

A Study on the Impact of the Kerala Paddy and Wetland Conservation Act 2008: An Analysis of Area, Production, and Productivity Trends

Discipline: Economics

Dr. Mary Thomas K.

Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Vimala College (Autonomous)
Thrissur, Kerala, India

Email: marybabuguruvayur@gmail.com

Dr. Sitara V. Attokkaran

Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Vimala College (Autonomous)
Thrissur, Kerala, India

Email: drsitaraattokkaran1977@gmail.com

Received: 05.09.2025 | Revised Submission: 01.10.2025 | Accepted: 17.10.2025 | Available Online: 25.10.2025

Abstract

The steady decline in paddy cultivation area has been a significant concern for food security in Kerala. In response, the Government of Kerala enacted the Kerala Paddy Land and Wetland Conservation Act in 2008, aimed at protecting existing paddy fields from conversion to other uses. This study evaluates the impact of this Act by comparing trends in the area under cultivation, production output, and productivity (yield per hectare) of rice in Kerala for the periods before (2000-01 to 2009-10) and after (2010-11 to 2020-21) the implementation of the Act. Data from the Kerala Agricultural Statistics and other government publications are analyzed. The findings indicate that while the Act appears to have contributed to a stabilization of the area in the latter part of the study period and a significant, consistent improvement in productivity. This suggests that the Act, alongside other supportive measures, has been successful in intensifying cultivation on protected lands, though challenges in expanding the cultivation area persist.

Keywords: Paddy Cultivation, Wetland Conservation Act 2008, Agricultural Policy, Productivity, Food Security.

Introduction

Rice cultivation has been facing a persistent crisis for decades. Driven by economic factors like rising costs of labour, low profitability compared to other cash crops, and rapid urbanization, large areas of paddy fields were being converted for commercial and residential purposes. This conversion led to a large reduction in the area under paddy cultivation, threatening the state's food security, water security, and ecological

health of its wetland ecosystems. Recognizing this alarming trend, the Government of Kerala enacted a pioneering legislation, The Kerala Paddy Land and Wetland Conservation Act, 2008. The main objective of this Act was to arrest the indiscriminate conversion of paddy fields and wetlands by imposing strict regulations on their use and by prioritizing their conservation for agricultural purposes. The Act mandated that these lands could not be used for any purpose other than cultivation without government permission. Over a decade has passed since its implementation, creating a need for empirical evidence to assess its effectiveness. This study aims to fill this gap by conducting a data-driven analysis to examine whether the Act has achieved its intended goals.

Significance of the Study

Rice or paddy cultivation holds immense economic, environmental, and cultural significance in Kerala. It supports the objective of food security, rural livelihoods, and biodiversity through its wetland ecosystems. However, the state has experienced a drastic conversion of paddy lands to non-agricultural uses, threatening these benefits. Understanding the effectiveness of conservation policies like the 2008 Act is vital for sustainable agricultural and environmental management in the region and similarly vulnerable Agro-ecological zones. The findings can inform policymakers, agricultural departments, and environmental agencies in refining existing strategies and formulating new ones to promote sustainable paddy cultivation.

Research Gap

Previous research on the subject has documented paddy decline trends, but this study evaluated policy impacts through systematic before-and-after analyses. There is a scarcity of studies that quantitatively examine its impact using long-term, official time-series data on area, production, and productivity. Many discussions are focus on case studies of specific regions. A comprehensive statistical analysis comparing pre- and post-act periods at the state level is necessary to provide a macro-level perspective on the Act's efficacy. This study seeks to address this gap by utilizing dataset from official state publications.

Objective

- To examine the changes in area, production and productivity of paddy cultivation by comparing data before and after the Act

Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative research design, specifically a time-series analysis. The data is divided into two distinct periods for comparative analysis: Pre-Act Period: 2000-01 to 2009-10 and Post-Act Period: 2010-11 to 2020-21. The study mainly

made use of data from Kerala Agricultural Statistics. Important variables analyzed are Area under paddy cultivation (hectares), Total production (tons) and Productivity (kilograms per hectare). The analysis employs descriptive statistics and Growth rate calculation.

