calls, direct/joint actions and procurement. A consultation for 2027 is not an adopted work programme.

Open the governing document or official register

W17 AMIF BMVI and ISF Thematic Facility documents

Version and application Multiple amendments and management periods coexist. The AMIF 2023–2025 document also covers shared-management actions through 2027.

Store fund, action, management mode and covered year separately. Retrieve the newest relevant amendment from DG HOME and the SFC committee register.

Open the governing document or official register

W18 Communication activities Work Programme 2026

Version and application Official register lists Commission Decision C(2026)1 and its annex.

Institutional communication grants and contracts; link budget lines and actual notices.

Open the governing document or official register

W19 Shared-management and CAP implementation documents

Version and application Each programme or CAP plan has an individual approval and amendment history.

Partnership agreement; approved programme/plan; priority or intervention; selection criteria; national or local call. A single EU-wide annual WP is not the correct model.

Open the governing document or official register

W20 External-action programming and financing documents

Version and application Multiannual indicative programmes, annual action plans, individual/special measures and financing agreements.

Record the action-document identifier, country, implementation partner, method and downstream opportunity source.

Open the governing document or official register

W21 Humanitarian implementation documents

Version and application Worldwide Decision, Humanitarian Implementation Plans and technical annexes, with amendments.

Partner framework, geographic needs, action allocation and submission/negotiation route.

Open the governing document or official register

W22 Financial mandates and product documents

Version and application Guarantee or contribution agreement, product terms, intermediary-selection notice and final-recipient offer.

Work-programme logic alone is insufficient for bank finance. Capture risk, tenor, pricing, collateral and current product availability.

Open the governing document or official register

One programme can have many entry gates

The governing chain

Programme → component: policy objective and legal eligibility.

Work programme / plan / mandate: action scope and allocation.

Action → call → topic or lot: required outcome or purchase.

Stage / cut-off → dossier: who may enter, when and how.

Award → agreement → results: obligations, payment and evidence.

Read the actual entry stage

ERC Starting 2027: call year 2027; submission deadline 14 October 2026, exact time to check. Principal-investigator and host eligibility follow the 2027 ERC documents.

LIFE Strategic Nature: first-stage entry already closed in the cited round; 4 March 2027 is the invited full-proposal stage. A new applicant cannot enter merely because the deadline is in the future.

A future deadline is useful only if a new applicant can still enter that stage.

Preserve the official call/topic/lot identifier and the latest amendment. A call family may contain multiple topics. The examples explain access logic and do not replace the operative dossier. Snapshot: 30 September 2026.

ERC Starting Grants | LIFE calls

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: HE · LIFE

Related finance and development partners

Place related finance in the system

Investment and business finance	Preparation and cooperation
EIB; EIF; EBRD; World Bank and other development banks. Follow the actual product, intermediary or procurement route.	ELENA; UNDP and other UN agencies; embassies and bilateral donors. Follow each organisation's mandate and operative notice.

An EU contribution, advisory approval and final investment approval are different decisions.

EIB, EIF, EBRD, UN agencies, bilateral donors and embassies extend the funding landscape beyond EU programmes. Some implement EU mandates; others use their own or other donors' resources. Identify both the funding origin and the institution deciding the transaction.

EIB

Large investment finance, intermediated lending and advisory support.

Promoters need a credible technical, economic, environmental and financing case. SMEs commonly access support through a financial intermediary. Procurement for an EIB-financed project is distinct from asking EIB for a loan.

Portfolio evidence EIB Group reported EUR 100bn in financing and advisory supporting over 870 projects in 2025. These are Group-level figures, not an EU grant total.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

EIF

Guarantees and equity through banks, guarantee institutions and investment funds.

A business usually seeks a local intermediary's actual product. A bank or fund manager responds to the relevant mandate or selection process. Guarantee coverage is not a cash grant to the SME.

Portfolio evidence Close to EUR 16bn in guarantee and equity activity reported for 2025. Do not add EIF activity to EIB Group totals without checking consolidation.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

EBRD

Bank investment, business support and client procurement.

Check country and sector coverage, client eligibility and the operation's commercial and development case. Suppliers follow the project's procurement rules and notice.

Portfolio evidence 2025: 640 projects and EUR 16.8bn investment. These are annual bank operations, not a total of EU-funded grants.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

ELENA

Preparation assistance for eligible energy-efficiency, renewables and transport investment programmes.

The promoter must demonstrate a credible investment pipeline and preparation programme. Contact and appraisal form a rolling product route; support does not guarantee subsequent investment funding.

Portfolio evidence By end 2025: 206 projects, EUR 374m grants and EUR 12.7bn supported investment. Preparation grants and investment volumes measure different things.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

UNDP and other UN agencies

Country grants, partnerships and procurement under agency and donor mandates.

Distinguish a civil-society grant, implementing partnership, consultancy and commercial procurement. Read the country notice and its applicant rules; the agency name alone does not establish EU funding origin.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

Embassies and bilateral donors

Country-specific thematic funds, development programmes, cultural schemes and procurement.

Select donor, host country, scheme and applicant type. Confirm the official mission or agency, currency, local registration, project geography and current round. A global embassy-funding total is not a useful eligibility measure.

Find embassy and bilateral schemes on each donor's official mission or development-agency website; the EU Delegation directory covers EU country sources only.

Official organisation and funding entry point

World Bank and other development banks

Sovereign or private-sector finance, project preparation and borrower procurement.

Separate borrowing/appraisal from bidding to supply a financed project. Use the implementing agency, procurement plan and operative notice to find the actual entry point.

Official organisation and funding entry point | Project examples or procurement

EIB activity report 2025 | EBRD annual review 2025

Follow the operation to the final opportunity

Programming and authority	EU award
NDICI–Global Europe / IPA III / country facilities / humanitarian programming. Country or thematic programme → action document → financing or contribution agreement.	Commission or EU Delegation: grant or tender under the operative dossier. Funding & Tenders or the stated external-action system.
Partner country	**Entrusted partner**
Authorised contracting body: action-specific procurement or support. Notice on the named country or programme site.	IFI, UN or other implementer: partner grant, tender, investment or downstream contract. Implementer and project procurement systems.

EU funding origin does not determine the submission portal. Global Gateway and Team Europe describe policy or coordination. EuropeAid and DEVCO are historical names. The current agreement and notice identify the route.

Trace EU-origin funds through the implementing agreement. UNDP, EBRD and embassy opportunities may also have non-EU funding origins; label these separately. TED is procurement publication, not an external-aid intermediary.

Global Europe programming

Programme profiles in this Atlas — select a code: NDICI · IPAIII · HUM · UKRAINE · WBIF

The databases and portals you actually need

Use the portal that answers the question	
Find authority and rules EU Whoiswho; EUR-Lex; OEIL; Council register; programme documents and manuals.	**Find opportunities and partners** Funding & Tenders; TED; Delegation and implementer sources; partner and organisation data.
Inspect the evidence Project and performance databases; award notices; programme dashboards and official results.	
A discovery portal points to evidence. The operative notice and named decision maker control entry.	

Use each source for a specific question. EUR-Lex establishes legal authority. Who is Who identifies institutions and people. Funding & Tenders and buyer portals carry procedures. Project databases reveal awards and results. Financial transparency systems record recipients or spending within their own scope.

The former Participant Portal is now the Funding & Tenders Portal. EuropeAid and DEVCO are historical names still found in older records and identifiers. TED is the procurement publication service. PADOR stores organisation information for relevant external-action procedures; it is not a call database. The current notice tells you whether PROSPECT, Funding & Tenders or another channel applies.

EU Whoiswho

People and institutional responsibilities. Find the responsible service, agency, delegation or official; verify current organisational names.

Use it Search institution and function; record the responsible unit and public contact.

Scope It is not a grants database or evidence that a contact can award funding.

Open EU Whoiswho

EUR-Lex

Law and official documents. Retrieve establishing regulations, amendments, decisions and OJ notices.

Use it Record CELEX/ELI, consolidated version and date; follow legal relationships.

Scope A policy communication or proposal is not an adopted funding entitlement.

Open EUR-Lex

OEIL and Council public register

Legislative status. Track the future MFF and programme files from proposal to adoption.

Use it Search procedure number; distinguish mandate, agreement and adopted act.

Scope A partial negotiating position does not confirm final budgets or calls.

Open OEIL and Council public register

Funding and Tenders Portal

Calls submission awards and organisations. Search grants and tenders, open a topic, download documents, find partners and inspect public projects. The former Participant Portal is its predecessor.

Use it Filter status, programme, keyword and country; read amendments; use EU Login and the required participant roles for submission.

Scope The Portal does not contain every national, regional, embassy or downstream call. An open stage may be invitation-only.

Open Funding and Tenders Portal

Funding sources and databases continued

Portal reference documents and Online Manual

Operational grant rules. Locate call forms, model agreements, programme guides, annotated guidance and submission instructions.

Use it Choose programme and period, then the exact action and current version; keep a downloaded dossier.

Scope A generic model does not override call-specific conditions or the signed agreement.

Open Portal reference documents and Online Manual

Portal partner search and organisation data

Consortium discovery. Identify organisations by expertise, interests, public project history and PIC.

Use it Search topic and organisation; validate legal entity, capability, availability and conflicts directly.

Scope A public profile or announcement is not a verified partnership commitment.

Open Portal partner search and organisation data

TED

Published public procurement notices. Find competition notices, changes, lots, buyers and awards using CPV, geography and procedure.

Use it Open the current notice chain; follow the procurement-document link and submit through the buyer system.

Scope TED is a publication service, not a funding institution. Many contracts have no EU funding. Awards and prior-information notices are not open bids.

Open TED

TED Search API and open data

Bulk procurement discovery. Retrieve published notice metadata or XML for a versioned catalogue.

Use it Use expert queries and iteration; deduplicate procedures, lots and change notices; retain the EU-funds marker.

Scope ACTIVE scope alone does not prove a new entrant can still submit. The last notice and lot deadline control.

Open TED Search API and open data

Funding sources and databases continued

SEDIA public APIs

Portal data reuse. Search public opportunities, organisations and related metadata; resolve coded reference values through facets.

Use it Use the official Search API specification, save filters, page counts and capture date; retrieve full topic dossiers.

Scope Search results depend on filters and pagination. Read the linked dossier and its latest amendments.

Open SEDIA public APIs

EEAS delegations and tenders

Local external-action and delegation notices. Find country announcements, grants, ex-ante procurement and local tenders.

Use it Check delegation and central listing; distinguish expression of interest, concept note and full bid deadlines.

Scope The same opportunity can also appear in the Portal or TED and must not be counted twice.

Open EEAS delegations and tenders

EuropeAid references PROSPECT PADOR and PRAG

External-action identity and procedures. EuropeAid identifiers remain in notices. PROSPECT/PADOR may be specified for applications and organisation data; PRAG explains external-action procedures.

Use it Follow the system and manual version explicitly named in the notice, including newer Portal/OPSYS arrangements where applicable.

Scope EuropeAid is not a separate current donor. Registration in one system does not automatically satisfy another.

Open EuropeAid references PROSPECT PADOR and PRAG

Kohesio and Cohesion Open Data

Cohesion projects and aggregate performance. Kohesio shows supported projects/beneficiaries; cohesion data shows allocations, implementation and indicators.

Use it Filter fund, period and geography; follow the national programme and managing authority.

Scope Project records may concern earlier periods; neither dataset is a complete current-call register.

Open Kohesio and Cohesion Open Data

Funding sources and databases continued

National single portals and managing authorities

Shared-management opportunities. Find ERDF, ESF+, CF, JTF, migration, security and national delivery measures.

Use it Country → fund → programme → priority → authority/intermediate body → call. Record regional branches.

Scope The national page, not a central summary, controls territorial eligibility and the application system.

Open National single portals and managing authorities

CAP Strategic Plans and paying agencies

Agricultural payments and rural support. Identify the national intervention, paying agency, LEADER local group and application calendar.

Use it Read the approved plan and national call or payment rules; confirm farm, land and beneficiary conditions.

Scope A CAP allocation or plan approval is not an open application for every agricultural organisation.

Open CAP Strategic Plans and paying agencies

Interreg programme websites and keep.eu

Territorial cooperation calls and project models. Use each official programme for calls and rules; keep.eu helps locate cooperation projects and partners.

Use it Select cooperation area, participating territories and priority; check lead-partner rules and Jems or other portal.

Scope A project database entry is historical evidence, not an open Interreg round.

Open Interreg programme websites and keep.eu

CORDIS and Horizon Dashboard

Research projects results and participation. CORDIS provides project objectives, organisations, deliverables and results; dashboards provide programme monitoring.

Use it Filter programme, topic, country and keywords; inspect several comparable projects and their reporting periods.

Scope Published project summaries are not full successful proposals; participations are not unique organisations.

Open CORDIS and Horizon Dashboard

Funding sources and databases continued

Erasmus Project Results Platform

Education youth and sport models. Find project summaries, partners and public results across relevant Erasmus actions.

Use it Filter action, sector, country and theme; inspect outputs and dates.

Scope Individual mobility participants and organisational beneficiaries use different counting units.

Open Erasmus Project Results Platform

LIFE public project database

Environment and climate models. Explore projects by subprogramme, theme, country and period.

Use it Read objectives, technologies, deliverables, costs and final outcomes where published.

Scope Do not infer current grant rates from an older project.

Open LIFE public project database

Creative Europe project results

Culture and audiovisual models. Find funded projects, partners and published outputs.

Use it Select strand and scheme before comparing budgets or consortium designs.

Scope MEDIA and Culture schemes have materially different eligibility and business models.

Open Creative Europe project results

Commission Programme Performance Statements

Programme performance and budget execution. Find annual implementation indicators and links to management/performance evidence for spending programmes.

Use it Record reporting year, unit, actual versus target, scope and programme-period split.

Scope There is no universally comparable project or participant total across every spending instrument.

Open Commission Programme Performance Statements

Funding sources and databases continued

Financial Transparency System

Recipients of Commission-managed spending. Find recipients and transactions for covered EU budget spending.

Use it Search year, beneficiary, location and purpose; reconcile commitments/amount types and programme attribution.

Scope FTS does not replace all shared-management lists and does not report open opportunities.

Open Financial Transparency System

EU Aid Explorer and IATI

Development finance and project relationships. Trace donors, implementing organisations, countries, sectors and reported activities.

Use it Follow activity identifiers and implementing chains into actual procurement or grant sources.

Scope Commitments, disbursements and project budgets must not be summed indiscriminately.

Open EU Aid Explorer and IATI

EIB project database and procurement

Investment projects and downstream contracts. Identify financed projects, promoters, project summaries and procurement notices.

Use it Separate EIB own procurement from procurement by financed promoters; follow the notice documents.

Scope An EIB loan to a promoter is not a general grant available to suppliers.

Open EIB project database and procurement

EIF financial intermediaries and calls

Guarantee equity and debt delivery. Find selected financial intermediaries and calls addressed to banks or fund managers.

Use it Final recipients locate a local product; intermediaries follow the relevant mandate and selection call.

Scope A lender listed under a mandate may have exhausted its allocation; approval is never automatic.

Open EIF financial intermediaries and calls

Funding sources and databases continued

Access to EU finance

Local finance navigation. Find banks, funds and other finance providers participating in EU-backed instruments.

Use it Filter country, company stage and finance type; confirm terms with the provider.

Scope The directory is a route to appraisal, not a financing commitment.

Open Access to EU finance

EBRD projects and ECEPP

Bank projects and client procurement. Project summaries explain investments; ECEPP provides client procurement opportunities.

Use it Filter country and sector, identify the client, then follow procurement instructions.

Scope EBRD finance is not all EU-budget finance and its procurement is not a grant call.

Open EBRD projects and ECEPP

UNDP procurement and country opportunities

UN development contracts and grants. Procurement notices and country pages provide different tender, RFP, NGO and small-grant routes.

Use it Read the country notice, applicable Quantum supplier instructions, donor origin and recipient requirements.

Scope A UNDP implementation role does not establish that the underlying money is from the EU.

Open UNDP procurement and country opportunities

UNGM

UN procurement. Search UN contract notices by agency, location and category.

Use it Open the issuing agency dossier and follow the registration and submission system named there.

Scope UNGM is not an exhaustive NGO-grant database.

Open UNGM

Funding sources and databases continued

Embassies bilateral agencies and EEA Norway Grants

Related donor programmes. Country-specific small grants, development cooperation, cultural and rights funding.

Use it Build a donor × recipient-country × programme inventory; use the embassy or operator notice as authority.

Scope No universal embassy portal exists; bilateral grants must not be labelled EU programmes.

Open Embassies bilateral agencies and EEA Norway Grants

Cascade funding operators

Financial support to third parties. Projects and implementing bodies publish downstream vouchers, experiments and subgrants.

Use it Verify the parent grant, operator, beneficiaries, award cap, open dates and selection rules.

Scope The parent project end date is not the cascade-call deadline.

Open Cascade funding operators

PM2 official resources

Management methods and editable templates. Find project lifecycle, governance, plans, logs and reporting artefacts.

Use it Adapt proportionately to the agreement and project scale; use versioned official artefacts.

Scope PM2 is a management method, not a replacement for a funder's contract or procurement law.

Open PM2 official resources

European Investment Advisory services

Preparation and technical support. Find ELENA, JASPERS, InvestEU advisory and specialist preparation support.

Use it Identify an eligible investment pipeline, preparation scope and promoter; contact the appropriate service.

Scope Preparation support does not guarantee investment finance or remove permits and procurement duties.

Open European Investment Advisory services

Calls: deadlines and project design

Read each opportunity as a decision record

Eligibility and entry

Programme and action; eligible applicants and countries; current stage; deadline and evidence status.

Project design

Need, objective and expected result; illustrative work breakdown; partner and team requirements.

Source and submission

Official notice and dossier; application route; reference projects and decision checks.

The register is a dated selection. A future date does not prove that a new applicant can enter.

Checked at 30 September 2026. This register is an expanded, dated selection, not an exhaustive live census of EU, national, regional, Delegation and intermediary competitions. Each record states its evidence and entry status. A programme with no listed call has not been certified as having no open opportunities.

An official summary verifies only what it states. Budget, rates, minimum consortium, page limits, scoring, annexes and exceptions require the current topic dossier. Where a field is unconfirmed, it remains explicit. The WBS and team designs below are editorial examples: they are neither official requirements nor copies of successful proposals. Timings, effort and cost must be developed from the actual intervention.

Read the access labels correctly

Label	Decision
Official notice verified	The public official notice supports the stated date and route; read the complete dossier before bidding.
Overview / dossier incomplete	Use for shortlisting. Resolve missing conditions before a go/no-go decision.
Future date / unverified lead	Do not assume the competition is open or accessible; verify the exact procurement stage.
Closed to new entrants	An invited stage may remain, but a new applicant cannot start here.
Planned / rolling	A plan is not open entry; a rolling product still requires current availability and appraisal.

