		Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Documen	t 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 1 of 8
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hendricksk@gtlaw.comdzJASON K. HICKS, Bar No. 13149JChicksja@glaw.comjddKYLE A. EWING, Bar No 014051Mewingk@gtlaw.commj GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP *a10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600AILas Vegas, Nevada 89135MTelephone: (702) 792-377386Facsimile: (702) 792-9002SuJARROD L. RICKARD, Bar No. 10203Lcih@alvelour.comTelephone: Telephone: Telephone: Telephone: Telephone	CT OF NEVADA CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY AMENDED OMNIBUS MOTION TO
	18 19	vs. MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY, <i>et al.</i> ,	APPROVE SECOND QUARTERLY APPLICATIONS FOR FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
	20	Defendants,	FOR RECEIVER AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL FOR THE PERIOD FROM
	21	THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST, et al.,	JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2022
	22	Relief Defendants.	
	23		
	24	Geoff Winkler, the Court-appointed Receiver	t (the "Receiver"), submits this Amended Omnibus
	25	Motion to Approve Second Quarterly Applications for	r Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver
	26	and Receiver's Counsel for the Period from July 1	, 2022, through September 30, 2022 (" Amended
	27	Motion").	

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 2 of 8

This Amended Motion incorporates by reference the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and 2 Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel Greenberg Traurig (ECF No. 365) and recent amendment thereto (ECF No. 405), the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel (1) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP; and (2) Semenza Kircher Rickard (ECF Nos. 360-362), and recent amendment thereto (ECF No. 402), and the Omnibus Motion to Approve Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and 6 Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel for the Period from July 1, 2022 through 7 8 September 30, 2022 (ECF No. 366).

9 This Amended Motion also addresses the concerns raised by the Court at the hearing on December 16, 2022.¹ 10

The Receiver and his professionals seek approval of the following fees and costs for this 11 12 Application Period, on an interim basis:

- (1) Receiver fees of \$373,619 and costs of \$19,231.64;
- Greenberg Traurig fees of \$186,853.25 and costs of \$1,273.00; (2)
- (3) Allen Matkins fees of \$220,054.50 and costs of \$3,775.02; and
- 16

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLF 10845 Griffith Peak Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Suite 600

13

14

15

1

3

4

5

Semenza Kircher fees of \$12,122.50 and costs of \$188.00. (4)

17 The Receiver and his counsel respectfully submit that the fees and expenses incurred during the 18 Application Period were fair, reasonable, necessary, and significantly benefited the Estate. Notably, the 19 fees are less than one percent (1%) of the total recovery made by the Receiver to date. Indeed, 20ECF No. 366 and the other filings referenced above are incorporated by reference describe the Receiver 21 and his counsels' efforts to avoid duplication, the complexity of the Receiver's tasks and quality of work 22 performed, the value and reasonableness of the expenses, as well as the communication with the SEC that occurred prior to the original submittal on November 15, 2022. At the Court's direction, an additional 23

¹ The Court previously considered the Omnibus Motion to Approve Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and 25 Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel for the Period from July 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022; the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel Greenberg Traurig; and the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of

²⁶ Receiver's Counsel (1) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP and (2) Semenza Kircher Rickard

⁽together, the "Original Applications"). See ECF Nos. 360, 365, and 366. After making observations regarding the 27 Court's concerns about certain billing entries by the Receiver and his counsel at the motion hearing held December 16, 2022, the Court denied the Original Applications without prejudice, ordering the Receiver and his

²⁸ counsel to refile the Applications with additional clarification. See ECF No. 398.

review of the invoices submitted was completed, and revisions are reflected in the amounts requested
 here.

As referenced in the prior submittals, the amounts requested for fees incurred for the Second
Quarter reflect the significantly discounted rates previously approved by the Court. Additionally, prior to
being filed with the Court, a rigorous review process is completed.

Billing Standards and Invoice Review Prior to Submittal.

7 In response to the Court's inquiry during the December 16, 2022 hearing, the Receiver would like 8 to provide some additional background regarding the guidelines utilized by his team and the professionals 9 he retains, as well as the process that is employed prior to any fee submission being filed with the Court. 10 Most notably, in addition to discounting rates for this matter and following their own firm best practices for ethical and commercially reasonable billing, the Receiver and his counsel work to ensure that all billing 11 12 standards meet or exceed both (i) the SEC's Billing Instructions for Receivers in Civil Actions 13 Commenced by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Billing Instructions") and (ii) the 14 U.S. Trustee's Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses.² The Billing Instructions and Trustee's Guidelines have been developed iteratively by the SEC 15 and U.S. Trustee specifically to ensure that receivers, trustees, and any professionals or others employed 16 17 by them charge only reasonable and necessary fees and expenses to a receivership or bankruptcy estate.

