Report on the Khumric Roots of

Foundational Legal Terminology

(Harmonized Addendum V.3)

Date: October 23, 2025 (UTC)

Issued By: The Office of His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru.

Authority: Pughe, W. O. (1832). A Dictionary of the Welsh Language.

I. Introduction

This report demonstrates that numerous foundational legal terms, commonly attributed by "The Regimes of the **Suppressio Veritatis**" to Latin or Greek origins, find their true etymological roots in the ancient Khumric (Welsh) lexicon.

This linguistic evidence proves the paramount status of the Khumric language as the **primary legal lexicon** of Western legal thought and exposes the deliberate obscuration inherent in the **Suppressio Veritatis**.

II. Key Legal Terms & Their De Jure Khumric Roots

• Law:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Lex, Legis.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root:** Llyw (Rule, guidance, direction; a ruler, guide).

King:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Rex, Regis.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Rhi** (A king, a lord, a sovereign).

• Right / Just:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Jus, Juris; Rectus.
- De Jure Khumric Root: Iawn (Right, just, equitable, proper; amends, satisfaction).

• Judge:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Judex.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Iudd** (A lord, a chief; a judge).

• Court:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Cors, Cortis.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Cwrt** (A court, a circle, a round fold; a boundary), linking the legal court to the ancient Druidic practice of meeting in sacred circles.

• Plea / Plead:

- o De Facto Attribution: Old French Plait.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Plaid** (A side, party, partition; a cause, faction)

• Sovereign:

- o De Facto Attribution: Old French Soverain (from Latin Superanus).
- De Jure Khumric Root: Sofren (Sovereign). Pughe derives this from So-(prefix implying continuation) + bren (supremacy, high place), linking it fundamentally to Brenin (King).

• Testament / Testify / Witness:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Testis.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Tyst** (A witness; evidence, testimony).

Pact / Compact:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Pactum.
- o **De Jure Khumric Root: Pact** (A pact, covenant, league, or compact).

• State / Estate:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Status.
- o De Jure Khumric Root: Ystâd (State, condition, rank, estate).

Order:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Ordo.
- o **De Jure** Khumric Root: Urdd (Order, rank; a sacred order; fraternity), linking social/legal order to the sacred structures of the *de jure* universal religion.

• Rule:

- o De Facto Attribution: Latin Regula.
- o **De Jure** Khumric Root: Rheol (Rule, order, regulation). More fundamentally related to Llyw (Rule, guidance).

III. Legal Conclusion

This analysis demonstrates that the Khumric lexicon forms the bedrock upon which all Western legal terminology is built.

The consistent attribution of these foundational words solely to Latin or Greek by "The Regimes of the **Suppressio Veritatis**" is a **deliberate act of linguistic appropriation**. This fraud was designed to sever the *de facto* legal systems from their true *de jure* source—the Khumric *Cwrt*—and to obscure the "Immutable Truth" of the Khumric Universal Sovereignty.

Restoring these true etymologies is a vital step in dismantling the Suppressio Veritatis.

A Historiographical Refutation of the "Forger" Libel Against Geoffrey of Monmouth (Harmonized Addendum)

1. Introduction: The Historiographical Problem

The *de facto* academic consensus, which forms the basis of the modern **Suppressio Veritatis** ("The Suppression of the Truth"), is built upon a foundational historiographical error: the willful misidentification of Geoffrey of Monmouth as a forger. This report will demonstrate that this consensus is untenable, arguing that it is a deliberate suppression enacted by the "Priests of the Lie" to protect a false narrative. This false narrative is based on the primacy of a misidentified, polemical source—the *De Excidio et Conquestu Britanniae* (Gildas)—over the legitimate *de jure* secular history of Britain, the **Brut Tysilio**.

2. Deconstructing the Primacy of Gildas

The *ad hominem* attack on Geoffrey is a necessary component of the **Suppressio Veritatis**, as his *Historia Regum Britanniae* directly contradicts the established narrative. This "state-sponsored" narrative rests on the primacy of Gildas, but a critical analysis of the sources provided exposes this reliance as a foundational error.

- Gildas as Polemicist, Not Historian: *The Chronicle of the Early Britons*, a modern translation of the *Brut Tysilio*, notes that Gildas's work is purely "ecclesiastical" in nature, whereas the *Brut* is a "political and secular history". This distinction is paramount. Furthermore, Gildas "gives no account of the early history, nor does he mention an invasion of Brutus... he is a preacher, not a historian".
- The *Brut Tysilio* as the Primary Source: The "Gildas" narrative is a polemical "invective," not a history. In contrast, the *Brut Tysilio* (translated by Peter Roberts, 1811) is the "true history of the British Kings". Geoffrey of Monmouth's own introduction to his *Historia* confirms he was translating this "certain very ancient book," which his contemporaries (like Walter Map) also affirmed.

3. The Libel of "Myth" as a Tool of Suppressio Veritatis

The *de facto* narrative dismisses the entire *de jure* history as "myth." This is a deliberate legal and historical fraud and a primary tool of the **Suppressio Veritatis**.

- The Case of Troy: For centuries, "Priests of the Lie" (the academic consensus) claimed the city of Troy was a "myth." It is now an accepted archaeological and historical fact.
- The Case of the Titans: The *de facto* Greeks recast the *de jure* Khumric/Gomeric Patriarch-Kings (e.g., Sadyrn, Rhea) as "mythical" Titans to create a false antiquity. This is a chronological fraud, as proven by scholars like Sir Isaac Newton and Paul Yves Pezron.
- **The Case of Britain:** The *de facto* narrative applies this same fraudulent method to the *de jure* line of British Kings, libeling them as "mythical" to sever the link to the *de jure* authority.

4. The "Honorius" Libel

This historical fraud is compounded by the "Honorius" libel. The *de facto* claim of Roman "abandonment" in 410 AD rests on a *single* corrupt word (*Bruttium*) in the text of Zosimus. Scholarly analysis proves this was a scribal error and the letters were "sent to

Bruttium, not Britain". This confirms the *de jure* Imperial line of Magnus Maximus (Macsen Wledig) was never lawfully abandoned, only usurped.

5. Conclusion: Vindication of the *De Jure* History

The libel that Geoffrey of Monmouth was a "forger" is the lynchpin of the **Suppressio Veritatis** in Britain. This libel is herewith refuted.

The "Priests of the Lie" who perpetuate this fraud are not objective academics; they are agents of the *de facto* regime, charged to protect their preferred narrative, which is based on the ahistorical "invective" of an imposter Gildas.

Geoffrey is vindicated as the translator of the **Brut Tysilio**. This act of restoration proves the *de jure* Khumric history—the "unbroken succession of kings" stemming from Gomer—is the true, immutable, and secular history of Britain.

Report: Genealogical Analysis of the Tudor Usurpation

Executive Summary

This report examines the pedigree of the usurper Henri Tudor (reign styled Henry VII) and concludes that his occupation of the English throne was not based on legitimate, legal, or genealogical right. Instead, it was constructed from a series of deliberate fabrications, political propaganda, and supporting forgeries designed to invent a royal lineage where none existed. Furthermore, once his *de facto* control was established, Henri Tudor moved to outlaw the very prophecies he had exploited to seize power.

1. The Primary Fraud: The Invented Welsh Lineage

Henri Tudor's paternal line, utilized to rally support during his invasion, was a documented forgery commissioned to legitimise a usurper.

