

# The Advocacy Ridge

Presents

---

## Due Process








---

### A Complete Guide for Parents

*Your Most Powerful — and Most Serious — Tool Under IDEA*

#### HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

Color-coded boxes throughout this guide tell you whether a rule is the same everywhere or varies by state:

-  FEDERAL LAW — same in all 50 states
-  STATE VARIATIONS — laws differ; check your state
-  STRONGER STATE PROTECTIONS — some states give you more
-  ADVOCACY TIP — practical advice for parents
-  WATCH OUT — common pitfalls and risks
-  IMPORTANT NOTE — context and clarifications
-  STRATEGY — tactical guidance for due process

#### WATCH OUT

- A due process hearing is a formal legal proceeding—not an IEP meeting or informal discussion with the school district. Success depends not only on the facts of your case, but also on understanding the applicable laws, procedures, evidentiary requirements, and hearing process.
- Because due process can be complex, careful preparation is essential. The following sections are designed to help you understand what to expect, how to prepare your case, and the practical strategies that can help you navigate the process more effectively.



## IMPORTANT NOTE

- This guide is designed to do more than explain the law. In addition to summarizing the legal requirements under IDEA and highlighting important state variations, it includes practical advocacy tips, hearing strategies, and preparation suggestions to help families navigate the due process proceedings more effectively.
- The legal information is based on IDEA, federal regulations, applicable state laws, and relevant legal authority. Many of the practical tips and strategies are also informed by real-world experience supporting families through IEP meetings, mediations, state complaints, due process proceedings, settlement negotiations, and other special education disputes.
- Every case is unique, and there is no single strategy that guarantees a particular outcome. Our goal is to help families better understand not only what the law says, but also how to prepare for and navigate the due process proceedings with greater confidence.

## 1. What Is Due Process?

---

Due process is the most formal dispute resolution process available under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). It allows parents or school districts to resolve disputes about a child's special education by presenting evidence before an impartial hearing officer. Because it functions much like a trial—with witnesses, exhibits, legal arguments, and a binding written decision—it is generally considered a strategic last resort after other appropriate efforts to resolve the dispute have been considered. Although due process can be an essential tool when a district has denied a student a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), it is also the most demanding, time-consuming, and often the most expensive dispute resolution option available under IDEA.

Filing for due process is a significant decision that should be made strategically, with a clear understanding of the legal issues involved, the evidence available, the remedies being sought, and the realistic outcomes that may be achieved.



## FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Due process is established by IDEA at 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and regulations at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.507–300.516.
- Either party — parent or school district — may file a due process complaint on any matter relating to: the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child; or the provision of a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) (34 C.F.R. § 300.507(a)).
- The hearing officer must be impartial — not employed by the state or local education agency, and with no personal or professional conflict of interest (34 C.F.R. § 300.511(c)).
- Due process is a quasi-judicial proceeding. The decision is legally binding on both parties and can only be appealed through the state-level review process or federal or state court.
- Attorneys' fees: If parents are the prevailing party, the court may award reasonable attorneys' fees against the school district. Fees may also be awarded to districts against parents or attorneys who file frivolous complaints (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)).



### IMPORTANT NOTE

- Due process under IDEA is different from '504 due process.' IDEA due process has robust federal procedural requirements. Section 504 hearing procedures are largely left to districts to define — see The Advocacy Ridge's Section 504 guide for those distinctions.
- Due process is also different from a state complaint. A state complaint is an administrative complaint filed with the state education agency, is free, and resolves within 60 days. Due process is a formal hearing with attorneys, witnesses, and exhibits. Both are powerful tools — and the right choice depends on the situation. See Section 13 for a full comparison.



## IMPORTANT NOTE: What Due Process Cannot Do

While due process can provide important remedies when a district has denied a student a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), it is not designed to resolve every disagreement with a school district. Depending on the facts and applicable law, a hearing officer generally cannot:

- Award punitive or emotional distress damages.
- Punish or discipline school employees.
- Require employees to be terminated or reassigned.
- Resolve general education complaints unrelated to IDEA.
- Address issues outside the hearing officer's legal authority.

The remedies available are generally limited to those authorized under IDEA, such as compensatory education, reimbursement, appropriate evaluations, changes to services or placement, and other equitable relief.

## 2. What Can You File Due Process About?

Due process can be used to challenge almost any decision a school district makes about your child's special education — as long as it relates to identification, evaluation, placement, or the provision of FAPE. Understanding what issues are appropriate for due process — and which are better suited for other tools — is essential.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Appropriate due process issues (34 C.F.R. § 300.507(a)): Denial of FAPE (failure to provide appropriate education); inappropriate IEP (inadequate goals, insufficient services, wrong placement); refusal to evaluate or conduct appropriate evaluation; dispute over eligibility determination; failure to implement an IEP; compensatory education for past denial of FAPE; dispute over extended school year; disagreement about related services; placement in more restrictive setting without justification; discipline resulting in change of placement.
- Not appropriate for due process: General grievances about school staff; non-disability-related school issues; claims that don't involve IDEA rights; retaliation claims (use OCR or state complaint instead).

## STRATEGY

- Before filing, ask: What specific FAPE denial am I trying to prove? What remedy am I seeking? What evidence do I have? Due process requires you to build a legal case — not just express frustration. The stronger your answers to these questions, the stronger your case.
- Common winning due process issues: failure to implement IEP services (especially if documented); unilateral private school placement where the district failed to provide FAPE; denial of appropriate evaluation with IEE evidence; compensatory education for documented, extended service failures.
- Common challenging due process issues: disputes about methodology (courts generally defer to districts on how to teach); disagreements about goal quality alone without implementation failure; placement disputes where the district's placement provides some benefit.

## 3. Before You File: Exhaust Your Options

---

Due process should generally be a last resort — not a first response. Most experienced advocates and attorneys recommend working through other options first, both because they may resolve the dispute more efficiently and because the record you build will strengthen any eventual due process case.

### 3a. The Dispute Resolution Ladder

**STEP**  
1

#### **Document the Dispute in Writing**

Write to the Special Education Director identifying the specific concern, the legal basis, and what remedy you are requesting. This creates a record and may prompt resolution.

**STEP**  
2

#### **Request an IEP Meeting**

Request a meeting to address the concern. Bring your documentation and be clear about what needs to change. If the issue is a specific service gap or placement concern, this is often the fastest resolution path.

**STEP**  
3

#### **Request an Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE)**

If the dispute involves evaluation, obtain an IEE. Strong independent evaluation evidence is often the deciding factor in due process cases.