Deadline index

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Youth participation activities Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST	O058 Official 2026 Guide deadline verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Youth Exchanges Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST	O059 Official 2026 Guide deadline verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Small-scale Partnerships: optional additional round Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round	O060 Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cooperation Partnerships: optional second round Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round	O061 Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EDIC Support Hub Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O010 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Digital solutions for regulatory compliance Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O011 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Information integrity research support framework Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O012 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Digital Europe dissemination and exploitation support Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels	O013 Official overview verified; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Deploying health-record exchange and EHDS capacity Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 CEST	O008 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Network of Safer Internet Centres Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-01 17:00 CEST	O009 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Catalytic NGO monitoring and evaluation Official call or tender notice	2026-10-02 17:00; timezone not explicit	O022 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Community led regular grants Official call or tender notice	2026-10-02 17:00; timezone not explicit	O023 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Legal services for EU Delegation and ECHO Nairobi Official call or tender notice	2026-10-05; time to verify	O025 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Core network: inland waterways Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O050 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Core network: rail Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O051 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cohesion envelope: rail Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O052 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Military mobility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O053 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
European Maritime Space and inland ports Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O054 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
External borders Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O055 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Digitalisation of road transport Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O056 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Alternative fuels infrastructure: road and air Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-06 17:00 CEST	O057 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Energy efficient urban and sub-urban public transport, complemented by shared mobility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Transition to low-temperature heating solutions in multi-apartment buildings Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Introducing circular economy models in the construction sector, from buildings to city scale Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-08 17:00 CEST	O014C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Procurement of a sport utility vehicle Official call or tender notice	2026-10-08; time to verify	O026 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Youth environmental justice and responsible business Official call or tender notice	2026-10-09; time to verify	O024 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
ERC Starting Grants 2027 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-14; exact time to verify	O001 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Lease of copiers paper supply and maintenance Official call or tender notice	2026-10-16; time to verify	O030 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Travel agency services Official call or tender notice	2026-10-20; time to verify	O027 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Perform Europe: cross-border performing arts partnerships Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-22 23:59 CEST	O049 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Supply of 30 night vision thermal binoculars Official call or tender notice	2026-10-26; time to verify	O031 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Advanced Materials for Miniaturised Energy Harvesting Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Biotechnology for Healthy Ageing Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
DeepRAP: Deep Reasoning, Abstraction & Planning towards trustworthy Cognitive AI Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels	O005C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EU China Think Tank Engagement on Green Governance Official call or tender notice	2026-10-30; time to verify	O020 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Indonesia CSOs Just Green Transition Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O018 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Indonesia CSOs Women and Girls Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O019 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Vietnam NGO inclusive approaches and stakeholder participation Official call or tender notice	2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels	O021 Official indexed notice; dossier incomplete Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EIC Accelerator Open full proposal Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O002 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Systems Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003 Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Alternative Concepts and Key Enabling Technologies for Fusion Power Plants Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003B Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Biotech for Regenerating Agricultural Soils Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003C Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Boosting the European Critical Raw Materials value chain Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003D Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Deep Tech for Climate Adaptation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels	O003E Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Improving capabilities of law enforcement to counter climate-related challenges Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Preventing misuse of emerging technologies for criminal purposes, including lawful access to data Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Missing persons: prevention and investigation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Crime prevention approaches addressing addictions and crime Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015D Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Security against terrorism and lone-actor violence in confined spaces Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015E Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Prevention and mitigation of misuse of synthetic biology Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O015F Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Advanced border surveillance and situational awareness Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Accessible and available travel facilitation Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Reliability of age assessment methods in security and border management Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O016C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Tools and processes to support stress tests of critical infrastructure Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Security challenges of the green transition in urban and peri-urban areas Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017B Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Capabilities for resilience of critical entities to disasters, including hybrid scenarios Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal	O017C Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 1 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-12 17:00 CET	O006 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 2a Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-12 17:00 CET	O007 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
MSCA Doctoral Networks 2026 Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2026-11-24 17:00 CET	O004 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Strengthening partnership with US think tanks Official call or tender notice	2026-12-02; time to verify	O029 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Security services Official call or tender notice	2026-12-10; time to verify	O028 Listed future date; entry status unverified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cybersecure tools, technologies and services relying on AI Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O039 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Strengthening SME cybersecurity with AI-powered solutions Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O040 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Coordinated preparedness testing and other preparedness actions Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O041 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Regional Cable Hubs Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O042 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Enhancing the NCC Network Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O043 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Cybersecurity capacities for legislative requirements Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O044 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Dual-use cybersecurity technologies Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-01-14 17:00 CET	O045 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

Call / topic	Deadline	Evidence and analysis
Standalone projects under the Public Sector Loan Facility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-02-16 17:00 CET	O047 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Framework loans under the Public Sector Loan Facility Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-02-16 17:00 CET	O048 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
LIFE Strategic Nature Projects second stage Official call or tender notice	2027-03-04; invitation-only stage	O037 Closed to new entrants Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Excellence Hubs Official call or tender notice · Application portal and submission route	2027-03-04; verify exact Portal time	O046 Official notice verified Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Access2Markets data 2027 to 2030 Official call or tender notice	Conflicting secondary dates 16 or 26 October 2026	O032 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EIC Pre Accelerator 2027 planned round Official call or tender notice	Planned 2027-11-18	O038 Planned not open Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
European Local Energy Assistance Official call or tender notice	Rolling contact route; availability must be confirmed	O036 Rolling product route Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
EU complementary timing positioning and navigation Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 1 October 2026	O034 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
Legal advice on EU and Euratom debt issuance Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 19 October 2026	O035 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team
European Health Data Space IT systems Official call or tender notice	Secondary listing 2 October 2026	O033 Unverified discovery only Atlas analysis, work breakdown and team

O001 ERC Starting Grants 2027

Reference and route ERC-2027-STG | HE | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-14; exact time to verify.

Applicant fit Principal investigator with eligible host. EU or associated-country host.

Finance Award: Up to EUR 1.5m over 5 years plus eligible additions

Call analysis The proposal must establish the ambition and feasibility of investigator-led frontier research. Verify the 2027 eligibility window, PhD reference date, extensions, host commitment and resubmission restrictions before developing the scientific case.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Question and design	PI: sharpen the frontier question, alternatives and decisive tests; output: defensible scientific design.
2 Research execution	Research team: carry out the linked investigations; output: quality-controlled evidence and uncertainty analysis.
3 Integration and challenge	PI and method specialists: confront the hypothesis with results; output: integrated findings and limits.
4 Research stewardship	Host and PI: manage resources, ethics, data and dissemination; output: documented, reusable research record.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Single principal investigator and eligible host, with a research team selected for the methods. Host research support provides finance and compliance. A multi-beneficiary consortium is not the ERC model.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01

O002 EIC Accelerator Open full proposal

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATOROPEN-01 | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Short proposal then full proposal and interview. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; some investment-only midcaps. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: EUR 414m annual Open budget; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis High-risk market-creating innovation with scale-up potential. Full proposal requires the relevant short-proposal or access route. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for EIC Accelerator Open full proposal; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03

O003 Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Systems

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01 — Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Systems | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm. Full proposal only after GO or eligible Fast Track / Plug-In access. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; action-specific conditions. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis Demonstrate a material-enabled energy technology with a credible scale-up case and evidence of durability, safety and sustainable supply. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Systems; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03 · T04

O003B Alternative Concepts and Key Enabling Technologies for Fusion Power Plants

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01 — Alternative Concepts and Key Enabling Technologies for Fusion Power Plants | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm. Full proposal only after GO or eligible Fast Track / Plug-In access. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; action-specific conditions. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis Show how the company's eligible enabling technology solves a specific commercialisation bottleneck; distinguish company development from funding a whole fusion plant. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for Alternative Concepts and Key Enabling Technologies for Fusion Power Plants; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03 · T04

O003C Biotech for Regenerating Agricultural Soils

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01 — Biotech for Regenerating Agricultural Soils | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm. Full proposal only after GO or eligible Fast Track / Plug-In access. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; action-specific conditions. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis Demonstrate a deployable biotechnology solution with evidence of soil benefit, reproducible field performance and an adoption model. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for Biotech for Regenerating Agricultural Soils; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03 · T07

O003D Boosting the European Critical Raw Materials value chain

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01 — Boosting the European Critical Raw Materials value chain | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm. Full proposal only after GO or eligible Fast Track / Plug-In access. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; action-specific conditions. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis Prove the eligible raw-material value-chain contribution, industrial feasibility and customer demand; reconcile sustainability with economics. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for Boosting the European Critical Raw Materials value chain; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03 · T06

O003E Deep Tech for Climate Adaptation

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01 — Deep Tech for Climate Adaptation | HE | Grant and/or equity

Entry and deadline Official challenge and full-proposal date verified; exact topic ID to confirm. Full proposal only after GO or eligible Fast Track / Plug-In access. 2026-11-04 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Eligible startup or SME; action-specific conditions. EU / associated countries subject to investment rules.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Grant below EUR 2.5m; investment EUR 1–10m

Call analysis Connect a specific climate risk and paying customer to validated performance, deployment conditions and a viable scale-up strategy. Assess technology advantage, defensibility, customer evidence and financing risk together. The next full-proposal batch is accessible only through the required GO or authorised direct route. An impressive concept without validated maturity and a credible scale-up team is weak fit.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Product evidence	Technical lead: close the decisive performance gaps for Deep Tech for Climate Adaptation; output: independently assessable validation.
2 Customer and access	Commercial lead: test demand, procurement and regulatory route; output: evidenced adoption commitments.
3 Scale readiness	Operations lead: plan capacity, suppliers, quality and unit economics; output: costed scale-up plan.
4 Finance and milestones	CEO/CFO: stage capital needs and downside cases; output: financing plan and measurable investment milestones.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team One eligible company as applicant, with CEO/commercial lead, technical lead, finance lead and market-access expertise. Customers, test sites, suppliers and investors support the case under appropriate agreements; they are not automatically grant beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03 · T04

O004 MSCA Doctoral Networks 2026

Reference and route HORIZON-MSCA-2026-DN-01-01 | HE | Training grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-24 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Doctoral training consortium. Eligible EU / associated and participating partner-country entities.

Finance Budget: About EUR 593m; Award: Unit contributions; network-specific total

Call analysis Show both a coherent research programme and high-quality doctoral training. Check recruitment and mobility rules, supervision capacity, unit contributions, researcher-month limits and the distinction between beneficiaries and associated partners.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Recruitment and supervision	Network board: open recruitment, supervision agreements and individual development plans; output: recruited researchers and approved plans.
2 Integrated doctoral research	Research leads: linked doctoral projects and shared methods; output: connected research results rather than isolated theses.
3 Training and secondments	Training lead: scientific, transferable and sector skills; output: assessed learning and completed exchanges.
4 Careers and network management	Coordinator: career support, quality and finance; output: sustainable training relationships and required reports.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative network: coordinating university, complementary research institutions and a non-academic employer, with supervisors and doctoral support staff. The legal minimum, country distribution and Industrial/Joint Doctorate conditions must be checked separately.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01 · T09

O005 Advanced Materials for Miniaturised Energy Harvesting Systems

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-PATHFINDERCHALLENGES-01-01 | HE | Research grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Research teams; single or consortium routes depend on Challenge. Eligible EU / associated entities.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Generally up to EUR 4m; higher amounts require justification

Call analysis Test an early-stage scientific route to miniature energy harvesting; quantify the intended operating conditions and the uncertainty to be resolved. Demonstrate high-risk/high-gain research and compatibility with the Challenge Guide. Do not substitute a near-market business plan for the scientific breakthrough required here.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Scientific hypothesis	Scientific lead: identify the breakthrough mechanism in Advanced Materials for Miniaturised Energy Harvesting Systems; output: testable claims and baselines.
2 Proof of principle	Method teams: execute bounded studies under required approvals; output: reproducible proof-of-principle evidence.
3 Independent validation	Validation lead: challenge performance, limitations and failure conditions; output: benchmarked assessment.
4 Portfolio and next use	Coordinator: contribute to Challenge portfolio learning and plan responsible uptake; output: next-stage roadmap and shared results.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: scientific lead, complementary method specialists, independent validation capability and an eventual application/user perspective. Pathfinder Challenges can have different single-applicant or consortium options; apply the actual topic rules.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01 · T04

O005B Biotechnology for Healthy Ageing

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-PATHFINDERCHALLENGES-01-02 | HE | Research grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Research teams; single or consortium routes depend on Challenge. Eligible EU / associated entities.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Generally up to EUR 4m; higher amounts require justification

Call analysis Build a scientifically justified intervention hypothesis, proportionate validation and responsible translational pathway without promising unproven clinical benefit. Demonstrate high-risk/high-gain research and compatibility with the Challenge Guide. Do not substitute a near-market business plan for the scientific breakthrough required here.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Scientific hypothesis	Scientific lead: identify the breakthrough mechanism in Biotechnology for Healthy Ageing; output: testable claims and baselines.
2 Proof of principle	Method teams: execute bounded studies under required approvals; output: reproducible proof-of-principle evidence.
3 Independent validation	Validation lead: challenge performance, limitations and failure conditions; output: benchmarked assessment.
4 Portfolio and next use	Coordinator: contribute to Challenge portfolio learning and plan responsible uptake; output: next-stage roadmap and shared results.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: scientific lead, complementary method specialists, independent validation capability and an eventual application/user perspective. Pathfinder Challenges can have different single-applicant or consortium options; apply the actual topic rules.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01 · T11

O005C DeepRAP: Deep Reasoning, Abstraction & Planning towards trustworthy Cognitive AI Systems

Reference and route HORIZON-EIC-2026-PATHFINDERCHALLENGES-01-03 | HE | Research grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-28 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Research teams; single or consortium routes depend on Challenge. Eligible EU / associated entities.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Generally up to EUR 4m; higher amounts require justification

Call analysis Define the reasoning or planning advance, comparison baseline and falsifiable evaluation; explain robustness, limitations and human oversight. Demonstrate high-risk/high-gain research and compatibility with the Challenge Guide. Do not substitute a near-market business plan for the scientific breakthrough required here.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Scientific hypothesis	Scientific lead: identify the breakthrough mechanism in DeepRAP: Deep Reasoning, Abstraction & Planning towards trustworthy Cognitive AI Systems; output: testable claims and baselines.
2 Proof of principle	Method teams: execute bounded studies under required approvals; output: reproducible proof-of-principle evidence.
3 Independent validation	Validation lead: challenge performance, limitations and failure conditions; output: benchmarked assessment.
4 Portfolio and next use	Coordinator: contribute to Challenge portfolio learning and plan responsible uptake; output: next-stage roadmap and shared results.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: scientific lead, complementary method specialists, independent validation capability and an eventual application/user perspective. Pathfinder Challenges can have different single-applicant or consortium options; apply the actual topic rules.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01 · T02

O006 Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 1

Reference and route I3-2026-INV1 | ERDF | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-12 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Interregional innovation consortium with enterprises. Eligible European regions; exact consortium geography in dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 28.2m; Award: Up to EUR 10m per project

Call analysis Choose the strand according to the region and value-chain role. The investment case must survive after the grant: show committed industrial owners, complementary regional assets and realistic market uptake, not a network of meetings.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Value-chain case	Regional and industry leads: map complementary smart specialisation and market need; output: evidenced interregional investment logic.
2 Demonstration investment	Pilot owners: implement linked demonstrations with appropriate procurement; output: validated industrial results.
3 SME participation	Business-support lead: deliver eligible support and transparent selection where required; output: documented SME uptake.
4 Commercial continuation	Investors and industrial owners: cost replication and production; output: post-project exploitation and finance commitments.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: innovation agency as coordinator, industrial pilot owners and SMEs in complementary regions, research/technology providers and market users. Test the strand-specific regional category and smart-specialisation conditions before selecting partners.

Official model and how to use it Examine regional value-chain roles and demonstration-to-investment logic; this is a related model, not a template for every I3 topic.

RegioGreenTex: I3 project model

Programme profile ERDF

Topic routes T03 · T17

O007 Interregional Innovation Investments Strand 2a

Reference and route I3-2026-INV2a | ERDF | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-12 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Interregional innovation consortium with enterprises. Less developed / transition-region integration; dossier controls.

Finance Budget: EUR 30.2m; Award: Up to EUR 10m per project

Call analysis Choose the strand according to the region and value-chain role. The investment case must survive after the grant: show committed industrial owners, complementary regional assets and realistic market uptake, not a network of meetings.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Value-chain case	Regional and industry leads: map complementary smart specialisation and market need; output: evidenced interregional investment logic.
2 Demonstration investment	Pilot owners: implement linked demonstrations with appropriate procurement; output: validated industrial results.
3 SME participation	Business-support lead: deliver eligible support and transparent selection where required; output: documented SME uptake.
4 Commercial continuation	Investors and industrial owners: cost replication and production; output: post-project exploitation and finance commitments.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: innovation agency as coordinator, industrial pilot owners and SMEs in complementary regions, research/technology providers and market users. Test the strand-specific regional category and smart-specialisation conditions before selecting partners.

Official model and how to use it Examine regional value-chain roles and demonstration-to-investment logic; this is a related model, not a template for every I3 topic.

RegioGreenTex: I3 project model

Programme profile ERDF

Topic routes T03 · T17

O008 Deploying health-record exchange and EHDS capacity

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-BESTUSE-10-EHDS | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Entities meeting health-data deployment conditions. Digital Europe participating countries and topic restrictions.

Finance Budget: EUR 14.4m topic budget

Call analysis Build implementation capacity for health-record exchange and lawful health-data services. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for health-record interoperability and lawful data exchange; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T11

O009 Network of Safer Internet Centres

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-BESTUSE-10-NETWORKSICs | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible national internet-safety service bodies. Topic-specific countries and coverage gaps.

Finance Budget: EUR 10m topic budget

Call analysis Support online safety services and geographical coverage of Safer Internet Centres. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for child online-safety services and referral capacity; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T09 · T13

O010 EDIC Support Hub

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-BESTUSE-MCP-10-HUB | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official overview verified; dossier incomplete. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Call-specific legal entities and consortia. Topic-specific programme-country rules.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Coordinate support to European Digital Infrastructure Consortia. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for EDIC coordination and support services; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T16

O011 Digital solutions for regulatory compliance

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-AI-DATA-10-COMPLIANCE | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official overview verified; dossier incomplete. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Call-specific legal entities and consortia. Topic-specific programme-country rules.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Develop interoperable data-driven regulatory compliance solutions. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for regulatory-compliance workflows; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T16

O012 Information integrity research support framework

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-BESTUSE-RSF-10-AWARENESS | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official overview verified; dossier incomplete. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Call-specific legal entities and consortia. Topic-specific programme-country rules.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Support research infrastructure and tools for information integrity. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for information-integrity research support; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T13

O013 Digital Europe dissemination and exploitation support

Reference and route DIGITAL-2026-SUPPORT-10-DISSEMINATION | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official overview verified; dossier incomplete. Single stage. 2026-10-01 17:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit Call-specific legal entities and consortia. Topic-specific programme-country rules.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Improve uptake and exploitation of Digital Europe results. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for Digital Europe result dissemination and reuse; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it Follow programme project results for comparable deployment actions and participating organisations.

Digital Europe projects and results

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02 · T03

O014 Energy efficient urban and sub-urban public transport, complemented by shared mobility

Reference and route HORIZON-MISS-2026-04-CIT-01 | HE | Innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-08 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible research and innovation consortia with city/operational roles. Horizon participation rules plus topic conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Show an integrated transport intervention, committed operators and a defensible evaluation of energy, access and mobility effects. Check the topic-specific demonstration and participant conditions. City endorsement without an asset owner, permission to act and operating resources is not implementation readiness.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Baseline and co-design	City and users: establish need, baseline and intervention design for public transport and shared mobility; output: agreed demonstration protocol.
2 Readiness and integration	Asset owners: secure permits, access, procurement and interfaces; output: approved implementation package.
3 Demonstration and evidence	Technical and evaluation teams: implement and measure results; output: independently assessable performance.
4 City-scale adoption	City and replication partners: cost continuation and transfer conditions; output: investment and adoption decisions.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: city coordinator, relevant transport/building/construction owner, technology and research partners, resident or user organisations, and replication authorities. Secure sites and decision authority; apply the topic's city and geographic requirements.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T05 · T17

O014B Transition to low-temperature heating solutions in multi-apartment buildings

Reference and route HORIZON-MISS-2026-04-CIT-02 | HE | Innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-08 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible research and innovation consortia with city/operational roles. Horizon participation rules plus topic conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Address building readiness, resident acceptance, system integration and measured performance in actual multi-apartment settings. Check the topic-specific demonstration and participant conditions. City endorsement without an asset owner, permission to act and operating resources is not implementation readiness.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Baseline and co-design	City and users: establish need, baseline and intervention design for low-temperature apartment heating; output: agreed demonstration protocol.
2 Readiness and integration	Asset owners: secure permits, access, procurement and interfaces; output: approved implementation package.
3 Demonstration and evidence	Technical and evaluation teams: implement and measure results; output: independently assessable performance.
4 City-scale adoption	City and replication partners: cost continuation and transfer conditions; output: investment and adoption decisions.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: city coordinator, relevant transport/building/construction owner, technology and research partners, resident or user organisations, and replication authorities. Secure sites and decision authority; apply the topic's city and geographic requirements.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T04 · T17

O014C Introducing circular economy models in the construction sector, from buildings to city scale

Reference and route HORIZON-MISS-2026-04-CIT-NEB-B4P-CCRI-03 | HE | Innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-08 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible research and innovation consortia with city/operational roles. Horizon participation rules plus topic conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Connect building-level circular practices to city systems, procurement, supply chains and measurable material reuse. Check the topic-specific demonstration and participant conditions. City endorsement without an asset owner, permission to act and operating resources is not implementation readiness.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Baseline and co-design	City and users: establish need, baseline and intervention design for circular construction and material reuse; output: agreed demonstration protocol.
2 Readiness and integration	Asset owners: secure permits, access, procurement and interfaces; output: approved implementation package.
3 Demonstration and evidence	Technical and evaluation teams: implement and measure results; output: independently assessable performance.
4 City-scale adoption	City and replication partners: cost continuation and transfer conditions; output: investment and adoption decisions.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: city coordinator, relevant transport/building/construction owner, technology and research partners, resident or user organisations, and replication authorities. Secure sites and decision authority; apply the topic's city and geographic requirements.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T06 · T17

O015 Improving capabilities of law enforcement to counter climate-related challenges

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-01 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Specify the climate-related public-safety need and legally authorised users; evaluate the proposed response with rights and accountability safeguards. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O015B Preventing misuse of emerging technologies for criminal purposes, including lawful access to data

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-02 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Focus on prevention and lawful institutional capability. Establish necessity, proportionality, data governance and independent evaluation before testing. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O015C Missing persons: prevention and investigation

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-03 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Build a prevention and coordination intervention with relevant authorities and support organisations; protect vulnerable people and sensitive case information. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O015D Crime prevention approaches addressing addictions and crime

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-04 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Join public health, social prevention and authorised law-enforcement knowledge; test mechanisms and effects without stigmatising communities. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T11 · T14

O015E Security against terrorism and lone-actor violence in confined spaces

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-05 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Develop accountable prevention, preparedness and support arrangements with affected institutions; use proportionate evaluation and safeguarding. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O015F Prevention and mitigation of misuse of synthetic biology

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-FCT-06 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Frame a responsible project around institutional preparedness, ethics, coordination and independently evaluated preventive capability. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T11 · T14

O016 Advanced border surveillance and situational awareness

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-BM-01 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Specify the lawful public-service use, necessity, proportionality and oversight. Establish a rights-respecting evaluation rather than treating detection performance as the only outcome. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O016B Accessible and available travel facilitation

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-BM-02 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Improve legitimate travel processes with accessibility, usability, interoperability and data-protection evidence. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O016C Reliability of age assessment methods in security and border management

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-BM-03 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Assess reliability, uncertainty, safeguards and non-discrimination. Children's rights and the consequences of incorrect assessment must shape the study. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14

O017 Tools and processes to support stress tests of critical infrastructure

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-INFRA-01 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Enable infrastructure owners to assess resilience through authorised, controlled exercises and evidence-based improvement planning. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14 · T17

O017B Security challenges of the green transition in urban and peri-urban areas

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-INFRA-02 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Identify resilience implications of urban transition choices and test proportionate planning responses with infrastructure owners and communities. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14 · T17

O017C Capabilities for resilience of critical entities to disasters, including hybrid scenarios

Reference and route HORIZON-CL3-2026-01-INFRA-03 | HE | Research or innovation grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-11-05; exact time to confirm in Portal.

