

# More Than Just a Summary: Researching the Right Layout for AI in Search

**Challenge:** Company X was preparing to launch a new AI-generated summary feature, but internal misalignment surfaced. Product managers pushed for a specific direction, while designers urged caution due to inconsistent past designs and a lack of data on which layout would make the content easier to consume. Research was needed to guide a more informed decision.

## Methodology



### Preference Testing



### Unmoderated Interviews

- Given the short timeline before the product launch, I ran unmoderated user interviews to quickly gather feedback. Participants reviewed three design concepts (A, B, and C), selected a preferred option, and explained their reasoning. Before the preference test, they answered discovery questions about how they use and trust AI-generated summaries.

## Key Findings

- Users preferred designs with visually prominent, inline citations, as these enhanced transparency and made it easier to trace information back to its source.
- This preference aligned with a broader insight: users rely on AI-generated summaries for straightforward answers, but when a topic requires deeper analysis or multiple perspectives, they want clear access to sources to evaluate the information themselves.

## Outcome

- As a result of this research, the design team had the confidence to move forward with a solution that balanced user needs and product manager expectations.
- The research also empowered the design team to advocate for greater consistency in how references are presented across the site—shaping their look, feel, and functionality.

## What I learned

- While the research led to a successful outcome, I added a caveat to one of the findings: the length of the AI summary may have influenced user responses. Due to time constraints, I couldn't test longer versus shorter AI summaries, leaving this factor unexamined. I was mindful in how I communicated this limitation to stakeholders—framing it as an opportunity for future exploration rather than a flaw—so as not to undermine the overall value of the research.

*"It tells you that in this AI summary this is where the information is coming from and that feels more reliable to me."* AI Developer