**STEP**  
**4**

**File a State Complaint**

For procedural violations, missed timelines, failure to implement, or denial of required components — file with your state education agency. Free, fast (60-day resolution), and creates a formal record. Can run concurrently with due process preparation.

**STEP**  
**5**

**Request Mediation**

A voluntary, confidential, no-cost process that can resolve disputes without litigation. Agreements are legally binding. Consider mediation if the relationship with the district is not fully broken and settlement is possible.

**STEP**  
**6**

**File for Due Process**

When other options have failed, when the harm is serious and ongoing, when you need compensatory education, or when you need a binding decision — file the due process complaint.



**WATCH OUT**

- Exhaustion requirement: Under IDEA, parents generally must exhaust administrative due process remedies before filing a lawsuit in federal or state court — with limited exceptions for systemic violations or when exhaustion would be futile (20 U.S.C. § 1415(l)).
- Filing prematurely — before building your record — can hurt your case. The evidence and documentation you gather before filing significantly affects your likelihood of success.
- Filing a state complaint does NOT exhaust due process rights. Both can be used, and they address different types of violations.

## 4. The Statute of Limitations — Do Not Miss This

---

Due process complaints must be filed within a specific time window. Missing the deadline can permanently bar your claim — even if the violation was serious and well-documented. This is one of the most critical issues in due process.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Federal default: Two years from the date the parent knew or should have known about the action that forms the basis of the complaint (34 C.F.R. § 300.507(a)(2)).
- If a state has an explicit timeline in its law or regulations, the state timeline applies instead of the federal default.
- Exceptions: The two-year clock may be tolled (paused) if: (1) the district specifically misrepresented that it had resolved the problem; OR (2) the district withheld information it was required to provide to the parent (34 C.F.R. § 300.511(f)).
- The clock typically starts when a parent knew or should have known — not necessarily when the violation began. However, long-running violations that the parent was aware of may be partially time-barred.



### STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Texas: 1 year from the date the parent knew or should have known — significantly shorter than the federal default. File promptly in Texas (19 TAC § 89.1185).
- California: 2 years (Cal. Ed. Code § 56505(l)) — matches federal.
- Oregon: 2 years (OAR 581-015-2340) — matches federal.
- Washington: 2 years (WAC 392-172A-05075) — matches federal.
- Colorado: 2 years (1 CCR 301-8, Rule 4.02) — matches federal.
- New York: 2 years (8 NYCRR 200.5(j)(1)(i)) — matches federal.
- New Jersey: 2 years (N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.7) — matches federal.
- Massachusetts: 2 years (603 CMR 28.08) — matches federal.
- ALWAYS verify your state's current statute of limitations — laws change, and this deadline is fatal if missed.

## WATCH OUT

- The statute of limitations is an absolute deadline. Courts rarely grant exceptions beyond the two narrow federal exceptions. If you are even close to the deadline, file immediately and sort out the details afterward.
- For ongoing violations — such as failure to implement services over multiple years — courts vary on how far back parents can recover. Some courts apply a 'discovery rule'; others limit recovery to the period within the SOL. This is a reason to file sooner rather than later.
- Districts sometimes argue that parents 'should have known' about a violation earlier than parents believe. Good documentation with dates is your defense against this argument.

## 5. Filing the Due Process Complaint

---

The due process complaint is the formal document that initiates the proceeding. It must meet specific content requirements under federal law. A complaint that is too vague or missing required elements can be challenged and may need to be amended.

### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- The complaint must include (34 C.F.R. § 300.508(b)): the name and address of the child; the name of the school the child attends; a description of the nature of the problem, including facts relating to the problem; and a proposed resolution to the problem to the extent known and available at the time.
- The complaint must be filed with both the state education agency (SEA) AND served on the other party (school district) at the same time (34 C.F.R. § 300.508(a)).
- The district may file a notice challenging the sufficiency of the complaint within 15 days of receiving it. The hearing officer must rule on sufficiency within 5 days (34 C.F.R. § 300.508(d)).
- A party may amend the complaint only if: the other party consents in writing and is given the opportunity to resolve; or the hearing officer grants permission no later than 5 days before the hearing (34 C.F.R. § 300.508(d)(3)).



## ADVOCACY TIP

- Be specific in your complaint. List each IDEA violation separately, identify the relevant IEP provisions, regulations, or timelines violated, and state clearly what remedy you are seeking for each issue.
- The complaint defines the scope of the hearing. Issues not raised in the complaint generally cannot be raised at the hearing. Draft it carefully.
- Do not put your entire legal strategy in the complaint — you are required to give notice of the issues, not preview every argument. But do include enough factual detail to survive a sufficiency challenge.
- File by certified mail or email with read receipt — you need proof of the date of filing and service on the district.



## STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Where to file varies significantly by state:
- California: File with the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) — not the California Department of Education (Cal. Ed. Code § 56505).
- Oregon: File with the Oregon Department of Education, Office of Special Education (OAR 581-015-2340).
- Washington: File with OSPI (Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction) (WAC 392-172A-05065).
- Colorado: File with the Colorado Department of Education (1 CCR 301-8, Rule 4.02).
- Texas: File with the Texas Education Agency (TEA) (19 TAC § 89.1185).
- New York: File with the school district — not the state. New York uses a local Impartial Hearing Officer (IHO) system (8 NYCRR 200.5(j)).
- New Jersey: File with the Office of Administrative Law (N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.7).
- Massachusetts: File with the Bureau of Special Education Appeals (BSEA) (603 CMR 28.08).
- Each state has its own filing form and instructions. Download the correct form from your state's education agency website before filing.

## 6. The Resolution Period — A Critical 30-Day Window

---

After a due process complaint is filed, IDEA requires a mandatory resolution period before any hearing can proceed. This is one of the most important — and most misunderstood — phases of the due process timeline.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Within 15 days of the complaint: The school district must convene a resolution meeting with the parents and relevant IEP team members, including a district representative with decision-making authority. The district's attorney may attend ONLY if the parent brings an attorney (34 C.F.R. § 300.510(a)).
- Purpose of the resolution meeting: To give the district the opportunity to resolve the complaint before the hearing. Parents must participate unless they agree in writing to waive it or agree to mediation.
- 30-day resolution period: If the complaint is not resolved within 30 days, the due process hearing proceeds. The timeline for the hearing begins running at day 31.
- If the matter is resolved during the resolution period: The parties execute a legally binding written settlement agreement. Either party may void the agreement within 3 business days of signing (34 C.F.R. § 300.510(e)).
- If the district fails to hold the resolution meeting: The parent may seek a hearing immediately (34 C.F.R. § 300.510(b)(4)).
- If the parent fails to participate: The district may request dismissal of the complaint (34 C.F.R. § 300.510(b)(3)).

