


☐


I'm not robot


reCAPTCHA

I'm not robot!

Nih letter of support example

Nih consultant letter of support example.



Nih grant letter of support example. Nih letter of support format.

Information on other active and pending support may be requested (often as part of Just-in-Time procedures for grant applications or in progress reports) to ensure there is no scientific, budgetary, or commitment overlap. “Other Support” is sometimes referred to as “current and pending support” or “active and pending support.” Find instructions, blank format pages, and sample Other Support documents below. Other Support includes all resources made available to a researcher in support of and/or related to all of their research endeavors, regardless of whether or not they have monetary value and regardless of whether they are based at the institution the researcher identifies for the current grant. This includes but is not limited to: Resources and/or financial support from all foreign and domestic entities, that are available to the researcher. This includes but is not limited to, financial support for laboratory personnel, and provision of high-value materials that are not freely available (e.g., biologics, chemical, model systems, technology, etc.). Institutional resources, such as core facilities or shared equipment that are made broadly available, should not be included in Other Support, but rather listed under Facilities and Other Resources. Consulting agreements, when the PD/PI or other senior/key personnel will be conducting research as part of the consulting activities.

PRACTICE 11.3 - COMBINED RANDOM VARIABLES

1. The American Veterinary Association claims that the annual cost of medical care for dogs averages \$1200 with a standard deviation of \$300 and for cats averages \$1000 with a standard deviation of \$200.

a) What is the expected difference in the cost of medical care for dogs and cats? (1 pt)

b) What is the standard deviation of that difference? Must show your work in order to get credit. (3pts)

c) If the costs follow a Normal distribution, what is the probability that medical expenses are higher for cat owners taking than for dog cat? Must show your work in order to get credit. (10 pts)

d) Find the probability that 70000 expenses will be lower than \$200. Must show your work in order to get credit. (4 pts)

Non-research consulting activities are not Other Support. In-kind contributions, e.g. office/laboratory space, equipment, supplies, or employees or students supported by an outside source. If the time commitment or dollar value of the in-kind contribution is not readily ascertainable, the recipient must provide reasonable estimates. Other support does not include training awards, prizes, or gifts. Gifts are resources provided where there is no expectation of anything (e.g. time, services, specific research activities, money, etc.) in return. An item or service given with the expectation of an associated time commitment is not a gift and is instead an in-kind contribution and must be reported as such. Other Support information is requested for: All senior/key personnel, excluding consultants, in progress reports when there has been a change in active other support, except Program Directors, training faculty, and other individuals involved in the oversight of training grants Updated Requirements for Recipients (NOT-OD-21-073): Effective January 25, 2022, NIH requires the following: Supporting documentation, which includes copies of contracts/agreements specific to senior/key-personnel foreign appointments and/or employment with a foreign institution for all foreign activities and resources that are reported in Other Support. If the contracts/agreements are not in English, recipients must provide translated copies. Immediate notification of undisclosed Other Support. When a recipient organization discovers that a PI or other Senior/Key personnel on an active NIH grant failed to disclose Other Support information outside of Just-in-Time or the RPPR, as applicable, the recipient must submit updated Other Support to the Grants Management Specialist named in the Notice of Award as soon as it becomes known. Additional information on Other Support can be found in the Grants Policy Statement. Your application should include letters of support from your institution, key personnel, collaborators, and other significant contributors.



The restructured NIH grant proposal application: Research strategy in 12 pages?

Catherine M. Wernette, PhD¹

All NIH grant proposal applications will require the use of new forms and instructions for grants submitted after January 25, 2010.¹ Although many are aware of this fact, the implications may only become apparent once an individual begins to consider writing or resubmitting a grant proposal to meet their deadline. Focusing on *Table 2a: Instructions for Selected Sections of the Research Plan to Detail of Application Changes for Research Grants and Cooperative Agreements*,² the first change to note in the restructured NIH grant proposal is that both the *Introduction* (S.1.1) in Renewal/Revision applications and the *Specific Aims* (S.5.2) for all applications are now limited to 1 page each. Although this may be a constraint, for most, it is not the primary problem.

