1 2 3 4 5	CASEY R. FRONK (Illinois Bar No. 6296535) Email: fronkc@sec.gov MICHAEL E. WELSH (Massachusetts Bar No. WelshMi@sec.gov SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSIC 351 South West Temple, Suite 6.100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Tel: (801) 524-5796 Fax: (801) 524-3558	,	
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.: 2:22-cv-00612-JCM-EJY	
9	Plaintiff, v.	JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN; PROPOSED ORDER	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN; RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A. MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND WARREN ROSEGREEN;	SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED	
19	Defendants; and		
202122232425	THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ CONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC; STIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C.; CJ INVESTMENTS, LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL, LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL ALBERTO, JR.; and MONTY CREW LLC; Relief Defendants.		
26			

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), Defendants Christopher Humphries, Shane Jager, Jason Jongeward, Denny Seybert, Seth Johnson, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen, and Relief Defendants Stirling Consulting, L.L.C., CJ Investments, L.L.C., JL2 Investments, LLC, Rocking Horse Properties, LLC, and Triple Threat Basketball, LLC¹ (herein together, the "Parties") hereby submit their Joint Report and Proposed Discovery Plan pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26-1(b).

I. MATTERS COVERED BY RULE 26(f)(3)

A. Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures

All parties shall serve the disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) no later than March 7, 2023.

B. Discovery Plan

The Parties agree that discovery should proceed with respect to any non-privileged matter that is relevant to the claims or defenses of the parties or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The Parties also agree that this is a highly complex case involving over 40 individuals and entity parties, hundreds of interested investors, and complex procedural issues, such that the standard discovery deadlines set forth in Local Rule 26-1(b) will not promote the efficient and effective resolution of this case. As such, the Parties propose the following discovery dates:

¹ Defendants Matthew Beasley, Beasley Law Group PC, Jeffrey Judd, J&J Consulting Services, Inc. (Alaska), J&J Consulting Services Inc. (Nevada), J and J Purchasing LLC, Roland Tanner, Larry Jeffrey, Jason Jenne, and Christopher Madsen; and Relief Defendants The Judd Irrevocable Trust, PAJ Consulting Inc., BJ Holdings LLC, ACAC LLC, Anthony Alberto, and Monty Crew, LLC did not appear at the parties' scheduled Rule 26(f) conference on January 6, 2023. Following the parties' conference, counsel for the SEC circulated the proposed Joint Report to all defendants who have appeared in this action. As of this date, none of these defendants has responded to or objected to the SEC's position as set forth herein.

Event	Proposal
Discovery Cut-Off	February 9, 2024
Exchange of Initial Expert Reports	October 9, 2023
Exchange of Rebuttal Expert Reports	November 8, 2023

C. Electronic Discovery

The Parties agree that it is too early to determine whether any issues relating to electronic discovery will potentially require the Court's intervention. The Parties agree that to the extent possible, documents will be produced electronically in a form compatible with the Court's electronic jury evidence system and in hard copy if electronic versions are not available. The Parties have not identified any proportionality issues to date.

D. Claims of Privilege

The Parties agree it is too early to determine whether any issues relating to claims of privilege will potentially require the Court's intervention. The Parties intend that Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Evidence shall apply to any question of waiver.

E. Proposed Changes to Limitations on Discovery

Given the complexity of this case and the large number of parties in this action, the Parties agree that the limitations on depositions of ten (10) per side, as set forth in Rule 30(a)(2)(A)(i), would not adequately allow the Parties to obtain the evidence necessary to proceed with this case. As such, the Parties propose that (1) the SEC be permitted to take a total of 20 depositions, and (2) each Defendant group (defined as any Defendants that have common ownership with any other Defendants) be permitted to take up to 5 depositions. The Parties agree to coordinate and meet and confer in good faith to ensure that any deposition taken is necessary to obtain evidence for a party's claims and defenses, and the Parties do not presently expect to exhaust the number of depositions proposed here. The Parties also agree to coordinate and meet and confer in good faith to ensure that, where feasible, a Party taking a deposition will allow sufficient time during the deposition, in conformance with Rule 30(d)(1), for any other Party to examine the deponent without having to separately notice the same witness.