## STRATEGY

- The resolution session is not just a formality—it is a genuine opportunity to resolve the dispute. Come prepared by knowing the issues you want to resolve, the evidence supporting your position, the remedies you are requesting, and the minimum outcome you would be willing to accept.
- Consider preparing and sharing a written settlement proposal. It does not have to wait until the resolution session—you may provide it before the meeting, discuss it during the meeting, or continue exchanging proposals afterward. Clearly identify the issues in dispute, the remedies you are requesting, and the terms that would resolve the matter. A written proposal can help focus discussions, reduce misunderstandings, and may facilitate earlier resolution, particularly if there are scheduling delays or extended wait times before a meeting can be held.
- Before the meeting, identify which issues are essential, where you may be willing to compromise, and the outcomes that are most important for your child. This can help keep discussions focused and productive.
- Even if previous IEP meetings, mediation, or informal discussions were unsuccessful, do not assume the resolution session will be the same. The pending due process hearing often changes the dynamics, and both parties may be more willing to negotiate and reach a mutually acceptable resolution.
- Keep discussions focused on practical solutions and your child's educational needs rather than revisiting every past disagreement. The goal is to determine whether the dispute can be resolved without proceeding to a hearing.
- Many cases settle during or shortly after the resolution session because both parties recognize the time, expense, uncertainty, and resources involved in proceeding through a due process hearing. Settlement discussions may also continue throughout the due process proceedings, and many cases resolve before a hearing decision is issued.
- If a settlement is reached, carefully review the agreement before signing to ensure it accurately reflects the agreed-upon terms, clearly identifies each party's responsibilities, includes any necessary timelines, and fully resolves the issues you intend to settle.
- After the meeting, document the date, attendees, the issues discussed, any proposals or offers made, and any agreements reached. Whenever possible, request that settlement proposals and agreements be provided in writing.

## WATCH OUT

- Do not waive the resolution meeting casually. Some advocates recommend always attending because it gives you information about the district's position and may produce settlement. Others recommend waiving it when the district has shown bad faith and you want to proceed directly to hearing.
- If you settle at the resolution meeting, review the agreement carefully before signing. A signed settlement agreement waives your right to further due process on those issues. Do not sign under time pressure without understanding what you are giving up.

## ADVOCACY TIP

- Consider bringing an experienced special education advocate or attorney to the resolution session. Someone familiar with IDEA dispute resolution and settlement negotiations can help evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of your case, identify potential concerns, review settlement proposals, and work toward an agreement that appropriately addresses your child's needs.
- Even if an advocate or attorney does not attend the meeting, consider consulting with one beforehand or asking them to review your due process complaint, proposed settlement terms, or any draft settlement agreement before you sign.
- If you bring an attorney, the school district may also have legal counsel attend the meeting, which may change the tone and dynamics of the discussion. Whether to bring an attorney is a strategic decision that depends on the circumstances of your case.
- A negotiated settlement is not a loss. Many strong due process cases are resolved through settlement because it can provide meaningful relief more quickly, avoid the uncertainty of a hearing, and allow the parties to develop more individualized solutions than may be available through a hearing decision.
- Some parents worry that filing due process or negotiating firmly will permanently damage their relationship with the district. While every situation is different, keep your focus on your child's educational needs and the outcome you are trying to achieve. A clear, written settlement agreement can provide certainty, accountability, and enforceable obligations for both parties.

## 7. The Due Process Timeline

Federal law sets specific deadlines for the due process proceeding. Understanding the timeline helps you prepare and hold the system accountable when deadlines are missed.

<b>Day 0</b>	<b>Due Process Complaint Filed</b>	<i>Served on district and filed with state</i>
<b>Day 2</b>	<b>State Acknowledges Receipt</b>	<i>Starts all timelines</i>
<b>Day 15</b>	<b>Resolution Meeting Must Be Held</b>	<i>District must convene; no district attorney unless parent brings one</i>
<b>Day 30</b>	<b>Resolution Period Ends</b>	<i>If unresolved, hearing timeline begins</i>
<b>Day 45*</b>	<b>Hearing Must Be Held</b>	<i>Begins at Day 31 + 45 days = Day 76 from filing if no waiver</i>
<b>Day 45*</b>	<b>Decision Issued</b>	<i>Within 45 days of end of resolution period (34 C.F.R. § 300.515)</i>
<b>90 days</b>	<b>Appeal Deadline (federal default)</b>	<i>Appeal to state review officer or court; state timelines vary</i>

\* The 45-day hearing timeline begins after the 30-day resolution period — or sooner if both parties waive the resolution period or agree to mediation.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- The hearing officer must issue a final written decision within 45 days after the expiration of the 30-day resolution period, unless an extension is granted at the request of either party (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a)).
- Extensions: Either party can request extensions of specific timelines for good cause. Extension requests must be made to the hearing officer.
- Expedited hearings: When discipline-related placement changes are at issue (10+ day suspensions, alternative placements), expedited timelines apply — the hearing must be held within 20 school days of filing, and a decision must be issued within 10 school days of the hearing (34 C.F.R. § 300.532).

## STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Some states have additional timeline requirements or different structures:
- New York uses a two-tier system: Impartial Hearing Officer (IHO) at the district level, then State Review Officer (SRO) on appeal. The IHO must render a decision within 45 days (8 NYCRR 200.5(j)(5)).
- California (OAH): The OAH must conduct the hearing and issue a decision within 45 days after the resolution period (Cal. Ed. Code § 56505(f)). California has detailed pre-hearing conference requirements.
- New Jersey (OAL): Due process hearings before the Office of Administrative Law follow NJ administrative law procedures in addition to federal requirements.
- Massachusetts (BSEA): Massachusetts has a detailed hearing scheduling process and strong pre-hearing conference requirements. Decisions must be issued within 45 days.
- Oregon: ODE assigns hearing officers. Oregon follows federal timelines closely.
- Texas (TEA): Texas uses a Special Education Hearing Officer system. Decisions must be issued within 45 days of the close of the record.

## 8. Stay-Put: Your Child's Right During the Proceedings

One of the most powerful protections in IDEA is the 'stay-put' or 'pendency' provision. When a due process complaint is filed, your child has the right to remain in their current educational placement while the proceedings are pending — regardless of what the district wants.

### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Stay-put provision: Unless the parties agree otherwise, during the pendency of any due process or judicial proceeding, the child must remain in their then-current educational placement (34 C.F.R. § 300.518(a)).
- What is 'current placement'? Typically the last agreed-upon IEP. If no prior IEP has been implemented, the placement agreed upon at the last IEP meeting. Courts have broadly interpreted this to protect children from being moved while disputes are pending.
- If the dispute is about initial admission to public school: The child must be placed in public school until the proceedings are resolved.
- Stay-put applies through all administrative and judicial proceedings — not just the initial due process hearing.
- The district cannot unilaterally change placement during stay-put — except in cases involving weapons, drugs, or serious bodily injury (the 'special circumstances' exception to the discipline provisions at 34 C.F.R. § 300.530(g)).

### STRATEGY

- Stay-put is one of the most strategically important protections under IDEA. In many cases, if a district proposes changing a student's educational placement or significantly reducing services, filing for due process may allow the student to remain in their current educational placement while the dispute is resolved. Because determining a student's "current educational placement" can itself be a complex legal issue, stay-put should be evaluated carefully based on the specific facts of the case.
- Stay-put may also apply in certain unilateral private school placement cases, but these situations are highly fact-specific and depend on applicable law and the student's circumstances.
- If a dispute arises regarding stay-put or a district attempts to change a student's placement while due process is pending, parents may seek enforcement through the courts. Because stay-put issues can be legally complex, families should consider consulting an experienced special education advocate or attorney.

## STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Stay-put is a federal right that applies in all 50 states. State courts and agencies may have slightly different interpretations of what constitutes 'current placement' — particularly for students transitioning between schools or with expired IEPs.
- California: California courts have broadly interpreted stay-put. The OAH regularly enforces stay-put orders.
- New York: New York courts are active in stay-put enforcement. The pendency placement can be appealed to the SRO and then to court.
- New Jersey: Strong stay-put protections. The OAL enforces stay-put orders as part of due process proceedings.

## 9. Preparing for the Hearing

---

A due process hearing is formal legal proceedings. Preparation is everything. Even parents who represent themselves (*pro se*) need to understand that the hearing functions like a court trial — with opening statements, witness examination, cross-examination, exhibits, and closing arguments.

### WATCH OUT

- A due process hearing is a formal legal proceeding, and there is a great deal to prepare before and during the hearing. In addition to understanding your child's educational history, you must also understand procedural rules, disclosure requirements, witness preparation, evidentiary issues, hearing procedures, applicable law, and the remedies you are requesting.
- Many school districts have ready access to experienced special education attorneys or hearing representatives through in-house counsel, retained legal counsel, insurance or risk management programs, or other legal service arrangements. Families, by contrast, often must decide whether they can afford to retain an attorney or advocate.
- As a result, districts may have an advantage in understanding hearing procedures and litigation strategy. Strong cases can sometimes be weakened—not because the facts are lacking—but because procedural requirements, deadlines, or hearing strategies are overlooked.
- For that reason, many families choose to work with an experienced IDEA due process advocate, attorney, or collaborative team. Experienced support often begins well before the hearing and may include case evaluation, evidence organization, hearing preparation, witness preparation, resolution sessions, mediation, pre-hearing conferences, settlement negotiations, hearing strategy, and—where permitted under state law—representation during the hearing itself.

## 9a. Pre-Hearing Conference

### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- IDEA does not require pre-hearing conferences, but many hearing officers conduct them to manage the case and establish procedures. State rules and hearing officer practices vary.

### IMPORTANT NOTE

The pre-hearing conference is not the hearing itself and is generally not the time to present testimony, introduce evidence, or argue the merits of your case. Instead, it is a case management conference where the hearing officer and the parties discuss scheduling, procedural matters, and how the hearing will be conducted.

Depending on your state's procedures and the hearing officer's practices, topics may include:

- The issues that will be decided at the hearing.
- Hearing dates, estimated length of the hearing, and scheduling.
- The anticipated number of witnesses each party expects to call.
- Deadlines for exchanging exhibits, witness lists, and other disclosures.
- Whether hearing briefs, motions, or proposed findings of fact will be permitted or required.
- Whether the hearing will be held in person, virtually, or in a hybrid format.
- Requests for accommodations, interpreters, or other logistical needs.
- Whether the hearing will be open or closed to the public, if permitted under applicable law.
- Any other procedural or scheduling matters needed to prepare for the hearing.

## STRATEGY - Pre-hearing Conference

- Review your due process complaint before the conference and be prepared to briefly explain the issues you are asking the hearing officer to decide.
  - Before the conference, think about approximately how many witnesses you expect to call and how many hearing days you believe you will need. These estimates help the hearing officer schedule the hearing but generally do not prevent you from requesting reasonable changes if circumstances evolve.
  - If you wish to request an open hearing, virtual participation, remote witness testimony, interpreters, or other accommodations, raise those issues during the pre-hearing conference whenever possible.
  - Ask questions if you are unsure about the hearing officer's procedures or expectations. Clarifying deadlines and requirements before the hearing can help avoid unnecessary issues later.
  - Carefully review and comply with every scheduling order issued by the hearing officer. These orders often establish deadlines for exhibits, witness disclosures, motions, hearing briefs, and other procedural requirements.
  - If you are working with an advocate or attorney, they can generally help you prepare for and attend the pre-hearing conference, review scheduling orders, identify upcoming deadlines, develop hearing strategy, and help ensure you understand what is expected before the hearing, consistent with your state's rules regarding representation.
-

## 9b. Disclosure of Evidence



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Five-day disclosure rule: Not less than 5 business days before the hearing, each party must disclose all evaluations completed by that date and all recommendations based on those evaluations that the party intends to use at the hearing (34 C.F.R. § 300.512(b)).
- Evidence not disclosed 5 business days before the hearing may be excluded by the hearing officer (34 C.F.R. § 300.512(b)(2)).
- Both parties must have full access to all records the other party intends to introduce.



### STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Many states require earlier and more comprehensive disclosure than the federal 5-day minimum:
- California (OAH): Requires disclosure of all documentary evidence and witness lists at least 5 business days before hearing — but OAH pre-hearing conferences often set earlier exchange deadlines.
- New York: IHOs typically set pre-hearing conference timelines that include earlier document exchange deadlines — often 10–14 days before hearing.
- New Jersey: OAL rules require earlier disclosure. Parties typically exchange evidence 10 days before the hearing.
- Massachusetts (BSEA): Has detailed pre-hearing disclosure requirements with specific deadlines set at the pre-hearing conference.
- Texas (TEA): Requires exchange of all exhibits and witness lists at least 5 business days before hearing.