Applicant fit Eligible research consortia and required practitioners. Topic-specific participation and security conditions.

Finance Budget: Check the topic allocation; parent-call budget is not a topic or project award.; Award: Topic-specific

Call analysis Demonstrate improved continuity and recovery with critical-entity owners; link the intervention to documented service dependencies and acceptance criteria. Scope and practitioner eligibility are decisive. Establish the evaluation protocol, authorised access and safeguards before committing to the intervention.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Mandate and problem	Authority and research lead: establish the specific public need, lawful scope and baseline; output: approved problem and safeguards statement.
2 Intervention design	Multidisciplinary team: design a proportionate prevention, preparedness or service intervention; output: reviewed protocol and acceptance criteria.
3 Authorised evaluation	Operational owners and evaluators: run controlled, consented or otherwise lawfully authorised assessment; output: documented results and limitations.
4 Institutional uptake	Authority leads: assign policy, training, support and continuity responsibilities; output: approved adoption plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: eligible competent authorities or infrastructure operators, research and evaluation teams, relevant civil-society/user expertise and legal/ethics specialists. Confirm mandatory practitioner participation and country conditions in the topic; no numerical minimum is inferred here.

Official model and how to use it Search the scientific method and scheme; compare objectives, participants and public deliverables. This is a portfolio search, not a named successful application.

CORDIS projects and public results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T14 · T17

O018 Indonesia CSOs Just Green Transition

Reference and route EuropeAid/187605/DD/ACT/ID LOT 1 | NDICI | External-action grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Concept note then invited full application. 2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit CSOs meeting applicant guidelines. Indonesia; nationality rules require full guidelines.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis CSO participation in an inclusive green transition. Distinguish concept-note and full-application deadlines, and analyse the chosen lot. A European partner's reputation cannot replace local legitimacy, eligible legal status or an evidenced route to change.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Local diagnosis	Local partners and affected groups: agree needs, power barriers and baseline for a locally owned just green transition; output: validated problem and stakeholder analysis.
2 Practical action	Delivery partners: implement the selected services, capacity or research/dialogue activities; output: accepted products and participant evidence.
3 Influence and use	Rights-holders and institutional partners: connect results to decisions and practice; output: documented uptake and feedback.
4 Accountability and continuation	Lead and local owners: monitor outcomes, costs, safeguarding and exit; output: credible results and continuation arrangements.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible lead civil-society or research organisation, locally rooted co-applicants with implementation authority, target-group representatives and monitoring expertise. Check lead/co-applicant nationality, registration, years of operation and financial-capacity rules in the specific lot.

Official model and how to use it Locate the country and sector portfolio; check results, local ownership and delivery arrangements. No individual model has been verified for this lot.

EU international partnerships project results

Programme profile NDICI

Topic routes T04 · T06 · T18

O019 Indonesia CSOs Women and Girls

Reference and route EuropeAid/187605/DD/ACT/ID LOT 2 | NDICI | External-action grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Concept note then invited full application. 2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit CSOs meeting applicant guidelines. Indonesia; nationality rules require full guidelines.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Prevention of violence, survivor-centred support and empowerment of women and girls. Distinguish concept-note and full-application deadlines, and analyse the chosen lot. A European partner's reputation cannot replace local legitimacy, eligible legal status or an evidenced route to change.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Local diagnosis	Local partners and affected groups: agree needs, power barriers and baseline for women's and girls' rights and agency; output: validated problem and stakeholder analysis.
2 Practical action	Delivery partners: implement the selected services, capacity or research/dialogue activities; output: accepted products and participant evidence.
3 Influence and use	Rights-holders and institutional partners: connect results to decisions and practice; output: documented uptake and feedback.
4 Accountability and continuation	Lead and local owners: monitor outcomes, costs, safeguarding and exit; output: credible results and continuation arrangements.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible lead civil-society or research organisation, locally rooted co-applicants with implementation authority, target-group representatives and monitoring expertise. Check lead/co-applicant nationality, registration, years of operation and financial-capacity rules in the specific lot.

Official model and how to use it Locate the country and sector portfolio; check results, local ownership and delivery arrangements. No individual model has been verified for this lot.

EU international partnerships project results

Programme profile NDICI

Topic routes T13 · T18

O020 EU China Think Tank Engagement on Green Governance

Reference and route EuropeAid/186411 | NDICI | External-action grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-30; time to verify.

Applicant fit Eligible think tanks research and academic bodies. EU China cooperation; nationality conditions in guidelines.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Support green-governance dialogue, evidence and cooperation. Distinguish concept-note and full-application deadlines, and analyse the chosen lot. A European partner's reputation cannot replace local legitimacy, eligible legal status or an evidenced route to change.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Local diagnosis	Local partners and affected groups: agree needs, power barriers and baseline for evidence-based green-governance dialogue; output: validated problem and stakeholder analysis.
2 Practical action	Delivery partners: implement the selected services, capacity or research/dialogue activities; output: accepted products and participant evidence.
3 Influence and use	Rights-holders and institutional partners: connect results to decisions and practice; output: documented uptake and feedback.
4 Accountability and continuation	Lead and local owners: monitor outcomes, costs, safeguarding and exit; output: credible results and continuation arrangements.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible lead civil-society or research organisation, locally rooted co-applicants with implementation authority, target-group representatives and monitoring expertise. Check lead/co-applicant nationality, registration, years of operation and financial-capacity rules in the specific lot.

Official model and how to use it Locate the country and sector portfolio; check results, local ownership and delivery arrangements. No individual model has been verified for this lot.

EU international partnerships project results

Programme profile NDICI

Topic routes T04 · T16 · T18

O021 Vietnam NGO inclusive approaches and stakeholder participation

Reference and route EuropeAid/187126/DD/ACT/VN | NDICI | External-action grant

Entry and deadline Official indexed notice; dossier incomplete. Concept note. 2026-11-03 12:00 Brussels.

Applicant fit NGOs meeting call conditions. Vietnam; nationality rules unverified.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Inclusive approaches and participation in development. Distinguish concept-note and full-application deadlines, and analyse the chosen lot. A European partner's reputation cannot replace local legitimacy, eligible legal status or an evidenced route to change.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Local diagnosis	Local partners and affected groups: agree needs, power barriers and baseline for inclusive participation by civil society; output: validated problem and stakeholder analysis.
2 Practical action	Delivery partners: implement the selected services, capacity or research/dialogue activities; output: accepted products and participant evidence.
3 Influence and use	Rights-holders and institutional partners: connect results to decisions and practice; output: documented uptake and feedback.
4 Accountability and continuation	Lead and local owners: monitor outcomes, costs, safeguarding and exit; output: credible results and continuation arrangements.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible lead civil-society or research organisation, locally rooted co-applicants with implementation authority, target-group representatives and monitoring expertise. Check lead/co-applicant nationality, registration, years of operation and financial-capacity rules in the specific lot.

Official model and how to use it Locate the country and sector portfolio; check results, local ownership and delivery arrangements. No individual model has been verified for this lot.

EU international partnerships project results

Programme profile NDICI

Topic routes T13 · T18

O022 Catalytic NGO monitoring and evaluation

Reference and route ICCA-GSI Phase II Ghana 2026 Catalytic NGO monitoring and evaluation | RELATED UNDP | Related-donor grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-02 17:00; timezone not explicit.

Applicant fit Qualified local NGOs CSOs or community groups. Ghana; priority communities along Black Volta Basin.

Finance Budget: Not stated; Award: Up to USD 50000 under the announcement

Call analysis Test local eligibility, grant scale and community ownership before investing in a full design. Confirm the stated time zone directly where the public notice does not identify it. UNDP funding is not automatically an EU-funded grant.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Community agreement	Local lead: agree needs, participation and responsibilities for community conservation monitoring; output: community-endorsed action plan.
2 Action delivery	Community and thematic teams: carry out eligible activities and protect participants; output: documented local outputs.
3 Learning and monitoring	Monitoring lead: measure agreed results with proportionate evidence; output: verified progress and lessons.
4 Local continuation	Community owners: agree maintenance, representation and future resources; output: practical continuation plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: eligible local/community or youth-led organisation, community decision-makers, thematic practitioner and finance/monitoring support. Larger organisations should support the community's authority rather than assume the lead is eligible.

Official model and how to use it Filter by country and environment or governance theme; compare outputs, implementing partners and finance, not grant eligibility.

UNDP transparency project portal

Topic routes T06 · T07 · T18

O023 Community led regular grants

Reference and route ICCA-GSI Phase II Ghana 2026 Community led regular grants | RELATED UNDP | Related-donor grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-02 17:00; timezone not explicit.

Applicant fit Qualified local NGOs CSOs or community groups. Ghana; priority communities along Black Volta Basin.

Finance Budget: Not stated; Award: Up to USD 50000 under the announcement

Call analysis Test local eligibility, grant scale and community ownership before investing in a full design. Confirm the stated time zone directly where the public notice does not identify it. UNDP funding is not automatically an EU-funded grant.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Community agreement	Local lead: agree needs, participation and responsibilities for community-led conservation action; output: community-endorsed action plan.
2 Action delivery	Community and thematic teams: carry out eligible activities and protect participants; output: documented local outputs.
3 Learning and monitoring	Monitoring lead: measure agreed results with proportionate evidence; output: verified progress and lessons.
4 Local continuation	Community owners: agree maintenance, representation and future resources; output: practical continuation plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: eligible local/community or youth-led organisation, community decision-makers, thematic practitioner and finance/monitoring support. Larger organisations should support the community's authority rather than assume the lead is eligible.

Official model and how to use it Filter by country and environment or governance theme; compare outputs, implementing partners and finance, not grant eligibility.

UNDP transparency project portal

Topic routes T06 · T07 · T18

O024 Youth environmental justice and responsible business

Reference and route UNDP PNG Youth Call to Action 2026 | RELATED UNDP | Related-donor low-value grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-09; time to verify.

Applicant fit Registered local not-for-profit organisations. Papua New Guinea.

Finance Budget: USD 20000 total; up to 2 grants; Award: Individual award not separately confirmed

Call analysis Test local eligibility, grant scale and community ownership before investing in a full design. Confirm the stated time zone directly where the public notice does not identify it. UNDP funding is not automatically an EU-funded grant.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Community agreement	Local lead: agree needs, participation and responsibilities for youth environmental justice and responsible business; output: community-endorsed action plan.
2 Action delivery	Community and thematic teams: carry out eligible activities and protect participants; output: documented local outputs.
3 Learning and monitoring	Monitoring lead: measure agreed results with proportionate evidence; output: verified progress and lessons.
4 Local continuation	Community owners: agree maintenance, representation and future resources; output: practical continuation plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative team: eligible local/community or youth-led organisation, community decision-makers, thematic practitioner and finance/monitoring support. Larger organisations should support the community's authority rather than assume the lead is eligible.

Official model and how to use it Filter by country and environment or governance theme; compare outputs, implementing partners and finance, not grant eligibility.

UNDP transparency project portal

Topic routes T06 · T09 · T13

O025 Legal services for EU Delegation and ECHO Nairobi

Reference and route EEAS/2026/DELKENN/009 | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Verify expression-of-interest versus tender deadline. 2026-10-05; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: Kenya; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: EUR 15000–60000 band; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O026 Procurement of a sport utility vehicle

Reference and route EU Delegation India SUV | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Expression of interest. 2026-10-08; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: India; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: EUR 15000–60000 band; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O027 Travel agency services

Reference and route EU Delegation India travel | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Expression of interest. 2026-10-20; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: India; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: Not stated; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O028 Security services

Reference and route EU Delegation Honduras security | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Tender stage to verify. 2026-12-10; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: Honduras; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: Above EUR 143000 band; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O029 Strengthening partnership with US think tanks

Reference and route Transatlantic Perspectives US | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Procedure type to verify. 2026-12-02; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: United States; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: Above EUR 143000 band; instrument to verify; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O030 Lease of copiers paper supply and maintenance

Reference and route EU Delegation copier notice | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Procedure stage to verify. 2026-10-16; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: Delegation location to verify; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: EUR 15000–60000 band; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O031 Supply of 30 night vision thermal binoculars

Reference and route EU Delegation binocular notice | ADMIN / CFSP route to verify | Procurement discovery

Entry and deadline Listed future date; entry status unverified. Tender stage to verify. 2026-10-26; time to verify.

Applicant fit Economic operators meeting the notice. Place: Place of delivery to verify; bidder nationality unverified.

Finance Budget: Not stated; Award: Contract value / lot to verify

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T16 · T18

O032 Access2Markets data 2027 to 2030

Reference and route 541158-2026 | ADMIN | TED discovery

Entry and deadline Unverified discovery only. Single stage. Conflicting secondary dates 16 or 26 October 2026.

Applicant fit Eligibility not verified. Not verified.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T02 · T11 · T15 · T16

O033 European Health Data Space IT systems

Reference and route 629828-2026 | EU4HEALTH / ADMIN to verify | TED discovery

Entry and deadline Unverified discovery only. Single stage. Secondary listing 2 October 2026.

Applicant fit Eligibility not verified. Not verified.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T02 · T11 · T15 · T16

O034 EU complementary timing positioning and navigation

Reference and route 565385-2026 | SPACE | TED discovery

Entry and deadline Unverified discovery only. Single stage. Secondary listing 1 October 2026.

Applicant fit Eligibility not verified. Not verified.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile SPACE

Topic routes T02 · T11 · T15 · T16

O035 Legal advice on EU and Euratom debt issuance

Reference and route 644069-2026 | ADMIN | TED discovery

Entry and deadline Unverified discovery only. Single stage. Secondary listing 19 October 2026.

Applicant fit Eligibility not verified. Not verified.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis This is a procurement lead, not verified open entry. Establish whether the date concerns expressions of interest, requests to participate or invited tenders. Do not incur bid cost until the actual dossier, lot and submission route are confirmed.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Specification and qualification	Bid lead: confirm the actual notice, lot, criteria and capacity; output: compliant bid and contract assumptions.
2 Mobilisation	Delivery manager: establish personnel, supplies, access and buyer approvals; output: accepted mobilisation plan.
3 Contract performance	Qualified team: deliver the specified service or supply with quality control; output: contract deliverables and acceptance evidence.
4 Handover and commercial control	Contract manager: manage invoicing, warranty, records and closure; output: accepted completion and obligations register.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative bid team: prime contractor, accountable service/delivery manager, qualified specialists and approved subcontractors or joint-tender members. Use the buyer's rules for reliance on other entities; this is not a grant consortium.

Official model and how to use it Search the buyer, CPV and related prior awards; compare contract scope and lots. Award notices are not technical proposal templates.

TED contract award notices

Programme profile ADMIN

Topic routes T02 · T11 · T15 · T16

O036 European Local Energy Assistance

Reference and route ELENA | INVESTEU / EIB advisory | Preparation grant

Entry and deadline Rolling product route. Pre-application and appraisal. Rolling contact route; availability must be confirmed.

Applicant fit Eligible public or private investment promoters. Eligible ELENA territories and investment pipeline.

Finance Budget: Not a fixed call envelope; Award: Up to 90% of eligible preparation costs; Rate: Up to 90%

Call analysis The useful result is an investment programme that proceeds, not a collection of studies. Confirm the ELENA facility's current sector, leverage, cost and implementation requirements with EIB before treating the rolling contact route as finance availability.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Pipeline screening	Promoter: prioritise eligible investments and aggregate scale; output: credible investment pipeline.
2 Project preparation	Advisors: complete studies, audits and financial structuring; output: investment-ready packages.
3 Procurement readiness	Promoter and legal team: prepare compliant tenders and contracts; output: launch-ready procurement.
4 Investment mobilisation	Promoter and financiers: secure approvals and finance; output: monitored implementation commitments.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative delivery team: eligible investment-pipeline owner, project-development unit, technical/financial advisors and procuring authorities. This is a preparation-support arrangement, not a standard research consortium.

Official model and how to use it Use the facility's project examples to compare preparation activities, investment pipelines and implementation commitments.

ELENA facility and project examples

Programme profile INVESTEU

Topic routes T04 · T05 · T17 · T21

O037 LIFE Strategic Nature Projects second stage

Reference and route LIFE-2026-STRAT-NAT-SNaP-two-stage | LIFE | Grant

Entry and deadline Closed to new entrants. Second stage by invitation. 2027-03-04; invitation-only stage.

Applicant fit Only applicants admitted after first stage. Programme-country rules.

Finance Budget, award range, cost model and rate are not established here; confirm them in the dossier.

Call analysis Closed to new entrants: the 4 March 2027 date is the invited full-proposal stage. The nature-plan implementation and mobilisation of complementary resources are central; do not interpret a strategic project as a larger standard action project.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Plan gap and finance	Plan owner: reconcile targets, gaps and complementary funds; output: funded implementation sequence.
2 Priority implementation	Responsible agencies and sites: implement selected eligible measures; output: accepted plan-delivery results.
3 Capacity and mobilisation	Partners: remove implementation barriers and secure wider finance; output: durable delivery capacity.
4 Monitoring and continuation	Plan owner: track conservation outcomes and governance; output: verified progress and long-term responsibilities.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: authority responsible for the nature plan, land/site managers, conservation expertise, stakeholder representatives and owners of complementary funding. Only applicants invited after the first stage may use this deadline.

Official model and how to use it Filter strategic nature or integrated projects; compare plan implementation, complementary finance and governance.

LIFE public project database

Programme profile LIFE

Topic routes T06

O038 EIC Pre Accelerator 2027 planned round

Reference and route EIC Pre Accelerator 2027 | HE | Grant

Entry and deadline Planned not open. Single stage. Planned 2027-11-18.

Applicant fit Eligibility to verify in final call. Widening-country conditions to verify.

Finance Budget: Planned EUR 40m; Award: Planned EUR 0.5–1m; Rate: Planned 70%

Call analysis Planned, not open. Use this as preparation guidance only; a future work-programme date does not provide a submission entitlement.

Official notice and application dossier

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Readiness diagnosis	Company lead: assess technology and business gaps; output: prioritised development plan.
2 Validation	Technical lead: strengthen proof and user evidence; output: assessed validation package.
3 Market preparation	Commercial lead: test use case, competition and route to market; output: credible market plan.
4 Next-stage finance	CEO/CFO: establish milestones and financing needs; output: justified follow-on funding route.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative eligible early-stage company with technical, market and finance capacity, supported by specialist advisors and test users. Confirm the future round's country and company requirements after publication.

Official model and how to use it Compare company stage, market, investment rationale and scaling path; this investment portfolio does not represent all EIC grant beneficiaries, and public profiles are not confidential applications.

EIC Fund invested companies

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T03

O039 Cybersecure tools, technologies and services relying on AI

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-CYBERAI | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 15m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Defensive AI-enabled cybersecurity capability for eligible authorities and operational users; evaluate trustworthiness and operational utility. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for defensive AI cybersecurity services; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O040 Strengthening SME cybersecurity with AI-powered solutions

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-AI4SME | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 20m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Practical defensive tools and adoption support suited to SME capacity, costs and support needs. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for usable SME cybersecurity tools; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O041 Coordinated preparedness testing and other preparedness actions

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-COORDPREP | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 15m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Authorised preparedness assessments, exercises and improvements for relevant critical sectors. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for authorised preparedness exercises; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O042 Regional Cable Hubs

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-REGCABH | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 5m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Institutional cooperation, situational awareness and incident-information arrangements for eligible regional cable hubs. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for regional cable incident-information cooperation; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O043 Enhancing the NCC Network

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-NCC | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 11m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Strengthening designated National Coordination Centres and their support to the cybersecurity community. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for NCC community support; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O044 Cybersecurity capacities for legislative requirements

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-EULEG | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 20m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Practical capability and organisational support for applicable cybersecurity obligations. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for cybersecurity compliance capability; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O045 Dual-use cybersecurity technologies

Reference and route DIGITAL-ECCC-2027-DEPLOY-CYBER-11-DUALUSE | DIGITAL | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-01-14 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible entities under topic conditions; designation or security restrictions may apply. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 10m topic budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Civil and defence cooperation for eligible cybersecurity capabilities, with ownership and security restrictions. This is deployment and capacity support under the specific topic. Confirm the required participants, security restrictions, rate, eligible costs and third-party-support provisions; do not import Horizon research rules.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and safeguards	Operational lead: define users, baseline and approvals for eligible civil-defence cybersecurity cooperation; output: accepted requirements.
2 Deployment preparation	Technical lead: configure or develop eligible capability and integration; output: reviewed deployment package.
3 Controlled application	Site leads: deploy in authorised settings, train users and document performance; output: validated service evidence.
4 Adoption and continuity	Owner: establish support, skills, governance and operating finance; output: funded continuation and reuse plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative consortium: an eligible operational owner or designated authority, specialist technology provider, deployment sites, user/adoption lead and independent evaluation expertise. NCC and other restricted topics require the prescribed designation; security and ownership conditions can exclude otherwise capable partners.

