Defendant Christopher R. Humphries and Relief Defendant CJ Investments, LLC
 (collectively "Humphries"), by and through their attorneys, Peter S. Christiansen, Esq. and Keely
 P. Chippoletti, Esq. of Christiansen Trial Lawyers, hereby submit their Answer to the Amended
 Complaint as follows:

In answering Paragraph 1, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with
respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an
opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

8 2. In answering Paragraph 2, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with
9 respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an
10 opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

3. In answering Paragraph 3, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

4. In answering Paragraph 4, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with
respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an
opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

5. In answering Paragraph 5, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

19 6. In answering Paragraph 6, Humphries admits that on March 3, 2022, agents from
20 the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") executed a search warrant at his home. Humphries is
21 without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining
22 allegations and therefore denies same.

7. In answering Paragraph 7, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with
respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an
opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

- 26 ////
- 27 ||///
- 28 ////

11

12

16

17

18

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. In answering Paragraph 8, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein
call for a legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

9. In answering Paragraph 9, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein
call for a legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

8 10. In answering Paragraph 10, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein
9 call for a legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
10 therefore, denies.

11. In answering Paragraph 11, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for a legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

14 12. In answering Paragraph 12, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
15 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
16 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.
17 Further, the allegations in Paragraph 12 call for a legal analysis and conclusion, to which
18 Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

DEFENDANTS

13. 20 In answering Paragraph 13, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 21 22 14. In answering Paragraph 14, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 23 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 24 15. In answering Paragraph 15, Humphries admits he is a resident of Henderson, 25 Nevada and was the managing member of CJ Investments LLC. Humphries asserts his Fifth 26 Amendment privilege with respect to the remaining allegations.

16. In answering Paragraph 16, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

1

11

12

13

1 17. In answering Paragraph 17, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 2 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 3 18. In answering Paragraph 18, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 4 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 5 19. In answering Paragraph 19, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 6 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

7 20. In answering Paragraph 20, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 8 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

9 In answering Paragraph 21, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 21. 10 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 22. In answering Paragraph 22, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 11

12 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 23. In answering Paragraph 23, Humphries is without sufficient information to form

an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 24. In answering Paragraph 24, Humphries is without sufficient information to form

an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 25. In answering Paragraph 25, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

19 26. In answering Paragraph 26, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 20 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

27. In answering Paragraph 27, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

23 28. In answering Paragraph 28, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 24 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 25 29. In answering Paragraph 29, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 26 27 30. In answering Paragraph 30, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 28 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

1 31. In answering Paragraph 31, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 2 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 3

RELIEF DEFENDANTS

4 32. In answering Paragraph 32, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 5 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 6 33. In answering Paragraph 33, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 7 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 8 34. In answering Paragraph 34, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 9 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 10 35. In answering Paragraph 35, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 11 12 36. In answering Paragraph 36, Humphries admits CJ Investments, LLC was a Nevada

limited liability company formed in 2019 with its principal place of business in Henderson, 13 14 Nevada, and that he and Jessica Humphries were both managing members. Humphries asserts his 15 Fifth Amendment privilege with respect to the remaining allegations.

16 37. In answering Paragraph 37, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 17 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

18 38. In answering Paragraph 38, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 19 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

20 39. In answering Paragraph 39, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 21 22 40. In answering Paragraph 40, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 23 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 24 41. In answering Paragraph 41, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 25 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 42. In answering Paragraph 42, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 26 27 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 28 ///

CHRISTIANSEN

1

FACTS

43. In answering Paragraph 43, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

5 44. In answering Paragraph 44, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
6 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

7 45. In answering Paragraph 45, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
8 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

9 46. In answering Paragraph 46, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
10 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
11 47. In answering Paragraph 47, Humphries is without sufficient information to form

12 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
13 48. In answering Paragraph 48, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege

with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

49. In answering Paragraph 49, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

50. In answering Paragraph 50, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege.

20 51. In answering Paragraph 51, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
21 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
22 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

52. In answering Paragraph 52, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege.

53. In answering Paragraph 53, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

27

16

17

18

19

23

54. In answering Paragraph 54, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

4 55. In answering Paragraph 55, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
5 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
6 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

56. In answering Paragraph 56, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

57. In answering Paragraph 57, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

13 58. In answering Paragraph 58, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
14 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same,
15 except Humphries admits that documents titled "Exhibit B: Example of Investor Agreement" and
16 "Exhibit C: Example of Buyer Agreement" were attached to the Amended Complaint.