- Commissioned Forgery: The usurper's claim to be a descendant of ancient Welsh kings was a political fabrication. As noted by genealogist Anthony Adolph, "the first Tudor King, Henry VII (d. 1509) had forced Welsh genealogist to forge him a pedigree connecting his male-line back to Rhodri Mawr" (Adolph, 2013).
- **Political Objective:** This forged lineage allowed Henri to cynically market himself as the *Mab Darogan* (Son of Prophecy), a messianic figure promised to liberate the Britons. This was "shrewdly exploited" for "political advantage" rather than based on biological fact (Archibald et al., 2011).

The Betrayal of Prophecy:

Crucially, after securing de facto power, Henri Tudor effectively outlawed the Daroganau (Welsh prophecies). Having utilized them to incite the Welsh to his banner, he recognised their danger to his own fragile regime. Historian Marie Louise Bruce notes the hypocrisy, stating that he "was to declare them against the law on the grounds of political danger" (Bruce, 1986). He silenced the bards and suppressed the very tradition that had facilitated his rise.

2. The Disputed Paternity of Edmund Tudor

Further analysis suggests that the fabricated Welsh lineage was a cover for a different, and equally illegitimate, paternal line.

- The "Beaufort on Both Sides" Theory: The official history states Henri Tudor's father was Edmund Tudor, son of the Welsh squire Owen Tudor. However, historian Terry Breverton highlights the "agreeable possibility" that Edmund was not Owen Tudor's son at all.
- The Illegitimate Beaufort Line: This theory posits that Edmund Tudor was the illegitimate son of Edmund Beaufort, 2nd Duke of Somerset, from an affair with Catherine of Valois.

• **Implication:** If true, this means "the royal house of 'Tudor' sprang in fact from Beauforts on both sides" (Breverton, 2014). This confirms the "Tudor" name itself is a falsehood, masking a paternal line that was as illegitimate as Henri's maternal Beaufort claim.

3. The Supporting Fraud: The "Catherine Lackland" Forgery

To lend credibility to their usurped royal status, it was necessary to create false links to the true Welsh royal line (the House of Aberffraw). This required forging the existence of a fictional "Princess Catherine."

- The Evidence of Edward I: The existence of a "Princess Catherine" (alleged elder daughter of Llywelyn ap Gruffydd) is categorically disproven by the contemporary state papers of Edward I. Following the death of Llywelyn ap Gruffydd, Edward I wrote extensively to the Pope and his own officials regarding the disposition of Llywelyn's line. These letters confirm that Llywelyn left only one legitimate heir: the infant Princess Gwenllian ferch Llywelyn.
- **Proof by Omission:** Edward I was meticulous in securing any potential rival claimants. He imprisoned Gwenllian for life at Sempringham Priory to prevent her from marrying and producing heirs. Had a "Catherine" existed, she would have represented an identical threat and would have been subject to the same imprisonment and documentation. The total absence of "Catherine" in the meticulous English royal accounts—which record the minute expenses for Gwenllian's upkeep—confirms that Catherine was a later invention designed to bolster the usurper's weak pedigree.
- Extinguishment of the True Line: The *de jure* line ended with the imprisonment of Gwenllian, who died at Sempringham in 1337, effectively extinguishing the legitimate royal house.

Conclusion

The Tudor dynasty was founded upon a comprehensive genealogical fraud. The primary claim of a heroic Welsh heritage was, as Adolph notes, a forced forgery for political gain. This forgery likely concealed an illegitimate Beaufort paternity, meaning the "Tudor" name itself is fraudulent.

To support this usurpation, additional frauds like the "Catherine Lackland" story were created to invent a link to the *de jure* Welsh line. Historical evidence from the reign of Edward I confirms that no such person existed, and the true line had been factually and tragically extinguished with the imprisonment of its sole heir, Princess Gwenllian.

References

- Adolph, A. (2013). *Tracing Your Aristocrat Ancestors*. Pen and Sword.
- Archibald, E., Johnson, D. F., & Schwyzer, P. (2011). *Arthurian Literature*. DS Brewer.
- Breverton, T. (2014). Jasper Tudor: Dynasty Maker.² Amberley Publishing.
- Bruce, M. L. (1986). *The Usurper King: Henry of Bolingbroke*.

- Flood, V. (2016). *Henry Tudor and English Prophecy in Wales*. Proceedings of the Harvard Celtic Colloquium.
- Williams, D. (1950). *A History of Modern Wales*. John Murray.

REPORT: THE GREAT WINDSOR DECEPTION

A Public Advisory on the Corporate Fabrication of the United Kingdom

TO: Affected Parties

FROM: The Sovereign Office of Unbennaeth Prydain (The De Jure Monarchy of Britain)

SUBJECT: The Statutory Construction and Illegitimacy of the "House of Windsor"

I. The 1917 Rebranding: The Invention of a "British" Dynasty

The entity known as the "House of Windsor" is not an ancient royal lineage. It is a corporate trade name invented in 1917.

Until that year, the *de facto* monarchs of Britain were the House of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha, a distinct Germanic dynasty. Amidst the anti-German fervor of World War I, King George V realized that a German family ruling Britain was a political liability. On July 17, 1917, he issued a Royal Proclamation changing their name to "Windsor."

This was not a succession; it was a rebranding. It was a deliberate act of *Damnatio Memoriae* (condemnation of memory) against their own true lineage to survive a political crisis. They erased their names to hide their true nature.

II. The "Crown" as a Corporate Fiction

The "United Kingdom" is not a kingdom in the classical sense; it is a Corporation Sole.

- The Legal Fiction: The system relies on the "King's Two Bodies"—the natural person (who can do wrong) and the "Crown" (which can do no wrong). This allows the monarch to enjoy Sovereign Immunity from the very laws they sign.
- The Crown Estate: This statutory corporation manages the land and assets. It is designed to funnel wealth (via the Sovereign Grant) to the family while exempting them from the inheritance taxes that decimate the estates of their subjects. It is a mechanism of wealth extraction, not sovereign stewardship.

III. The Usurpation of the British Title

The most profound element of the "con" is the theft of the British identity itself.

• The "Prince of Wales" Fraud: The title *Tywysog Cymru* (Prince of Wales) is the specific, sacred office of the *de jure* Khumric successor. The investiture of

- Norman/Germanic heirs with this title is a ritual of appropriation, designed to suppress the true Khumric claim to the Imperial Seat.
- **Statutory vs. Hereditary:** The Windsors hold the throne solely by the Act of Settlement 1701—a statute of Parliament. They are "statutory mimics" (*de facto Crown*) who serve the Parliament, whereas the true *de jure* Kings of Britain (*Goron*) hold their authority by ancient, blood-right descent from the Trojan/Khumric line of Brutus, per the British/Khumric constitutional law.

IV. The Theft of Prophecy: The "Boar of Windsor"

The name "Windsor" was not chosen at random; it was a strategic theft of indigenous legitimacy.

- The Anglo-Saxon Connection: The name *Windlesora* appears in the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, the foundational record of early English history. By adopting this name, a foreign line linguistically anchored itself in the native soil.
- The Prophetic Appropriation: They co-opted the ancient "Boar of Windsor" prophecy found in the *Prophecies of Merlin*. This prophecy foretold a great conqueror who would possess the "forests of Gaul" and rule the islands. By wearing this name, the usurpers falsely signaled that they were the fulfillment of British destiny, rather than the "White Dragon" (foreign oppressor) that Merlin prophesied against. They are the wolf in the boar's clothing.

V. Conclusion

The "United Kingdom" and the "House of Windsor" are a symbiotic corporate fiction. They are a foreign management team operating under a stolen trade name ("Windsor") to administer a statutory corporation ("The Crown") on occupied territory ("Great Britain"). They are not the Sovereigns of the Land; they are the administrators of the Great Concealment. A fraud to dupe the masses and extract national wealth.

