

POA MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 22, 2025

Meeting called to order by Beth Sator at 11:06

Beth thanked everyone for coming and set a few ground rules

We will have no loud outbursts

We will conduct ourselves accordingly as adults

When we are discussing a topic, we have a podium over here.

You will raise your hand and we will recognize you, you will come up and be given 3 minutes to speak on the topic or if you have questions, we will then answer those questions and then move on. We have a lot of things to discuss today.

Please sign in on the table in the back and come get a paddle for voting.

POA and Board stood and recited the Pledge of Allegiance

ROLL CALL

Present: Beth Sator, Yancy DeLoach, Carol Kirby, Michele Sharp, Tonya Cole, Danny Washburn, Christine Wall, Charles Mobley. Absent: Adam Farmer.

APPROVAL OF MINUTUES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Motion made by Lynn Richert to approve minutes from previous POA meeting (November). 2nd by Mandy Cook. All Ayes. Zero Nays.

APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORT

Lynn Richert asks what check 4442 from main checking account for trust fund is. Carol said that was for ADEQ. Fee and trust fund. Lynn states we have never paid that before. Carol said we went back and it was paid last year for the same exact amount. Lynn then asks about check 4456 for \$7372.50 was for. Carol states this is our general park liability. Lynn states that would be helpful to write in the memo. This info will be clarified in the memo although it is listed in the category. She then asks about the \$60 for the concession stand. Michele explained that the workers buy things during the day sometimes from what was left out of concessions. They pay Jake and he brings money to the office. This was deposited and transferred into activities. Lynn then asked about the accounting fee for \$400 for Goad. Carol explained that this was for December and Patty took over in January and we have just received her bill for January it is \$263 which includes the QB fee. Lynn also asked about the Compilation as she didn't see that in there. Carol explained that will be in February as we just received the bill. Lynn then asked why the cleaning/pool/maintenance supplies didn't come out of Maintenance account. Carol explained that we purchased for the entire year. Carol explained that we used the main account so we didn't have to transfer all the money into maintenance then pay out of it. All the supplies however have been placed into the correct categories so they reflect correctly in the budget. WE are not keeping a lot of money in the maintenance account so that we can control what Jakes spends better. Lynn had no more questions.

Mandy Cook asked how many payroll advances we are going to give to Jacob. Beth explained that we have had a meeting about this and the board has voted to allow up to \$1000 per year in advances with no more than \$500 at a time an they will pay it back at \$50 per payday (previously it was \$150). Last paycheck will be held and any remaining money owed will be taken from that

last pay check. Mandy also questioned why the financials on the web site are different than what is given today. Michele states that she is aware the ones on the website are incorrect and that is why they were posted PENDING APPROVAL. They have been removed. Michele also states that the Jan-Sept financials that are remaining on the website are incorrect. January started with the wrong starting balance after Michele and Tonya started going back and trying to figure out where the financials were incorrect. The website has a register created by a previous treasurer and office person and they are incorrect. Michele would like to remove what is posted and post the registers right out of QB. Like what is given here today. Michele has given Tonya a copy of the Jan-Dec 24 check registers and they are correct and correlate with the ending balance of 2023. The board agrees that the incorrect information needs to come down and the correct information posted. Michele and Carol both state that the checking accounts will be posted right out of QB from now on. Michele states that as her and Carol came in and Kim quit, we were left to figure things out on our own and our previous accountants were of little help. Michele has had a crash course and has also worked with Patty to find incorrect things and Carol will be receiving training from our current account to ensure things are correct going forward. The errors on the Nov and Dec fins were due to data entry errors and they have all been corrected. Also, there was a deposit that looks like it was made twice. Essentially it was, we had paper checks and also mismarked in QB causing them to be an auto debit as well. The second charges on all 3 were refunded and this cancelled out the 2nd deposit. So, it is current and correct at this time. Mandy then asks about payback on Jakes advances and says she does not see it listed. Michele pointed out on the Budget vs Act for 2024 it does show payback of \$450 in December. We were unaware that Danny did not let Patty know that Jake owed another \$50 and that was never taken out. However, Patty and Jake have been made aware of this and this will be taken out and he will continue to pay back until he is caught up. It does show in January he has paid \$150 back so far. Mandy also questions why the CD has the same amount. Carol says that she didn't know how to get the interest and when she called the bank, they told her that she was not on that account and they couldn't give the information to her. This will be corrected and Carol will be added. Also, we have learned how to do this and we will get it updated by the March meeting. But it is getting about \$27 per month interest. Mandy then asks about moving that money to another account, explained that money can't be moved until May 2026 as the previous board just let it roll over and now, we can't do anything till then. Mandy has no more questions. There are no further questions from POA. Charles makes a motion to remove the Jan-Sept finances and replace them on the website. 2nd by Chris. All ayes from board members, Zero nays Motion made by Kandi House to approve financials as written. 2nd by Adam Morris. All Ayes. Zero Nays

REPORT OF THE PARK MANAGER

Jake was on his way to the park and had a tire blow out on the truck and couldn't continue. Michele states that they are working on getting Disc Golf up and there will be one goal in each common ground. The crew is working on getting the trees around the park trimmed up, Bright speed is also trimming in the park. He is also working on the roads as time and weather permits. He has worked on a lockable supply room that only he has the key to so supplies can be monitored better and the crew will have to come to him for things instead of just getting things. We are also working on an inventory list so the board can keep track of supply use. We can have a copy available in the office if anyone wants to look at them. Yancy states that he brought a new blade for the Killefer blade and Jake has painted it to match the tractor. He has also painted the backhoe and it is all matching of the tractor and protected with the new paint. The crew is also updating and painting the bathhouses so they will be ready

at beginning of season when water is turned on. Does anyone have questions that we can ask Jake. Mike Roland questioned if there will be a basket placed by Block 5 gate. Michele states there is already one there. Mike is concerned that it will impede parking to access the river as people park over there. Michele states the basket is by the utility pole and out of the way and there is still plenty of parking out of the way. Mike states okay and thanks everyone for that answer.

OLD BUSINESS

ADEQ PERMIT

Michele speaks on this and states that this has been a nightmare pretty much. The permit renewal was submitted in November 2022. During 2023 it never moved and it just sat. at the beginning of 2024 Michele called Rachael Lipsey in LR and checked and the permit was still pending. Rachael said they were short handed and it may be a while. Michele checked on the permit frequently and it continued to be pending. Then in December received an email with deficiencies. Michele worked with Kim Bondi on the permit to get everything that was requested. It was sent to the engineer Miller-Newell and once corrected sent back to Little Rock by February 7th. Then we received a couple more corrections. Those have all been corrected and resent back to LR and now we are awaiting the renewal. Apparently ADEQ changed requirements for permits and needed plot maps, waste management map plans and much more information. Much of the information that was requested in December was never required in the past. The only thing we cannot find is the originating letter from ADH allowing for the permit. It is not in the office, nor found in the archived files in the office. Julie Moss states she will look through her papers and see if she has anything. Tonya has also looked and found a couple things but they were not the letter that ADH is requesting. The engineer said it may have been at the Jonesboro office but that office no longer exists and he has no idea where that paperwork went. Hopefully this will be all good and we will have a 5-year permit. But, on that note. Every page has been printed and is in a file in the office as well and stored on the laptop and on one drive for the office. So, there should be no problem finding the proper papers at the next renewal time. Michele did contact someone from ADH that she knows and he said there were a lot of changes going in in 2024 and that's probably why they delayed approving the permit.

BYLAWS/DOR/ARTICLES

Mandy Cook had multiple questions/comments which she read off and asked various questions, there was discussion on the topics, back and forth. The typed paper Mandy had will be attached to the original minutes filed in the office if anyone wants a copy, they can come to the office to get that.

Teresa Goad stats that she likes the new papers and the only issue she has is about legally charging someone with theft. She states if someone holds a professional license this would affect their lively hood and she does not agree with this. Beth gave an example of someone that does not pay assessments using the pools/bath houses etc. and they get a theft of services fine, there are 4 fines before anything would be handled legally. Teresa states she just does not agree with that. Beth states that we would levy fines up to the 4th offenses, then file a lien against the property etc. but if the trespassing continues a person could be charged for criminal theft or trespassing. Beth goes on to say if its someone that is from outside the park and they enter to use the pools etc. then they would get trespassing. Tereesa says for example. Say I don't pay an assessment but I come in to keep my lot up and I need to use the bathroom, and I use the bathhouse I

would get a fine, Beth states correct, but if you continue to abuse it and ignore the fines then you would be trespassed on common ground. Teresa would like to see that changed to the fines, liens and then maybe repossess the property if it comes to that rather than file criminal charges.

Earnest Hall states that he is a member in good standing and never late on his dues he pays them March 15th every year, the way it was set up, so that's how I pay it. On October 19th this current board besides Adam who is missing wrote me a letter. Adam was president, wrote me a letter and I was told that I could never vote in this park again. I can never be on the board again, it took away my rights, but as you just heard her, I am a member in good standings and haven't done anything wrong. There was a meeting that happened in 2023 that we were involved in and after the meeting was done, there was a deal made. Documents were burned in the trash barrel and I put a resignation in an email and delivered it. There is a recording of the meeting. Mandy has come up here and suggested some things, and y'all looking over some stuff, but you have the DORS out there and want people to vote on it. How can I be a part of an organization when I can't even vote on things. If this board gets mad at you, they can just send you a letter and tell you that you can't vote. Beth states we are still on the bylaw's discussion. Earnest continued to states that if you pay your taxes and use your property how you want to use it. They can tell you that you can't vote. Beth interrupted and states Mr. Hall, what you're wanting to discuss was in a board only meeting, executive meeting and if you want to discuss it here you will need to sign this letter (hold up paper). Beth states "I have a letter for you to sign so it releases the board from any liability so that this meeting you're talking about can be discussed openly. If you want to discuss it openly in the POA we are going to have you sign this letter. Earnest states that he is not signing a letter. Beth states then we are not discussing this here. Earnest states it was not an executive meeting and I have proof, I have a recording. Have you seen minutes for a meeting. No, because there are none. Julie Moss states that she was told by the then board president it was a discussion not a meeting. Earnest states that it was not an executive meeting and Beth said that we will not discuss an executive session in front of the POA until we release it. Beth called Mr. Hall a couple times as he was getting loud. He apologized and said he just talks loudly. He continued to say it was not an executive meeting. Tonya states she was not on the board in 2023. David Sator stood up and states that Roberts Rule and states law states that when a quorum of board members meet and no outside persons are allowed and the discussion constitutes of employees, any kind of discipline or resignation, it makes an executive board meeting where minutes should be recorded. Beth called an end to this discussion because Earnest would not sign a release of liability.

David Sator states in keeping with the discussion on bylaws, DOR and Articles. I have done a lot of research over the last couple weeks pertaining to our current DOR and bylaws. It seems there is a major issue and it dates back to 2016, where the actual board members specifically initiated a rule change to section 9.1 of the DOR. It seems the change was made to the basis of our annual assessment as to a utilized basis and was changed from a per lot basis, as had been in place for many, many years. The only way I can look at this is with malicious intent, because it only benefitted a select few and not the park as a whole. (David presented the board with a typed report on these changes and what he is discussing and this will be attached to these minutes in the office on file if anyone needs a copy of that). We have a conflict of interest and a conflict of policy. A small change in 2016 created a huge conflict with regards to how we collect our

assessments. Specifically, section 14 which is the real user management which reads "The owner of a lot interest through the non-use of the property or profess abandonment of the ownership may not avoid the maintenance assessment. Section 9.1 charges that only those lots in use will be changed. This has caused the park as a whole to lose the financial footprint it has. The biggest issues we have with the park now are revenue. Those of us in good standing now pay an unbelievably increased amount for our assessment where had all 1058 been paying all along our assessments would be much lower, we would have a more stable financial footprint and we wouldn't be operating illegally. To add to the era of our 2016 board when they implemented this rule change, looking through the archives, there is no evidence whatsoever of a POA vote. Nothing in the archives for 2016 for Jan, Feb, and March were recorded as a vote for the change. Then to add that there is a very specific section under certification that needs to be endorsed by the sitting president has nothing written. So, this nullified the document. So here we are years later and financial crisis without only about 30% of our park members paying to support the park. So, from the looks of things, we have a document that was not voted on, implemented improperly and it created a conflict. All 3 of those nullify the documents. But here we are 8 years later still following that rule. So, at this time I would like to make a motion that we nullify the 2016 9.1 rule and go back to the original DOR that implements a per lot assessment. Right now, we are operating on about a 30% payout for app POA. David states that the operating average for the last 4 years is about \$425k per year. If all lots paid (every single lot) then dues would be decreased and be based on a per lot fee according to our documents. Lynn asked about 2019, David states that it mirrors 2016. There is a lot of people talking at this time and things were very garbled. Julie Moss states that 2019 was voted on and she is sure she has those results somewhere and will look for them. She also states that she believes in 2016 the then sitting board president's wife took files out of the office and she is unaware if they were ever returned. In 2010 they tried to charge @200 for each additional that was owned and it did not work. People did not pay. George Masters and Howard owned the most lots and they did not pay. The 2019 documents are legal. They were filed correctly and voted on. David states even if it was redone in 2019 there is still a huge conflict between 9.1 and 14. They conflict and because of this there is no clear path on who should be paying assessments. Julie states again that 2019 was voted on (Lynn Richert and Tonya Cole both agreed as they were in the park and voted). Julie said that her and Gail Davis are the ones that went and filed the papers at Sharp and Fulton County. The votes were not filed with the documents. More garbled conversations about the filing and voting. Lynn also states that there was no recorded vote in any of the minutes that she seen either. Julie states it took 3 times to get them through because of issues. Danny states he doesn't see a point of paying 2 assessments just to have a spot to park his truck. He states I can see \$100 to keep the roads going but not a full assessment on anything other than his primary lot. Michael states that charging every person an assessment for every lot they own is like cutting your nose off to spite your face. He states that he allows river access to everyone whether he likes you or not but he won't be doing that if he has to pay an assessment on it. He goes on to state that he doesn't want people walking on his lots though and he does have a problem with that. He states he doesn't think its fair to charge extra. Then he went on to speak about previously offering to lease that lot to the park and changed his mind. He states you can go to the other side of the bridge and pay to put in. Things got off topic about Mike stating things that are not right by making people have their deed in the office. Michele

tried to explain that we had 3 instances last year where people were selling lots in the park that didn't belong to them, profiting and that left the new buyers in a mess and having to go to court and everything. Now QB matches the deeds on file, so when a new deed is brought in, we have quick access to ensure someone's lot is not being sold from under them when they know nothing about it.

Lynn Richert states that she feels we need more information on that before we vote on the change and people need to be able to have time to do their own research. Lynn states we have spent a lot of time today going over these documents and they need redone. Some you can't read. Some go off the page. And they are huge files. It would have cost her \$25 to print them so she had the park print them for her and she appreciates that. She states I know the hard work that went in to these. Beth states my suggestion is that people need to sign up for the Bylaws Committee because everyone quit. Lynn states that Angie did not quit. Beth states not a single person came to the last meeting. There was discussion about who was contacted and who was not. Teresa would also like everyone to be aware when it comes to paying assessments on secondary, third lots etc. that some people are already paying a note on a lot. She reminds everyone that River Bend is not just for the wealthy, and if assessments are added to secondary lots that can get very expensive for some.

Melvin Cole states with regard to the gentleman's claims and in all fairness to the Board and POA members he is asking for a vote today. If that something tats wanted then it needs to be tabled where everyone can get thee appropriate information in place, so we can make an educated decision versus an emotional decision based on who we believe or don't believe. No disrespect to Miss Julie as I believe her recollection is probably correct, but if you can't put it in a paper inf front of al the property owners we can't vote on it. Then we are making a blind vote foolishly which apparently has happened in the past causing this mess and I think that is what the board and this man agree ultimately trying to prevent. So, I make a motion to table Davids's motion. David Sator 2nd. Votes all ayes, Zero nays. The other issue I want to bring up that we are being asked to vote on. I believe there again as property owners with the special assessments the board should not be given exclusive authority to assess special assessments unless the property owners approve it. This should be a majority vote.

Teresa Goad states that she has heard in the past a lot about things being voted on when only a handful of people show up. So, if 5 people show up they vote. I would like to see a delay in that so that more people can be present. 5 people should not make the decisions. I know it's our responsibility to show up to meetings and in the past, I know people don't show up. There are a lot of things to look at and as POA members we need to come to the meetings. I would like to see the meetings in the park so more can attend. Beth states the meetings are in the park from March to November on the 3rd Saturday and 5 people show up. That is not the boards responsibility to make people come. She states there is a motion out there from past that says that we have to table votes for 30 days. Michele states that are in regards to rules and regulations only. Not all votes, the vote was made by Kandi House at the time and it was made because every moth we were voting on pool time or quiet time. So that is what that motion was for. Kandi was present today and agreed to that. Dewayne House was also present today and did clarify that he was on the board at this time and that the vote was for R/R only. We do not have a motion that says X amount of people have to be present or even that fall votes must be held for 30 days. Teresa makes a motion to allow all votes to be tabled to the next POA meeting to allow a larger number of persons to come to the meetings

and the agenda be posted on social media with the exception of the annual meeting. 2nd by Mandy Cook. All ayes. Zero Nays.
Fred May states we are going on 2 hours here and I think this discussion should be tabled and continue the meeting.

REVIEW UPDATE AND AUDIT

Carol states that she has the typed report from the accountant that did the Compilation. She is happy to read it if that is what everyone wants. Unanimously POA responded no. and it was agreed to post the results to the website. Michele will get that posted in the next week or so when she is at the park. Carol states that there were no errors found. No money stolen. All the checks and balances were correct. This will be placed password protected on the website and that password will need requested just like the financials.

Tonya reviewed what was sent by the accountants that she contacted. The email will be attached to minutes in the office and may be requested as well. There was much discussion on cost, what is expected, where extra money will come from since only \$6k was placed in the budget. HCJ would do the audit for 13-15K if we signed up for a audit yearly for 3 years. There is already a motion from Sept 2024 to do the audit. Tonya explained why an audit was so expensive. She described a suggestion from the accountant called agreed up procedure and they can check specifically what we would like. Mandy Cook states our bylaws call for an audit and she will not rescind her motion. The motion for an audit stand. Tonya read the email and explained in detail the AUP (agreed upon procedures) very well. The cost for an AUP is closer to \$7000. Michele explained what our current account was willing to do, full audit for \$6000 but the POA did not want to use her at this time since she is the current accountant. Michele had a concern with Goad and company doing our audit, because Smith and Mayfield were our accounts in 2024 and they have moved to Goad and company and this may be a conflict of interest. Goad and company were ruled out due to a possible conflict. Discussion on where to get the remainder of the money for the audit was discussed. Carol reviewed the actual surplus that was left at 2024 and it was decided that that along with not having payments on the pumper truck for the remainder of the year would cover the audit for 2024. Mandy states that we have not had an audit since 2019 and that is not this board's fault, but this needs to be done. They may be able to give us a better way to chart our accounts. An outside audit will go a long way to assure our internal controls are in place as well. Mandy Cook made a motion to commit to a 3-year term for audits for 2024, 2025 and 2026 with HCJ accounting firm. 2nd Cody Clayton. Vote results in 30 Ayes, 4 nays.

NEW BUSINESS

BOARD TO SIGN YEARLY CODE OF ETHICS TO BE PLACED IN FILE

Beth read out loud the Code of Ethics to be put in place for the 2024 season. All board members present did sign it. Adam will have to come to the office to sign it. Michael Roland asks does this start today and Beth replied yes it does, he is satisfied with that. There were no other questions from the POA on this and the paper was signed.

QUIET TIME HOURS

Quiet time is currently 12am to 7am. Beth asked for discussion on this. Michael Roland made a motion to keep quiet time hours the same. 2nd by Julie Moss. All in favor. Zero Nays

POOL HOURS

Pool hours discussed 9am-9pm all days except 10PM closing on Friday and Saturday. David Sator made a motion to keep hours the same. 2nd by Cody Clayton. All in favor. Zero nays.

ADULT POOL TIME

We had some adults come ask for adult pool time. Melvin Cole came to the office and helped come up with rules for this. As follows.

POOL in block 9 only. 18 and older on Friday and Saturday from 8-11PM

Clothing must be worn, Adults will make sure the pool area is clean at 11PM. Board member will need to lock the pool at 11PM, Must be 18 or older no exceptions. Music must be kept at a volume not to disturb members that camp nearby. No glass containers. No smoking inside fenced area. Alcohol permitted only in cans or cups. No glass. The board has the right to shut his down if it is abused, clean up is not done, music complaints are consistently filed. Children under 18 are not in the pool area during this time. Park manager notices any type of abuse to the pool beyond normal wear and tear

Block 2 will be for the children.

There is discussion about alternating the pools for adult time, but after discussion this is felt that it may confuse the children and cause problems so it will remain at Pool in block 9 for this season

Motion made by Melvin Cole to allow adult pool time as listed above. 2nd by David Sator. 32 Ayes. 1 Nay

Cody Clayton states if the pool in block 9 is going to stay open till 11 and have to be closed by a board member why can't the other pool stay open till 11 for the kids. There was discussion on this and Cody made a motion to keep Pool block 2 open on Friday and Saturday until 11. All ayes. Zero nays

POA MEETING

Discussin on how often the POA should have meetings this year. Last year we did them every other month. Adam Morris states since we are tabling votes he makes a motion to have them on the 3rd Saturday at 10AM starting in March to be held at the community center in the park. 2nd by Teresa Goad. 33 Aye votes. 1 Nay.

PUMPING FLAGS INSTEAD OF EMAIL

Michele brought up using flags instead of the Email and using a QR code scanning system for pumping this year. There was a lot of discussion on how this would work, as well as consequences for people moving someone's flags. Essentially everyone will be given a flag that matches that years hang tag color along with a piece of PVC pipe that the flag would be placed in. Teresa Goad asked about magnets on campers. Some campers are far back from the road and magnets won't be seen. Also, Michele has looked at this and magnets to work for this are expensive. Adam Morris states that this may work much better so people don't have to remember to send in a request by 1 on Saturday in the middle of floating down the river. The flag would just need put out by the time they left on Sunday. The QR scanning app would be required by the crew when they pump to keep track of pumping as well as holding them accountable for making sure all campers are pumped. Suggested that POA take a photo of their flag if they feel

they will be missed. That way it is the POA responsibility to make sure their flag is out. This will eliminate calls that may be missed stating they forgot to get on the pump list. Friday pump requests will remain the same at this time. Cotton states he may have some PVC pipe he is willing to donate to the park to help with the cost of this. Even if the park has to purchase everything it will be about \$1 per camper based on 500 assessments. But for sure donated PVC will be a blessing. There was discussion on pros and cons of this system. The crew are willing to place the PVC pipe. The email system can stay in place but will only be checked 1 time per week for a short time until everyone gets their flag. Motion made by Adam Morris to use a flag/QR code system for pumping and keep the email option open for now as a backup. 2nd by Jullie Moss. All ayes. Zero Nays.

CLEAN UP DAY FOR THE PARK

Discussion on having a clean-up day for the park. Danny talked about this and it would be a great idea to allow everyone to clean up their lots without filling the dumpster. Discussion on pros and cons. Michele broke down costs. A roll off dumpster for this type of waste would cost \$920 plus \$100 delivery and would cover up to 7 days of the dumpster in the park with \$10 per day after 7 days. And covers up to 3 tons of waste with \$80 charge per ton over 3. The POA are receptive to this. Michele did speak with Jake about the best way to handle this and he would like members to place the trash to the road side. Separate metal, wood and other trash. No household trash. No leaves. Dates were discussed and ultimately it was decided that trash would need to be put out by April 15th and we will order the dumpster the following week for the guys to clean up the park and have the dumpster removed within the 7 days. Tree limbs, leaves etc. need to be burned on your lot in a fire pit. Mark White made a motion to have a clean up time in the park with all trash being put out by April 15th and the crew will pick up the trash and place in the dumpster. 2nd by Rob Sharp. All Ayes. Zero Nays.

INSULATION FOR COMMUNITY BUILDING

We have the opportunity to get some used insulation (the sheet kind) at a cost of about \$2000 to do the walls and ceiling. But with the upcoming expenses of the audit, we may need to forego this at this time. It was decided that we would not pursue this at this time, especially since the building needs repairs.

CAMERAS

Chris Wall talked about cameras for the gates. A POA member also submitted several choices they thought would be good for new gate cameras. Adam Morris came forward and discussed in depth the correct type of camera the park needs for the gates and that is CCTV cameras. He states that while the POA member and Chris have good ideas, if the park is going to spend money on cameras, they should get the ones we need. Adam is willing to put a package together and present it at the March meeting. This subject is tabled until that time.

DISC GOLF

BASKETS BEING SET IN THE COMMON GROUNDS

Discussion on the disc course with the baskets in each of the common grounds. POA discuss being excited to see this in place for the adults and the kids as well.

DISCS AVAILABLE FOR LOAN. DO WE WANT TO REQUIRE A DEPOSIT?

The baskets did come with a set of discs each which will be available to the POA to borrow. Discussion on if we want to require a deposit to ensure that the discs are brought back and not lost/not returned. Disc prices looked up and replacement cost is about \$22 for the sets we have. Adam Morris makes a motion to require a \$20 deposit along with needing to divulge a lot and block. Deposit will be returned when the discs are returned. 2nd by Fred May. All ayes. Zero Nays

COMMITTEE SIGNUPS

Beth states there are papers in the back for Committee signups. Much of the park relies on volunteers to help keep things going. All POA are encouraged to sign up to help the park. Michele did specify that she would not be doing activities this year.

PARK IMPROVEMENTS

Discussion on what the POA would like to see for park improvement. Tonya Cole has a survey up on the POA page as well as copies here at the meeting to help the board know what they would like to see in the park. Michele discussed the possibility of spike strips at the outgoing gates and discussion was had on pros and cons for that. Michele will have some more information on that at the March meeting and we can vote then.

Keys for bath houses was another discussion. Pros and cons and how to achieve this. Tonya is looking into keypads from cell gate. Michele is looking into key locks. Adam Morris suggested a magnet type of lock. Each will work on these and POA is welcome to think of ideas and this will be presented in March. We are also looking at security once hired to monitor the bath houses on an as needed basis.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Adam Rufkar to adjourn. 2nd by Julie Moss. All ayes. Zero Nays. Meeting adjourned at 3:36PM.