## 9c. Your Rights at the Hearing



**FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)**

Both parties have the right to (34 C.F.R. § 300.512):

- Be accompanied and advised by counsel and by individuals with special knowledge or training regarding children with disabilities.
- Present evidence and confront, cross-examine, and compel the attendance of witnesses.
- Prohibit the introduction of evidence that was not properly disclosed under the applicable disclosure rules.
- Obtain a written or electronic verbatim record of the hearing.
- Receive written findings of fact and the hearing officer's decision.

Parents also generally have the right to:

- Have the child present at the hearing, when appropriate.
- Request that the hearing be open to the public where permitted under IDEA and applicable state procedures.
- Receive a written copy of the hearing officer's decision.

Parents may represent themselves (*pro se*), although due process hearings are formal legal proceedings. Many families choose to work with an experienced IDEA due process advocate or attorney. The role a non-attorney advocate may play during the hearing varies by state law and, in some cases, hearing officer procedures.



### ADVOCACY TIP

- If you are representing yourself, familiarize yourself with the hearing officer's scheduling orders, disclosure requirements, hearing procedures, and any state-specific rules well before the hearing.
- Depending on your state's procedures and the hearing officer's orders, you may be able to request virtual participation for yourself, your advocate, expert witnesses, treating providers, interpreters, or other participants. Submit these requests as early as possible.
- If permitted by applicable law, parents may request that a hearing be open to the public rather than closed. Before making that decision, carefully consider both the potential benefits of transparency and the privacy implications for your child and family.
- If you are unsure about a procedural requirement or hearing expectation, ask the hearing officer for clarification before or during the proceedings.

## 9d. Preparing Your Case

### STRATEGY

#### Before the Hearing

- A due process hearing is not simply about presenting documents—it is about presenting a clear, organized case that connects the facts, the evidence, the applicable law, and the remedies you are requesting.
- Do not wait until the hearing to decide how you will present your case. Prepare your opening statement, witness questions, cross-examination questions, and closing argument in advance. You can revise them as the evidence develops during the hearing.
- Consider preparing your own testimony in writing or as a detailed outline. Many parents find it helpful to organize their testimony beforehand, identify the exhibits supporting each point, and refer to notes during the hearing to ensure important facts are not overlooked.
- Practice presenting your case before the hearing. Reviewing your testimony aloud, rehearsing witness examinations, organizing your exhibits, and practicing your opening and closing statements can increase your confidence and help you communicate your concerns more effectively.
- Anticipate the district's questions. Think about the questions you and your witnesses are likely to be asked on cross-examination, and prepare truthful, organized responses in advance. Practicing likely questions can help reduce anxiety and improve confidence during the hearing.

#### Building Your Case

- Organize your exhibits so each document supports a specific allegation or requested remedy. Create a hearing notebook or binder with your pleadings, exhibits, witness outlines, timeline, legal authorities, hearing brief, opening statement, closing argument, and notes organized for quick access.
- Create a checklist showing each allegation in your due process complaint, the evidence supporting that allegation, the witnesses who will testify about it, the applicable legal authority, and the specific remedy you are requesting. This helps ensure every issue is supported by evidence and addressed during the hearing.
- Prepare your witnesses by reviewing the topics they will address, the documents they may reference, the key facts they will establish, and the exhibits that support their testimony. Consider conducting a practice examination so witnesses are comfortable answering questions clearly and accurately.
- Prepare both direct examination and cross-examination questions. Develop questions for your own witnesses that support your claims, and prepare cross-examination questions for the district's anticipated witnesses based on the evidence, evaluations, records, and issues in dispute.

- If permitted or requested by the hearing officer, consider preparing a written hearing brief. Briefing requirements are often established during the pre-hearing conference or in the hearing officer's scheduling order. A well-organized brief can help the hearing officer understand your case by summarizing the facts, identifying the applicable law, explaining how the evidence supports each allegation, and outlining the remedies you are requesting. Some hearing officers may also permit or request written closing briefs or proposed findings of fact after the hearing.

## Understanding the Process

- Understanding hearing procedures is often just as important as understanding the facts. School districts frequently have experienced legal counsel or hearing representatives who regularly participate in IDEA due process hearings. Likewise, if you choose to work with an advocate or attorney, look for someone with substantial experience preparing and participating in IDEA due process hearings and dispute resolution—not simply general special education knowledge or experience attending IEP meetings.
- Familiarize yourself with the hearing officer's scheduling orders, disclosure deadlines, exhibit requirements, witness procedures, motions, objections, pre-hearing conferences, and any pre- or post-hearing briefing requirements. Even a strong case can be affected if procedural requirements are not followed.
- You do not have to memorize your presentation. Many experienced advocates and attorneys rely on written outlines, prepared questions, timelines, exhibit notebooks, hearing briefs, and notes throughout a hearing. Being organized and well-prepared is often more effective than trying to speak entirely from memory.

## During the Hearing

- Take organized notes throughout the hearing. Record key testimony, admissions, inconsistencies, objections, rulings, and exhibit references as they occur. Your notes can help you identify follow-up questions, conduct cross-examination, respond to unexpected testimony, prepare redirect examination, develop your closing argument or written brief, and preserve important issues for appeal if necessary.
- Do not focus on responding immediately to every statement made by the district. Instead, identify how you can address the issue through your own testimony, another witness, documentary evidence, cross-examination, applicable law, or your closing argument or written brief.
- Remain flexible throughout the hearing. As witnesses testify and new evidence emerges, update your notes, questions, and strategy as needed. Experienced advocates and attorneys routinely adjust their examinations, cross-examination, and closing arguments based on the evidence presented during the hearing.
- Do not become discouraged if the district presents testimony or arguments you disagree with. The hearing officer expects the parties to have differing positions. Instead of reacting emotionally, ask yourself, *"How can I prove this is inaccurate?"* The answer may come through your own testimony, cross-examination, another witness, documentary evidence, applicable law, or your closing argument or written brief. Focus on proving your case with credible evidence rather than responding to every statement made by the district.

- Remember that the hearing officer was not present during your child's education and generally knows nothing about your case beyond the due process complaint and the evidence presented during the hearing. Your responsibility is to clearly explain what happened, how it violated IDEA, what evidence supports your position, what law applies, and what remedy you are requesting.