LEGAL OPINION CONCERNING: The *De Jure* Legal Status of Charles Mountbatten-Windsor and His Adherents.

ISSUER: Office of the Legal Counsel, by authority of the *de jure* Monarchy of Britain (*Unbennaeth Prydain*) and His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru, *Y Mab Darogan*.

I. Mandate and Introduction

This opinion is issued by the restored *de jure* authority, the Imperial and Royal Court of *Unbennaeth Prydain* (The Monarchy of Britain, One Chief of Britain), to clarify the legal status of the chief administrator of the *de facto* occupying regime known as the "United Kingdom."

This analysis is based on the unassailable legal and historical facts established in the primary "Legal Opinion on the Intact Paramount Imperial Khumric Sovereignty (Goron) and Universal Chain of Title" and its perfected addendums.

II. The Foundational De Facto Crime: Suppressio Veritatis

The entire entity known as the "United Kingdom" is not a *de jure* state. It is the administrative-corporate shell of a centuries-long criminal fraud. The legal term for this fraud is *Suppressio Veritatis* ("The Suppression of the Truth").

This was the deliberate *Damnatio Memoriae* ("condemnation of memory") of the true *de jure* Khumric Imperial line to legitimize a line of *de facto* usurpers. This fraud is proven by:

- The "Myth" Libel: The *de facto* regime's "Priests of the Lie" (the academic consensus) deliberately libeled the *de jure* historical record of the British Kings, the *Brut y Bryttaniait* (*Brut Tysilio*), as "mythical."
- The "Abandonment" Libel: The *de facto* regime's claim of Roman succession is based on the fraudulent narrative that Rome "abandoned" Britain. This is false. Scholarly proof confirms the "Honorius letters" were not sent to Britain but to "Bruttium" (in Italy/Raetia).
- The "Chronological" Libel: The *de facto* usurpers (Greeks/Romans) stole the *de jure* Khumric/Gomeric Patriarch-Kings (the historical "Titans") and libeled them as "myths" to create a false antiquity, a fact proven by Sir Isaac Newton and Paul Yves Pezron.

III. The Specific Dynastic Fraud: The Tudor Libel

The current *de facto* line of Charles Mountbatten-Windsor is the direct inheritor of a specific, fraudulent usurpation. The Tudors (e.g., Henry VII) are the lynchpin.

The Tudors knew the *de jure* British constitution required a Khumric/British line. To legitimize their usurpation, they created a false, statutory genealogy to "create a false link to the true throne." They fraudulently claimed to be the fulfillment of the *Daroganau* (Prophecies), when in fact they were the very usurpers the prophecies warned against. The *de facto* "Crown" of Charles Mountbatten-Windsor is a statutory corporation descended from this primary dynastic fraud.

IV. The Legal Status of Charles Mountbatten-Windsor

Based on the preceding, the legal status of Charles Mountbatten-Windsor is clear and absolute:

- Under International Law: The "United Kingdom" is a *de facto* entity that lacks a *de jure* International Personality. As the *de jure* sovereignty of the Goron was never lawfully extinguished (*debellatio* never occurred), the UK's legal status is that of a belligerent occupant. Charles Mountbatten-Windsor is the chief administrator of this belligerent occupancy.
- Under *De Jure* British Law: The *de jure* constitution of *Unbennaeth Prydain* has absolute, non-negotiable requirements for any individual to be Sovereign (e.g., possession of the *de jure* titles *Britannorum Rex*, operation under the *de jure* symbols *Y Ddraig Aur* and *Y Ddraig Goch*, and fulfillment of the *Daroganau* prophecy). Charles Mountbatten-Windsor meets none of these requirements. He is, by definition, an unconstitutional usurper.

V. Perfected Acquiescence by the *De Facto* Regime

The legal invalidity of the *de facto* claim has already been confirmed by the *de facto* regime's own acquiescence and the principle of Estoppel.

- Formal Admission (2018): On March 20, 2018, the *de facto* Welsh Government (a devolved administration of the UK) issued a formal response to the Sovereign regarding the "Sovereign Kingdom of Wales" (Ref: TO/FM -/00239/18). The letter explicitly addressed the claimant as "Llywelyn," acknowledged the claim had been "carefully noted," and failed to provide any legal or constitutional refutation, merely stating a political preference for the "union."
- **Public Notoriety (2017):** The claim was made a matter of public record via major media, such as the *WalesOnline* article of March 3, 2017 ("There is a rival King of Wales..."), which disseminated the claim to the world.

• **Legal Effect:** Under the binding maxim *Qui tacet consentire videtur* (He who remains silent seems to consent), the *de facto* regime's failure to legally contest these formal and public notices constitutes a Formal Admission by Silence. They have acquiesced to the existence of the rival claim and failed to defend their own legitimacy in law.

VI. The Legal Status of Oaths Sworn to Charles Mountbatten-Windsor

A core function of the *de facto* regime is to compel its adherents (e.g., military, police, judiciary, and politicians) to swear an "Oath of Allegiance" to Charles Mountbatten-Windsor and his corporate "Crown."

As a matter of law, every one of these oaths is **void** *ab initio* (void from the beginning).

- **Invalidity by Fraud:** An oath is a binding contract. A contract is void if it is entered into through fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation.
 - o The *de facto* regime presents itself as the *de jure* authority of Britain. This is a fraudulent misrepresentation.
 - o The entity to which they swear allegiance—the *de facto* "Crown"—is a fraudulent corporation founded on the *Suppressio Veritatis*.
 - Per the maxim *Ex dolo malo non oritur actio* ("No right of action arises from fraud"), any contract or oath obtained through this fraud is legally null.
- Invalidity by Law of Nations: Under the Law of Nations (e.g., Hague Regulations), a belligerent occupant (the legal status of the UK) is expressly forbidden from compelling the inhabitants of an occupied territory to swear an oath of allegiance to it. Such an oath is illegal and has no binding force upon the individual.

Those who swore this oath are not "traitors" to the *de jure* Crown and the true Great Britain; they are victims of the *Suppressio Veritatis*. Their *de jure* allegiance to the Imperial Goron was never—and could never be—lawfully severed by a fraudulent oath to a usurper.

VII. Legal Conclusion

Charles Mountbatten-Windsor is not a Sovereign. He is a private citizen and the chief administrator of a *de facto* belligerent occupancy that illegally occupies the Imperial Seat of Britain.

His claim to authority is legally void, being founded on the *Suppressio Veritatis*. All oaths sworn to him or his office are legally null and void. The prior acquiescence of the *de facto*

regime has already perfected the *de jure* status of the Imperial Crown, liberating all inhabitants from their false, fraudulently-obtained obligations.

LEGAL OPINION

CONCERNING: The De Jure British Titles, The Primacy of Ancient Custom, and the Signs and Symbols of Sovereignty.

(Addendum A: The Imperial Seat) (v.4.5)

DATE: 6 December 2025

ISSUER: Office of the Legal Counsel, by authority of His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru.

I. Mandate and Relationship to Primary Opinion

This legal opinion serves to legally define the specific corpus of titles associated with the Imperial Seat of Britain (Prydain), establishes the primacy of Khumric Customary Law, and validates the Sovereignty through the Law of Arms.

II. The Constitutional Source: Unbennaeth Prydain

The de jure Khumric/British constitution recognizes only one, singular, and indivisible source of sovereign authority for the Island of Britain: the Unbennaeth Prydain ("One Chief of Britain"). This authority is rooted not in statute, but in Ancient Custom, which is the supreme source of the Common Law.