Official model and how to use it A related Horizon model for capability roles and validation; its funding rules differ from Digital Europe.

AI4CYBER: official project record

Programme profile DIGITAL

Topic routes T02

O046 Excellence Hubs

Reference and route HORIZON-WIDERA-2027-04-WIDENING-01 | HE | Grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2027-03-04; verify exact Portal time.

Applicant fit Eligible ecosystems with academia, business, public authorities and civil society; Widening coordination conditions. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 100m call budget; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis The strongest case links genuine regional ecosystems and a jointly owned strategy. Research must serve the hub's development; do not assume the grant pays for later capital demonstrators or substitutes for their investment finance.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Ecosystem diagnosis	Regional actors: map assets, demand, fragmentation and investment barriers; output: shared evidence base.
2 Joint strategy	Quadruple-helix board: agree complementary priorities and governance; output: adopted cross-border R&I strategy.
3 Capability and joint work	Research/business leads: undertake eligible cooperation and capability development; output: tested collaboration and usable results.
4 Investment and sustainability	Authorities and business owners: prepare investable follow-on actions; output: costed investment plans with responsible sponsors.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: two or more eligible regional ecosystems, each involving research, business, public authority and civil society, with a qualifying coordinator. The call's exact ecosystem and country minimum must be checked; this is a role model, not the legal minimum.

Official model and how to use it Examine ecosystem strategy, actor roles and public outputs; confirm the new call conditions independently.

ECHO Excellence Hub: official results

Programme profile HE

Topic routes T01 · T03

O047 Standalone projects under the Public Sector Loan Facility

Reference and route JTM-2026-PSLF — Standalone projects | PSLF | Grant plus EIB loan

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Multiple cut-offs; next revised date shown. 2027-02-16 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible public-sector entities and other entities meeting the facility rules. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 630m grant budget across the 2026–2027 call; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Grant eligibility and EIB bankability are distinct tests. Check territorial-plan fit, public-sector eligibility, insufficient revenue conditions and co-finance. The revised next cut-off is February 2027; earlier autumn dates should not be reused.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Territorial and financial case	Promoter: establish transition-plan contribution, financing gap and loan fit; output: coherent grant/loan case.
2 Readiness and approvals	Technical/legal leads: secure studies, permits and procurement design; output: investment-ready project or subproject pipeline.
3 Delivery and disbursement	Asset owners: deliver under aligned loan and grant milestones; output: accepted assets and eligible expenditure evidence.
4 Service and loan stewardship	Promoter: operate assets, monitor benefits and service debt; output: funded operations and continuing covenant compliance.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: public promoter and eligible asset owners, technical/procurement team, financial controller and EIB appraisal counterparts. A framework loan adds a body responsible for selecting and monitoring subprojects; no artificial multi-country consortium is needed.

Official model and how to use it Filter region and sector to examine promoter, investment and appraisal. These are related investment models, not necessarily PSLF grant awards.

EIB financed-project database

Programme profile PSLF

Topic routes T04 · T17 · T21

O048 Framework loans under the Public Sector Loan Facility

Reference and route JTM-2026-PSLF — Framework loans | PSLF | Grant plus EIB loan

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Multiple cut-offs; next revised date shown. 2027-02-16 17:00 CET.

Applicant fit Eligible public-sector entities and other entities meeting the facility rules. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 630m grant budget across the 2026–2027 call; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Grant eligibility and EIB bankability are distinct tests. Check territorial-plan fit, public-sector eligibility, insufficient revenue conditions and co-finance. The revised next cut-off is February 2027; earlier autumn dates should not be reused.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Territorial and financial case	Promoter: establish transition-plan contribution, financing gap and loan fit; output: coherent grant/loan case.
2 Readiness and approvals	Technical/legal leads: secure studies, permits and procurement design; output: investment-ready project or subproject pipeline.
3 Delivery and disbursement	Asset owners: deliver under aligned loan and grant milestones; output: accepted assets and eligible expenditure evidence.
4 Service and loan stewardship	Promoter: operate assets, monitor benefits and service debt; output: funded operations and continuing covenant compliance.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: public promoter and eligible asset owners, technical/procurement team, financial controller and EIB appraisal counterparts. A framework loan adds a body responsible for selecting and monitoring subprojects; no artificial multi-country consortium is needed.

Official model and how to use it Filter region and sector to examine promoter, investment and appraisal. These are related investment models, not necessarily PSLF grant awards.

EIB financed-project database

Programme profile PSLF

Topic routes T04 · T17 · T21

O049 Perform Europe: cross-border performing arts partnerships

Reference and route Perform Europe 2026–2028 | CREATIVE | Third-party grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-22 23:59 CEST.

Applicant fit At least 3 partners in 3 Creative Europe countries; eligible performing arts professionals and organisations. Creative Europe participating countries; work presented in at least 3 countries.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.4m total; Award: EUR 15,000 / 25,000 / 55,000; eligible top-ups up to EUR 5,000; maximum EUR 60,000; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Fit depends on changing touring practice, not financing a new production. Reconcile grant category and top-ups with the permitted costs and the fixed implementation window; include the second priority even when the first is the main focus.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Joint tour design	Partners: select finished work and agree the primary inclusion or climate priority; output: jointly owned touring concept.
2 Access and logistics	Presenters: plan accessible venues, fair contracts and lower-impact travel; output: feasible tour and cost plan.
3 Tour and engagement	Artists and hosts: present the work and engage intended audiences; output: completed presentations and audience evidence.
4 Learning and reuse	Partnership: assess fairness, inclusion and environmental choices; output: shared practice and future cooperation plan.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: producer or artist group plus presenters in at least two other eligible countries, jointly responsible for the tour; add accessibility and sustainable-travel expertise as needed. The official minimum is three partners in three countries.

Official model and how to use it Compare touring partnerships, inclusion and sustainability approaches; previous-round grant rules may differ.

Perform Europe selected partnerships

Programme profile CREATIVE

Topic routes T12

O050 Core network: inland waterways

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-COREGEN — Core network: inland waterways | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible waterway investment with network relevance, permits, environmental appraisal and delivery readiness. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish core network: inland waterways eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O051 Core network: rail

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-COREGEN — Core network: rail | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible core-network rail investment with mature implementation arrangements. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish core network: rail eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O052 Cohesion envelope: rail

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-CORECOEN — Cohesion envelope: rail | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible rail investment in the relevant Cohesion envelope and territory. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish cohesion envelope: rail eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O053 Military mobility

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-MILMOB — Military mobility | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible dual-use transport infrastructure; this sample concerns approvals, procurement governance and asset delivery only. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish military mobility eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O054 European Maritime Space and inland ports

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-SUSTMOBGEN — European Maritime Space and inland ports | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible maritime or inland-port investment, with network effects and environmental evidence. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish european maritime space and inland ports eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O055 External borders

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-SAFEMOBGEN — External borders | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible transport infrastructure at external borders; distinguish transport investment from BMVI capability funding. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish external borders eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O056 Digitalisation of road transport

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-SIMOBGEN — Digitalisation of road transport | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible interoperable road-transport digitalisation with committed operators and integration readiness. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish digitalisation of road transport eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O057 Alternative fuels infrastructure: road and air

Reference and route CEF-T-2026-AFIFGEN — Alternative fuels infrastructure: road and air | CEF | Infrastructure grant

Entry and deadline Official notice verified. Single stage. 2026-10-06 17:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible infrastructure promoters with required Member State agreement. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: EUR 1.1bn across the 2026 transport calls; confirm topic envelope; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Eligible alternative-fuels infrastructure with the financing and deployment conditions required by the call. Distinguish studies, works and eligible cost categories. Confirm whether the call requires additional bank financing or specific infrastructure status; a general transport benefit does not establish CEF eligibility.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Network and readiness case	Promoter: establish alternative fuels infrastructure: road and air eligibility, demand, permits and financing; output: approved investment case.
2 Design and procurement	Engineering and procurement leads: finalise compliant specifications and delivery packaging; output: award-ready contracts.
3 Asset implementation	Promoter and contracted providers: deliver against approved milestones and controls; output: verified physical progress.
4 Commissioning and operation	Operator: accept the asset, measure performance and fund maintenance; output: operational service and benefit monitoring.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative structure: eligible asset promoter, infrastructure manager, relevant public authorities, engineering and environmental expertise, procurement/finance team and future operator. Obtain required Member State agreement; contractors are not automatically co-beneficiaries.

Official model and how to use it Filter Connecting Europe Facility and the relevant transport mode; inspect promoter, geography and funded activity.

CINEA project portfolios

Programme profile CEF

Topic routes T05

O058 Youth participation activities

Reference and route KA154-YOU — Erasmus+ 2026 | ERASMUS | Grant

Entry and deadline Official 2026 Guide deadline verified. Single stage. 2026-10-01 12:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible organisations or groups under the action; National Agency in the applicant country. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: Not established in this summary; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Youth-led participation in democratic life, with meaningful involvement in design and decisions. Apply the relevant unit-cost or lump-sum rules, participant conditions and agency procedure. For optional October partnership rounds, the Guide date alone does not establish that the applicant's National Agency has opened a competition.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and joint design	Applicant and participants: identify learning or participation needs and shape youth participation activities; output: agreed objectives and inclusive activity plan.
2 Preparation	Partner leads: confirm access, participant preparation, logistics and safeguards; output: delivery-ready arrangements.
3 Learning or participation	Facilitators and participants: deliver eligible activities and document learning; output: demonstrated competence or participation results.
4 Follow-up and transfer	Partners: recognise learning, use results and improve practice; output: evidenced follow-up and organisational continuation.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible applicant with youth or education expertise, complementary sending/hosting or cooperation organisations, participant representatives and safeguarding/accessibility support. Youth participation can have national arrangements; do not impose a transnational consortium where the action does not require one.

Official model and how to use it Filter the same action and topic; inspect partner roles, learning methods, outputs and continuation. Prior awards do not establish current eligibility.

Erasmus+ Project Results Platform

Programme profile ERASMUS

Topic routes T09

O059 Youth Exchanges

Reference and route KA152-YOU — Erasmus+ 2026 | ERASMUS | Grant

Entry and deadline Official 2026 Guide deadline verified. Single stage. 2026-10-01 12:00 CEST.

Applicant fit Eligible organisations or groups under the action; National Agency in the applicant country. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: Not established in this summary; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Non-formal learning through an eligible exchange, with preparation, inclusion, safeguarding and follow-up. Apply the relevant unit-cost or lump-sum rules, participant conditions and agency procedure. For optional October partnership rounds, the Guide date alone does not establish that the applicant's National Agency has opened a competition.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and joint design	Applicant and participants: identify learning or participation needs and shape youth exchanges; output: agreed objectives and inclusive activity plan.
2 Preparation	Partner leads: confirm access, participant preparation, logistics and safeguards; output: delivery-ready arrangements.
3 Learning or participation	Facilitators and participants: deliver eligible activities and document learning; output: demonstrated competence or participation results.
4 Follow-up and transfer	Partners: recognise learning, use results and improve practice; output: evidenced follow-up and organisational continuation.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible applicant with youth or education expertise, complementary sending/hosting or cooperation organisations, participant representatives and safeguarding/accessibility support. Youth participation can have national arrangements; do not impose a transnational consortium where the action does not require one.

Official model and how to use it Filter the same action and topic; inspect partner roles, learning methods, outputs and continuation. Prior awards do not establish current eligibility.

Erasmus+ Project Results Platform

Programme profile ERASMUS

Topic routes T09

O060 Small-scale Partnerships: optional additional round

Reference and route KA210 — Erasmus+ 2026 | ERASMUS | Grant

Entry and deadline Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify. Single stage. 2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round.

Applicant fit Eligible organisations or groups under the action; National Agency in the applicant country. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: Not established in this summary; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis A proportionate cooperation project improving practice and organisational capacity; the October round depends on the National Agency. Apply the relevant unit-cost or lump-sum rules, participant conditions and agency procedure. For optional October partnership rounds, the Guide date alone does not establish that the applicant's National Agency has opened a competition.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and joint design	Applicant and participants: identify learning or participation needs and shape small-scale partnerships: optional additional round; output: agreed objectives and inclusive activity plan.
2 Preparation	Partner leads: confirm access, participant preparation, logistics and safeguards; output: delivery-ready arrangements.
3 Learning or participation	Facilitators and participants: deliver eligible activities and document learning; output: demonstrated competence or participation results.
4 Follow-up and transfer	Partners: recognise learning, use results and improve practice; output: evidenced follow-up and organisational continuation.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible applicant with youth or education expertise, complementary sending/hosting or cooperation organisations, participant representatives and safeguarding/accessibility support. Youth participation can have national arrangements; do not impose a transnational consortium where the action does not require one.

Official model and how to use it Filter the same action and topic; inspect partner roles, learning methods, outputs and continuation. Prior awards do not establish current eligibility.

Erasmus+ Project Results Platform

Programme profile ERASMUS

Topic routes T09

O061 Cooperation Partnerships: optional second round

Reference and route KA220 — Erasmus+ 2026 | ERASMUS | Grant

Entry and deadline Conditional National Agency round; availability to verify. Single stage. 2026-10-01 12:00 CEST — only if National Agency offers this round.

Applicant fit Eligible organisations or groups under the action; National Agency in the applicant country. Apply the country and territorial conditions in the dossier.

Finance Budget: Not established in this summary; Award: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier; Rate: Topic-specific; confirm in dossier

Call analysis Transnational cooperation for quality, innovation and capacity; verify that the responsible National Agency offers the second round. Apply the relevant unit-cost or lump-sum rules, participant conditions and agency procedure. For optional October partnership rounds, the Guide date alone does not establish that the applicant's National Agency has opened a competition.

Official notice and application dossier | Application portal and submission route

Illustrative work breakdown

WP	Work and acceptance evidence
1 Needs and joint design	Applicant and participants: identify learning or participation needs and shape cooperation partnerships: optional second round; output: agreed objectives and inclusive activity plan.
2 Preparation	Partner leads: confirm access, participant preparation, logistics and safeguards; output: delivery-ready arrangements.
3 Learning or participation	Facilitators and participants: deliver eligible activities and document learning; output: demonstrated competence or participation results.
4 Follow-up and transfer	Partners: recognise learning, use results and improve practice; output: evidenced follow-up and organisational continuation.

Illustrative consortium or delivery team Illustrative partnership: eligible applicant with youth or education expertise, complementary sending/hosting or cooperation organisations, participant representatives and safeguarding/accessibility support. Youth participation can have national arrangements; do not impose a transnational consortium where the action does not require one.

Official model and how to use it Filter the same action and topic; inspect partner roles, learning methods, outputs and continuation. Prior awards do not establish current eligibility.

Erasmus+ Project Results Platform

Programme profile ERASMUS

Topic routes T09

Scheme-specific application checks

Apply the rules of the actual scheme

Research and innovation	Environment cooperation and migration
C01 ERC Starting Grant; C02 EIC Accelerator; C04 EIC Pre Accelerator.	C03 LIFE Strategic Nature; C05 Erasmus Small-scale Partnerships; C06 Migration Partnership Facility downstream calls.

Use each scheme's own eligibility, entry stage, funding model and application requirements.

A call analysis should lead to a decision: enter, prepare for another stage, choose a different route or stop. These additional scheme checks preserve the detailed eligibility and application distinctions behind the opportunity analyses. Their dated access conditions matter as much as the project theme.

C01 ERC Starting Grant 2027

Official identifier ERC-2027-STG

Entry status Open for entry at the editorial cut-off

Dates and stages Opened 22 July 2026; deadline 14 October 2026. Exact submission time and timezone must be confirmed in the live Portal record.

Programme and action Horizon Europe → Excellent Science → ERC → Work Programme 2027, Decision C(2026)4907.

Applicant fit A principal investigator with a host institution in an eligible EU Member State or associated country. The 2027 Starting Grant rule refers to successful PhD defence within the ten years preceding 1 January 2027, subject to the work programme's extensions and other conditions. Do not apply the former 2–7 year rule.

Funding model Standard grant up to EUR 1.5m for five years, with justified additional support under the applicable rules. The official scheme page describes additional funding up to EUR 1m and a higher ceiling for eligible relocation from a non-associated country. The exact exception must be checked before budgeting.

Application route Funding & Tenders; the investigator's scientific proposal and host commitment are central. Use the 2027 forms and applicant information version 11.0 of 22 July 2026.

Assessment and fit Strong fit: an independent investigator with a frontier-research question, convincing scientific ambition and a suitable host. Weak fit: a routine service contract, a consortium designed around delivery quotas, or an innovation grant whose main case is near-term sales. Start with scientific excellence and the investigator's capacity; institutional prestige alone does not establish the case.

Before committing bid effort Check PhD date and extension evidence; current host commitment; restrictions from previous applications or ERC awards; requested resources; current proposal sections/page limits; ethics and research-security requirements; exact deadline time. This profile is a selection aid, not a full eligibility certification.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

C02 EIC Accelerator 2026

Official identifier HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATOROPEN-01 and HORIZON-EIC-2026-ACCELERATORCHALLENGES-01; individual topics remain separate records.

Entry status Rolling short proposals; a remaining full-proposal cut-off is scheduled

Dates and stages Next remaining full-proposal batch: 4 November 2026, 17:00 Brussels time. Short proposals are submitted continuously and batched monthly. A short-proposal GO or authorised Fast Track / Plug-In access is required for the full-proposal route.

Programme and action Horizon Europe → Innovative Europe → EIC → amended EIC Work Programme 2026.

Applicant fit Eligible single start-ups and SMEs; small mid-caps up to 499 employees can access the specified investment-only route. UK applicants are restricted to the grant-only route under the published scheme information. Apply the current country and ownership rules.

Funding model 2026 scheme page: EUR 414m for Accelerator Open and EUR 220m for Challenges. Grant support below EUR 2.5m for relevant TRL 6–8 activities, normally completed within 24 months; investment EUR 1m–10m under the scheme. These are different financial components.

Application route Short proposal, full proposal and interview; investment is subject to a separate due-diligence and agreement process. The scheme page describes a 12-page short form, ten-slide deck and three-minute video, and a 20-page full form plus supporting documents. Recheck the current templates.

Assessment and fit Strong fit: a high-risk, breakthrough innovation with credible commercial scale and a financing gap. Build a technical validation case, evidence of demand, an intellectual-property position, realistic milestones and a financing plan. A conventional consultancy or incremental local service business will struggle to establish the intended innovation and scale case.

Before committing bid effort Record the applicable topic beneath the verified Open/Challenges call identifier; short-stage outcome; fit with any challenge scope; current lump-sum and eligible-cost rules; ownership and investment conditions; resubmission rules; company evidence and financial capacity. A positive grant outcome does not guarantee investment on the requested terms.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

C03 LIFE Strategic Nature Projects 2026

Official identifier LIFE-2026-STRAT-NAT-SNaP-two-stage

Entry status First-stage entry closed; second stage remains relevant to invited applicants

Dates and stages Published/opened 21 April 2026. Concept-note deadline 3 September 2026, 17:00; full proposal deadline 4 March 2027, 17:00. Confirm the operative timezone in the Portal; the source's CET notation must not be applied mechanically across daylight-saving dates.

Programme and action LIFE → Nature and Biodiversity → Strategic Nature Projects → Multiannual Work Programme 2025–2027.

Applicant fit Eligible bodies capable of implementing the relevant strategic nature plan, with the competent authorities and necessary delivery partners. The precise coordinator, consortium and country conditions require the topic dossier.

Funding model The CINEA announcement's EUR 600m relates to the wider 2026 LIFE calls. It is not the SNaP topic budget. Topic allocation, maximum grant and co-financing rate remain to be entered from the controlling dossier.

Application route Two-stage application through Funding & Tenders. On 30 September 2026 a new applicant cannot use the March 2027 date as a fresh first-stage entry deadline.

Assessment and fit Strong fit: implementation of a qualifying nature strategy at the required scale, with credible governance and complementary funding. Map each intervention to the plan, the body responsible, its measurable contribution and the financing source. A stand-alone habitat pilot without the required strategic implementation relationship may fit a different LIFE action.

Before committing bid effort Confirm invitation to stage two; qualifying plan and territorial scope; competent-authority role; complementary-finance strategy; dossier budget and rate; page limits; outputs and monitoring; revised annexes. The overall website label open must be supplemented by an entrant-specific status.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

C04 EIC Pre Accelerator 2027

Official identifier Planned scheme; exact 2027 topic identifier to be reconciled with WIDERA

Entry status Programmed future opportunity; not open at the editorial cut-off

Dates and stages Official scheme page gives planned opening 5 May 2027 and deadline 18 November 2027. These dates remain subject to the controlling work programme and published call.