59. In answering Paragraph 59, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same, except Humphries admits that a document titled "Exhibit D: Confidential Private Placement Memorandum ('PPM')" was attached to the Amended Complaint.

60. In answering Paragraph 60, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege.

61. In answering Paragraph 61, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

62. In answering Paragraph 62, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

In answering Paragraph 63, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

64. In answering Paragraph 64, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
65. In answering Paragraph 65, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
66. In answering Paragraph 66, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

10 67. In answering Paragraph 67, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
11 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

68. In answering Paragraph 68, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege.

69. In answering Paragraph 69, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

70. In answering Paragraph 70, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

18 71. In answering Paragraph 71, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
19 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

20 72. In answering Paragraph 72, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 21 22 73. In answering Paragraph 73, Humphries is without sufficient information to form 23 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same. 24 74. In answering Paragraph 74, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege 25 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same. 26

- 28

27

CHRISTIANSEN

TRIAL LAWYERS

12

16

75. In answering Paragraph 75, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

4 76. In answering Paragraph 76, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
5 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
6 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

7 77. In answering Paragraph 77, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
8 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

9 78. In answering Paragraph 78, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
10 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
11 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

12 79. In answering Paragraph 79, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
13 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
14 80. In answering Paragraph 80, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
15 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

81. In answering Paragraph 81, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege.

82. In answering Paragraph 82, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

19 83. In answering Paragraph 83, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
20 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

84. In answering Paragraph 84, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

85. In answering Paragraph 85, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
86. In answering Paragraph 86, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
87. In answering Paragraph 87, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
87. In answering Paragraph 87, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

16

17

18

21

1 88. In answering Paragraph 88, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 2 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
 3 89. In answering Paragraph 89, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 4 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
 90. In answering Paragraph 90, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

91. In answering Paragraph 91, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

9 92. In answering Paragraph 92, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

12 93. In answering Paragraph 93, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
13 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
14 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

94. In answering Paragraph 94, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
95. In answering Paragraph 95, Humphries is without sufficient information to form

an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

19 96. In answering Paragraph 96, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
20 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

97. In answering Paragraph 97, Humphries is without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

98. In answering Paragraph 98, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
99. In answering Paragraph 99, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
100. In answering Paragraph 100, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

15

16

17

18

21

1 101. In answering Paragraph 101, Humphries asserts his Fifth Amendment privilege
 2 with respect to the allegations against him. Humphries is without sufficient information to form
 3 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies same.

4 102. In answering Paragraph 102, Humphries is without sufficient information to form
5 an opinion as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and (c)] (Against All Defendants)

103. In answering Paragraph 103, Humphries restates and incorporates his answers to Paragraphs 1–102 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

104. In answering Paragraph 104, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

14 105. In answering Paragraph 105, Humphries states that the allegations contained
15 therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
16 therefore, denies.

106. In answering Paragraph 106, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1)]

(Against Beasley, Beasley Law Group, Judd, the J&J Entities, and Humphries)

107. In answering Paragraph 107, Humphries restates and incorporates his answers to Paragraphs 1–106 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

108. In answering Paragraph 108, Humphries states that the allegations contained
therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 109. In answering Paragraph 109, Humphries states that the allegations contained
 2 therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
 3 therefore, denies.

4 110. In answering Paragraph 110, Humphries states that the allegations contained
5 therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
6 therefore, denies.

111. In answering Paragraph 111, Humphries states that the allegations contained
therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]

(Against Beasley, Beasley Law Group, Judd, the J&J Entities, and Humphries)

112. In answering Paragraph 112, Humphries restates and incorporates his answers to Paragraphs 1–111 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

113. In answering Paragraph 113, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

19 114. In answering Paragraph 114, Humphries states that the allegations contained
20 therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
21 therefore, denies.