F. Other Scheduling Orders the Court Should Issue

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1, the parties respectfully request that the Court also issue orders regarding the filing of dispositive motions and for a Joint Pretrial Order, as follows:

Event	Proposal
Last Day to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties	November 13, 2023 (90 days prior to the
	Parties' proposed Discovery Cut-Off)
Dispositive Motion Cut-Off	March 11, 2024 (30 days following the
	Parties' proposed Discovery Cut-Off)
Joint Pretrial Order (Rule 26(a)(3) disclosures	April 10, 2024 (30 days following the Parties'
shall be included in the Pretrial Order)	proposed Dispositive Motion Cut-Off) If
	dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for
	filing the joint pre-trial order will be
	suspended until 30 days after the decision on
	the dispositive motions or further court order.

II. ADDITIONAL MATTERS COVERED BY LOCAL RULE 26-1

A. Alternative Case Resolution

The Parties have considered consenting to trial by a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73. The Parties do not consent to having a magistrate judge preside over this action for all purposes, including trial. The parties have also considered the use of the short trial program (General Order 2013-1), and do not consider this an appropriate case for that program.

B. Alternative Dispute Resolution

The Parties have conferred about alternative dispute resolution and request an early settlement conference with the assigned magistrate judge.

C. Electronic Evidence

The Parties have discussed electronic presentation of evidence to the jury for purposes of jury deliberations. As set forth above, the parties have agreed to the extent possible to produce

documents electronically in a form compatible with the Court's electronic jury evidence display 1 system and in hard copy if electronic versions are not available. 2 D. **Other Issues** 3 The parties have not identified any other issues at this time. 4 5 Respectfully submitted,² 6 7 Dated: January 20, 2023 8 /s/ Casey R. Fronk 9 Casey R. Fronk 10 Michael E. Welsh Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 Securities and Exchange Commission 12 Dated: January 20, 2023 13 /s/ David C. Clukey David C. Clukey 14 JACKSON WHITE, PC 15 40 North Center, Suite 200 Mesa, AZ 85201 16 dclukey@jacksonwhitelaw.com 17 Attorney for Defendants Seth Johnson and Cameron Rohner 18 Dated: January 20, 2023 19 /s/ David O'Toole 20 David O'Toole **BRAGANCA LAW LLC** 21 5250 Old Orchard Road, Suite 300 22 Skokie, IL 60077 david@secdefenseattorney.com 23 Attorney for Defendant Richard R. Madsen 24 25 26 27 ² The following counsel have consented to the addition of their electronic signature on the 28 document. To date, no Party has objected to the content of this document.

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 429 Filed 01/20/23 Page 6 of 8

1	Dated: January 20, 2023	
2	,	/s/ Marc P. Cook
		Marc P. Cook
3		COOK AND KELESIS, LTD.
4		517 S. 9th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101
5		mcook@bckltd.com
6		Attorney for Defendant Mark A. Murphy
	Dated: January 20, 2023	
7		/s/ Lance A. Maningo
8		MANINGO LAW
9		400 S. 4th Street Suite 650
10		Las Vegas, NV 89101
11		lance@maningolaw.com
12		Attorney for Defendant Denny Seybert and Relief Defendant Rocking Horse Properties,
13		LLC
14	IT IS SO ORDERED	
15		
16		
17	DATED:	
18		
19		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on the 20th day of January, 2023, I caused JOINT RULE 26(f) 2 REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN; PROPOSED ORDER to be served to all parties 3 4 entitled to service through the Court's ECF system and to the following individuals by the means 5 indicated below: 6 7 U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, to: 8 Matthew Wade Beasley and 9 Beasley Law Group PC and 10 PAJ Consulting, Inc. (as Registered Agent) Nevada Southern Detention Center 11 2190 East Mesquite Avenue Pahrump, NV 89060 12 13 Jason M. Jongeward and JL2 Investments, LLC 14 Washington, UT 15 16 Warren Rosegreen and Triple Threat Basketball, LLC 17 Henderson, NV 18 19 Jeffrey Judd 20 Las Vegas, NV - and -21 22 Henderson, NV 23 Jason A. Jenne 24 Las Vegas, NV 25 26 By email to the following: 27 Anthony Michael Alberto, Jr. and Monty Crew, LLC 28

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 429 Filed 01/20/23 Page 8 of 8