Programme and action Horizon Europe → Widening participation → EIC Pre Accelerator in the 2026–2027 programme.

Applicant fit The scheme targets deep-tech companies in eligible widening countries. The webpage has inconsistent wording on SMEs versus small mid-caps; the Atlas does not resolve that conflict without the controlling topic and annex.

Funding model The 2027 section describes EUR 40m and grants of EUR 500,000–1m at 70% funding, up to two years, for movement from TRL 4 towards TRL 6. An older EUR 20m figure elsewhere on the page belongs to a different reference context and must not replace the 2027 allocation.

Application route Prepare against the current WIDERA action and wait for the correct 2027 Portal forms. Do not reuse a 2025 application template as if it were the operative 2027 form.

Assessment and fit Strong fit: a company with a credible technical result that needs both validation and commercial/investment readiness. Establish what is already demonstrated, what uncertainty remains and which milestones justify a subsequent financing round. A generic business plan without a substantive technology-development path is weak.

Before committing bid effort Resolve applicant-size rule and country annex; exact topic ID; opening and deadline timezone; eligible costs and own contribution; assessment criteria; evidence of TRL and commercial readiness. Keep the record planned until the official call opens.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

C05 Erasmus Small scale Partnerships 2026

Official identifier Decentralised action rounds; ERASMUS-SPORT-2026-SSCP is a separate central topic

Entry status Main rounds closed; any additional national round requires country verification

Dates and stages Guide page: 5 March at 12:00 Brussels time for the relevant National Agency route; a 1 October 12:00 round only where the National Agency opens it. Sport's central deadline is 5 March at 17:00. Do not advertise a universal October call.

Programme and action Erasmus+ → KA2 → Small-scale Partnerships → 2026 Programme Guide and annual call.

Applicant fit At least two organisations from two different EU Member States or associated countries under the action's conditions. Sector, applicant role and participating-country rules must be checked for the specific round.

Funding model Lump sums of EUR 30,000 or EUR 60,000; normal project duration 6–24 months. The selected sum must be justified through the project's activities and results, not treated as a spending allowance without outputs.

Application route National Agency for the applicable education, training or youth action; EACEA/Portal for sport. Confirm OID/PIC requirements in the actual system.

Assessment and fit Strong fit: a manageable first or smaller cooperation project with clear partner contributions and practical benefits for learners, staff or target groups. Plan a limited set of credible activities, accessible participation and proportionate evaluation. A large research consortium or capital infrastructure project belongs elsewhere.

Before committing bid effort Identify country and sector; confirm that an additional round actually exists; current guide corrigenda; eligible coordinator; quality thresholds; start-date window; correct form and accreditation conditions if applicable. The web guide contains a stale completion-date sentence, so use the operative 2026 PDF and agency notice to resolve it.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

C06 Migration Partnership Facility downstream calls

Official identifier Three calls announced by DG HOME on 30 July 2026; individual operator IDs still to capture

Entry status Discovery record; current entry status not established

Dates and stages The Commission announcement is dated 30 July 2026. It does not by itself establish that every downstream round remains open on 30 September.

Programme and action DG HOME funds → entrusted Migration Partnership Facility → separate migration, border and internal-security calls.

Applicant fit The individual call specifies eligible authorities, partners and target countries. The facility title does not imply a universal NGO or SME application route.

Funding model Separate call allocations, grant limits and co-financing must be captured from each operator dossier. Do not use the parent-facility envelope as each call's budget.

Application route Follow the official Commission announcement to the facility operator, then retain the exact call guidelines, submission system, questions/answers and amendments.

Assessment and fit This example shows why the Portal alone cannot cover all EU-supported opportunities. The funding origin, entrusted operator and final award body may differ. Assess the proposed partnership against the call's operational objectives and the authorities capable of delivering them.

Before committing bid effort Create three distinct records, capture official IDs, budgets, deadlines, country and applicant rules, mandate chain, operator and redress route. Until those checks are complete, do not recommend the record as an open opportunity.

Official source and supporting information

Official call dossier and conditions

Preparing for 2028 to 2035

Read the future architecture at three levels

System level	Programme level
Proposed 2028–2034 MFF headings and programme families.	Proposed internal components and the future instrument profiles F01–F18.

Transition level

Current activities mapped to proposed functions; existing agreements; separate 2035 outlook.

PROPOSED: preparation signals remain subject to the final legal acts, programming and opportunities.

Build the future strategy around the work your organisation must do, then test it against the emerging funding architecture. The proposed 2028–2034 MFF changes both grouping and delivery. A present programme name is therefore an unreliable guarantee of a future application route.

The Commission's July and September 2025 packages provide the proposed framework. Council partial negotiating positions are intermediate steps; they do not finalise the whole budget or establish an open call. The 2035 horizon concerns continuing implementation, payments, finance obligations and later policy choices, rather than an adopted eight-year MFF.

The four proposed headings Economic, social and territorial cohesion, agriculture, rural and maritime, prosperity and security; Competitiveness, prosperity and security; Global Europe; European public administration. The maps abbreviate the first heading for readability.

What to do before future calls exist

Keep a portfolio of project concepts with a clear need, maturity, intended users, partners, investment cost, own resources and next preparation step. Track the relevant national planning authority or central programme file. Invest in reusable readiness—data, permits, evidence, partnerships and financial planning—while avoiding commitments that depend on an unadopted funding rule.

Commission proposal package | Council negotiating position on plans

Proposed 2028–2034 architecture

1 Cohesion and national plans	2 Competitiveness prosperity and security
National and Regional Partnership Plans. Cohesion, agriculture, rural and maritime development, people, migration and security. Follow plan chapters and actual delivery bodies.	European Competitiveness Fund; Horizon Europe. Erasmus; AgoraEU; CEF; Single Market and Customs; other sector files. Follow final programme and work-programme rules.
3 Global Europe	**4 European public administration**
Global Europe instrument; OCT / Greenland; CFSP; fisheries expenditure. Country and thematic programming and implementation chains. A heading is broader than the Global Europe instrument.	EU institutions and institutional operating expenditure. External opportunities mainly arise through procurement and specific actions. Use the actual institution or buyer source.

PROPOSED: proposals guide preparation. Final laws, programming and notices will establish access.

PROPOSED structure at 30 September 2026; heading 1 is abbreviated. Dashed borders denote proposals, not adopted funding entitlements. The statutory MFF period is proposed as 2028–2034; 2035 is a separate planning horizon for implementation, payments and later policy decisions.

Commission package

Future profiles F01 · F02 · F03 · F04 · F05 · F06 · F16

Proposed internal programme structures

European Competitiveness Fund	Horizon Europe
Clean transition and industrial decarbonisation. Digital leadership. Health, biotechnology, agriculture and bioeconomy. Resilience, security, defence, industry and space.	Excellent Science. Competitiveness and Society. Innovation. European Research Area.
Global Europe	**People and culture**
Europe; Middle East, North Africa and Gulf; sub-Saharan Africa; Asia and Pacific; Americas and Caribbean; global.	AgoraEU: Culture; MEDIA+; Democracy, Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values. Erasmus: education, training, youth, sport and solidarity. Euratom has a separate proposed 2028–2032 duration.

PROPOSED: read the component level. Familiar programme names can conceal a changed architecture.

All branches on this map are proposed. Horizon remains legally distinct from the Competitiveness Fund. Geography, eligible actions, funding rates and management routes require the final sector acts and implementation documents; no future calls are inferred here.

Sector proposals

Future profiles F01 · F02 · F03 · F04 · F05 · F06 · F16

Map functions as well as programme names

Territorial and national investment

ERDF / ESF+ / Cohesion / CAP / EMFAF / migration-security funds → National and Regional Partnership Plans.

Proposed consolidation; sector provisions still matter.

Competitiveness and technology

Digital / space / defence-industry / transition functions → Competitiveness Fund and other sector files.

Partial, many-to-many functional mapping.

Research

Horizon Europe → future Horizon Europe plus connections to ECF.

Research continuity with a changed four-pillar structure.

Culture education and solidarity

Creative Europe + CERV; Erasmus + ESC → AgoraEU; future Erasmus including solidarity.

Combination proposed; future action rules needed.

External action

NDICI / IPA / humanitarian and country-facility functions → Global Europe and distinct external files.

Map operations and principles, not only budget labels.

PROPOSED transition: a merger does not preserve every current activity, rate or applicant rule. Existing agreements continue under their applicable terms and transition provisions; an implementation year does not rename the original funding period.

Relationship map, not a financial-flow chart: arrow width carries no amount. Future profiles F01–F18 give the relevant policy and legislative sources. Secure present commitments against current rules while keeping later project concepts adaptable.

Future budget package

Future profiles F01 · F02 · F03 · F04 · F05 · F06 · F16

Future instruments and preparation priorities

F01 National and Regional Partnership Plans

Proposed design One integrated plan per Member State is proposed for cohesion, agriculture, rural and maritime development, social investment, migration and security. National, regional and local participation remains material. The Council agreed a partial negotiating position on 16 June 2026.

Preparation decision Identify the authorities preparing your country's plan and the chapter relevant to the project. Bring evidence of local need, implementation readiness and operating responsibility to the planning process.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F02 European Competitiveness Fund

Proposed design The proposal consolidates multiple instruments around four windows: clean transition and industrial decarbonisation; digital leadership; health, biotech, agriculture and bioeconomy; resilience and security, defence, industry and space. It connects grants and financial instruments with an investment pathway.

Preparation decision Classify the project by technology, investment stage and financing need. Keep options open between research, demonstration, deployment and scale-up; the final window and product rules will decide the route.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F03 Horizon Europe 2028 to 2034

Proposed design The proposed research framework has four pillars: Excellent Science; Competitiveness and Society; Innovation; European Research Area. The Commission proposes EUR 175bn and a close relationship with the Competitiveness Fund.

Preparation decision Build the scientific and partnership case now. Follow the future research act, strategic planning and scheme-specific work programmes before fixing the application structure.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

Future instruments continued

F04 Global Europe 2028 to 2034

Proposed design The proposed instrument brings together external development, neighbourhood, enlargement and humanitarian financing. It uses five geographic pillars and a global pillar, with flexibility for emerging needs. The Council agreed a partial mandate on 16 June 2026.

Preparation decision Follow country and regional programming, local ownership and the eventual implementing body. Preserve separate humanitarian, development, procurement and investment requirements.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F05 AgoraEU

Proposed design The proposal brings together culture, media, democratic participation and rights. Its strands are Creative Europe Culture, MEDIA+ and Democracy, Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values, commonly described as CERV+. Council partial mandate: 12 May 2026.

Preparation decision Choose the cultural, media or rights objective and intended users. Develop the partnership and evidence of need while monitoring the final strand rules.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F06 Erasmus and solidarity

Proposed design The future Erasmus proposal brings education, training, youth, sport and solidarity into its framework. Existing Erasmus and ESC routes should be preserved as historical records and linked to the proposed successor arrangements.

Preparation decision Plan learning, mobility, cooperation or solidarity outcomes. Check transition provisions for agency responsibilities, accreditation and participation countries before relying on current arrangements.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

Future instruments continued

F07 Connecting Europe Facility

Proposed design The future CEF file supports transport and energy connections, including military mobility. Council reached a partial general approach in December 2025. Digital connectivity has to be mapped against the proposed wider competitiveness architecture.

Preparation decision Test network relevance, permits, project maturity and the civil or dual-use purpose. Confirm the final transport, energy and wider connectivity boundaries.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F08 Single Market and Customs Programme

Proposed design The package includes a specific proposal for single-market and customs cooperation. It must be tracked alongside ECF and sectoral legislation, rather than treated as a synonym for all business support.

Preparation decision Identify the specific market, customs or administrative function relevant to the organisation. Follow its final legal destination and eligible recipient rules.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F09 Civil protection and health emergency preparedness

Proposed design A proposed instrument combines the Union Civil Protection Mechanism with Union support for health emergency preparedness and response. It has a distinct operational role alongside competitiveness, research and national investment.

Preparation decision Separate emergency capacity and response from research, industrial capability and health-service investment. The relevant final instrument will depend on that purpose.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

Future instruments continued

F10 Justice

Proposed design Justice remains a separately listed proposed programme in the package. Judicial cooperation, training and access-to-justice objectives require their own legal and programme records.

Preparation decision Develop the judicial cooperation, training or access-to-justice need, including the competent participants and cross-border rationale.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F11 Pericles V

Proposed design The next anti-counterfeiting programme is proposed as Pericles V. Retaining the current Pericles IV name for the future period would hide a new legal record and potentially different provisions.

Preparation decision Confirm the competent-authority role and anti-counterfeiting purpose. General business, civil-society or security relevance is insufficient.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F12 Euratom research and training

Proposed design The Euratom proposal covers 2028–2032, a different legal duration from the seven-year MFF. The package envisages a changed relationship with fusion and ITER financing.

Preparation decision Plan against the proposed five-year Euratom duration and check later extension provisions. Keep research, fusion and infrastructure roles explicit.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

Future instruments continued

F13 Nuclear safety and decommissioning

Proposed design The package separately lists an Instrument for Nuclear Safety Cooperation and Decommissioning and an Ignalina programme. These files must not disappear inside a broad nuclear-energy label.

Preparation decision Identify the site, responsible body and procurement or grant role. Safety cooperation, decommissioning and research have different legal and operational conditions.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F14 OCT and Greenland association

Proposed design The proposed Overseas Association including Greenland remains a separate external relationship. Territorial and regional programming must be traced under its own legal framework.

Preparation decision Check the territory's legal status and its own programming. OCT arrangements differ from cohesion support for EU outermost regions.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F15 CFSP and international fisheries expenditure

Proposed design The future Global Europe heading also covers CFSP and international fisheries agreements/organisations as distinct expenditure families. The heading and the Global Europe instrument are not identical.

Preparation decision Follow the specific Council action, international agreement or buyer notice. A heading-wide amount is not an application budget.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

Future instruments continued

F16 European public administration

Proposed design The fourth proposed heading covers institutional operating costs. It belongs in a complete spending architecture even though much expenditure has no external application route.

Preparation decision For external suppliers, track actual institutional procurement. Recruitment, pensions and internal operating costs are not open grant programmes.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F17 Crisis flexibility and Ukraine support

Proposed design The package includes special flexibility and crisis arrangements, and proposed additional Ukraine support outside ordinary MFF ceilings. These are distinct from general programme envelopes.

Preparation decision Treat mobilisation and triggering conditions as decisive. A political ceiling or proposed crisis mechanism does not establish a currently available product.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

F18 Continuing related finance and transitional obligations

Proposed design EIB, EIF, EBRD, UN, bilateral and other related sources continue under their own governance. EU mandates can change with the new framework. Earlier grants, loans and contracts can remain operational after 2027.

Preparation decision Keep current agreements, reporting, repayments and ownership obligations in force under their terms. Review renewed bank mandates and final transition provisions separately.

Proposed instrument and legislative documents

The 2035 horizon

A project's life can extend beyond the period that authorised its funding. In 2035, organisations may still be delivering or maintaining assets, using research results, reporting on previous commitments, servicing loans or meeting retention obligations. These activities must be tracked under their actual agreements, not relabelled as new 2035 grants.

Planning question	Practical response
What can be prepared now?	Needs evidence, technical design, permissions, partner roles, financing assumptions and operating plans.
What requires adopted rules?	Eligibility, exact programme boundaries, award conditions, rates and final allocations.
What requires a published opportunity?	Exact topic or lot, opening, entry deadline, submission channel and the operative dossier.
What survives a funding-period change?	The obligations and rights in existing agreements, subject to their applicable transition rules.
What if adoption or design changes?	Reassess the route and timing while retaining the project's evidence and readiness work.

Project development proposal writing and management handbook

The project lifecycle in this handbook

Design and bid decision

Choose the regime → read the call → build evidence → define need, method, results and partnership.

Plan and compete

Work breakdown → budget and finance → impact and safeguards → review and submit.

Deliver and retain value

Governance → award and mobilisation → monitor and control change → close and retain results.

The PEF holds evidence; the PBD develops the design; NADIA makes activity descriptions assessable.

A persuasive proposal makes a sequence of claims that the reader can test: the need is real; the method can address it; the team can deliver it; the results will be used; and the resources are proportionate. Build those claims from evidence before turning them into application prose.

Use it in three passes. First decide whether to pursue the opportunity. Then design the intervention and assemble a proposal against the actual assessment criteria. After award, turn the agreed proposal into a controlled delivery system with named owners, evidence and decision rights. The signed agreement and current programme documents govern obligations throughout.

Stage	Required output	Decision owner
Opportunity fit	A dated call brief, eligibility evidence, exclusions, entry deadline and funding gap.	Sponsor authorises bid effort.
Project design	Need, objectives, results chain, partners, activities, resources and assumptions.	Coordinator and work-package owners agree feasibility.
Application	Completed official forms, reconciled budget and evidence against every criterion.	Authorised representative approves submission.
Award and start	Accepted agreement, delivery baseline, governance and cash-flow plan.	Beneficiaries and funder exercise their respective powers.

Stage	Required output	Decision owner
Implementation	Accepted outputs, outcome evidence, expenditure records and approved changes.	Project manager escalates exceptions to the right authority.
Closure and continuation	Final acceptance, reporting, retention plan, ownership and funded continuation.	Sponsor accepts handover and benefits responsibilities.

The method behind this chapter

The PEF evidence folder, PBD application structure and NADIA activity method draw on the BSN Guide for Project Development, 10 August 2014, pages 160–168, which attributes NADIA to Professor Steve Quarrie. Policy-to-call analysis, evaluation, impact and work breakdown also draw on the Horizon Europe Proposal Writing Masterclass, 2026, particularly slides 3, 5, 11–17 and 24–29. Current call and agreement documents determine the rules.

Current Portal guidance and reference documents

Official PM2 management resources and artefacts

The argument a funder must believe

01 Need

Who experiences what problem, where and with what measured baseline?

Evidence folder; stakeholder validation.

02 Method

Why should these activities change the relevant cause? What alternatives were tested?

Scientific or intervention rationale.

03 Results

What will exist, who will use it and what measurable change follows?

Results chain; adoption commitments.

04 Delivery

Which people, resources, dependencies and approvals make delivery feasible?

Work breakdown; budget; cash plan.

05 Assessment

Does every published criterion receive a specific, evidenced answer?

Application structure; independent review.

Each stage requires evidence and a decision. Drafting starts after the design is coherent.

Adapted from the BSN Guide's PEF, PBD and NADIA methods, including its attribution to Professor Steve Quarrie, and the Horizon Europe Proposal Writing Masterclass. Keep the call's own criteria and forms; this is a project-design method, not a universal application template.

Choose the correct proposal regime

Route	What must persuade the funder	Controlling evidence
Research and innovation grant	The knowledge or technology gap, credible method, expected outcomes, capable consortium and feasible implementation.	Exact topic, action type, evaluation form, work programme and grant model.
Erasmus culture rights and social grants	A real participant or community need, relevant partnership, learning or social outcomes, inclusion and sustained use.	Action guide, national or central call, award criteria and applicable cost model.
Cohesion CAP and national schemes	Fit with the national or regional intervention, local eligibility, project maturity, selection criteria and sustainable operation.	Managing-authority or paying-agency notice, intervention rules and national forms.
External-action grant	Local ownership, stakeholder and context analysis, coherent intervention logic, feasible partners, safeguards and measurable results.	Guidelines for applicants, lot conditions, annexes, applicable PRAG procedure and agreement.
Procurement contract	A compliant offer delivering the buyer's specification, acceptance requirements and value under the published award method.	Contract notice, tender specifications, questions and answers, contract conditions and evaluation method.
Loan equity or guarantee-backed finance	Bankability, cash generation or investment case, promoter capacity, risk, own contribution, security and expected return.	Provider product terms, mandate, appraisal requirements and proposed financing agreement.
Cascade grant or voucher	Fit with the operator's experiment or service scheme, eligible third-party status and practical delivery capacity.	Operator notice, parent grant authority, selection rules and subgrant agreement.
Preparation or technical assistance	A credible investment or reform pipeline, accountable owner and an actionable preparation programme.	Advisory service mandate, eligible preparation costs and approval procedure.

A strong narrative cannot repair an ineligible applicant, a missed first-stage deadline or an unfunded cash-flow gap. Stop at those gates until the problem is resolved. Keep a record of the reason for a no-go decision so the same unsuitable route is not repeatedly reconsidered.

Read the call and make a documented bid decision

Create one call brief from the latest controlling documents. Record the official identifier, topic or lot, authority, instrument, objective, expected outcomes, applicant and country rules, consortium conditions, budget, rate, cost model, deadline with timezone, stage, submission system, evaluation method and agreement. Include document versions and amendments. An agency news item is useful for discovery; the dossier decides the application.