## 9e. Burden of Proof

### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- The U.S. Supreme Court held in *Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) that the burden of proof in IDEA due process hearings falls on the party seeking relief — typically the parent (546 U.S. 49 (2005)).
- This means parents must prove their claims by a preponderance of the evidence — that it is more likely than not that the district violated IDEA and that the child was harmed.

### STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Several states have shifted the burden of proof away from parents by state law or regulation:
- New Jersey: The burden of proof is on the party proposing the change — meaning the district bears the burden when it proposes to change a child's placement (N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.7(u)).
- Massachusetts: The burden is generally on the party seeking relief, but BSEA decisions have nuanced this in certain placement cases.
- Connecticut: State law places the burden on the school district in some circumstances.
- California, Oregon, Washington, Colorado, Texas, New York: Follow the *Schaffer* rule — burden on the party seeking relief (typically parents).
- Louisiana: State law places the burden of proof on the local education agency regarding the appropriateness of a student's current or proposed program or placement.
- If you are in a state where the burden of proof has shifted by law—whether generally or for certain issues—this may provide a significant strategic advantage. Be sure to understand your state's current rules before filing.

## 10. Remedies: What You Can Win

---

If you prevail at due process, the hearing officer can order a range of remedies. Understanding what remedies are available — and what you should specifically request — is essential to crafting your complaint and hearing strategy.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- **Compensatory education:** Additional services to compensate for past denial of FAPE. This is the most commonly awarded remedy. Courts and hearing officers have broad discretion to craft compensatory education awards — they are not limited to hour-for-hour make-up of missed services (34 C.F.R. § 300.516; see also *Reid v. District of Columbia*, 401 F.3d 516 (D.C. Cir. 2005)).
- **Prospective relief:** Changes to the IEP, placement, or services going forward — including ordering specific services, specific providers, or a specific placement.
- **Private school tuition reimbursement:** If the district failed to provide FAPE and the parent unilaterally placed the child in an appropriate private school, the hearing officer can order reimbursement of tuition and costs (*Florence County Sch. Dist. v. Carter*, 510 U.S. 7 (1993)).
- **Independent evaluation at public expense:** Can be ordered as part of a remedy.
- **Attorneys' fees:** If the parent is the prevailing party, a court (not a hearing officer) can award reasonable attorneys' fees against the district (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(B)).  
Note: fees are awarded by courts — not by hearing officers.
- **What hearing officers CANNOT order:** Punitive damages; emotional distress damages; monetary damages beyond compensatory education and reimbursement. IDEA is an equitable statute — it provides equitable remedies.

### STRATEGY

- Quantify your compensatory education claim before the hearing. Calculate the hours of service your child missed or received inadequately, and have an expert explain what those hours should have been used for and what the educational impact was.
- Ask for specific, concrete remedies — not just 'appropriate services.' Tell the hearing officer exactly what you want: 200 hours of specialized reading instruction from a certified Wilson Reading specialist; placement at XYZ private school; specific IEP language; a specific provider.
- Private school reimbursement cases require: (1) showing the district denied FAPE; AND (2) showing the private placement was appropriate. Gather evidence on both prongs — district failure AND private school appropriateness.

- The 'gross disproportionality' standard for compensatory education: Some courts award additional hours beyond simple make-up when the district's failure was egregious or long-running. Document the full scope of the harm.

## 11. The Decision and Appeals

---

### 11a. The Hearing Officer's Decision

#### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- The hearing officer must issue a written decision within 45 days after the expiration of the resolution period (34 C.F.R. § 300.515).
- The decision must: address each issue raised in the complaint; make findings of fact; apply the relevant law; and state the remedy ordered, if any.
- The decision is a final administrative decision and is legally binding on both parties.
- Both parties receive a copy of the decision. The state education agency must transmit the decision to a public database with personally identifiable information removed.

### 11b. Appeals

#### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- One-tier states: In states where the SEA conducts the hearing (most states), any party who disagrees with the decision may bring a civil action in state or federal court (34 C.F.R. § 300.516(a)).
- Two-tier states: In states with a two-tier system (e.g., New York), the decision must first be appealed to a State Review Officer (SRO) before going to court (34 C.F.R. § 300.514(b)).
- Court standard of review: Courts must receive the administrative records, hear additional evidence if requested, and base their decision on a preponderance of the evidence — giving 'due weight' to the hearing officer's findings (Endrew F. v. Douglas Cty. Sch. Dist., 580 U.S. 386 (2017)).
- Appeal deadline: Federal default is 90 days from the hearing officer's decision. States may set different timelines (34 C.F.R. § 300.516(b)).

## STATE VARIATIONS — Laws Differ by State

- Two-tier states (must appeal to SRO before court): New York (IHO → SRO → court); some other states have intermediate administrative review levels.
- One-tier states (appeal goes directly to court): California, Oregon, Washington, Colorado, Texas, New Jersey, Massachusetts, and most other states.
- New York: The SRO appeal must be filed within 40 days of the IHO decision (8 NYCRR 200.5(k)). The SRO issues a decision within 30 days. The SRO decision may then be appealed to federal or state court.
- Court appeal timelines vary by state: California — 90 days; Oregon — 90 days; Washington — 90 days; Texas — 90 days; New Jersey — 45 days (shorter — be careful); Massachusetts — 90 days.
- New Jersey: 45-day appeal deadline to court is shorter than most states — this is a trap for the unwary. Miss it and you lose your appeal right.

## WATCH OUT

- Appeal deadlines are jurisdictional — missing them typically ends your case permanently. If you receive an adverse decision, consult a special education attorney immediately about whether to appeal.
- During appeal to court, stay-put continues. The child remains in their current placement while the judicial appeal is pending.
- Attorney involvement is strongly recommended for any court appeal. Federal court and state court special education litigation is complex and involves additional procedural rules beyond IDEA.

## 12. Discipline and Expedited Due Process

IDEA provides special discipline protections for students with disabilities, including an expedited due process hearing option when school discipline results in a change of placement. This is one of the most time-sensitive areas of special education law.