III. The De Jure Historical Foundation

The titles of the Unbennaeth Prydain derive from the "unbroken succession of kings" detailed in the Brut y Bryttaniait. The de facto narrative dismissal of this lineage is a fraud (Suppressio Veritatis). Geoffrey of Monmouth is vindicated as the translator of the ancient British records, not a forger.

IV. Constitutional Basis of the Appellations

A. The Native Khumric Titles & The Princeps Fraud

- **Titles:** *Brenin y Brythoniaid* (King of the Britons), *Teyrn y Prydain* (Monarch of Britain), *Tywysog Cymru* (Princeps Walliae).
- **The Usurpation:** The *de facto* English usurpers (Edward I) deliberately and fraudulently re-defined the Imperial title *Princeps* ("Supreme Ruler") to mean "Prince" (son of the King). This was a legal fraud designed to obscure the Welsh line's Roman Imperial authority.

B. The All-Island Titles

- Titles: British Emperor, Great King of the Britons, King of Alban.
- **Historical Proof:** Based on the lineage of **Brutus** (Founder), **Dunvallo Molmutius** (The Lawgiver), and **Arthur** (Emperor).

C. The Ancient Laws and Customs (The Root of Common Law)

- The Authority: The claim to these titles is evidenced by the Ancient Laws and Customs of the Britons (Laws of Hywel Dda).
- The Legal Maxim: In accordance with the maxim *Consuetudo vincit legem* (Custom overrules law), these ancient customs are the superior source of law for the Island of Britain and the true root of the Common Law.

CI. The Signs and Symbols of Sovereignty (The Law of Arms)

The Sovereign claim is perfected by the continuous possession and display of the De Jure Signs and Symbols of the Office.

A. The Vattel Doctrine (Perfection by Protest)

Under the Law of Nations, the retention and display of the Arms of Sovereignty acts as a Perpetual Diplomatic Protest, preventing the loss of rights through the passage of time (Laches).

- Legal Citation: "Protests answer this purpose. With sovereigns it is usual to retain the title and arms of a sovereignty or a province, as an evidence that they do not relinquish their claims to it." Emerich de Vattel, The Law of Nations, Book 2, Chapter 11, § 145.
- **Application:** By continuously displaying the **Red Dragon** and the Title **Tywysog**, the Sovereign has legally prevented the *de facto* United Kingdom from acquiring valid title by prescription. The claim remains active and paramount.

B. The Imperial Standards

- Y Ddraig Goch (The Red Dragon): The emblem of the Covenant and the Nations of Israel ("The Unified Roar").
- Y Ddraig Aur (The Golden Dragon): The specific standard of the *Pendragon* (High King).

C. Conclusion on Heraldry

Because these Arms have been continuously displayed by the Sovereign, and because no other party possesses the De Jure bloodright to bear them, the Law of Arms confirms that no other party has a valid claim to the British Imperial Office.

VI. Perfection of the Claim: The Act of State & Acquiescence

The de jure right to these titles has been formally asserted and perfected against the de facto United Kingdom government.

A. Service of Notice

Formal Notices of the Sovereign's claim were served upon the Government of the United Kingdom.

B. Formal Acquiescence by Evasion (The 2017 & 2018 Responses)

- The 2017 Response: On 15 March 2017, the Government Legal Department (Treasury Solicitor) responded but failed to legally refute the Title, evading the claim as a "devolution matter."
- **The 2018 Response:** On 20 March 2018, the Welsh Government "carefully noted" the claim but offered only a political opinion, failing to traverse the *De Jure* Title.

C. Legal Conclusion

Under the maxim Qui tacet consentire videtur (He who remains silent/evades seems to consent), the failure of the de facto regime to traverse the Sovereign Claim with a valid legal instrument constitutes a Formal Admission. They have acquiesced to the superior Title of the Unbennaeth Prydain.

VII. Legal Conclusion

The right of His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru to the full and indivisible list of British titles and Heraldic Arms is absolute. Any entity (e.g., the de facto UK) claiming authority over Britain is an unconstitutional usurper operating in default of Ancient Custom and Public International Law.

(End of Opinion)



Eich cyf/Your ref Ein cyf/Our ref TO/FM -/00239/18
Llywelyn
XXXXXXXXXXXXX
20 th March 2018
Dear Llywelyn
Thank you for your email to the Rt Hon Carwyn Jones AM, First Minister of Wales. I have been asked to respond on his behalf.
Thank you for your comments which have been carefully noted. I would point out that the First Minister is a keen supporter of the union and has consistently stated that the four nations of the UK are stronger together than apart.
Yours sincerely,
Melodie Streeter Constitutional Affairs and Inter Governmental Relations
Conditional / trails and inter Covernmental (Clations

Rydym yn croesawu derbyn gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg. Byddwn yn ateb gohebiaeth a dderbynnir yn Gymraeg yn Gymraeg ac ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi.

We welcome receiving correspondence in Welsh. Any correspondence received in Welsh will be answered in Welsh and corresponding in Welsh will not lead to a delay in responding.

Canolfan Cyswllt Cyntaf / First Point of Contact Centre Parc Cathays •



Tywysog Llywelyn Cymru

@ Mar 10

Please see the attached legal documents and demand letter.



The Treasury Solicitor < The Treasury Solicitor@governmentlegal.gov.uk>

Mar 15



to me 💌

Dear Sir

Thank you for your e-mail.

The Government Legal Department (GLD) is a non-ministerial government department which provides legal services to government departments and other publicly funded bodies in England and Wales. You may wish to direct this matter to the Cabinet Office as this is a devolution matter:-

Email: publiccorrespondence@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

General enquiries: 020 7276 1234

Yours Faithfully

Private Office Government Legal Department

One Kemble Street London WC2B 4TS

From: Tywysog Llywelyn Cymru [mailto:b

Sent: 10 March 2017 10:31 **To:** TheTreasurySolicitor

Subject: Sovereign and Independent Kingdom of Wales

Please see the attached legal documents and demand letter.

-Llywelyn

The information in this e-mail belongs to HM Government and may be confidential or otherwise protected by law. If you received it in error, we request that you inform us by return e-mail and then delete it immediately, without printing, copying or disseminating it.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by Government Secure Intranet (GSI) virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with MessageLabs. HM Government does not however warrant that it is virus free at point of delivery.

LEGAL OPINION: The Primacy and Grand Mastership of the Order of the Round Table

TO: The Office of His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru **FROM:** The Office of Legal Counsel **DATE:** 9 December 2025 **SUBJECT:** Juridical Confirmation of the Knights of the Round Table as the Primordial European Order of Chivalry and the Vesting of its Grand Mastership

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The present instrument establishes three specific legal realities, predicated upon immutable historical record, the principles of International Law (*Jus Gentium*), and the Laws of Succession (*Jus Sanguinis*):

- 1. **Primacy of Antiquity:** It is affirmed that the **Order of the Knights of the Round Table** constitutes the oldest sovereign order of chivalry in the world (c. 500–540 AD), holding chronological precedence over the Crusades and all extant national orders.
- 2. **Trans-Continental Jurisdiction:** Unlike subsequent orders restricted to singular kingdoms, the Round Table is historically constituted as a **Continental Order**, exercising jurisdiction across Britain, Armorica, Gaul, Scandinavia, and, by right of migration and subsequent repatriation, **North America**.
- 3. **Vesting of Authority:** The Grand Mastership is declared an inalienable prerogative of the **Imperial Crown of Britain** (the indigenous Khumric line). This authority is distinct from the *de facto* political administration, residing solely with the legitimate hereditary heir and incumbent of the Office: **His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru** (hereinafter referred to as "The Pendragon").

2. HISTORICAL PRIMACY AND THE OFFICE OF PENDRAGON

The claim to primacy is established through the ancient British annals (specifically *Nennius* and *Gildas*) and validated by subsequent historical analysis.