Difficulties arise when one considers that the traditional *Background and Significance*, *Preliminary Studies/Progress Report*, and *Research Design and Methods* sections (traditionally up to 25 pages) are components of a new section called *Research Strategy* (S.5.3). This section is limited to 12 pages and comprises 3 sub-sections entitled *a) Significance*, *b) Innovation*, and *c) Approach*. According to the NIH web site, the *Approach* sub-section of the *Research Strategy* should include *Preliminary Studies for New Applications* or the *Progress Report* for *Renewal/Revision Applications*.³

While examples of traditional NIH grant proposals abound, examples of restructured NIH grant proposals are non-existent as they have not been written yet, and the NIH web site does not provide a mock-up of a restructured grant proposal for reference.⁴ While no specific guidelines are given on the NIH web site, we are left to conclude that the *Significance* and *Innovation* sections of the *Research Strategy* must be described succinctly in perhaps 1 to 2 pages each while the bulk of the text (8–10 pages) must be reserved for discussion of the *Approach*.

Let us examine how the *Research Strategy* must serve to make the case for grant funding in relation to the stated NIH peer-review panel review criteria.⁵ First, the *Significance* (S.5.3.a) of the research program must be stated in concrete terms that describe exactly how it will advance the research field and contribute to society. When thinking about the significance of a research program it is helpful to continually repeat and answer the question, “Why does this program matter?” Once all the reasons why the research program “matters” have been determined, the author

¹ Dr. Wernette earned a PhD in Biochemistry from Michigan State University and completed a NIDDK postdoctoral fellowship at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas. Employed as Research Fellow IV in the Department of Nutrition and Food Science at Auburn University, her research interests include diabetes and obesity. Dr. Wernette is a frequent invited speaker at NIH seminars and frequent at CMWSC. Consulting opportunities in scientific and medical writing and editing (http://cmwsc.com).

² Enhancing Peer Review at NIH: Streamlined Restructured Applications. http://cmwsc.com/notes/nihs/restructured_applications.html Accessed December 14, 2009.

³ Details of Application Changes for Research Grants and Cooperative Agreements (for due dates on or after January 25, 2010) http://cmwsc.com/notes/nihs/restructured_applications_changes.pdf Accessed December 14, 2009.

⁴ Restructured Application Forms and Instructions for Submissions for FY2011 Funding <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/apply/notice.htm#NOTICE-09-09-193393> Accessed on December 14, 2009.

⁵ Current Sample Peer Applications (PMT/CTM/AL, OR/PI and Summary Statements) <http://funding.nih.gov/grants/peer/pmt/ctm/al.htm> Accessed on December 14, 2009.

⁶ Side-by-Side Comparison of Enhanced and Former Review Criteria (Research Grants and Cooperative Agreements) <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/pmt/ctm/al.htm#sidebyside> Accessed on December 14, 2009.

freewoodplans.info

Relevant letters of support will assure your peer reviewers that your collaborations and institutional commitments are on the right track.



April 7, 2014

The Honorable Kathleen Sebelius
Secretary
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20201

Dear Madam Secretary:

As strong supporters of the NIH, we are contacting you on behalf of a constituent who participated in the National Institute on Aging Protocol 2003-086: "Clinical and Molecular Manifestations of Heritable Disorders of Connective Tissue." This individual received an abrupt notification that the NIA has discontinued the study prior to the expected conclusion date.

It is our understanding that this study was the only national research study focused on Fibromuscular Dysplasia and Ehlers-Danlos, Marfan, hereditary aneurysm, and Stickler syndromes. This type of research is incredibly important because it is the only source of information for doctors and patients on the causes, risk factors, and treatment options for these rare conditions. As you know, without the support of the NIH, rare diseases are unlikely to attract large amounts of research attention or funding.

We are concerned that the NIA's sudden discontinuation of the study was unnecessarily abrupt and caused undue hardship, especially given the importance of this study to the individuals and families who have committed time, energy and hope to the research process. The notification to study participants also did not provide a full and complete explanation as to why the study was discontinued. Therefore, we respectfully request a detailed explanation as to why the study was discontinued, as well as information on any plans to continue research on these rare diseases in the future.

Thank you for your attention to our request.

Sincerely,

Al Franken
United States Senator


Mark Dayton
Governor

cc: Frances Collins, Director, NIH

Voice: (651) 201-3400 or (800) 657-3717 Fax: (651) 797-1850 MN Relay (800) 627-3529
Website: <http://governor.state.mn.us> An Equal Opportunity Employer
Printed on recycled paper containing 10% post consumer material and state government printed.