Analysis

• Area

Area Under Cultivation from Table 1 shows that between 2000-01 and 2009-10, paddy cultivation area reduced significantly from 347,455 to 234,013 hectares, averaging a 32.6% decline due to land conversion pressures. Post-Act (2010-11 to 2020-21) data from Table 2 shows that the area continued to decline but at a slower rate, from 213,187 to 205,040 hectares (3.8% decline), suggesting some effectiveness of the Act in moderating area loss. The data in the pre-Act period shows a clear and huge decline in the area under paddy cultivation. The average area fell from 282,723 hectares in the pre-act period to 198,736 hectares in the post-act period—a decrease of nearly 30%. The pre-act period shows a consistent downward trend, and the post-act period begins at a much lower base (213,187 Ha). Crucially, while the decline continues initially, the area appears to stabilize after 2016-17, fluctuating between 194,000 and 205,000 hectares. This suggests that the Act may have successfully halted the freefall, even if it could not reverse the trend.

Table 1 Area, Production and productivity of Rice in Kerala from 2000-01 to 2009-2010 (Pre Act period)

year	Area (hectares)	Production (Tons)	Productivity(kg/He)
2000-01	347455	751300	2162
2001-02	322368	703504	2182
2002-03	310521	688859	2218
2003-04	287340	570045	1984
2004-05	289974	667105	2301
2005-06	275742	629987	2285
2006-07	263529	641575	2435
2007-08	228938	528488	2308
2008-09	234265	590271	2520
2009-10	234013	598339	2557

Source: Kerala Agricultural Statistics 2023

Table 2 Area, Production and productivity of Rice in Kerala from 2010-11 to 2020-2021 (Post Act period)

year	Area (Hectares)	Production (Tons)	Productivity (Kg/He)
2010-11	213187	522738	2452
2011-12	208161	568990	2733
2012-13	197277	508299	2577
2013-14	199611	564325	2827
2014-15	198159	562092	2837
2015-16	196870	549275	2790
2016-17	171398	436483	2547
2017-18	194235	521310	2684
2018-19	202907	578256	2920
2019-20	198180	587078	3073
2020-21	205040	633739	3105

Source: A compendium of Agricultural Statistics Kerala 2023

• Production Trends

Production mirrored area trends with a decline from 751,300 tons in 2000-01 to 598,339 tons in 2009-10, a 20.4% overall decrease. Surprisingly, post-Act period production stabilized and increased from 522,738 tons in 2010-11 to 633,739 tons in 2020-21, a 21.2% increase despite reduced area, highlighting improvements in productivity and agricultural practices. Mirroring the area trend, average annual production was higher in the pre-act period (636,877 tons) than in the post-act period (552,689 tons). Production is highly correlated with area. However, it is noteworthy that despite a significantly smaller cultivated area, post-act production levels are only about 13% lower than pre-act levels, not 30%. This is directly attributable to gains in productivity.

• Productivity Analysis

Productivity rose substantially over both periods, from 2,162 to 2,557 kg/hectare pre-Act (18.3% increase), and from 2,452 to 3,105 kg/hectare post-Act (26.6% increase). This suggests successful adoption of better cultivation methods and policy support enhancing yield efficiency. This is the most positive finding. Average productivity increased significantly from 2,285 kilogram per hectare in the pre-act period to 2,786 kilograms per hectare in the post-act period—a rise of over 20%. The pre-act period

saw productivity fluctuate, ending at 2,557 kg/Ha. The post-act period demonstrates a remarkably steady and strong upward trend, rising from 2,452 kg/Ha (2010-11) to 3,105 kg/Ha (2020-21). This indicates a dramatic improvement in the efficiency of paddy cultivation.