Check	Evidence to retain	Decision
Entry is still possible	Exact first-stage date or invitation; registration and prior-stage conditions.	No entry means no bid for this round.
Applicant and activities fit	Legal status, country, ownership where relevant, eligible role and proposed activities.	Identify a qualified coordinator or change scope only if the rules allow.
Partnership is feasible	Required countries and roles; written availability; access to users, sites and data.	Close essential partner gaps before detailed drafting.
Economics work	Contribution, eligible costs, own finance, cash timing and financial capacity.	Approve the residual financing requirement.
Delivery is credible	Permits, ethics, procurement lead times, staff and critical dependencies.	Define minimum readiness at submission and at start.
Bid effort is proportionate	Strategic value, evidence strength, available team and realistic award prospects.	Sponsor commits time and named reviewers.

Schedule backwards from the submission deadline. Allow time for partner data, budget reconciliation, internal review, signatures and a complete upload rehearsal. Use the portal's current rules for replacement and final submission; a saved draft is not proof of submission. Retain the receipt and the exact submitted files.

Build the Project Environment Folder

The Project Environment Folder is the evidence library behind the application. Organise it so an author can find both a claim and its source. Store the call dossier and amendments; programme and policy documents; national and local strategies; stakeholder consultations; baseline statistics; earlier projects and evaluations; market and technology evidence; partner capabilities; and relevant regulatory requirements.

Folder	Minimum useful record	Quality check
01 Rules and versions	Source URL, issuer, publication date, retrieved date, controlling version and owner.	Superseded documents remain marked as superseded.
02 Needs and stakeholders	Problem evidence, affected group, geography, baseline year and consultation record.	Separate observation, estimate and stakeholder opinion.
03 Existing solutions and projects	Official project ID, scheme, outputs, results, limits and contact route.	Explain the remaining gap; avoid copying another proposal.
04 Policy and implementation	Policy objective, programme outcome and relevant national or sectoral priority.	Show the practical link to the intervention.
05 Delivery capacity	Partner experience, staff availability, infrastructure, permits and access commitments.	Use evidence of the actual proposed role.
06 Assumptions and risks	Source, uncertainty, effect on feasibility, owner and mitigation.	Critical unsupported assumptions trigger research or redesign.

Use a claim register with the columns claim, source, page or section, evidence date, limitations, proposal location and reviewer. For numerical baselines, retain the denominator and geography. Search official project databases for outputs and evaluations, not only promotional summaries. Funded-project examples are evidence of a route and a design; they are not automatically approved templates for a different call.

Build the Project Background Document

Start the Project Background Document from the exact application structure. Preserve official headings, required tables, page limits and instructions. Under each heading insert the relevant award criterion, the evaluator's question, the evidence needed, the author, the reviewer and the planned word or page allocation. Remove internal instructions before submission.

Application heading	Question to answer	Evidence and ownership
Relevance or excellence	What precise gap will be addressed, for whom, and why is this method appropriate?	Baseline and state of the art; scientific or intervention lead.
Impact or results	Who will use the result, what changes and what conditions make that change possible?	Results chain, adoption evidence and indicators; impact lead and end users.
Implementation	Can this team execute the work with the proposed effort, money and time?	WBS, schedule, roles, budget and risks; coordinator and task owners.
Capacity and partnership	Why are these organisations and people necessary and capable?	Role-specific experience and commitments; each partner.
Required horizontal issues	How will ethics, inclusion, environment, data and other applicable duties be handled?	Specific measures, owners, costs and approvals; relevant specialist.

Maintain a compliance matrix separately from the prose. Each instruction has a source, requirement, response location, owner and pass or unresolved status. This includes annexes, signatures, file formats, page limits and budget consistency. Do not assume a general excellence-impact-implementation model applies to every programme: replace the rows above with the published criteria for the actual route.

Define the need objectives and intervention logic

Describe the affected population or system, the current condition, the evidence date and the causes that the project can influence. Distinguish a symptom from a cause. Test the problem with intended users and explain who has authority to change it. A European policy reference gives context; it does not replace evidence that the specific intervention is needed.

Set objectives at a level the project can achieve and verify. Each objective needs a target group, a measurable change, a deadline and a measurement method. Avoid treating an activity such as running workshops as the objective. The objective should describe the capability, adoption or condition that those workshops are intended to change.

NADIA for activity descriptions

NADIA means Needs Analysis, Activity Description and Impact Analysis. For each substantial activity, explain the need; what will be done, by whom and by which method; and the expected result, its use and contribution to the objective. Give the evaluator enough detail to judge causality and feasibility within the prescribed space.

A usable activity description identifies inputs, participant selection, delivery method, quality checks, outputs, dependencies, responsible partner, effort and evidence. “Organise training” is insufficient. State whose competence must change, what training will cover, how competence will be assessed, how participants will use it and what operational support enables that use.

Assumptions are part of the logic

Separate results under direct project control from changes requiring action by others. Name assumptions such as permits, user adoption, policy decisions or market demand. Link important assumptions to a risk, an owner and a response. Do not promise policy or market outcomes that the consortium has no credible means to influence.

Outputs do not prove impact

What you control	What must follow
Inputs: people, finance, data and access. Activities: the method and its execution. Outputs: accepted results, tools, evidence or services.	Uptake: a defined user adopts the output. Outcomes: behaviour, capability or performance changes. Impact contribution: wider societal, scientific or economic change.
Indicator specification	**Credibility test**
Population and denominator; baseline, target and date. Unit, source and collection method. Responsible owner and frequency. Resources and lawful data access.	Counterfactual or comparison where feasible. Confounding and attribution limits. Reproducibility and data quality.
The causal bridge: assumptions about access, demand, policy, skills, incentives and operating finance. Test the most consequential assumptions; assign owners and response thresholds. Make the causal claim explicit and test the expected change.	

Illustrative design framework. Select methods appropriate to the research or intervention; an experimental counterfactual is not always feasible. Count unique participants separately from repeat attendance and do not equate delivery volume with attributable impact.

Use indicators that can actually be measured

For each expected result, define the indicator, unit, baseline, target, population or denominator, data source, measurement method, collection frequency and responsible owner. Explain the limitations of the measurement. Count unique people separately from attendances and organisations separately from their participations in several activities.

Level	Illustrative indicator	Evidence design
Output	Number of staff completing assessed training.	Named cohort, attendance plus assessment, unique-person rule and completion date.
Outcome	Share of trained facility teams using the new workflow after six months.	Defined eligible teams, baseline, usage records and follow-up review.
Impact contribution	Change in weather-adjusted building energy use.	Meter boundary, baseline period, normalisation method and treatment of other changes.
Sustainability	Operating budget and accountable owner in place after the grant.	Approved budget, handover acceptance and continuity plan.

The examples are design templates, not claimed results or universal programme indicators. Use compulsory indicators where the funder specifies them and add project-specific indicators only where they help explain performance. Budget the collection work and lawful handling of personal data. A target that cannot be measured with available access and resources is not a sound commitment.

Logical framework and theory of change

Where required, connect overall objective, specific outcomes, outputs and activities in the official logical-framework format. Attach indicators, sources of verification and assumptions at the correct level. Use a theory of change to explain causal reasoning and uncertainty; use the logframe to keep commitments and monitoring coherent. Reconcile both with the work plan and budget rather than allowing them to become parallel, inconsistent documents.

Design the partnership and work breakdown

Choose partners because the work needs their capability, authority, facilities or route to users. Build a role matrix before collecting logos. Record the task, required competence, evidence of capacity, key person, available effort, geographical or legal requirement, contribution to outputs and dependence on other partners. Confirm coordinator capacity to manage reporting and cash flow.

Distinguish beneficiaries, affiliated entities, associated partners, subcontractors, suppliers, implementation partners and third-party recipients according to the applicable agreement. Their cost and decision rights differ. Do not solve an eligibility gap by assigning an informal label that the call does not recognise.

Work packages tasks deliverables and milestones

Group tasks by coherent purpose and dependency. Each work package needs an objective, accountable lead, participating roles, start and end, tasks, effort, outputs, deliverables, milestones and risks. A deliverable is an item submitted or accepted; a milestone is a decision or verified state that supports control. A report can evidence a milestone but is not automatically the milestone itself.

Build the schedule from dependencies, procurement and approval lead times, staff availability, seasonal constraints and access to sites or participants. Check the critical path and the consequences of a delayed input. Use a responsibility matrix that identifies who prepares, reviews, approves and is informed. Avoid assigning several accountable owners to one decision.

Before finalising the consortium, agree background knowledge, access rights, publication expectations, ownership, exploitation, data responsibilities, internal payment arrangements and dispute escalation in a manner compatible with the grant. For procurement, use the tender's rules for joint tenders, subcontracting and reliance on other entities.

Make delivery testable

Work package	Acceptance and control
Objective and accountable lead.	Deliverable: specific accepted item.
Tasks, partners, effort and dates.	Criteria: quality threshold and evidence.
Dependencies and critical inputs.	Milestone: verified state or decision.
Resources and eligible costs.	Reviewer and approval authority.
↓ Review the forecast	**↓ Decide and record**
Actual progress against baseline.	Correct within delegated authority.
Cost to complete and available cash.	Assess change effects across the plan.
Risk triggers and dependencies.	Seek funder approval when required.
Outcomes and adoption evidence.	Update baseline; retain the decision trail.

Deliverables, milestones and management decisions have different functions.

The budget follows tasks and resources. Grant cost models, procurement acceptance and financing covenants create different evidence duties. A reporting dashboard should support decisions about remaining work and risk, not just repeat historic spending.

PM2 resources

Build the budget and financing plan from the work

Estimate the resources needed to execute each task, then apply the instrument's cost rules. Keep the underlying planning assumptions even where the application uses a simplified cost form. Reconcile partner, work-package, cost-category and overall totals. Explain major cost drivers and check that effort follows the technical work rather than an arbitrary allocation of the available envelope.

Cost or finance model	Proposal treatment	Delivery implication
Actual costs	Estimate eligible resources using the agreement's categories and calculation rules.	Maintain the required accounting and evidence; estimates do not establish final eligibility.
Unit contributions	Use the prescribed unit and eligible quantities.	Evidence the qualifying unit or event under the applicable rules.
Lump sums	Build a credible work and resource plan matching the required lump-sum method.	Payment and completion assessment follow the agreement; still manage scope, quality and underlying records.
Procurement price	Price the specified deliverables and commercial risks under the contract.	Acceptance, invoicing, service levels and variation clauses drive payment.
Loan or investment	Model capital expenditure, working capital, revenues, operating costs and financing sources.	Test debt service or investment return, covenants, disbursement conditions and downside scenarios.
Blended finance	Separate each contribution, eligible cost base, timing and permitted combination.	Prevent duplicate financing and respect the distinct agreements and approval chains.

Create a cash-flow schedule as well as a cost budget. Identify pre-financing, interim and final payments, retention, own contribution, VAT treatment where relevant, exchange-rate exposure and working-capital needs. Do not assume a grant covers every project cost or pays suppliers before the beneficiary must. The sponsor must approve the financing gap and any contingency held outside eligible project costs.

Write impact adoption communication and sustainability

Start impact writing from the call's expected outcomes and the needs of named user groups. Explain which outputs will be used, by whom, under what conditions and at what scale. Show evidence of demand or adoption commitments. Identify barriers such as standards, regulation, affordability, skills, interoperability, procurement, reimbursement or behavioural change and assign measures to address them.

Function	Purpose	Practical planning questions
Communication	Explain the project and its relevance to defined audiences.	Who needs awareness, which message and channel, when and how will engagement be checked?
Dissemination	Make usable results available to audiences able to learn from or apply them.	Which result, audience, format, access condition and timing are appropriate?
Exploitation or uptake	Put results into operational, commercial, scientific, policy or social use.	Who owns or can use the result, what further investment is needed and who leads adoption?
Sustainability	Maintain the service, capacity or benefit after project financing ends.	Who operates it, who pays, what skills and maintenance are required and what has been agreed?

Use a result-to-user matrix. Give each principal result an owner, intended user, access or licensing route, adoption action, next financing step, deadline and indicator. For public-service or community projects, exploitation can mean routine service use or policy uptake. For research, scientific reuse and further development may be appropriate. Do not force every programme into a commercial-market narrative.

Address risks safeguards ethics and data early

Maintain a risk register with cause, event, consequence, probability, impact, owner, prevention, contingency, trigger and residual exposure. Include technical failure, partner capacity, recruitment, permits, procurement delay, data access, co-finance, exchange rates and adoption where relevant. Review the register at decision gates and record changes rather than repeating the same boilerplate at every report.

Screen the actual work for ethics, personal data, security, environmental and social impacts, accessibility, equality and other call-specific duties. Identify required authorisations, specialist expertise, review steps, costs and timing. Do not claim compliance merely because the consortium supports a policy. State the concrete procedures and responsible people.

Data and intellectual property

Describe what information will be collected or generated, why it is needed, who can access it, how quality and security are controlled, where it is held and how long it must remain available. Use the agreement's requirements for data management and open science where applicable. Publication, confidentiality, commercial protection and lawful personal-data handling need a coordinated plan.

Procurement inside a funded project

Distinguish the funder's competition to select the project from purchases made during the project. The beneficiary's procurement duties depend on its status, applicable law and agreement. Plan specifications, competition or justified procedure, conflicts checks, evaluation, contract award, acceptance and records. A budget line naming a supplier does not itself establish that direct award is permitted.

For an investment, integrate environmental and social appraisal, permits, land rights, stakeholder engagement and operating responsibilities with the technical and financial case. These are feasibility conditions, not decorative annexes. Use the responsible authority or finance provider's current requirements for the actual operation.

Review and submit an application that is internally consistent

Review in layers. A technical review tests whether the intervention can work. An evaluator review scores the application against the published criteria and identifies unsupported claims. A compliance review checks eligibility, forms, limits, annexes and submission conditions. A financial review reconciles the resource plan and budget. Give reviewers sufficient time to request evidence or redesign.

Consistency test	What to compare
Need to objective	The objective addresses the demonstrated problem and target group.
Objective to tasks	Every objective has sufficient activities and an accountable owner.
Tasks to resources	Effort, equipment, services and costs match the delivery method.
Outputs to outcomes	Users, adoption conditions and indicators explain the intended change.
Schedule to cash	Dependencies and payment timing can be financed.
Narrative to forms	Names, dates, totals, quantities, acronyms and partner roles agree everywhere.
Claims to evidence	Important factual claims have dated, relevant sources.
Submission to receipt	The final files, signatures and portal receipt are archived together.

Run an upload rehearsal using the actual system and access roles. Confirm file readability, correct annexes and version control. Submit with a practical margin for technical problems, then verify receipt and the final submitted version. If the call changes, record the amendment, reassess affected sections and decide whether resubmission is necessary under the procedure.

Learn from the decision

After the result, compare evaluation comments with the criteria and your internal review. Record what evidence was missing, whether the intervention or consortium needs change and which future route is suitable. Follow only the published clarification or review procedure and deadlines. A rejected application should not simply be renamed for a different topic without a new fit assessment.

Turn the award into a controlled project

During agreement preparation, reconcile the accepted technical scope, budget, participants, start date, conditions and reporting obligations. Identify changes from the submitted proposal. Confirm who may sign and who may approve internal versus funder-facing changes. Do not commit expenditure on the assumption that every pre-award cost will be eligible.

At kick-off, establish a project charter, governance structure, decision calendar, work and budget baseline, document repository, communication rules, risk register, quality approach and reporting calendar. Confirm access to systems, financial contacts, evidence standards and escalation thresholds. Each partner should understand what it must deliver, how it will be accepted and how payment is handled.

Control	Minimum arrangement
Governance	Sponsor, coordinator, steering body, work-package owners and decision rights.
Baseline	Approved scope, deliverables, milestones, effort, budget and schedule.
Quality	Acceptance criteria, reviewer, evidence and corrective-action route for each major output.
Finance	Budget owner, accounting identifiers, expenditure checks, cash forecast and partner transfers.
Information	Versioned repository, access controls, meeting and decision records, data responsibilities.
Change	Request, impact analysis, internal approval, funder approval where required and baseline update.
Closure	Acceptance, final reporting, retention, handover and ownership of continuing benefits.

Monitor delivery finance and results together

Use a management dashboard that combines task progress, milestone status, deliverable acceptance, resource consumption, forecast completion, cash, risks and outcome indicators. An activity marked complete is not enough if the deliverable fails acceptance or the intended user cannot apply it. Track variances against the approved baseline and name the corrective decision needed.

At work-package reviews, ask what was completed, what evidence supports it, what remains, what has changed and which decision is required. Forecast the final cost and completion date rather than reporting only historic spending. Resolve underuse of resources as seriously as overspending when it threatens the promised results.

Reporting and the audit trail

Prepare reports from contemporaneous records. Link reported outputs to accepted deliverables; link indicators to their measurement files; link expenditure or units to the evidence required by the agreement. Keep contracts, procurement decisions, invoices, payment evidence, accounting references, time or unit evidence where applicable, travel records, approvals and partner communications in a retrievable structure.

Check that technical and financial reports describe the same work and period. Explain deviations with their causes, consequences and approved response. Avoid unsupported percentages of completion. For lump-sum grants, understand the contractual basis for work-package completion and payment rather than assuming that a spending percentage is decisive.

Change control

Record the reason for a proposed change, effects on objectives, scope, partners, schedule, budget, eligibility, risks and expected outcomes, and the decision authority. Seek the funder's agreement when required before implementing the change. Preserve the original baseline and the approved revision so later reviewers can reconstruct what happened.

Close the project and retain its value

Plan closure before the final reporting period. Confirm completion and acceptance of outputs, resolve remaining commitments, reconcile partner balances and records, complete final technical and financial reporting and identify unresolved claims or obligations. Obtain the required funder acceptance under the agreement; internal completion alone may not release contractual duties.

Hand over assets, results, documentation, access rights and operating knowledge to named owners. Confirm maintenance and operating finance, licences, data stewardship, user support and responsibility for continued measurement. Record where public outputs will remain available and who will maintain links and contact information.

Closure record	Questions it must answer
Acceptance and completion	What was accepted, by whom, on what evidence and with which outstanding conditions?
Financial reconciliation	What has been claimed, paid, retained, recovered or remains due?
Records and retention	What must be retained, where, under whose responsibility and for how long under the agreement?
Assets and results	Who owns, operates, licenses, updates and funds each continuing asset or result?
Benefits review	Which outcomes will be measured after closure, by whom and on what date?
Learning	Which design, partnership and management changes should improve the next project?

For a loan, investment or concession, grant-style final reporting does not end debt service, covenants, ownership or operating obligations. For public contracts, warranty, service-level and liability provisions may continue after delivery. Use the actual agreement to define closure and continuing responsibilities.

Reusable project development and management templates

Create the following working tables for each application and maintain them after award. They are a minimum management toolkit, not extra annexes to submit unless the funder requests them. Use official templates where prescribed and adapt PM2 artefacts for internal control.

Template	Fields to retain
Opportunity brief	Identifier; source; version; objective; instrument; stage; eligibility; deadline and timezone; budget; contribution; criteria; entry decision; owner.
Evidence register	Claim; source; date; page; geography; unit; limitations; proposal location; reviewer; refresh date.
Compliance matrix	Requirement; source section; mandatory or conditional; response location; author; evidence; reviewer; pass or unresolved.
Stakeholder map	Group; need; influence; role; interest; participation method; contact consent; owner; feedback and response.
Results framework	Need; objective; output; outcome; indicator; baseline; target; unit; method; source; frequency; owner; assumption.
Work-package sheet	Objective; tasks; lead; partners; dates; dependencies; effort; cost; deliverables; acceptance criteria; milestones; risk.
Partner role matrix	Legal role; eligibility; capability; named staff; effort; outputs; access; commitment; budget; reporting responsibility.
Budget and cash forecast	Task; partner; cost category; quantity; unit cost or approved unit; eligible amount; contribution; own finance; payment timing; cash balance.
Risk and issue log	Cause; event; impact; probability; owner; prevention; trigger; contingency; current status; decision and date.
Change request	Proposed change; reason; alternatives; effects; required approvals; decision; effective date; revised baseline.
Deliverable acceptance	ID; owner; due date; version; criteria; reviewer; evidence; defects; acceptance; publication or retention location.
Results and uptake plan	Result; owner; user; access rights; adoption action; financing; deadline; indicator; continuation owner.
Closure checklist	Contractual condition; evidence; accountable owner; due date; status; remaining obligation; handover or retention location.

Apply the handbook across programme families

Family	Emphasis to add to the common method	Official models
Horizon Euratom RFCS	Research gap, method, action-specific outcomes, scientific and user roles, data and exploitation. ERC, MSCA and EIC need their own forms.	CORDIS; ERC and EIC projects; MSCA guidance; exact evaluation forms.
Digital CEF Space	Operational readiness, infrastructure access, interoperability, network or deployment conditions, ownership and security restrictions.	Agency project portfolios and Portal results.
LIFE Innovation Fund	Environmental or climate baseline, measurable additional effect, replicability, technology maturity and long-term operation.	LIFE database; Innovation Fund portfolio and knowledge sharing.
Erasmus ESC Creative Europe	Participant needs, learning or cultural value, inclusion, partner roles, outputs and continuation; action-specific units or lump sums.	Erasmus Results Platform and Creative Europe projects.
CERV Justice AMIF ISF BMVI	Target populations, rights and safeguards, competent bodies, operational cooperation and national versus central route.	Portal awards and responsible national authority lists.
ERDF Cohesion ESF JTF	Regional strategy, eligible location and beneficiary, project selection, readiness, procurement and indicator evidence.	Kohesio and national or regional operation lists.
CAP EMFAF	Specific national intervention, applicant status, operation conditions, environmental obligations and business viability.	CAP Network, paying agencies and national EMFAF lists.
NDICI IPA humanitarian	Context, local ownership, partner role, intervention logic, safeguards, procurement or grant procedure and country implementation.	Aid Explorer, Delegation portfolios, DG ECHO and implementing partner reports.