18 The Billing Instructions followed by the submitting parties include requirements that each professional and paraprofessional keep contemporaneous billing records and record time in increments of 19 tenths of an hour and that time records provide reasonable detail and narrative.³ Each entry must also 20identify an SEC approved category for the work performed.⁴ Certain work performed by the Receiver 21 and counsel that might otherwise be billable in a different context is not included in the invoices submitted 22 to this Court and written off presumptively by the respective parties. This includes time spent preparing 23 24 fee applications and documentation in support of the same as well as many administrative tasks. Additionally, the Receiver and counsel routinely write off time associated with internal communication 25

26

27

6

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

 $28 ||_{4} Id.$

 ² The Billing Instructions are available online at <u>www.sec.gov/oiea/Article/billinginstructions.pdf</u>.
 ³ See, www.sec.gov/oiea/Article/billinginstructions.pdf.

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 4 of 8

and training. Further, consistent with SEC guidelines, only certain types of costs are included in the
invoices submitted. Allowed expenses per SEC guidelines include filing fees, process service fees, expert
witness fees, court reporter fees, lines and title expense, postage, parking, and travel.⁵ SEC guidelines
also allow for reimbursement for photo copying and telephone charges. However, such photocopying and
telephone expenses are already voluntarily excluded from the invoices and applications submitted in this
case.

7 When it comes to travel, the Receiver and his team are also limited in what they can seek 8 reimbursement for and are cost conscious. Notably, per SEC guidelines, long distance travel outside a twenty (20) mile radius of the applicant's office is reimbursable at fifty percent (50%) of the applicant's 9 regular billing rate, and reimbursement is subject to specific travel restrictions and limitations.⁶ The 10 Receiver and his team follow the guidelines, which specify: 1) they seek the and use the lowest airfare; 11 12 2) luxury accommodations and deluxe meals are not reimbursable; 3) personal and incidental charges are not included; and 4) expenses over \$75 require a receipt. In regard to hotel selection, the Receiver books 13 14 accommodations based on availability and costs, and the Receiver and his team strive to find reasonable accommodations, taking into account fluctuating prices due to conference and events in Las Vegas. 15

16 Cognizant of the fees and expenses and the impact they can have on the Receivership Estate, Mr. Winkler reviews both the invoices from his team of professionals and American Fiduciary Services 17 18 ("AFS") on a monthly basis. In so doing, he looks to identify inefficiencies and cuts any time entries and requests for reimbursement he believes are excessive or inconsistent with the Billing Instructions and/or 19 U.S. Trustee's Guidelines. After Mr. Winkler's review, the invoices are sent directly to counsel for the 20 SEC for their review an input under both the Billing Instructions standards and counsel's experience and 21 22 discretion. Although the Appointment Order (ECF No. 88) specifies that the SEC be provide with drafts of quarterly fee applications 30 days prior to the same being filed, in this case, the Receiver and his team 23 24 work to provide the SEC the invoices on a monthly basis.

 $28 ||_{6} Id.$

ACTIVE 684111340v1

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 5 of 8

In regard to the fees and costs requested in the Second Fee Application, the process outlined above 1 2 was utilized with (1) the professionals responsible reviewing their own invoices on a monthly basis, (2) the 3 Receiver then reviewing the invoices on a monthly basis, and (3) the Receiver then providing the same to counsel for the SEC for its review. Staff for the SEC then reviewed the invoices and can (and did) provide 4 comments to the Receiver prior to the filing of the fee application. The Receiver and his professionals 5 are sensitive to the feedback received from SEC staff. For the Second Fee Application, minimal concerns 6 relating to the invoices submitted were raised by the SEC. However, the comments made were addressed 7 8 and/or incorporated. At the SEC's request, certain time was written off and not included in the subject submittal. 9

It is also important to note that, consistent with the billing guidelines of the SEC, the Receiver and the applicable law firms are seeking payment of 80% of their fees with the remaining, unpaid twenty percent (20%) as a "holdback" of approved fees, subject to final review and payment only at the conclusion of this Receivership. This provides additional security, should the Court have any additional concerns not addressed in the amended filings. Indeed, the 20% hold back provides a buffer and an additional opportunity for final consideration when the case is complete.