What To Include The letter text should demonstrate the commitment of your institution and contributors. Summarize the agreements you have in place to support your project. Familiarize yourself with the recommendations given in the SF 424 Letters of Support instructions. In multi-component applications, you may include letters of support in the overall component, other components, or both unless stated otherwise in the notice of funding opportunity (NOFO). You may also be instructed to begin the Letters of Support attachment with a table of letter authors, their institutions, and the type of each letter (e.g., institutional commitment). Specifics for Collaborator Letters When you request a letter of support, consider providing your collaborator a summary of your agreement as a convenient starting point. Discuss with them what information you think needs to be included. The letters of support should clearly describe what type of support your collaborators will provide (e.g., reagents, animals, human samples, technology). Make sure to indicate whether the support is available to anyone on request or if your collaborator will provide it to only you. Our staff consider the latter point as they determine who may review your application without conflict. Note that this letter is not the same document as your formal written agreement with the collaborator. Do not include the text of the formal agreement itself with your letters of support or your application. The formal agreement is for your benefit. NIH does not request, use, or need a copy of it. Learn more about Using Subawards. Don't Send Too Many Letters of Support Include the letters as described in the NOFO's instructions, but don't assume that even more letters would be better. We strongly advise against collecting letters of support solely as endorsements of your reputation, expertise, or research plans. In some cases, applicants have provided over a thousand letters of support. Large quantities of letters pose a significant burden on peer reviewers as they try to determine which are truly relevant to the project. Unnecessary letters can also limit the pool of experts who are allowed to review your application. Due to potential conflicts of interest, anyone who writes a letter may not review your application. You might unintentionally eliminate the scientists who could have been your best supporters on the review panel. More Information Find our central list of Types of Letters for Grant Applications.

Some types of programs, such as fellowships and some career development awards, require the submission of reference letters by the referee. Referees must submit these letters by the application deadline in order to be considered with the application. Applications that have fewer than the required numbers of reference letters will not be reviewed. Each funding opportunity will indicate whether Reference Letters are required and, if so, how many. A reference letter is not the same as a letter of support. See Reference Letters vs. Letters of Support: What's the Difference? At least three, but no more than five, reference letters are required. The letters should be from individuals not directly involved in the application, but who are familiar with the fellow/candidate's qualifications, training, and interests. Note that for postdoctoral grant applications that require submission of reference letters, a letter from the fellow/candidate's predoctoral thesis advisor is not required. The sponsor/co-sponsor(s) of the application cannot be counted toward the three required references. Resubmission applications do not need to use the same list of referees but do require new reference letters from all referees chosen. Make sure you include a list of referees (including name, departmental affiliation, and institution) in the cover letter of the application so NIH staff is aware of planned reference letter submissions. Fellows/candidates should provide their referees with the appropriate instructions. Remember to include your name as it is shown in your Commons account, your eRA Commons username, and the number of the funding opportunity (e.g., PA-21-048) to which you are applying. Referees must submit letters directly to the eRA Commons at: Referees must submit reference letters through the eRA Commons by the application due date. Note: Referees DO NOT need need to login to eRA Commons to submit their letters. Referees must provide the following information with their reference letter: PI's (fellow/candidate's) eRA Commons username. PI's first and last name as they appear on the PI's eRA Commons account. Number of the funding opportunity (e.g., PA-21-048) to which you are applying. Referees and the fellow/candidate receive confirmation emails from eRA Commons upon reference letter submission. The confirmation sent to a referee includes the mentee and applicant's names, a confirmation number, and the date the letter was submitted. The confirmation sent to a fellow/candidate includes the referee's name and the date the letter was submitted. The eRA Commons links the reference letter up with the application based on eRA Commons username and funding opportunity number. The fellow/candidate is responsible for reviewing the status of submitted reference letters and contacting referees to ensure that letters are submitted by the due date. The fellow/candidate may check the status of submitted letters by logging into their Commons account and accessing the "check status" screen for this application. While the fellow/candidate is able to check on the status of the submitted letters, the letters are confidential and the fellow/candidate will not have access to the letters themselves. Contact the eRA Service Desk if you need assistance submitting your reference letter.