Family	Emphasis to add to the common method	Official models
Bank and blended finance	Promoter capacity, technical feasibility, economic and financial case, repayment, security, risks and environmental appraisal.	EIB and EBRD project summaries and provider appraisal guidance.
Administration and restricted instruments	Determine whether an external applicant route exists. Procurement, nominations and state applications require different submissions.	Buyer specifications, competent-authority notices and official award records.

Write so an expert can assess the project

Lead each section with the judgement it must support. Follow with the evidence, method and limits. The reader should be able to identify the proposed advance or change, understand why the approach is credible and locate the information needed to assess it. A long policy introduction rarely does that work.

Weak statement	What an expert needs instead
We will develop an innovative platform.	Which unresolved problem, users and operating setting? What is technically new, compared with which alternatives, and how will it be validated?
Training will increase capacity.	Which competence is missing, what teaching and practice will change it, how will it be assessed, and where will participants apply it?
The project will have major European impact.	Which result will be used by whom, what adoption conditions must hold, and what measured change is plausible within and beyond the project?
The consortium has excellent experience.	Which partner controls each necessary capability, dataset, facility or user relationship, and what evidence supports that role?

A needs-first activity description

Illustrative design, not a funded-project result: A municipal building team cannot distinguish equipment faults from changes in weather and occupancy. The project will combine calibrated sensor data with an agreed baseline model, test detection performance on held-out periods and validate alerts with facility staff. The output is a documented method and usable alert workflow. The intended outcome is earlier fault correction; any claimed energy saving must account for weather, occupancy and concurrent maintenance changes.

The description is assessable because it names the problem, method, validation, user, output and limits of the impact claim. The full work package must then assign the owner, data access, effort, timetable, acceptance thresholds and measurement costs. Apply that discipline to each central promise in the proposal.

Project management methods: from BSN to PM²

Connect delivery discipline to governance

Authorise and organise	Plan and control
Initiation; relationship practices; PM² roles, phases, gates and useful artefacts.	Uncertain work, dependencies, decisions, change and reporting under the funding agreement.

Use project-management documents to support decisions and accountability.

A funded project has two obligations: create the intended value and fulfil its agreement. A method helps the team organise decisions, work and evidence; it cannot replace the grant conditions, professional judgement or responsibility for outcomes. Choose the method around uncertainty, delivery risk and the people who must use the result.

The BSN Guide for Project Development and Proposal Writing, 10 August 2014, combined EU Project Cycle Management, ISO guidance, PMBOK processes and a relationship-based project-leadership model. These approaches answer different questions and remain useful together. The Atlas retains the practical development handbook and restores the additional methods here. Historical programme rules and standards are identified and updated rather than silently reused.

Approach	What it contributes	How to use it in an EU project
EU Project Cycle Management	A connection between policy programming, diagnosis, formulation, implementation and evaluation.	Test relevance and intervention logic before selecting activities; revisit assumptions during delivery.
Logical framework and theory of change	An explicit chain of objectives, outputs, outcomes, indicators and assumptions.	Use the funder's required format, backed by causal reasoning and evidence; keep it consistent with the WBS and budget.
ISO 21500 / ISO 21502	Concepts and guidance for project management across organisational settings.	Use as management guidance. The BSN's ISO 21500:2012 reference is historical: ISO 21500:2021 covers context/concepts; ISO 21502:2020 provides project-management guidance.
PMBOK	A broad body of project-management principles, domains, tools and practices.	The BSN used the fifth edition. PMI's eighth edition, published in 2025, is the current reference checked here; do not describe the old process map as the current standard.

Approach	What it contributes	How to use it in an EU project
PM²	A practical governance, lifecycle, activity and artefact framework developed by the European Commission.	Adapt roles, phase gates and templates to the consortium and agreement; avoid a second bureaucracy duplicating grant reporting.
Agile or iterative delivery	Short feedback cycles where requirements or solutions must be learned.	Use bounded iterations for research, software, services and adoption work while retaining approved scope, costs, ethics and change control.

ISO 21500:2021 | ISO 21502:2020 | PMBOK current edition | PM² resources

Keep the BSN process disciplines

Initiating, planning, implementing, controlling and closing describe management work, not five boxes that happen only once. Control accompanies delivery, and a material change may require renewed planning and authorisation. The BSN's subject areas—integration, stakeholders, scope, resources, time, cost, risk, quality, procurement and communications—remain a useful completeness check. Give every area an owner and a proportionate control; a small project may combine roles without leaving responsibilities undefined.

Initiation: authorise a project worth delivering

The charter establishes why the project should exist, who can commit resources and what success means. It is especially valuable before submission: an enthusiastic bid team may otherwise promise work that its own institution has not authorised. After award, reconcile the charter with the signed agreement and record any changed assumptions.

Charter field retained from BSN	Decision or evidence needed
Purpose and objectives	Document the need, strategic contribution, intended change and accountable benefits owner.
Sponsor and project-manager authority	Name who authorises work, who commits each partner's resources and which decisions require escalation.
Stakeholders and agreements	Identify users, affected groups, authorities and resource owners; record commitments and unresolved conflicts.
Priorities and scope	Define essential outcomes, included/excluded work and how time, cost, quality and scope trade-offs will be decided.
Constraints and risks	Identify eligibility, finance, staffing, permits, data, security, procurement and operational dependencies.
Deliverables and acceptance	State what will be produced, who accepts it and what evidence establishes completion.
Cost and schedule estimates	Use ranges and assumptions where uncertainty remains; include bid cost, delivery cash and operating obligations.
Success criteria and continuation	Separate successful delivery from use and benefit; assign ownership after the grant ends.

In a grant, the funder is not automatically a customer that owns all results. Ownership, access, publication and exploitation rights follow the agreement. In procurement, the buyer's acceptance and intellectual-property clauses can create a different relationship. The charter should reflect the real transaction rather than copy a generic commercial-project form.

A meaningful go/no-go decision

Approve a bid only when the team can show strategic fit, an eligible route, a plausible intervention, access to essential partners and resources, and an affordable preparation plan. Stop or redesign if a decisive condition fails. Document who accepts residual uncertainty and when the decision will be revisited. A near deadline does not make an unsuitable project strategically valuable.

Fourteen relationship practices retained from BSN

The BSN Guide adapts the project-leadership model of Wendy Briner, Colin Hastings and Michael Geddes. Its useful insight is that a project manager must look in six directions: up and out to stakeholders; in and down to purpose and team; forward and back to anticipation and learning. The fourteen practices below preserve the model's substance and translate it into observable work.

Direction and practice	Application in a funded project
Up/out: networking	Maintain relationships with people who control expertise, legitimacy, sites, finance and uptake.
Up/out: building credibility	Make realistic commitments, bring evidence and resolve issues early enough for others to act.
Up/out: marketing the project	Explain the project's value in the stakeholder's terms; distinguish honest advocacy from exaggerated impact claims.
Up/out: securing stakeholder agreement	Negotiate expectations, contributions, boundaries and decision rights; record commitments.
In/down: supportive culture	Make it safe to report risks and disagree with a method while remaining accountable for commitments.
In/down: being tough on quality	Use agreed acceptance criteria and independent review; resist cosmetic completion.
In/down: individual success criteria	Translate collective objectives into role-specific responsibilities and fair expectations.
In/down: purpose and direction	Keep the need, intended users and next decision visible as work becomes specialised.
In/down: celebrating success	Recognise verified achievement and shared contribution without hiding unresolved problems.
Forward/back: anticipating	Look ahead at dependencies, external decisions, cash and adoption barriers.
Forward/back: reflecting	Examine what happened and how the team's own choices contributed.
Forward/back: seeking feedback	Ask users, reviewers and partners for evidence that may contradict the current plan.
Forward/back: continuous planning and review	Update forecasts and authorised plans when evidence changes; preserve the decision trail.

Direction and practice	Application in a funded project
Forward/back: keeping the whole team informed	Make decisions, dependencies and changes accessible, including to less powerful partners.

A useful stakeholder agreement covers the desired result, each party's contribution, authority, dependencies, communication and dispute route. Revisit it when leadership, incentives or policy change. A signature without continuing engagement is a fragile commitment. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 11–15.

PM²: a working governance system

PM² combines governance, a project lifecycle, management activities and artefacts, supported by a set of mindsets. The official resources checked on 30 September 2026 include the full version 3.1 guide and artefacts, plus 2025 highlights, canvases and logs. The website still marks the full 2025 guide as forthcoming; this Atlas does not claim to use an unpublished full guide.

For an EU consortium, start by distinguishing the project's internal governance from the granting authority's contractual role. A steering committee cannot amend the grant by itself. A coordinator cannot assume that a partner's staff, data or budget can be reassigned without the necessary internal and contractual authority.

PM² role or function	Practical consortium mapping	Decision boundary
Project Owner	Sponsor accountable for the project's purpose and benefits, adapted to the consortium's legal setting.	Approves the internal business case and priorities within the authority actually delegated.
Project Steering Committee	Representatives able to commit the necessary beneficiary resources and resolve major trade-offs.	Decides within the consortium agreement; escalates matters requiring formal grant amendment.
Business Manager / user representation	Person accountable for operational needs, adoption and transition, with genuine user input.	Accepts fit for use and prepares the receiving organisation; does not override technical or legal safeguards.
Solution Provider / technical leadership	Role responsible for the solution and necessary technical resources.	Ensures technical feasibility and quality within agreed scope and standards.
Project Manager	Coordinator's management lead for integrated planning, delivery, issues and reporting.	Manages delegated tolerances; cannot silently change objectives or participant obligations.
Core team and support functions	WP leaders, delivery staff, finance, procurement, quality, ethics, data and administrative support.	Prepare work and evidence; accountability remains explicitly assigned.

This mapping is an Atlas adaptation, not a statement that every beneficiary must create these exact job titles. The official PM² guide defines the complete governance model. In a small project one person may fulfil several compatible roles; approval independence and conflicts still need attention.

PM² Guide v3.1 | PM² templates and current resources

PM² phases, gates and minimum useful artefacts

Phase and gate	Evidence to make the decision	EU-funded project adaptation
Initiating → ready for planning	Business case, charter, initial stakeholders, scope and authority.	Confirm call/agreement fit, partner commitments and feasibility before committing the full delivery plan.
Planning → ready for execution	Integrated work plan, governance handbook, quality, risk, communication and resource arrangements.	Reconcile WPs, deliverables, milestones, partner budgets, procurement and reporting dates with the signed agreement.
Executing → ready for closing	Accepted outputs, managed changes, transition readiness and unresolved obligations.	Demonstrate actual completion and eligible evidence; plan final reporting and partner settlements.
Closing	End report, lessons, final acceptance, handover and continuing responsibilities.	Retain records, assign result ownership and operating duties, and preserve audit and repayment obligations.
Monitor and control across phases	Status, risks, issues, changes, decisions, quality and forecast updates.	Detect delivery, cash and compliance problems early; escalate when authority or tolerances are exceeded.

Use documents to support decisions

The business case asks whether the project remains worth doing. The charter authorises it. The project handbook explains governance and how work will be managed. The work plan coordinates scope, effort, time and cost. A stakeholder matrix and communication plan identify who needs to participate in which decisions. Risk, issue, change and decision logs preserve different kinds of information; combining them is acceptable only if their distinctions remain clear.

An issue has happened or needs resolution now. A risk is uncertain. A change proposes a modification to the agreed baseline. A decision records an authorised choice and its rationale. For each, record an owner, due date, status and links to affected work. A list of problems without owners and decisions is not control.

Illustrative governance cadence

A delivery team might review dependencies and blockers weekly, integrate WP forecasts monthly and ask its steering body to decide at major milestones or material changes. These are illustrative intervals, not PM² or EU grant rules. Adjust the cadence to risk: a construction permit, a clinical authorisation or an overdue cash transfer may need immediate escalation rather than waiting for the next routine meeting.

Tailor without removing accountability

For a small mobility or cultural project, combine plans into a concise handbook and one controlled register. For a complex investment or multi-partner research action, use more detailed specialist plans. Keep the same essentials: a clear owner, an accepted baseline, a way to approve change, evidence of quality and a route to operational use. PM^2 certification or terminology alone is not evidence of a well-managed project.

Plan uncertain work and control its consequences

Research uncertainty is not poor management. Pretending to know the result in advance is. Plan the question, method, resources, dependencies and decision criteria; identify what a negative result would mean and what work follows. For deployment and construction, readiness and interface uncertainty may matter more than scientific uncertainty.

Planning tool retained from BSN	What it should reveal	Common misuse
WBS and WP descriptions	Complete, non-duplicated work; ownership; outputs; resource assumptions.	A list of topic labels with no tasks, acceptance or dependencies.
Effort estimation	Who performs each task, with what skill and realistic availability.	Dividing the grant envelope equally among partners before designing the work.
Gantt chart	Timing, overlaps, milestones and commitments on a calendar.	A decorative bar chart that conceals procurement, permits and staff conflicts.
Dependency / PERT network	Predecessors, convergence points and uncertainty in timing.	Treating parallel work as independent when it needs the same scarce expert or dataset.
Critical path	The sequence currently controlling completion; effects of delay.	Assuming the critical path stays fixed or that adding people always shortens it.
Budget and cash forecast	Task costs, eligible cost model, own contribution and payment timing.	Confusing a balanced cost budget with enough cash to pay salaries and suppliers.
Indicators and logframe	Evidence of outputs, outcomes and assumptions.	Counting meetings as proof that behaviour or institutional performance changed.

For uncertain durations, a three-point estimate can expose optimistic, most likely and pessimistic assumptions. The familiar PERT weighted estimate is a planning heuristic, not a guarantee or a funder requirement. Correlated delays, shared resources and approval constraints can make a simple average misleading. Review ranges and contingency explicitly with the sponsor.

Hybrid delivery under a fixed agreement

Keep agreed objectives, eligibility, commitments and reporting under formal control. Within that boundary, use short iterations to test uncertain solutions, demonstrate results to users and revise the

next increment. Define what is fixed, what can vary and who may decide. A product backlog can organise detailed work, but it does not authorise unapproved changes to the grant.

PM²-Agile and Guide v3.0.1

Teamwork and consortium performance

Build a consortium that can deliver

Design the partnership	Make performance visible
Allocate work by capability; agree responsibilities and build the working relationships.	Eight conditions for effective teams; working arrangements; six Cs; nine team roles; operating contract and repair.

Treat collaboration as an explicit part of project design and delivery.

A consortium is a set of legal commitments; a team is a working relationship. Signing the agreement does not create shared priorities, trust or a reliable flow of work. Design both. The BSN Guide's networking, partnership and teamwork sections remain relevant because European projects depend on cooperation across institutions, professions, languages and incentives.

Build the partnership from the work

Start with the intervention's indispensable functions: knowledge creation, implementation authority, access to people or sites, technical capability, finance, evaluation and use of results. Identify who can perform each function and what evidence supports that claim. Then check legal eligibility and the consortium minimum. Geographic breadth is useful when it serves the work; adding a country or prestigious logo without a task increases coordination cost.

Partnership question	Evidence before commitment
Can the partner do the work?	Relevant results, named people, available facilities and realistic effort; inspect the actual team, not only the institution's reputation.
Can it commit the resources?	Authorised sign-off, staff availability, own finance and access to sites, data or target groups.
Will it collaborate reliably?	Responsiveness, previous working experience, willingness to expose problems and a clear decision contact.
Does it have a reason to use the result?	An operational, scientific, commercial or public-service incentive tied to the project's outputs.
Can disagreements be resolved?	Decision rights, escalation, access rights, budget principles and replacement arrangements compatible with the agreement.

Networking as a continuing discipline

The BSN Guide recommends developing an active network, learning through conversations and becoming useful to potential partners. Apply this through joint work, NCP and brokerage events, scientific and professional communities, Enterprise Europe Network and official partner-search facilities. Ask a prospective partner what problem they must solve, what they can contribute and what would make cooperation worthwhile. A mass invitation sent near the deadline is rarely a substitute for that understanding.

Maintain a short relationship record: capability, evidence, relevant calls, prior interaction, mutual interest, authority and next action. Keep it proportionate and respect personal-data obligations. Do not infer reliability from nationality or replace due diligence with stereotypes. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 192–200.

Make eight conditions for effective teams visible

The BSN Guide retains eight conditions for effective teams. Use them as a diagnostic with evidence, not as a motivational poster. A team can have friendly meetings while lacking authority, resources or a shared definition of completion.

Condition	Observable practice	Warning signal
Clear, elevating goal	People can explain the intended change and their contribution in ordinary language.	Partners describe incompatible projects under one title.
Results-driven structure	Roles, interfaces and decisions follow the outputs and dependencies.	The organisation chart follows status or budget shares alone.
Competent members	Technical and interpersonal skills match assigned responsibilities.	Important tasks depend on unnamed or unavailable staff.
Unified commitment	Partners contribute to common success and reveal local constraints.	Local incentives consistently defeat consortium decisions.
Collaborative climate	Honesty, openness, consistency and respect support candid problem solving.	Risks are concealed until they become failures.
Standards of excellence	Acceptance criteria, peer review and corrective action are agreed.	Submission of a document is treated as proof of quality.
External support and recognition	Sponsors provide resources and remove institutional barriers.	A committed team is blocked by its own organisation.
Principled leadership	Leaders explain decisions, handle conflicts fairly and own mistakes.	Rules change according to who asks or who has influence.

Match the working arrangement to the task

Creative or strategic work needs diversity, challenge and room to explore alternatives. Planning and problem solving need integration, evidence and clear choices. Operational delivery needs dependable interfaces, standards and timely decisions. One consortium may need all three arrangements at different moments. Do not run a scientific design workshop like a status meeting, or an urgent operational handover like an open-ended brainstorming session.

The six Cs for distributed work

The BSN Guide presents Terry Brake's six Cs: cooperation, convergence, coordination, capability, communication and cultural intelligence. Translate them into a shared purpose, explicit commitments, dependency management, suitable skills and tools, communication that reaches the right people, and

curiosity about different working assumptions. Agree response expectations and decision channels; avoid assuming that silence means consent. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 192–207.

Nine team roles: useful contributions and blind spots

The BSN Guide includes Belbin's nine team roles. Use the vocabulary to discuss behaviours the work needs. It is not a clinical assessment, a fixed identity, a reason to stereotype colleagues or a requirement to recruit nine people. One person can contribute several behaviours, and the required balance changes with the task.

Role	Contribution to the project	Blind spot to manage
Plant	Generates original approaches when existing methods are insufficient.	Ideas may outrun constraints; pair exploration with feasibility review.
Resource Investigator	Finds external expertise, partners and opportunities.	Initial enthusiasm may exceed follow-through; assign concrete commitments.
Coordinator	Clarifies objectives and draws out complementary contributions.	Delegation can become distance from detail; retain accountability.
Shaper	Creates momentum and challenges avoidance.	Pressure can silence concerns; protect respectful challenge.
Monitor Evaluator	Compares options and tests reasoning.	Analysis can delay action; set decision criteria and dates.
Teamworker	Helps cooperation and resolves interpersonal friction.	Harmony can hide a necessary disagreement; distinguish conflict from disrespect.
Implementer	Turns a chosen approach into reliable work.	Established routines may resist new evidence; build in review points.
Completer Finisher	Finds errors and protects acceptance quality.	Perfectionism can consume contingency; agree what is sufficient and necessary.
Specialist	Provides depth in a critical subject.	Expertise can narrow the frame; connect it to user needs and other disciplines.

Agree a team operating contract

At kick-off, agree what good collaboration looks like: preparation for meetings, response expectations, shared document control, language and accessibility, escalation, review standards, attribution and how disagreement will be resolved. Record decisions with an owner and date. Let a

partner challenge an assumption without being accused of disloyalty; require that challenge to be specific enough to investigate.

Repair performance before replacing people

When a partner under-delivers, distinguish capability, capacity, authority, incentives and misunderstanding. Ask for the evidence, agree a bounded recovery plan and track it. If a material obligation cannot be met, use the consortium and grant procedures for changes. Quietly redistributing a partner's work can conceal an eligibility, budget or intellectual-property problem. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 201–209.

Power, influence and political games in projects

Read the decision system and protect delivery

Diagnose	Respond
Map formal authority, dependencies, influence and the real decision system.	Recognise political games; use the conflict and influence protocol; record decisions and protect the work.

Influence must remain evidence-based and able to withstand scrutiny.

Projects redistribute resources, attention, authority and credit. People therefore judge them through institutional and personal interests as well as formal objectives. Ignoring this does not make management impartial; it makes important constraints invisible. Understand power so that decisions remain fair, evidence-based and deliverable.