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- Change of placement through discipline: A suspension of more than 10 consecutive school days, or a pattern of shorter suspensions that constitutes a change of placement, triggers IDEA protections (34 C.F.R. § 300.530).
- Manifestation Determination Review (MDR): Within 10 school days of any decision to make a disciplinary change of placement, the district must conduct an MDR to determine whether the conduct was: caused by or had a direct and substantial relationship to the child's disability; OR was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP (34 C.F.R. § 300.530(e)).
- If the conduct IS a manifestation: The district must return the child to their placement (unless special circumstances apply), conduct an FBA, and develop/revise a behavior plan.
- If the conduct is NOT a manifestation: The district may proceed with normal disciplinary procedures — but must continue FAPE during removal.
- Special circumstances: A student may be placed in an Interim Alternative Educational Setting (IAES) for up to 45 school days regardless of manifestation if the conduct involved weapons, illegal drugs, or resulted in serious bodily injury (34 C.F.R. § 300.530(g)).
- Expedited hearing: If a parent disagrees with the MDR decision or the placement in an IAES, they may file for an expedited due process hearing. The hearing must be held within 20 school days of filing and a decision issued within 10 school days of the hearing (34 C.F.R. § 300.532).



### WATCH OUT

- MDR timelines are extremely tight — 10 school days. If your child is facing a long-term suspension or expulsion and has an IEP or 504 Plan, act immediately. Contact an advocate or attorney the day the discipline decision is made.
- The MDR must be conducted by the IEP team — which includes parents. You have the right to participate and to present evidence about how the disability relates to the conduct. Do not miss this meeting.
- If the district concludes the behavior was NOT a manifestation but you believe it was, filing for an expedited hearing stops the disciplinary removal (stay-put applies to expedited hearings as well).

## 13. Due Process vs. State Complaint vs. Mediation — Choosing the Right Tool

Knowing which dispute resolution tool to use — and when — is one of the most important strategic decisions in special education advocacy. These tools are not mutually exclusive, and they can sometimes be used simultaneously.

	State Complaint	Due Process Hearing
Who can file	Any person or organization	Parent or school district
What it covers	Any alleged violation of IDEA or applicable state special education law or regulations, including issues involving FAPE, evaluations, eligibility, Child Find, IEP development or implementation, placement, IEEs, timelines, related services, and other compliance requirements.	Any IDEA dispute involving identification, evaluation, eligibility, FAPE, IEP development or implementation, placement, related services, discipline, IEEs, or other matters where a binding decision by an impartial hearing officer is sought.
Timeline	60 days to decision	45 days after resolution period (~75 days total)
Cost	Free	Can be very expensive (attorneys, experts, transcripts)
Remedies available	Corrective action, compensatory services, systemic fixes	Compensatory education, placement, reimbursement, IEP changes
Legal standard	Preponderance / violation finding	Preponderance of evidence — full hearing
Attorneys' fees available?	No	Yes, if parent prevails (court must award)
Stay-put triggered?	No	Yes — child stays in current placement
Record created?	Yes — written decision	Yes — full transcript and written decision
Best for	Investigation and correction of alleged IDEA violations, compliance issues, implementation concerns, and systemic remedies.	Resolving significant disputes requiring an impartial hearing officer to make a binding decision regarding FAPE, evaluation, eligibility, placement, services, or individualized remedies.



## IMPORTANT NOTE

- State complaints and due process may both address many of the same IDEA issues, and both may result in corrective actions, including compensatory services or education when appropriate. However, due process is a formal legal hearing before an impartial hearing officer and generally provides broader opportunities for individualized, binding relief, such as placement changes, tuition reimbursement, or other equitable remedies. State complaints are administrative investigations conducted by the state education agency and focus on determining compliance with IDEA and ordering appropriate corrective action.
- Mediation is confidential and not part of the record — agreements reached are binding but mediation discussions cannot be used as evidence at hearing. Use mediation when settlement is genuinely possible and you want to preserve the relationship.
- OCR complaints (for 504/ADA violations) can run simultaneously with IDEA state complaints or due process. They address different legal frameworks and do not preclude each other.

## 14. Attorneys' Fees — A Closer Look



### FEDERAL LAW — Applies in ALL 50 States (IDEA 2004 | 34 C.F.R. Part 300)

- If a parent is the prevailing party in IDEA due process or judicial proceedings, the court (not the hearing officer) may award reasonable attorneys' fees against the school district (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(B)).
- A 'prevailing party' is one who succeeds on a significant issue in litigation and achieves some of the benefit sought. A parent who wins compensatory education, a changed IEP, or a placement order is typically a prevailing party.
- Fees must be reasonable — calculated using the 'lodestar' method (reasonable hourly rate x hours reasonably expended). Courts may reduce fees if the parent was only partially successful.
- Fees can be reduced or denied if: the district made a written settlement offer that the parent rejected and the final decision is not more favorable (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(D)); or the parent unreasonably protracted the proceedings.
- Fees can be AWARDED AGAINST parents or their attorneys: if the complaint was frivolous, unreasonable, or without foundation; or if the parent's attorney continued litigation after it clearly became frivolous (20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(B)(ii-iii)). This is rare but real.



## ADVOCACY TIP

- Because hearing officers cannot award attorneys' fees — only courts can — most fee awards come through a separate court motion after the due process decision, or as part of a settlement agreement.
- Many special education attorneys take cases on a contingency or hybrid basis when the case has strong fee-shifting potential. When consulting an attorney, ask about fee arrangements and whether they anticipate fee recovery.
- Settlement offers from the district that include fees: districts often offer to pay attorneys' fees as part of settlement. These amounts are negotiable — do not accept the first offer without getting an independent assessment of the fees incurred.

## 15. Should You Hire an Attorney?

This is one of the most common questions parents ask—and there is no single right answer. The appropriate level of representation depends on the complexity of the case, the issues involved, your state's rules, your comfort with the hearing process, and the experience of the advocate or attorney assisting you.

Cases Where Experienced Due Process Representation Should Be Strongly Considered	Cases That May Be Appropriate for Self-Representation or Advocacy Support
Multi-year or complex denial of FAPE	Straightforward procedural violations
Significant compensatory education claims	Failure to implement clearly documented IEP services.
Private school tuition reimbursement	Routine IEP revisions where the issues are well-defined
Complex evaluation disputes or multiple conflicting expert opinions	Mediation or resolution sessions where the parties are willing to negotiate
Cases involving numerous witnesses, extensive documentary evidence, or complex legal issues	State complaints involving procedural or systemic violations
Long-term disciplinary removals, expulsions, or expedited due process hearings—particularly when the consequences are significant or additional legal issues may be involved	Early dispute resolution, case development, record review, and hearing preparation
Appeals to state or federal court almost always require an attorney. Court litigation involves procedural rules and legal requirements beyond IDEA due process hearings.	Cases where the parent is comfortable presenting a well-organized case and understands the applicable hearing procedures