115. In answering Paragraph 115, Humphries states that the allegations contained
therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

- 25 ||///
- 26 ////
- 27 ||///
- 28 ////

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1)] (Against Judd, Humphries, Jager, Jongeward, Seybert, Tanner, Jeffery, Jenne, Johnson, C. Madsen, R. Madsen, Murphy, Rohner, and Rosegreen)

5 116. In answering Paragraph 116, Humphries restates and incorporates his answers to
6 Paragraphs 1-115 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

117. In answering Paragraph 117, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

118. In answering Paragraph 118, Humphries states that the allegations contained therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and therefore, denies.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Equitable Disgorgement

(Against All Relief Defendants)

119. In answering Paragraph 119, Humphries restates and incorporates his answers to Paragraphs 1-118 of the Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

18 120. In answering Paragraph 120, Humphries states that the allegations contained
19 therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
20 therefore, denies.

121 121. In answering Paragraph 121, Humphries states that the allegations contained
therein call for legal analysis and conclusion, to which Humphries is not qualified to respond, and
therefore, denies.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The relief requested in the Amended Complaint is inappropriate, in whole or in part,
because the Amended Complaint fails to allege a reasonable likelihood of future violations by
Humphries.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

Plaintiff's claims and request for civil monetary penalties are barred, in whole or in part, by an applicable statute of limitations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by waiver and/or estoppel. Plaintiff delayed the filing of this
action and the naming of additional defendants in its Amended Complaint, in whole or in part, to
justify seeking relief for which it would not otherwise be entitled to seek.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or bad faith. Plaintiff delayed the filing of this action and the naming of additional defendants in its Amended Complaint, in whole or in part, to justify seeking relief for which it would not otherwise be entitled to seek

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff has failed to plead allegations of fraud with the particularity required pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There has been an intentional or deliberate decision by public officials not to enforce statutes and regulations against a class of violators expressly included within the terms of the regulation constituting a denial of the constitutional guarantee of equal protection under the laws.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Others similarly situated to Humphries have not generally been proceeded against for conduct of the type forming the basis of the claims against Humphries, such that he has been singled out for prosecution violating fairness and the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

28 ||///

CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS 1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10 11 12 13 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In bringing claims against Humphries, while not bringing claims against others similarly situated to Humphries, Plaintiff has relied upon arbitrary and capricious factors which Congress has not intended it to consider as distinctions within the enforcement process.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Statutory exceptions regrading investments in securities are applicable to Humphries' alleged conduct.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The contracts upon which investments were made are not "securities" under the law.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The answering Defendants have been required to retain the services of Christiansen Trial Lawyers to defend this action and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit herein incurred should be awarded.

RULE 8 STATEMENT

15 Because the Amended Complaint is phrased in conclusory terms, Humphries cannot fully 16 anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action. Additionally, Humphries 17 is constrained in his ability to assert all applicable defenses so as to not waive his Fifth 18 Amendment privilege. Accordingly, Humphries expressly reserves the right to amend this 19 Answer to assert any matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense, including but not 20 limited to those matters set forth in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8 and 12, as may be justified 21 by the facts determined during discovery and/or the outcome of any criminal investigation.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Humphries hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

- CHRISTIANSEN
- 22

23

- 24 25
- 26 ///

///

///

- 27 111
- 28 111



WHEREFORE, Humphries prays for judgment in his favor and against Plaintiff and for such other and further relief as this Court deems fair and just.

Dated this 2nd day of June, 2023.

CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS

/s/ Peter S. Christiansen

By_

PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. KENDELEE L. WORKS, ESQ. KEELY P. CHIPPOLETTI

Attorneys for Defendant Christopher Humphries and Relief Defendant CJ Investments, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS, and that on this
2nd day of June, 2023 I caused the foregoing document entitled DEFENDANT
CHRISTOPHER HUMPHRIES AND RELIEF DEFENDANT CJ INVESTMENTS,
LLC'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL to
be filed and served via the Court's CM/ECF electronic filing system upon all registered parties
and their counsel.

/s/ Keely P. Chippoletti

An employee of CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS