

JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL
(DOMESTIC JURISDICTION)

**IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 7 OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS
DISQUALIFICATION ACT 1975 AND SECTION 4 OF THE JUDICIAL
COMMITTEE ACT 1833; AND: THE CROWN AND PARLIAMENT
RECOGNITION ACT 1689; THE ACT OF SETTLEMENT 1700; THE ACT
OF 6 ANNE 1706; THE OATHS ACT 1978; THE INTERPRETATION ACT 1978;
THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998; AND THE THEFT ACT 1968; THE CRIMINAL
JUSTICE ACT 1987; THE FRAUD ACT 2006; THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT 1993;
THE BRIBERY ACT 2010; THE HOUSE OF LORDS (HEREDITARY PEERS) ACT
2026; THE SERIOUS CRIME ACT 2007.**

BETWEEN:-

R. (ON THE PETITION OF JULIAN FRASER BRENNAN)

Applicant

– and –

- (1) THE RT HON SIR KEIR RODNEY STARMER KCB KC**
- (2) THE RT HON RISHI SUNAK**
- (3) THE RT HON SUE-ELLEN CASSIANA BRAVERMAN**
- (4) THE RT HON ANDREW MURRAY BURNHAM**
- (5) THE PERSON ELECTED ON 13 AUGUST 2026 AS THE MEMBER
FOR THE PARLIAMENTARY CONSITUENCY OF CLACTON**

Respondents

– and –

- (1) THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF RWANDA**
- (2) LE PRÉSIDENT DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAISE**
- (3) THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**
- (4) TAOISEACH**
- (5) JEFE DEL GOBIERNO DEL REINO DE ESPAÑA**
- (6) THE PRIME MINISTER OF INDIA**
- (7) THE ARCHBISHOP OF YORK; THE VICAR-GENERAL
OF THE PROVINCE OF CANTERBURY; & ORS
(Acting as a Court of Law on 28 January 2026)**
- (8) LABOUR TOGETHER LTD / THINK LABOUR LTD (post 8/7/2026)**
- (9) LACHLAN KEITH MURDOCH & ORS**
- (10) HM TREASURY**

Interested Parties

– and –

- (1) HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS THE PRINCE OF WALES
(as Heir Apparent and His Majesty's sworn Liege Man)**
- (2) THE RT HON SIR JOHN MAJOR KG CH
(as a former Prime Minister and a Knight of the Order of the Garter)**

- (3) THE DUKE OF NORFOLK / EARL MARSHALL
(4) THE RT HON PENNY MORDAUNT
(as former Lady President of the Council)
(5) ADMIRAL SIR ANTONY RADAKIN GBE KCB
(as former Lord High Constable of England)
(6) THE CLERK OF THE CROWN IN CHANCERY
(7) THE PRECENTOR OF CANTERBURY CATHEDRAL
(8) THE SECRETARY GENERAL OF THE ANGLICAN COMMUNITY
(9) THE CLERK OF THE CLOSET AND THE DEPUTY CLERK,
AS DOMESTIC CHAPLAIN TO THE SOVEREIGN AND
SUB-DEAN OF THE CHAPEL ROYAL
(10) THE DEAN OF WESTMINSTER ABBEY

First Interveners

– and –

- (1) HM ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR ENGLAND & WALES
AND ADVOCATE GENERAL FOR NORTHERN IRELAND
(2) ADVOCATE GENERAL FOR SCOTLAND
(3) HM ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR GIBRALTAR
(4) THE RT HON THE LADY HIGGINS GBE KC
(5) ROSALIND MORAG ELLIS KC

Second Interveners

WITNESS STATEMENT OF JULIAN BRENNAN

I **JULIAN FRASER BRENNAN**, a retired person, born on 14 September 1956 in Epping in the County of Essex, England, of 3 Byland Road, Skelton, Saltburn-by-the-Sea TS12 2NJ, will say as follows:

1. I will be the Applicant in this matter. I act in accordance with my residual and continuing obligations as a United Nations Human Rights Defender (principally from 9 February 2005 to 1 May 2019). It is my intention, as a loyal subject of this Realm, born in England, to exercise my Constitutional “birthright” to submit a formal Petition to His Majesty the King.
2. I am a Person of Good Character. I act in the public interest in accordance with my Convention rights under Article 9 (which it is been accepted have, together with rights under Article 10, been continuously engaged since 13 March 1997 (as guaranteed under ETS No. 5, and later under the Human Rights Act 1998 also).