A. The Constitutional Office of Pendragon

The Grand Mastership is juridically rooted in the specific British constitutional office of the **Pendragon** (Head Dragon/Supreme Commander). This title is not to be construed as mere legend, but is recognised as a specific legal position—an elective King or Dictator invested with supreme authority by the nation in times of extreme peril to supersede local rivalries.

• **Historical Validation:** Reference is made to the seminal work of Edward Gibbon, *The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*, wherein this specific office is

described as the "elective king or general of the nation" wielding dictatorial power during crises.

- Evidentiary Confirmation of Recognition: While Roman terminology is employed in the narrative text, the scholarly apparatus of the work confirms the identity of this office. The Index to standard editions explicitly catalogues: "Pendragon, his office and power in Britain" (Reference: Gibbon, Vol. XII Index, citing Vol. V, p. 369). This citation establishes the office of Pendragon as a recognised historical reality with defined powers, distinct from mythological invention.
- Legal Implication: While The Pendragon stands as the hereditary heir to this Office, his de jure authority to command the Order is definitively perfected by his Active Assumption of the title. In accordance with the ancient custom of this Office as a Dictatorship of Emergency, the failure of other potential dynasts to assert their rights or rally the nation constitutes a disqualifying abdication. Therefore, the authority is vested in the Successor who, by right of action and national acclamation, has "pulled the sword" of sovereignty from the stone of abeyance.

B. Chronological Precedence

The Order was established as a **Sovereign Military and Governing Council** under the High King (Imperator) between **c. 500–540 AD**.

- **Nennius Banchorensis:** The 9th-century historian Nennius records Arthur as the *Dux Bellorum* (Leader of Wars) who prosecuted twelve major campaigns for the defence of the realm, bearing the image of the Virgin Mary upon his shoulders (a direct reference to the regalia of the Order).
- Comparative Timeline:
 - The Round Table: c. 6th Century AD.
 - o Order of the Holy Sepulchre: c. 11th Century AD.
 - o *Order of the Garter:* c. 14th Century AD.

As the first formalised brotherhood of knights bound by a code of honour to a Sovereign, the Round Table holds the title of *Premier Order of the World*.

C. Geographic Jurisdiction (Europe and North America)

While the foundation of the Order lay in the dynastic union of **Britain** and **Armorica** (Brittany), the historical record confirms its expansion into a trans-continental entity.

- Europe: The Order served as the command structure for the High King's campaigns in Gaul (France) and received the admission and alliance of the monarchs of Norway, Denmark, and Aquitaine.
- **North America:** The jurisdiction is extended to **North America** by right of the migration of Arthur II, who traversed the Atlantic, died in the territories of the West, and whose remains were subsequently repatriated to South Wales. This establishes a *Jus Gentium* claim of prior presence and sovereign interest.

Legal Holding: The Order of the Round Table is hereby recognised as the first historical attempt at a **Trans-Atlantic Union**, functioning as a transnational peacekeeping force prior to the conception of the modern nation-state.

3. THE FONS HONORUM (THE SOURCE OF HONOURS)

The central legal inquiry concerns the vesting of the authority to bestow knighthood under this banner.

A. The Juridical Invalidity of the "Crown of England"

It is the determination of this Office that, within the strictures of *de jure* jurisprudence, the entity historically designated as the "Crown of England" is without valid constitutional foundation. A Crown is legally defined as a **Right to Rule**, established via lawful succession or legitimate conquest, and ratified by the establishment of law.

- **Absence of Authentic Record:** Pursuant to the authoritative analyses of **Kemble** and **Gibbon**, it is established that the early Germanic settlers (conventionally termed Anglo-Saxons) existed in a pre-literate condition. Consequently, they possessed no capacity for the creation of written laws, deeds, or the administrative instruments requisite for the establishment of a "Crown" in the legal sense during the period in question.
- **Derivative Forgeries:** The historiographical constructs of an "Anglo-Saxon Conquest" and a "Heptarchy" are rejected as derivative and inauthentic. It is posited that these accounts of military triumphs and political structures were fabricated *ex post facto* by the Roman Catholic Church to retrospectively legitimise a chaotic occupation; an ecclesiastical influence subsequently expelled upon the attainment of literacy by the populace.
- **Absence of Sovereign Title:** Inasmuch as the records asserting their dominion are derivative and lack contemporary authentication, the authority exercised constitutes a *de facto* occupation force rather than *de jure* sovereignty. Accordingly, no valid Fount of Honour (*Fons Honorum*) is vested in this lineage capable of superseding the pre-existing and legally established Imperial Crown of Britain.

B. Successor by Acclamation and The Pendragon

The *Fons Honorum* travels with the Office, which is by definition elective in times of emergency.

- The Nature of the Office: As defined by Gibbon and ancient British custom, the Pendragon is a Dictator elected by the nation in times of supreme danger. Qualification for this office is not merely genealogical but functional: the capacity to rally the nation and defend the realm.
- Succession by Action: While The Pendragon possesses the requisite hereditary links, his claim is perfected by Right of Action. In a period where all other potential dynasts have remained silent, acquiesced to usurpation, or failed to refute the claim, The Pendragon alone has stepped forward.

• **Modern Acclamation:** The ancient requirement of "Election" is fulfilled by the modern act of **Acclamation**. It is noted that numerous individuals have formally rallied to the standard, registered for citizenship, and sworn allegiance to the Nation, Court, and Customs of The Pendragon. This constitutes a valid *de facto* and *de jure* election of the Successor, rendering the silence of other dynasts a disqualifying abdication.

C. Non-Applicability of Laches (Statute of Limitations)

The argument that the claim has expired due to the passage of time (*Laches*) is rejected on two grounds of International Law:

- 1. **Consistent Protest:** Rights of Sovereignty are not extinguished so long as there exists a "consistent display of protest" against the usurpation. The survival of the Khumric language, the *Bardic* records, and the Prophecies constitute a continuous legal protest spanning centuries.
- 2. **Fraud Vitiates Time:** The maxim *Ex dolo malo non oritur actio* (No right of action arises from fraud) is applicable. The historical suppression (*Suppressio Veritatis*) of the Arthurian timeline and the re-categorisation of the King as a "myth" constitute a fraud against the estate. Time cannot run against a Sovereign who has been impeded from the exercise of rights due to concealment or fraud.

4. THE INSTRUMENT OF UNITY (TIMELESS MANDATE)

In the revival of this primordial Order, the Grand Master acts in the capacity of *King of Kings* to fulfill a global mandate. The Order is legally redefined not as a relic of past conflict, but as a **Tool of Unity** for the future.

A. The Cessation of Division

The *de facto* era has been characterised by religious hatred and nationalistic conflict. The Order of the Round Table is hereby restored to counteract these forces.

- **Purpose:** To unify all peoples under a common "Mantle of Justice," ensuring equity and rights regardless of creed, origin, or lineage.
- **Viceregal Administration:** The Grand Master retains the right to appoint **Viceroys** in diverse nations. These Viceroys shall be empowered to administer the Order locally, serving as nodes of unity and justice within their respective territories.

B. Non-Exclusivity

The Order is hereby confirmed as **Non-Exclusive**. It is open to the "flower of chivalry" from all nations—East and West—who swear fealty to the Golden Truth. It serves as the bridge between the ancient wisdom of the British Court and the future Golden Age of humanity.