Findings

The 2008 Conservation Act contributed to slowing the rapid loss of paddy land, demonstrated by the marked reduction in area decline rate. Production and productivity increase in the post Act period. The acceleration in productivity growth highlights the success of intensification efforts. The findings present a clear picture of the effect of the Kerala Paddy Act. The legislation was not a panacea that reversed decades of decline in paddy area. However, the analysis strongly suggests that the Act was effective in its primary goal and it acted as a brake on the unrestrained conversion of paddy fields. The stabilization of the area under cultivation in the latter half of the post-act period is a major achievement of this Act. It indicates that the legal barrier created by the Act made conversion difficult, preserving a core base of paddy land.

The most striking success story is the phenomenal rise in productivity. This improvement cannot be attributed to the Act alone but is likely the result of a combined effect. The Act provided the foundational land security, which encouraged investments and the adoption of yield-enhancing technologies. This was probably complemented by government schemes like subsidies for high-yielding seed varieties, support for mechanization, better water management practices and initiatives like the collective cultivation model- group farming. The Act ensured that these productivity-enhancing investments are successful in securing long-term returns.

Conclusion

This study concludes that the Kerala Paddy Land and Wetland Conservation Act, 2008, has had a measurably positive impact on rice cultivation in Kerala. While it did not reverse the historical decline in the total area under paddy, it appears to have been instrumental in stabilizing the area under cultivation by significantly slowing the rate of conversion and loss of paddy fields after 2008. And also creating an environment for increase in productivity (yield per hectare) by securing the land base for agriculture. The Kerala Paddy and Wetland Conservation Act of 2008 show measurable success in conserving agricultural land and improving productivity, successively stabilizes paddy production. However, total area and production still face pressures, indicating the need for strengthened enforcement, enhanced farmer incentives, and integrated land-use planning. Legislative protection, combined with supportive economic and technological measures, is key for sustainable paddy cultivation in Kerala.

References

1. Government of Kerala, Department of Economics and Statistics. (2023). *Kerala Agricultural Statistics 2023*. Thiruvananthapuram: Government of Kerala, Department of Economics and Statistics. <https://www.ecostat.kerala.gov.in/storage/publications/664.pdf>
2. Government of Kerala. (2008). *The Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008 (Act 28 of 2008)*. Retrieved from <https://envt.kerala.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/The-Kerala-Conservation-of-Paddy-Land-and-Wetland-Act-2008.pdf>
3. Karunakaran, N. (2014). Paddy Cultivation in Kerala – Trends, Determinants and Effects on Food Security. *Artha Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(4), 32-52. <https://doi.org/10.12724/ajss.31.2>
4. Mohamed Kutty Kakkakunnan. (2014). *Economics of Paddy Cultivation in Kerala: A Case Study*. Commerce Spectrum. <https://www.commercespectrum.com/uploads/article/30-07-2014-306.pdf>
5. Kerala State Planning Board. (2012). “Ensuring Food Security through Group Farming in Paddy Cultivation: A Case Study of Nedumbassery Grama Panchayat,” Working Paper Series No. 2, Thiruvananthapuram. <https://spb.kerala.gov.in/en/evaluation-reports>
6. Thomas, Jayan Jose. (2011). Paddy Cultivation in Kerala. *Review of Agrarian Studies*, 1(2), 215-226. <https://doi.org/10.25003/ras.01.02.0009>
7. National Statistical Office (NSO), Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI). (2024). *Statistical Report on Value of Output from Agriculture and Allied Sectors (2011-12 to 2023-24)*. Government of India. Available at: <https://mospi.gov.in>
8. Goyal, A., Nathani, N., Prabhala, P., Brennan, T., Agarwal, A., & Goswami, D. (2025). Value Creation in Indian Agriculture. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/agriculture/our-insights/value-creation-in-indian-agriculture>
9. Thomas, P. M. (2002). *Problems and Prospects of Paddy Cultivation in Kuttanad Region: A Case Study of Ramankari Village in Kuttanad Taluk*. Draft report. Kerala Research Programme on Local Level Development (KRPLLD), Thiruvananthapuram, http://www.cds.ac.in/krpcds/report/thomascombi_doc.pdf