3. In the Annex to this document [@ File 1, Tab A] is Exhibit JCPC/JFB/1, an essay written by me which confirms the aforementioned date. As will be noted, it is not an academic essay; at the very beginning of the piece there is a minor literary allusion to the essay *England my England* by George Orwell. Through the essay I was the first person ever to float the idea of a *Bill of Rights and Responsibilities*. I venture – objectively and not immodestly – that had my main policy proposal been adopted and implemented our country and society would not now be in such a parlous state. Like many millions of my fellow citizens I am appalled at the state of our public discourse and politics, and the very dreadful effects of what we have become as a society. The illegal overreach of the Executive and the control it is now able to exert over Parliamentarians and people occupying positions in public life is unlike anything in living memory. Power is becoming increasingly concentrated with less real accountability. Democracy is in serious decline.
4. My Petition is being written by me personally in accordance with my Duty of Allegiance to the Crown and in support of the Rule of Law. It will most probably be finalised and submitted to the Privy Council Office in August. Upon submitting my Petition (and thereby making my application) I will provide the statutory surety for the costs of the proceedings in the maximum amount of £200 for each Respondent.
5. Under section 7(1)(b) of the Human Rights Act 1998 in the proceedings I will rely on engaged Convention rights coming within the ambit of Articles 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14 and Article 1 of the First Protocol, all as read with Articles 17 and 18. Issues arising under Article 9 affect the Church of England. Section 13 HRA applies. In relation to issues arising under Article 1 of the First Protocol, I declare a material Personal Pecuniary Interest (and related fiduciary/contractual duties) which is explained briefly in paragraph 11 below.
6. My Petition will “trigger” a reference, by the King, to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council under section 7 of the House of Commons Disqualification Act 1975 (*per* section 3 of the Judicial Committee 1833). I anticipate the matter will (as referred to in the 1975 Act) be directed in the first instance to the High Court of Justice. That will be necessary also in respect of section 4 of the 1833 Act

and the serious misconduct by the First and Second Respondents; each acting in breach of law in becoming First Lord of the Treasury, and then acting as Prime Minister *de facto*, not *de jure*. That has affected the Defence of the Realm and various international treaties etc.

7. Through the aforementioned Petition to the King in Council I will make an application for a Declaration that, due to various illegal acts, failures to act and omissions, each of the persons listed above as a Respondent – each of whom has purported to be [or currently intends to become] a Member of Parliament from the time s/he last signed [or will sign] the Test Roll in the Chamber of the House of Commons – is actually disqualified by provisions coming within the 1975 Act. In respect of the First Respondent I will refer to two published documents which are online. They are called the **Makerfield Document** and the **Clacton Document**. Their front covers and contents pages are marked, respectively, as **Exhibits JCPC/JFB/2** and **JCPC/JFB/3**.
8. I have immunity from suit for Defamation and Malicious Falsehood regarding what I have written and published about the illegal conduct of the First, Second and Third Respondents. That has existed from 4.31pm GMT on 27 February 2025. Whilst that and the correct legal presumption regarding their true reputations carry weight there will nonetheless be a proper expectation that I must prove what I say are facts and do so in Court to a very high civil law standard whilst being subjected to the relevant degree of Judicial scrutiny, not merely on the balance of probabilities. I am entirely comfortable that I can do so on each specific point according to the applicable “ingredients” and the relevant objective test. I highlight that I have written and published professionally for more than 50 years and have never once received so much as a Solicitor's letter requiring a subsequent correction, retraction or apology; let alone a Letter Before Claim or a Writ. **Exhibit JCPC/JFB/4** to **Exhibits JCPC/JFB/18** are also in the Annex. Nothing stated in any of the documents is defamatory of anyone.
9. **Exhibit JCPC/JFB/19** is my letter to Lambeth Palace and **Exhibit JCPC/JFB/20** is my letter the United Nations Headquarters in New York, both of which have still to be finalised/e-mailed. I referred to them in the Affidavit I swore on Friday.

10. Significant issues of UK Constitutional Law will arise in the proceedings. I believe that the issues are such that it might be thought helpful for all members of “The Board” (as I shall in future refer to the JCPC) to consider the Petition. The resolution of certain issues might require all Justices to sit also as the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. The issues will be set out succinctly in my Petition.
11. In closing I return to the issue of my Personal Pecuniary Interests, and refer to the document marked **Exhibit JCPC/JFB/21** [**@ File 1, Tab B**]. My principal pecuniary interest relates to a Claim for very substantial financial damages, mainly due to Misfeasance in Public Office. The losses caused to myself and to a number of others will, in large part, be paid out by HM Treasury. There is also a personal pecuniary interest in relation to a significant sum of money that should have been paid to me by Sir Keir Starmer personally (or by Lady Starmer, as the liability is joint and several). Calculated according to the £/\$ exchange rate at the close of trading on Friday, 17th (after Sir Keir ceased to be the Leader of the Labour Party) the sum of money is £4.46 million. Of that sum £60,000 should be paid straight away to the Yorkshire Building Society (in respect of a S. 20 EA reasonable adjustment I made in favour of a disabled person who is one of the victims of the Misfeasance); £400,000 should be placed in a formal Trust established for the higher education of the two minors of the Starmer family (due to a decision I took under Article 9); and title and vacant possession of a property in Kentish Town, London should be transferred to me on 11 September 2026. The remainder should come from the liquidation of Keir Starmer's pension(s), except any money accrued due to Statutory Instrument 2013 No. 2588 which was void at the time it was made. That money must be paid to/recovered by the Treasury.

I believe that the facts stated in this Witness Statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Julian Brennan". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Julian Brennan

19 July 2026