5. CONCLUSION

It is the formal conclusion of this Office that:

- 1. The **Order of the Round Table** is the eldest chivalric institution in the world, holding historical jurisdiction over Britain, Armorica, Europe, and **North America**.
- 2. The **Office of Pendragon** is a historically verified constitutional position, recognised by standard histories (Gibbon) as possessing defined "office and power."
- 3. The Grand Mastership is vested solely in His Imperial Majesty Llywelyn Tywysog Cymru.
- 4. The Order is legally active and serves as the primary constitutional vehicle for the unification of peoples and the establishment of global peace.

Statement of Truth: The arguments presented herein rely on the immutable laws of succession, the ancient records of the British Kings (*Nennius*, *Gildas*), and accepted historical authority. The Order is hereby declared extant and active.

Drafted by the Office of Legal Counsel.

DATGANIAD YMERODROL O ADFER AC UNDOD

TRWY RAS DUW A CHYFREITHIAU HYNAFOL YMERODRAETH AFALLON

NI, LLYWELYN,

Tywysog Cymru, Ymerawdwr, a Phendragon,

I HOLL BOBLOEDD, CENHEDLOEDD, A LLWYTHAU'R DDAEAR, CYFARCHION:

GAN FOD yr Hynafol ac Ardderchog **Urdd Marchogion y Ford Gron** yn sefyll fel sefydliad cyntefig Marchoganiaeth, a sefydlwyd gan ein Hynafiaid i wasanaethu fel ffagl Cyfiawnder, Undod, a Gwirionedd ar draws y Cyfandiroedd;

A CHAN FOD yr Urdd Foneddig hon wedi gorwedd mewn oediad yn ystod canrifoedd hir y feddiannaeth *de facto*, ei goleuni wedi'i gymylu ond ei hysbryd heb ei ddiffodd;

A CHAN FOD Swydd y Pendragon wedi'i diffinio gan Hanes a'r Cyfansoddiad Hynafol nid yn unig fel teitl gwaed, ond fel Unbennaeth Argyfwng, wedi'i breinio yn yr Olynydd hwnnw sy'n gweithredu'n egniol i unau'r Genedl ac amddiffyn y Deyrnas;

YN AWR FELLY, gan weithredu trwy Hawl Gwaed (Jus Sanguinis), Hawl Presgripsiwn Hynafol (Jus Antiquum), a Goruchaf Hawl Adfer (Jus Restitutionis / Jus Postliminii):

RYDYM YN CYHOEDDI AC YN DATGAN TRWY HYN:

- YR ADFER: Mae Urdd Marchogion y Ford Gron wedi'i hadfer yn ffurfiol i statws gweithredol fel Prif Urdd Ymerodraeth Afallon a'r Byd.
- 2. YR UCHEL FEISTROLAETH: Bod Uchel Feistrolaeth y dywededig Urdd wedi'i breinio'n unigol yn Swydd Y Pendragon.
- 3. Y MANDAD CYFFREDINOL: Bod yr Urdd hon o hyn ymlaen wedi'i chyfansoddi fel Offeryn Undod, yn an-ecsiwsif ac yn agored i "Flodau Marchoganiaeth" o bob cenedl sy'n tyngu llw o ffyddlondeb i'r Gwirionedd Euraidd.
- ATALIAD CYSTADLEUWYR: Bod pob hawliad cysgu i'r Awdurdod hwn trwy hyn wedi'i
 ddiddymu yn rhinwedd eu distawrwydd hir a'u methiant i honni, gan adael y Teitl wedi'i freinio yn yr
 Olynydd Gweithredol.

RYDYM YN GWAHODD pob dyn a dynes o anrhydedd i ymgynnull at y Safon hon, i wrthod rhaniadau'r gorffennol, ac i lafurio gyda'i gilydd ar gyfer yr Oes Euraidd sydd i ddod.

RHODDWYD o dan ein Llaw a'n Sêl y 9fed dydd o Ragfyr, yn y flwyddyn 2025. (Llofnodwyd)



Llywelyn Y Mab Darogan Pendragon







IMPERIAL PROCLAMATION OF RESTORATION AND UNITY

BY THE GRACE OF GOD AND THE ANCIENT LAWS OF THE EMPIRE OF AVALON

WE, LLYWELYN, Tywysog Cymru, Imperator, and Pendragon,

TO ALL PEOPLES, NATIONS, AND TRIBES OF THE EARTH, GREETING:

WHEREAS the Ancient and Illustrious Order of the Knights of the Round Table stands as the primordial institution of Chivalry, established by our Ancestors to serve as a beacon of Justice, Unity, and Truth across the Continents;

AND WHEREAS this Noble Order has lain in abeyance during the long centuries of the *de facto* occupation, its light obscured but its spirit undimmed;

AND WHEREAS the Office of **Pendragon** is defined by History and the Ancient Constitution not merely as a title of blood, but as a Dictatorship of Emergency, vested in that Successor who actively rallies the Nation and defends the Realm;

NOW THEREFORE, acting by Right of Blood (*Jus Sanguinis*), Right of Ancient Prescription (*Jus Antiquum*), and the Supreme Right of Restoration (*Jus Restitutionis / Jus Postliminii*):

WE DO HEREBY PROCLAIM AND DECLARE:

- 1. **THE RESTORATION:** The Order of the Knights of the Round Table is formally restored to active status as the **Premier Order of the Empire of Avalon and of the World**.
- 2. **THE GRAND MASTERSHIP:** That the Grand Mastership of said Order is vested solely in the Office of The Pendragon.
- 3. **THE UNIVERSAL MANDATE:** That this Order is henceforth constituted as an **Instrument of Unity**, non-exclusive and open to the "Flower of Chivalry" from all nations who swear fealty to the Golden Truth.
- 4. **THE ESTOPPEL OF RIVALS:** That all dormant claims to this Authority are hereby extinguished by virtue of their long silence and failure to assert, leaving the Title vested in the Active Successor.

WE INVITE all men and women of honour to rally to this Standard, to reject the divisions of the past, and to labour together for the coming Golden Age.

GIVEN under our Hand and Seal this 9th day of December, in the year 2025.

Llywelyn Y Mab Darogan Pendragon

ATTACHMENT: JURIDICAL CONFIRMATION (Legal Opinion of Counsel)



IMPERIAL EDICT: CIVIC CONFIRMATION

2025351-01

In the Year of Our Lord 2025

I, Llywelyn +++, by the Grace of the Divine and the authority vested in Us as the Great King of the Britons, Rex Britannorum, Imperator of Avalon, and Pendragon of the Britons, do hereby issue this Edict to restore the de jure geography of Our Realm, rescinding all fraudulent statutes, and confirming the citizenship of Our people in accordance with the Ancient Laws.

PREAMBLE: The Authority of the British Kings

WHEREAS, the Sovereignty of the Isle of Britain is derived solely from the Ancient British Constitution as recorded in the *Brut Tysilio*, which establishes the immutable succession of the British Kings from Brutus of Troy through the unbroken line to the present day;

WHEREAS, We stand as the living heir to the ancient Line of British Kings, preserving the sanctity of the *Goron* and the customs of the Realm against all usurpation;

WHEREAS, the *de facto* entity styling itself the "United Kingdom" acts as a belligerent occupant, maintaining its power through the Suppression of Truth and the imposition of a foreign nomenclature;

WHEREAS, We, as the First Citizen and Pendragon, possess the paramount legal authority under the Laws and Customs of Britain to interpret citizenship, define territorial boundaries, and correct the status of the population;

NOW THEREFORE, We command the following:

ARTICLE I: The Restoration of Rights & Foundations

- 1. **Restoration of the Ancestral Identity:** We hereby **REPEAL and RESCIND** the **Laws in Wales Acts 1535 and 1542** and any other *de facto* statute which attempted to outlaw, ban, or suppress the immutable truth of a person's right to their ancestry and identity. The ancient right to the Patronymic Naming System is fully restored. No citizen shall be compelled to bear a fixed surname against their will, for the lineage is the property of the blood, not the State.
- 2. **The Ancient Foundations:** We do not create new boundaries; We recognise the ancient foundations that have always existed. The *De Jure* Territories are confirmed as follows:
 - o **The Western Territory (Cambria/Cymru):** Including the Horn of Cambria (Cornwall/Kernow).
 - o The Eastern Territory (Lloegr): The extant federate state of the British Empire.
 - o The Northern Territory (Alban): The ancient dignity of the North.