The BSN Guide's political-games section is retained here as a diagnostic and updated with accountable responses. Some named tactics can describe legitimate coalition-building; others damage trust and performance. The manager's task is to recognise what is happening, protect the work and resolve the underlying conflict without deception or retaliation.

Map the real decision system

Power source	What to establish	Management response
Formal authority	Who can sign, approve, stop or amend the relevant decision?	Document delegated authority, reserved decisions and escalation.
Resources and dependencies	Who controls staff, cash, permits, facilities, data or access to users?	Secure commitments and alternatives before the dependency becomes critical.
Expertise and information	Whose evidence is trusted, and who controls access to it?	Use shared evidence, transparent review and a second view where stakes justify it.
Relationships and reputation	Who can convene others or make a proposal credible?	Build broad, legitimate support without granting hidden decision rights.
Implementation and legitimacy	Who must change practice, operate the result or accept its effects?	Include users and affected groups in the decisions that shape their work.

For each important actor, record the decision at issue, their legitimate interest, perceived loss, evidence needed, contribution, formal authority and next conversation. Treat interpretations as

hypotheses. Ask what you may have misunderstood and how your own conduct contributes to the impasse. Do not label disagreement as obstruction merely because it challenges the coordinator.

Influence that survives scrutiny

Prepare a common factual account of the problem, a small set of real options and the consequences of each. Meet affected parties early enough to change the design. Explain trade-offs and record commitments. Disclose conflicts and use the appropriate formal decision route. A private conversation can clarify a concern; it should not become a hidden agreement that overrides other partners' rights.

Recognise the games and protect delivery

BSN tactic	Observable pattern and risk	Accountable response
Divide and conquer	Separate groups receive inconsistent messages or compete for favour; coordination breaks down.	Create a common decision record, bring interdependent parties together and resolve resource choices openly.
Co-optation	A critic is given a role or benefit that removes challenge without resolving the concern.	Invite substantive participation with a clear mandate; preserve independent review and disclose conflicts.
Alliance building	Actors coordinate to support a position; useful cooperation can become an exclusionary bloc.	Build a coalition around stated project interests and evidence; ensure affected minority views are heard.
Power brokering	Support is exchanged through access to resources or influential people.	Make legitimate exchanges explicit and authorised; reject undisclosed favours or procurement influence.
Spreading responsibility	Many approvals are sought so that no one owns the outcome.	Assign one accountable owner per decision, with named advice and approval roles.
Scapegoating	A person or partner is blamed for a systemic failure; learning and candour collapse.	Establish facts and contributing conditions, protect fair process and assign corrective responsibilities.
Cooperation	Shared action can solve a problem, but an informal pact may bypass legitimate governance.	Agree the purpose, contributions and decision route; document the arrangement and its limits.
Filibustering	Repeated discussion or requests for information defer a necessary decision indefinitely.	Define the missing evidence, owner and deadline; distinguish justified review from avoidance and escalate if needed.
Sacrificing the future for the present	A near-term milestone is saved by hidden quality debt, unsustainable overtime or unfunded maintenance.	Show lifecycle consequences, define any temporary exception and fund a recovery plan with an accountable owner.

Source: BSN Guide, pp. 210–215, which adapts Project Leadership. The original discussion of sacrificing the future explicitly warns that short-term fixes can create long-term damage. This is a warning to manage trade-offs, not an endorsement of lowering quality or concealing costs.

A practical conflict and influence protocol

Begin with the decision, not the personalities. A consortium dispute about a delayed dataset may actually involve publication rights, reputational risk, staff capacity or a fear of losing control. A request to “cooperate better” will not resolve those different causes.

Step	Action	Evidence of progress
1. Establish facts	Separate observed delay, agreement terms and effects from assumptions about motives.	A shared timeline and dependency statement.
2. Hear the interests	Ask each party what must be protected and what they can change.	A list of constraints and negotiable choices, confirmed by the parties.
3. Reframe the common problem	Describe the result everyone needs and the consequences of continued delay.	A decision question linked to the project’s objectives.
4. Develop lawful options	Compare sequencing, scope, resourcing or access arrangements within the agreements.	Cost, schedule, quality, rights and eligibility effects for each option.
5. Decide at the right level	Use the delegated authority; involve the granting authority when an amendment is required.	An authorised decision with reasons and recorded dissent where relevant.
6. Follow through	Track commitments and review whether the underlying problem has changed.	Delivered actions and restored working relationships, not just a signed minute.

Illustrative case: a powerful partner withholds an input

A university’s data team misses a transfer milestone. The coordinator initially calls it obstruction. A structured discussion reveals unresolved consent scope, a publication concern and an overcommitted analyst. The consortium separates the issues: the data-protection lead verifies permissible use; research leads agree publication and access within the agreement; the university sponsor commits analyst time; the schedule is revised through the required change route. The response preserves accountability without pretending the problem was purely technical or purely political.

If evidence instead points to fraud, harassment, retaliation or an unlawful demand, use the relevant institutional reporting and protection channels. Routine negotiation is not a substitute for those procedures. Preserve factual records and avoid public accusations based on untested interpretations.

Adaptive leadership when the plan is not enough

Diagnose before prescribing

Understand the challenge	Mobilise a response
Technical and adaptive work; stakeholder interests; losses and resistance; the six-type challenge lens.	Keep pressure productive; return responsibility to the team; run bounded experiments and learn.

Change the response when the challenge involves behaviour, relationships or competing values.

Some problems can be solved with existing expertise: a calculation error, an incomplete procurement file or a broken interface. Others require people to change expectations, habits, authority, loyalties or values. Those are adaptive challenges. Many project problems contain both. Adding another expert report solves little if the people who must act cannot accept the change it implies.

The BSN Guide introduces adaptive diagnosis and a six-type challenge lens. The practices here also draw on the work of Ronald Heifetz, Marty Linsky and Alexander Grashow. Adaptive leadership is a practice that can be exercised with or without a senior title. Formal authority still matters: it protects the space for learning and decides matters that cannot be delegated.

Diagnose before prescribing

Question	What it can reveal
What is the gap between stated ambition and current behaviour?	A project may endorse open collaboration while rewarding only individual publications or local budget control.
Who must learn or change, and what might they lose?	Loss of status, competence, autonomy, identity, income or a trusted routine can explain resistance.
Which parts have a known technical answer?	Solve the technical portion directly; do not turn a missing resource into an abstract leadership discussion.
What is being left unsaid?	A feared political consequence, an incompatible incentive or an excluded stakeholder may be controlling the outcome.
How are we contributing to the problem?	The leader may reward late heroics, punish bad news or centralise decisions while asking for ownership.
What would disconfirm our interpretation?	Alternative explanations prevent labelling people or treating one faction's story as fact.

Move between action and observation—the "balcony" perspective in adaptive-leadership practice. Notice recurring interactions, whose voice disappears and how the group avoids difficult work. Return to the task with a testable interpretation, not a diagnosis of someone's personality.

Heifetz, Linsky and Grashow: The Practice of Adaptive Leadership

The BSN six-type challenge lens

The following is the diagnostic typology presented in the BSN Guide, not a claim that these six labels form a universal taxonomy. Several types can coexist. Use the distinctions to choose a response and then test whether it helps.

BSN type	Typical project situation	Leadership work
Activist	Evidence challenges a comfortable assumption, but the organisation denies the need to change.	Bring credible evidence and affected voices into discussion; help people examine values and consequences without humiliation.
Development	The organisation has potential but lacks the capability to realise it.	Create practice, coaching and progressive responsibility; measure capability in use, not training attendance alone.
Transition	A new model conflicts with established roles, loyalties and habits.	Acknowledge losses, protect what remains valuable and negotiate new responsibilities through bounded trials.
Maintenance	A valued capability or service is threatened by changing conditions.	Distinguish the essential purpose from the familiar form; adapt the delivery model while protecting core value.
Creative	No existing solution adequately addresses the opportunity or problem.	Support diverse hypotheses, safe experiments and disciplined learning before committing to scale.
Crisis	Immediate pressure requires action while deeper patterns may also need change.	Stabilise urgent risks through clear authority, then examine what the crisis exposes; do not remain permanently in emergency mode.

Keep pressure productive

Too little pressure allows avoidance; too much can produce panic, blame or withdrawal. Create a predictable setting for difficult discussion, state what is non-negotiable, pace the work and provide support. Do not manufacture distress. Protect participants from humiliation and retaliation while maintaining the expectation that they contribute to solving the problem.

Give the work back without abandoning the team

The coordinator cannot create another institution's commitment by writing all its plans. Ask the people who own the problem to propose and test a response, give them the necessary information and authority, and agree a review point. Delegation without resources or access is abandonment. Repeatedly rescuing a team can also prevent it from developing responsibility. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 157–159; Atlas application of adaptive-leadership practice.

Common adaptive challenges and workable responses

Challenge	Response to test	What to observe
Agreement in meetings, resistance afterwards	Ask what each party would lose; use bilateral listening followed by a shared account of unresolved trade-offs.	Whether commitments become specific and actions follow without repeated pressure.
People demand a definitive answer too early	Separate known requirements from hypotheses; propose a bounded experiment with a decision date.	Whether uncertainty decreases and the group can make a better decision.
A senior partner dominates the discussion	Use structured contributions, independent evidence and a decision rule protecting affected voices.	Whether missing information and credible alternatives become visible.
Technical experts dismiss user concerns	Put users and experts together around an observable task; test the solution in its real context.	Usability, adoption barriers and changes to the design.
Local incentives defeat the common goal	Make incentives explicit; negotiate contribution, recognition and resource arrangements through authorised governance.	Whether the desired behaviour becomes feasible and worthwhile.
The leader becomes the only problem solver	Delegate a bounded problem with authority, support and review; resist taking back every difficult task.	Whether partners diagnose and resolve issues before escalation.
Bad news is hidden until a deadline	Respond to early warning with inquiry and support; distinguish reporting a risk from negligent delivery.	Earlier risk disclosure and fewer last-minute surprises.
The project becomes a sequence of emergencies	Stabilise immediate risks, then review capacity, planning and decision bottlenecks.	Reduced unplanned work, realistic load and fewer recurring crises.
Learning is used to justify endless scope drift	Define the learning question, budget, boundary and stop/continue rule; apply formal change control beyond them.	Evidence that learning changes a decision rather than merely adding work.

Challenge	Response to test	What to observe
A pilot works but adoption stalls	Identify who must change practice and who pays; test operating incentives, skills and authority before scaling.	A named owner, funded transition and actual routine use.

Illustrative experiment: adoption of a shared service

Two agencies endorse a shared service but retain separate workflows. Test whether loss of client control explains the gap: pilot agreed data boundaries, local ownership and escalation. Review referrals, user experience and unresolved decisions before scaling. This is an illustrative experiment within the applicable agreements and safeguards.

Judge adaptive leadership by changed capability and practice, supported by technical competence, legitimate authority and evidence of outcomes.

Steve Quarrie: Secrets to Success in practice

Turn evidence into an assessable proposal

Build the explanation	Organise the work
Need and evidence → coherent project logic → precise activities and outputs → explicit answers to criteria.	Use PEF, PBD and NADIA; manage proposal development; review readability and consistency.

Reduce uncertainty for the expert reader and test every important claim against evidence.

Steve Quarrie's Secrets to Success with Project Proposals, CaSA booklet 5, October 2016, is the source for this section. Its enduring lesson is demanding but practical: evaluators can judge only the case you actually make. Read the instructions closely, supply the evidence, describe the work precisely and make the logic easy to follow. The source's FP7/REGPOT and Horizon 2020 examples are historical; they do not establish current eligibility, consortium size, scoring, page limits or success probabilities.

Remove uncertainty from the project's explanation

Show the starting position: a documented need, the state of knowledge or practice, existing competence, available resources and the reasons for each partner. Show the intended change: the results, their users, benefits and continuation. Then explain the work that connects the two, including assumptions and resources. Steve describes this as removing the "fog" from the project. It is a test of substance before it is a writing style.

Steve's discipline	Apply it now	Evidence an evaluator can find
Interpret every meaningful instruction	Separate eligibility, scope, expected outcomes, assessment and procedural rules.	A requirement-to-evidence matrix linked to the application's actual sections.
Justify the starting point	Demonstrate the need, baseline, state of the art and existing capacity.	Dated evidence, relevant references, access commitments and role-specific achievements.
Describe real activities	Explain what will be done, by whom, how, with which resources and why it can work.	Assessable tasks, outputs, milestones, validation and effort.
Explain use and benefit	Identify who uses the result, what must change and what happens after funding.	Adoption responsibilities, commitments, operating finance and proportionate indicators.
Make value for money visible	Build resources from the work and justify major cost drivers.	A coherent WBS, effort plan, budget and cash assumptions.

Steve's discipline	Apply it now	Evidence an evaluator can find
Write for assessment	Use meaningful headings, direct sentences and information-rich figures.	A reader can locate and assess each essential claim without reconstructing the argument.

Do not interpret the historical booklet's emphatic language about success as a guarantee. A strong application still competes under a limited budget. Do not invent an impact claim to fill a perceived gap: supply evidence, narrow the claim, redesign the intervention or choose a better-fitting call. Source: Secrets to Success, printed pp. 4–18 and 19–34.

From call wording to an evidence-led proposal

Steve's annotated REGPOT example demonstrates how a short instruction can contain several obligations. Apply the method to the current call rather than copying the historical answers. A request for cooperation, excellence, participation or impact needs evidence of the specific quality being requested—not repetition of the word.

Illustrative requirement	Questions to resolve	Proposal evidence
Close cooperation	What work is genuinely interdependent? How often must teams exchange results and decide together?	Joint tasks, interfaces, shared outputs, secondments or decision arrangements appropriate to the action.
Excellent or complementary partners	Which capability is needed, and why is this team credible for it?	Relevant achievements, methods, facilities, people, available effort and a precise role.
Benefit to a target group	What problem does that group have, what changes and why would it use the result?	Needs evidence, participation in design, access/adoption route and measured outcomes.
European added value	Which cross-border problem, complementary asset or transfer cannot be addressed as well separately?	A concrete comparison with isolated national action, plus the cooperation mechanism.
Sustainability	Who maintains the capability, pays recurring costs and updates the result?	A named owner, resources, operating plan and evidence of institutional commitment.

Use the PEF, PBD and NADIA together

The BSN Guide's Project Environment Folder (PEF) holds controlled evidence: call versions, policy and needs sources, partner commitments, prior models, methods, costs and decisions. The Project Background Document (PBD) mirrors the required application structure and assigns evidence, contributors and review status to each section. NADIA—Needs Analysis, Activity Description and Impact Analysis—tests the logic of each substantive activity. Together they prevent a polished narrative from becoming detached from the evidence and work plan.

Illustrative NADIA paragraph

Need: municipal energy staff cannot distinguish equipment faults from weather and occupancy effects. Activity: the technical team will validate a baseline model on agreed building data, test alerts against documented faults and train facility staff in a maintenance workflow. Output: a validated method and usable alert process. Intended outcome: earlier corrective action. Evidence: detection

performance, staff use and a comparison of response times. Energy savings remain a separate claim requiring a suitable baseline and treatment of other changes.

The paragraph is not sufficient by itself: the WP must establish data access, analytical methods, acceptance thresholds, responsible staff, effort, timing and cost. This is the practical meaning of Steve's insistence that an activity be described well enough to assess. Source: BSN Guide, pp. 160–168; Secrets to Success, printed pp. 14–29.

Organise proposal development as a project

Steve's organisational advice is to decide early, assemble the evidence and partnership, assign writing responsibility and work backwards from submission. Keep one editorial owner for the argument and consistency while using technical, financial and partner specialists for the content they can substantiate. One writer should not become a substitute for partner ownership or specialist review.

Stage	Work to complete	Decision before moving on
Fit and commitment	Read the call and assessment rules; establish need, eligibility, capacity and bid resources.	Proceed only with a credible route and accountable sponsor.
Concept and partnership	Agree objectives, method, expected results and indispensable roles.	Confirm that the intervention and team can deliver the call's required outcomes.
Integrated design	Develop tasks, dependencies, validation, impact, effort and financing together.	Resolve contradictions between scientific/technical ambition, delivery and resources.
Evidence-led drafting	Populate the official structure, justify claims and obtain partner inputs.	Every important claim has evidence or an explicit, defensible assumption.
Independent review	Run technical, evaluator, financial and compliance reviews.	Address material weaknesses; do not spend the remaining time on cosmetic edits alone.
Submission readiness	Complete forms and annexes, rehearse upload, check the final abstract and verify receipt.	Archive exactly what was submitted, with its version and receipt.

Set dates from the actual deadline, partner availability and unresolved design work. The booklet's three-to-six-month advice and longer historical examples illustrate the work involved; they are not mandatory durations. Short first-stage applications still require enough design to make credible claims. A concise concept note is not permission to postpone every hard question until stage two.

Readability for an expert under time pressure

Lead with the claim a section must establish, then provide method, evidence and limits. Use paragraphs to develop one argument at a time. Keep terminology stable across narrative, tables and forms. A figure should show a relationship that would otherwise be hard to understand: dependencies, decision rights, funding layers or causality. It should carry useful detail at a readable size, with a clear legend and source. Decorative space is not a substitute for explanation.

Use an independent reader for clarity and a qualified expert for technical credibility; the roles are complementary. Revisit the abstract after the design stabilises. Apply the current template's font,

page and file rules rather than the 2016 booklet's historical limits. Source: Secrets to Success, printed pp. 30–43.

The final proposal review

Review question adapted from Steve	A credible answer looks like
Are the starting point and intended change clear?	The need, baseline, objectives and expected use can be stated consistently in a short explanation.
Have all applicable instructions been addressed?	Eligibility and requirements are checked against the current documents and amendments, not memory.
Are the important claims supported?	Evidence is relevant, dated and proportionate; assumptions and uncertainties are visible.
Can the reader assess the activities?	Tasks identify method, owner, resources, dependencies and acceptance or validation.
Do the sections agree?	Objectives, WPs, partner roles, quantities, dates, effort, budget and impact tell the same story.
Is the resource request justified?	Costs follow necessary work, with the correct eligible-cost model and an affordable financing plan.
Is the proposal competitive on the actual criteria?	An independent reviewer can justify the strengths and identify weaknesses using the published rubric.
Is the submitted file the intended final version?	Forms, annexes, signatures, upload validation and receipt are checked and archived.

What should not be carried forward as current advice

Do not reuse the booklet's historical success rates or average consortium sizes as 2026 benchmarks. More partners do not automatically mean greater impact. Do not assume that every action uses the same three criteria, thresholds, negotiation process or indirect-cost rate. The current call and agreement decide those matters. Preserve the discipline—read, justify, design, test and communicate—while replacing obsolete mechanics.

The source is Steve Quarrie, Secrets to Success with Project Proposals, CaSA booklet 5, October 2016, supplied by the author's project collection. This section is an attributed adaptation with new examples, not a claim that Steve wrote the Atlas's current call analyses.

BSN continuity: what is retained and updated

This crosswalk identifies restored BSN material and updates. Current programme and call documents govern applications; historical statistics and screenshots remain in the original guide.

BSN material and pages	Treatment in this Atlas	Further use
Management process, PCM, ISO and PMBOK; pp. 6–15	Retained and expanded in Project management methods; standards updated and PM² added.	Use the current official method resources and tailor controls to the agreement.
Project initiation, charter and stakeholders; pp. 11–15 and proposal-initiation sections	Restored through the charter, go/no-go, stakeholder agreements and fourteen leadership practices.	Turn the fields into the project's own signed authorisation and relationship plan.
2014–2015 programme descriptions; pp. 16–142 approximately	Replaced by current programme and instrument profiles, with typed branches and operative documents.	Do not apply historical rates, eligibility or portal instructions.
Proposal philosophy, organisational challenges and adaptive diagnosis; pp. 143–159	Core workflow retained; adaptive diagnosis expanded with common challenges and responses.	Test interpretations with stakeholders rather than labelling people.
PEF, PBD, evaluator questions and NADIA; pp. 160–168	Retained in the practical handbook and linked to Steve's evidence-led writing method.	Maintain a live evidence folder and application requirements matrix.
Objectives, logframe, WBS, WP descriptions and effort; pp. 165–177	Retained in the handbook and applied in every opportunity design example.	Develop actual tasks, owners, acceptance and effort; examples are not official templates.
Indicators, Gantt, critical path, PERT, budget and finance; pp. 178–190	Retained and reinforced in planning uncertain work and financial control.	Use programme-specific costs and a real cash-flow forecast.

BSN material and pages	Treatment in this Atlas	Further use
Networking, consortium development and partner roles; pp. 192–200	Restored in Teamwork and consortium performance, with current search routes.	Verify legal roles; historical FP7 partner labels are not universal.
Effective teams, nine roles and joint refinement; pp. 201–209	Restored with eight team conditions, six Cs, nine role contributions and joint design.	Keep gender, environmental and inclusion considerations in problem analysis and delivery.
Power and political games; pp. 210–215	All nine named patterns retained as diagnostics, with accountable responses and lifecycle trade-offs.	Use transparent governance and fair conflict resolution.
Evaluation grid, selection and final review; pp. 217–227	Retained in the handbook and strengthened through an evidence-based final review.	Replace historical scoring with the exact current rubric and procedure.