For these reasons, as well as the clarifications provided in the separate Amendments to (i) the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel Greenberg Traurig, (ECF No. 405), and (ii) the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel (1) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP; and (2) Semenza Kircher Rickard (ECF Nos. 360-362), (ECF No. 402), the ///

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

| | |

///

///

///

///

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 6 of 8

Receiver respectfully requests the Court approve the amended fee applications and grant the relief requested in this Amended Omnibus Motion. A proposed order is included as **Exhibit A** hereto.

DATED this 20th day of December 2022.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Kara B. Hendricks By:

KARA B. HENDRICKS, Bar No. 07743 JASON K. HICKS, Bar No. 13149 KYLE A. EWING, Bar No. 014051

JARROD L. RICKARD, Bar No. 10203 KATIE L. CANNATA, Bar No. 14848 SEMENZA KIRCHER RICKARD

DAVID R. ZARO* JOSHUA A. del CASTILLO* MATTHEW D. PHAM* *admitted pro hac vice ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

Attorneys for Receiver Geoff Winkler

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLF 10845 Griffith Peak Drive 1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I hereby certify that on the 20th day of December 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing AMENDED OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SECOND QUARTERLY APPLICATIONS FOR FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR RECEIVER AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL FOR THE PERIOD FROM JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 was filed electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Notice of filing will be served on all parties registered to this case by operation of the Court's CM/ECF system, and parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF system.

> /s/ Evelyn Escobar-Gaddi An employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406 Filed 12/20/22 Page 8 of 8







EXHIBIT A

[Proposed] Order

	Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 40	06-1 Filed 12/20/22 Page 2 of 4			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	KARA B. HENDRICKS, Bar No. 07743 hendricksk@gtlaw.com JASON K. HICKS, Bar No. 13149 hicksja@glaw.com KYLE A. EWING, Bar No 014051 ewingk@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 JARROD L. RICKARD, Bar No. 10203 jlr@skrlawyers.com KATIE L. CANNATA, Bar No. 14848 klc@skrlawyers.com SEMENZA KIRCHER RICKARD 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 835-6803 Facsimile: (702) 920-8669	DAVID R. ZARO* dzaro@allenmatkins.com JOSHUA A. del CASTILLO* jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com MATTHEW D. PHAM* mpham@allenmatkins.com *admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 622-5555 Facsimile: (213) 620-8816			
12 13	Attorneys for Receiver, Geoff Winkler				
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
16	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY				
17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING			
18	VS.	AMENDED OMNIBUS MOTION TO			
19	MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY, et al.,	APPROVE SECOND QUARTERLY APPLICATIONS FOR FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR RECEIVER AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL FOR THE PERIOD			
20	Defendants,				
21	THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST, et al.,				
22	Relief Defendants.	FROM JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2022			
23					
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				
	1 ACTIVE 684130477v1				

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-3773; Facsimile: (702) 792-9002

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 406-1 Filed 12/20/22 Page 3 of 4

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Presently before the Court are the Amended Omnibus Motion to Approve Second Quarterly 2 Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel for 3 the Period from July 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022; the Amended Second Quarterly 4 Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel 5 Greenberg Traurig; and the Amended Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Receiver's Counsel (1) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP and (2) Semenza 6 7 Kircher Rickard (together, the "Amended Applications").

The Court previously considered the Omnibus Motion to Approve Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel for the Period from July 1, 2022 through September 30, 2022; the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel Greenberg Traurig; the Second Quarterly Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Receiver's Counsel (1) Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis, LLP and (2) Semenza Kircher Rickard (together, the "Original Applications"). See ECF Nos. 360, 365, and 366. After noting the Court's concerns about certain billing entries by the Receiver and his counsel at the motion hearing held December 16, 2022, the Court denied the Original Applications without prejudice, ordering the Receiver and his counsel to refile the Applications with additional clarification. See ECF No. 398.

18 The Court finds that the renewed Amended Applications provide sufficient clarification or 19 reduction of the specific items noted by the Court, as well as similar items. Accordingly, the 20 Receiver has demonstrated good cause to grant the Amended Applications. Having found that 21 notice was proper,

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Omnibus Motion to Approve Second Quarterly 23 Applications for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for Receiver and Receiver's Counsel for 24 the Period from July 1, 2022, through September 30, 2022, is GRANTED and:

- 25
- 26

27

28

the Receiver fees of \$373,619.00 and costs of \$19,231.64 are ALLOWED; (1)

(2) Greenberg Traurig fees of \$186,853.25 and costs of \$1,273.00 are ALLOWED;

Allen Matkins fees of \$220,054.50 and costs of \$3,775.02 are ALLOWED; and (3)

Semenza Kircher fees of \$12,122.50 and costs of \$188.00 are ALLOWED. (4)