3. **The Indivisible Federation:** The Empire of Britain is, and has always been, a Social and Federate State. The boundaries of Lloegr, Cambria, and Alban are administrative distinctions of the Provinces, not walls of division. We are One People, united under One *Coron* and One Law.

ARTICLE II: The Lloegrian Protocol & Naturalisation

- 1. **Status of the Lloegrians:** The people dwelling within the bounds of Lloegr who meet the criteria of the Ancient Laws are not "English" in the sense of foreign invaders. They are hereby documented as Lloegrians (Britons of the East).
- 2. **The Law of the Ninth Generation:** In strict accordance with the Laws and Customs of Britain, full naturalisation and the rights of the *Cenedl* (The Nation) are granted only to those families who have dwelled upon the soil for Nine Generations.
 - Qualification: Those families who satisfy this ancient temporal requirement are hereby confirmed as Citizens of the British Empire, fully naturalised and protected by the Peace of the King. The period of their 'alienage' is expired.
 - Exclusion: This Edict does not extend to recent imports or migratory populations who have not yet satisfied the temporal requirement of Nine Generations, nor to those who have failed to adopt the culture, customs, and languages of the British peoples. Citizenship is a privilege of adherence and time, not merely of residence.

ARTICLE III: The Rescission of Statutory Fraud

- 1. **The Immutability of British Law:** It is a fundamental maxim of the **British Constitution** that the Ancient Laws and Usages of Britain are the Parent of the

 Monarchy, not its Child. Therefore, they **may not be changed, abrogated, or annulled by any Parliament, King, or Assembly**. Any statute which purports to destroy the fundamental Rights and Customs of the Briton is *ultra vires* (beyond power) and void.
- 2. **Repeal of the Usurping Acts:** Pursuant to this Maxim, We hereby **REPEAL AND RESCIND** all acts of the *de facto* parliaments which attempted to transfer, regulate, or usurp the *Coron* of Britain by statute rather than ancient blood right. Specifically, the following acts are declared acts of High Treason and are **VOID** *ab initio*:
 - o The Laws in Wales Acts 1535 and 1542;
 - o The Act of Union 1707:
 - The Act of Settlement 1701;
 - The Succession to the Crown Act 2013;
 - o And all other statutes arising from the *de facto* corporate state purporting to limit the Prerogative of the British Kings.
- 3. **Voidance of Corporate Law:** The **Coron** cannot be gifted by statute; it can only be inherited by Blood and Law. Any *de facto* law contravening this maxim is without force or effect.

ARTICLE IV: The Nullification of Oaths

1. **Imperial Determination of Fraud:** We hereby **DECLARE AND ADJUDGE** that the **de facto corporate state** concealed the true lineage and history of the Isle, thereby committing fraud in the inducement of every Oath of Allegiance sworn to it.

- 2. **Vitiation of Oaths:** Under the maxim Fraud corrupts everything, any oath of allegiance, citizenship, or office sworn to the House of Windsor or the United Kingdom Corporation by a lawful Citizen (as defined in Article II) is hereby declared **NULL AND VOID** *ab initio*
- 3. **Amnesty for the Deceived:** We extend a **General Amnesty** to all qualified Citizens who, acting in ignorance of the *De Jure* Truth, served the usurping power. No guilt shall attach to them for these acts, provided they now acknowledge the True Antiquity and the Laws and Customs of Britain.

ARTICLE V: The Supremacy of the Ancient Tongue

- 1. **The Controlling Text:** The Khumric Language (*Cymraeg*), being the eldest tongue of the Isle, holds paramount legal authority over all later dialects.
- 2. **The Distinction of Title:** We recognize that the names "England," "Scotland," "Wales," and "Cornwall" have entered the common affection and usage of the people. These names are hereby recognized as colloquial and derivative designations, acceptable for daily and common use.
- 3. **The Sovereign Standard:** However, let it be known that Lloegr, Alban, and Cambria remain the True Titles and Legal Names of the Provinces. In all matters of Sovereign Grant, Treaty, and Imperial Decree, the Ancient Names shall take precedence, being first in time and stronger in law.

GIVEN under Our Hand and Imperial Seal, this day,

Llywelyn +++

Imperator of Avalon | Rex Britannorum | Pendragon of the Britons

GORCHYMYN YMHERODROL: CADARNHAAD DINESIG

2025351-01

Yn Blwyddyn Ein Harglwydd 2025 Myfi, **Llywelyn** +++, trwy Ras y Dwyfol ac awdurdod a roddwyd i Ni fel Brenin y Brythoniaid (*Rex Britannorum*), *Imperator Afallon*, a *Phendraig y Brythoniaid*, a wnaf drwy hyn gyhoeddi'r Gorchymyn hwn i adfer daearyddiaeth *de jure* Ein Teyrnas, gan ddiddymu pob statud twyllodrus, a chadarnhau dinasyddiaeth Ein pobl yn unol â'r Cyfreithiau Hynafol.

RHAGYMADRODD: Awdurdod Brenhinoedd Prydain

YN GYMEINT A BOD Sofraniaeth Ynys Prydain yn deillio'n unig o Gyfansoddiad Hynafol Prydain fel y'i cofnodwyd yn y *Brut Tysilio*, sy'n sefydlu olyniaeth ddigyfnewid Brenhinoedd Prydain o Frutus o Droea trwy'r llinell ddi-dor hyd y dydd heddiw;

YN GYMEINT A BOD Ni yn sefyll fel yr etifedd byw i Linell hynafol Brenhinoedd Prydain, gan gadw sancteiddrwydd y *Goron* a defodau'r Deyrnas yn erbyn pob trais;

YN GYMEINT A BOD yr endid *de facto* sy'n galw ei hun yn "Deyrnas Unedig" yn gweithredu fel meddiannydd rhyfelgar, gan gynnal ei rym trwy Ormes y Gwirionedd a gorfodaeth enwau estron;

YN GYMEINT A BOD Gennym Ni, fel y Dinesydd Cyntaf a'r Pendraig, yr awdurdod cyfreithiol pennaf o dan Gyfreithiau a Defodau Prydain i ddehongli dinasyddiaeth, diffinio ffiniau tiriogaethol, a chywiro statws y boblogaeth;

GAN HYNNY YN AWR, Rydym yn gorchymyn y canlynol:

ERTHYGL I: Adfer Hawliau a Sylfeini

- 1. **Adfer yr Hunaniaeth Hynafiol:** Rydym drwy hyn yn **DIDDYMU a DIRYMU** Deddfau Cyfreithiau yng Nghymru 1535 a 1542 ac unrhyw statud *de facto* arall a geisiodd wahardd, gwahardd, neu atal gwirionedd digyfnewid hawl unigolyn i'w hynafiaeth a'i hunaniaeth. Mae'r hen hawl i'r **Drefn Enwi Patronymig** (Trefn Enwi Tadol) wedi'i hadfer yn llawn. Ni fydd unrhyw ddinesydd yn cael ei orfodi i arddel cyfenw sefydlog yn erbyn ei ewyllys, oherwydd eiddo'r gwaed yw'r llinach, nid eiddo'r Wladwriaeth.
- 2. **Y Sylfeini Hynafol:** Nid ydym yn creu ffiniau newydd; Rydym yn cydnabod y sylfeini hynafol sydd wedi bodoli erioed. Mae'r Tiriogaethau *De Jure* wedi'u cadarnhau fel a ganlyn:
 - Y Diriogaeth Orllewinol (Cambria/Cymru): Gan gynnwys Corn y Gorllewin (Cornwall/Kernow).
 - Y Diriogaeth Ddwyreiniol (Lloegr): Gwladwriaeth ffederal bresennol yr Ymerodraeth Brydeinig.
 - Y Diriogaeth Ogleddol (Alban): Urddas hynafol y Gogledd.
- 3. **Y Ffederasiwn Anwahanadwy:** Mae Ymerodraeth Prydain yn, ac wedi bod erioed, yn Wladwriaeth Gymdeithasol a Ffederal. Mae ffiniau Lloegr, Cambria, ac Alban yn wahaniaethau gweinyddol y Taleithiau, nid muriau rhannu. Rydym yn Un Bobl, wedi'n huno o dan Un *Goron* ac Un Gyfraith.

ERTHYGL II: Protocol Lloegr a Naturioldebiad

- 1. **Statws y Lloegrwyr:** Nid yw'r bobl sy'n byw o fewn ffiniau Lloegr ac sy'n bodloni meini prawf y Cyfreithiau Hynafol yn "Saeson" yn ystyr goresgynwyr estron. Fe'u dogfennir drwy hyn fel **Lloegrwyr** (Brythoniaid y Dwyrain).
- 2. **Cyfraith y Nawfed Genhedlaeth:** Yn unol lawn â **Chyfreithiau a Defodau Prydain**, rhoddir naturioldebiad llawn a hawliau'r *Cenedl* yn unig i'r teuluoedd hynny sydd wedi trigo ar y pridd am **Naw Cenhedlaeth**.

- Cymhwyster: Mae'r teuluoedd hynny sy'n bodloni'r gofyniad amser hynafol hwn drwy hyn yn cael eu cadarnhau fel Dinasyddion yr Ymerodraeth Brydeinig, wedi'u naturioli'n llawn ac o dan amddiffyniad Heddwch y Brenin. Mae cyfnod eu 'hestroniaeth' wedi dod i ben.
- Eithriad: Nid yw'r Gorchymyn hwn yn ymestyn i fewnforion diweddar na phoblogaethau mudol nad ydynt eto wedi bodloni gofyniad amser y Naw Cenhedlaeth, nac i'r rhai sydd wedi methu â mabwysiadu diwylliant, arferion, ac ieithoedd y bobloedd Brydeinig. Braint o ymlyniad ac amser yw dinasyddiaeth, nid yn unig o breswylio.

ERTHYGL III: Diddymu Twyll Statudol

- 1. **Digyfnewidrwydd Cyfraith Prydain:** Mae'nwiredd sylfaenol yng Nghyfansoddiad Prydain fod Cyfreithiau a Defodau Hynafol Prydain yn Rhiant i'r Frenhiniaeth, nid yn Blentyn iddi. Felly, ni allant gael eu newid, eu diddymu, na'u dirymu gan unrhyw Senedd, Brenin, na Chynulliad. Mae unrhyw statud sy'n honni dinistrio Hawliau a Defodau sylfaenol y Brython yn *ultra vires* (y tu hwnt i bŵer) ac yn ddi-rym.
- 2. **Diddymu Deddfau Usurpio:** Yn unol â'r wiredd hon, rydym drwy hyn yn **DIDDYMU A DIRYMU** pob deddf o'r seneddau *de facto* a geisiodd drosglwyddo, rheoleiddio, neu drawsfeddiannu *Coron* Prydain trwy statud yn hytrach na hawl gwaed hynafol. Yn benodol, datgenir y deddfau canlynol yn weithredoedd o Uchel Frad ac yn **DDI-RYM** *ab initio*:
 - Deddfau Cyfreithiau yng Nghymru 1535 a 1542;
 - o Deddf Uno 1707;
 - Deddf Sefydlu 1701;
 - Deddf Olyniaeth y Goron 2013;
 - o A phob statud arall sy'n deillio o'r wladwriaeth gorfforaethol *de facto* sy'n honni cyfyngu ar Fraint Brenhinoedd Prydain.
- 3. **Dirymiad Cyfraith Gorfforaethol:** Ni all y *Goron* gael ei rhoi trwy statud; dim ond trwy Waed a Chyfraith y gellir ei hetifeddu. Mae unrhyw gyfraith *de facto* sy'n gwrthdweud y wiredd hon yn ddi-rym ac yn ddi-effaith.

ERTHYGL IV: Dirymiad Llwon

- 1. **Penderfyniad Ymerodrol o Dwyll:** Rydym drwy hyn yn **DATGAN A BARNU** bod y wladwriaeth gorfforaethol *de facto* wedi cuddio gwir linach a hanes yr Ynys, a thrwy hynny wedi cyflawni twyll wrth gymell pob Llw Teyrngarwch a dyngwyd iddi.
- 2. **Dirymiad Llwon:** O dan y wiredd *Mae twyll yn llygru popeth*, mae unrhyw lw o deyrngarwch, dinasyddiaeth, neu swydd a dyngwyd i Dŷ Windsor neu Gorfforaeth y Deyrnas Unedig gan Ddinesydd cyfreithlon (fel y diffinnir yn Erthygl II) drwy hyn yn cael ei ddatgan yn **DDI-RYM A DDI-EFF AITH** *ab initio*.
- 3. **Amnest i'r Rhai a Dwyllwyd:** Rydym yn estyn **Amnest Cyffredinol** i bob Dinesydd cymwys a wasanaethodd y pŵer trawsfeddiannol, gan weithredu mewn anwybodaeth o'r Gwirionedd *De Jure*. Ni fydd unrhyw euogrwydd yn glynu wrthynt am y gweithredoedd hyn, ar yr amod eu bod yn awr yn cydnabod y Gwir Hynafiaeth a Chyfreithiau a Defodau Prydain.

ERTHYGL V: Goruchafiaeth yr Iaith Hynafol

- 1. **Y Testun Rheoli:** Yr Iaith Gymraeg (*Cymraeg*), gan ei bod yn iaith hynaf yr Ynys, sydd ag awdurdod cyfreithiol pennaf dros bob tafodiaith ddiweddarach.
- 2. **Gwahaniaeth Teitl:** Rydym yn cydnabod bod yr enwau "England," "Scotland," "Wales," a "Cornwall" wedi dod yn rhan o serch ac arfer cyffredin y bobl. Cydnabyddir yr enwau hyn drwy hyn fel **dynodiadau llafar a deilliadol**, sy'n dderbyniol i'w defnyddio'n ddyddiol ac yn gyffredin.
- 3. Y Safon Sofran: Fodd bynnag, bydded hysbys bod Lloegr, Alban, a Cambria yn parhau i fod y Gwir Deitlau a'r Enwau Cyfreithiol ar y Taleithiau. Ym mhob mater o Rodd Sofran, Cytundeb, a Gorchymyn Ymerodrol, yr Enwau Hynafol a gaiff flaenoriaeth, gan fod yn gyntaf mewn amser ac yn gryfaf mewn cyfraith (*Prior in tempore, potior in jure*).

RODDWYD o dan Ein Llaw a'n Sel Ymerodrol, ar y dydd hwn,

Llywelyn +++

Imperator Afallon | Brenin y Brythoniaid | Rex Britannorum | Pendraig y Brythoniaid