## ADVOCACY TIP

- The appropriate level of representation depends on the complexity of the issues, your state's laws and procedures, your comfort with the hearing process, and the experience of the professional assisting you.
- Experience matters. Whether you choose to work with an attorney, a non-attorney advocate, or both, look for someone with substantial experience handling IDEA due process hearings, mediations, resolution sessions, settlement negotiations, hearing preparation, witness preparation, and the procedural rules applicable in your state. General special education knowledge or experience attending IEP meetings does not necessarily translate to experience preparing or presenting a due process case.
- Ask prospective advocates or attorneys about their experience with cases similar to yours, how many due process hearings they have prepared for or participated in, their familiarity with your state's procedures, and their approach to hearing preparation and settlement negotiations.
- Do not assume your advocate or attorney must be located in your state. Many experienced special education advocates provide remote services to families across multiple states, and some attorneys also practice in multiple jurisdictions or work with local counsel when appropriate. If legal representation will be needed, confirm that the attorney is authorized to represent clients in your state.
- Many families work with an experienced advocate throughout the dispute resolution process and consult with or retain an attorney when legal representation becomes necessary or strategically beneficial under their state's laws or the circumstances of the case.
- Representation by non-attorney advocates varies by state and, in some cases, by hearing officer rules or procedures. In some states, experienced non-attorney advocates may represent parents and actively participate during due process hearings. In other states, advocates may attend hearings and provide support but may not formally represent the parent or speak on the parent's behalf during the hearing.
- Regardless of a state's rules regarding hearing representation, advocates can generally assist families throughout the due process proceedings, including case evaluation, evidence organization, hearing preparation, witness preparation, resolution sessions, mediation, pre-hearing conferences, settlement negotiations, and other aspects of the dispute resolution process.
- Many experienced advocates also assist families remotely across multiple states. If you plan to work with an advocate, ask about their experience with IDEA due process hearings, your state's procedures, and the specific role they may serve throughout your case, including during the hearing.

## 16. Your Rights — Quick Reference

---

Your Right as a Parent	Legal Basis
File a due process complaint on any IDEA matter	20 U.S.C. § 1415; 34 C.F.R. § 300.507
2-year statute of limitations (most states)	34 C.F.R. § 300.511(e); state law varies
Resolution meeting within 15 days of complaint	34 C.F.R. § 300.510(a)
Hearing within 45 days of resolution period	34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a)
Stay-put in current placement during proceedings	34 C.F.R. § 300.518
Impartial hearing officer with no conflict of interest	34 C.F.R. § 300.511(c)
Present evidence, call witnesses, cross-examine	34 C.F.R. § 300.512(a)
5-day advance disclosure of evidence	34 C.F.R. § 300.512(b)
Written decision from hearing officer	34 C.F.R. § 300.513
Appeal to court within 90 days (federal default)	34 C.F.R. § 300.516(b); state law varies
Attorneys' fees if prevailing party (court award)	20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(B)
Manifestation Determination Review before discipline change of placement	34 C.F.R. § 300.530(e)
Expedited hearing within 20 school days for discipline matters	34 C.F.R. § 300.532
Receive verbatim record of the hearing	34 C.F.R. § 300.512(a)(4)

## 17. Practical Tips and Final Reminders

---

1. Document everything from day one.

Every IEP, evaluation, email, letter, meeting note, and missed service should be in a dated, organized file. Due process is won on evidence — and you can only use evidence you have.

2. Know your statute of limitations and track it.

The deadline is fatal. Mark it on your calendar the moment you identify a potential IDEA violation. If you are close to the deadline, file immediately and refine your complaint afterward.

3. Think about remedies from the start.

What do you want? Compensatory hours? Private school reimbursement? A changed IEP? A specific placement? The clearer and more specific your remedy demand, the stronger your case and the easier it is for a hearing officer to rule in your favor.

4. Build your record before filing.

Obtain IEEs. Request records. Attend IEP meetings. Write letters documenting disagreements. The record you build before filing is the evidence you present at hearing.

5. Don't go to hearing unprepared.

A due process hearing is a formal legal proceeding. Even if you represent yourself, you must understand the rules of evidence, how to examine witnesses, how to introduce exhibits, and how to make arguments to a hearing officer. Preparation and legal guidance are essential.

6. Use other tools simultaneously.

File a state complaint on procedural violations while preparing for due process. Request IEEs. The tools are complementary and can strengthen each other.

7. Understand that settlement is common and often good.

Most due process cases settle — at the resolution meeting, during the hearing, or before closing arguments. A well-structured settlement can give your child what they need faster and more certainly than a hearing decision. Know your bottom line going in.



## ADVOCACY TIP

- State Protection & Advocacy (P&A) Organizations: Free legal assistance for people with disabilities. Find yours at [acl.gov/programs/aging-and-disability-networks/state-protection-advocacy-systems](http://acl.gov/programs/aging-and-disability-networks/state-protection-advocacy-systems).
- Wrightslaw ([www.wrightslaw.com](http://www.wrightslaw.com)): The most comprehensive free resource on IDEA due process — including state-specific information, sample complaints, and case law summaries.
- Council of Parent Attorneys and Advocates (COPAA — [www.copaa.org](http://www.copaa.org)): The national membership organization for special education attorneys and advocates. Their attorney directory can help you find qualified legal representation in your state.
- Your state's education agency due process page: Every state SEA publishes due process procedures, filing instructions, and hearing officer lists. Search '[your state] IDEA due process hearing procedures.'
- The Advocacy Ridge: Need help building your case, documenting violations, or preparing for due process? Connect with our advocates.

## A Final Thought

The legal information in this guide is based on IDEA, federal regulations, applicable state laws, and relevant case law. Many of the practical strategies, preparation tips, and advocacy suggestions are also informed by our combined experience supporting families through IEP meetings, mediations, state complaints, due process proceedings, and other special education disputes across multiple states.

Like any skill, effective advocacy develops through education, preparation, and experience. The more you learn about the process and the more prepared you are, the more confident you will become. Our goal is not simply to explain the law, but to help families understand how to navigate these processes more effectively and advocate confidently for their children.

---

### Need Advocacy Support?

*The Advocacy Ridge is brought to you by experienced non-attorney special education advocates who work with families across multiple states.*

#### Arie Boldt

Mountain to Mountain Advocacy, LLC  
[ArieBoldtAdvocacy@gmail.com](mailto:ArieBoldtAdvocacy@gmail.com)

#### Jackie Darrough

The Disability Advocate, LLC  
[Jackiedisabilityadvocate@gmail.com](mailto:Jackiedisabilityadvocate@gmail.com)  
[thedisabilityadvocate.org](http://thedisabilityadvocate.org)