Plaintiff, v. MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN; RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A. MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND WARREN ROSEGREEN; Defendants; and THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ CONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC; STIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C; CJ INVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 INVESTMENTS, LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL, LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL ALBERTO, JR.; and MONTY CREW LLC; Relief Defendants.	1 2 3 4 5	TRACY S. COMBS (California Bar No. 298664) Email: combst@sec.gov CASEY R. FRONK (Illinois Bar No. 6296535) Email: fronkc@sec.gov SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 351 South West Temple, Suite 6.100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Tel: (801) 524-5796 Fax: (801) 524-3558					
Plaintiff, v. MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN; RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A. MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND WARREN ROSEGREEN; Defendants; and THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ CONSULTING, L.L.C; CJ INVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 INVESTMENTS, LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL, LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL ALBERTO, JR.; and MONTY CREW LLC; Relief Defendants.							
Relief Defendants.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220 21 222	Plaintiff, v. MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN; RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A. MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND WARREN ROSEGREEN; Defendants; and THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ CONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC; STIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C.; CJ INVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 INVESTMENTS, LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL, LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL	MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND RECEIVERSHIP ORDER TO INCLUDE NEWLY ADDED				
II							
25	25						

27 || Mo

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") respectfully requests that the Court enter an amended receivership order that includes the eight defendants newly added to the SEC's Amended Complaint. Like certain of the original defendants, the eight newly added defendants—Larry Jeffery, Jason Jenne, Seth Johnson, Christopher Madsen, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen (collectively herein, the "New Defendants")—worked as promotors for the "purchase agreement" investment scheme and obtained, collectively, at least \$40 million dollars in investor assets in violation of the federal securities laws. Extending the Court's receivership order to the New Defendants is necessary to address the preservation and disposition of the real estate and personal property controlled by the New Defendants that are the product of Defendants' offering fraud, administer those assets, and prevent asset devaluation and waste.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The SEC incorporates herein the facts set forth in its contemporaneously filed Motion and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Amend Preliminary Injunction Order to Include Newly Added Defendants (the "Preliminary Injunction Motion"), as well as the supporting declarations and exhibits in support of the same. As detailed in that motion, the SEC's investigation has shown that the eight newly added defendants in the SEC's Amended Complaint—Larry Jeffery, Jason Jenne, Seth Johnson, Christopher Madsen, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen—worked as promotors for Defendants' fraudulent "purchase agreement" investment scheme and obtained, collectively, at least \$40 million dollars in investor assets in violation of the federal securities laws.

Additionally, and as detailed in that motion, the SEC's investigation has determined that the New Defendants control millions of dollars' worth of real estate and personal property that is at risk of dissipation in value if not administered by the Receiver already appointed in this case to administer and manage the assets of the original Defendants. (See Preliminary Injunction Motion at 7–8.)

ARGUMENT

The Court should extend the existing receivership to encompass the assets of the New Defendants. As detailed in the SEC's contemporaneously-filed Preliminary Injunction Motion and the declarations and exhibits submitted in support of that motion, the New Defendants have attempted to dissipate assets, including by selling or transferring assets to non-defendants and by attempting to insulate other assets from collection. (*See* Preliminary Injunction Motion at 7–8.) Much of the tens of millions of dollars the New Defendants received from the scheme is currently held in expensive real estate and luxury vehicles—and as at least one of the New Defendants has admitted, the New Defendants could not afford these luxuries without the millions of dollars in commissions they received in violation of the federal securities laws. (*See*, *e.g.*, Preliminary Injunction Motion at Ex. A, Ostler Decl. ¶ 18.) Furthermore, the evidence shows that each of the New Defendants was integrally involved in a wide-ranging, fraudulent offering scheme that obtained, at a minimum, over \$400 million by deceiving hundreds of investors. (*See* Preliminary Injunction Motion at 6–7.)

The Court has broad discretion, pursuant to its general equity powers, to order ancillary relief—including the appointment of a receiver—to effectuate the purpose of the federal securities laws, to preserve assets, and to ensure wrongdoers do not profit from unlawful conduct. SEC v. United Fin. Grp., Inc., 474 F.2d 354, 358–59 (9th Cir. 1973) ("[Where a prima facie case of fraud has been established] [t]he district court has broad powers and wide discretion to frame the scope of appropriate equitable relief."); see also SEC v. Wencke, 622 F.2d 1363, 1365 (9th Cir. 1980); SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). Courts look to several factors in determining whether to appoint a receiver, including the necessity of marshaling and preserving the assets and clarifying the financial affairs of entities owned or controlled by defendants. See Wencke, 622 F.2d at 1372. Other courts in SEC cases have also considered factors including "proper distribution of investor funds" and "protection of

3 4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

the investors." See, e.g., SEC v. Credit First Fund, 2006 WL 4729240, *15 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 13, 2006); SEC v. Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc., 289 F. Supp. 3, 42 (S.D.N.Y. 1968).

Here, as discussed in the SEC's Preliminary Injunction Motion, and as the Court has necessarily found in granting the SEC's original motion for a receiver, the SEC has made a prima facie case that the New Defendants violated the federal securities laws in support of Defendants' fraudulent "purchase agreement" investment scheme. The Court may appoint a receiver on such showing. See SEC v. Keller Corp., 323 F.2d 397, 403 (7th Cir. 1963); see also SEC v. Current Fin. Servs., 783 F. Supp. 1441, 1443 (D.D.C. 1992); SEC v. Manor Nursing Ctrs. Inc., 458 F.2d 1082, 1105 (2d Cir. 1972). A receivership over the New Defendants' assets is also necessary because many of the New Defendants' assets consist of real and personal property that cannot be easily preserved awaiting final judgment. Because the New Defendants are unlikely to have sufficient assets to satisfy the full value of any judgment obtained in this case, any loss, dissipation or devaluation of their assets would irreparably harm the investors and prevent the SEC from obtaining meaningful remedies to punish unlawful conduct and deter future violations of the federal securities laws. As such, the SEC requests that the Court amend its receivership order to include the assets of the eight new individual defendants.

A receiver may be appointed over assets held by, for the benefit of, or under the control of an individual defendant, as well as over a corporate entity. See, e.g., SEC v. Stanford, et al., No. 09-CV-0298 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 17, 2009) (appointing receiver to oversee assets owned or controlled jointly or individually by business entities and individual defendants); see also In re Sanctuary Belize Litigation, 408 F. Supp. 3d, 650, 663 (D. Md. 2019) (ordering receiver to take exclusive custody, control, and possession of all assets held by or for the benefit of individual defendants that the receiver values at \$1,000 or more); FTC v. Business Card Experts, Inc., 2007 WL 1266636 at *8 (Apr. 27, 2007) (ordering transfer of assets to receiver held individually or jointly by any individual defendant, for the benefit of any individual defendant, or that are under the direct or indirect control of any individual defendant).

CONCLUSION For the foregoing reason, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court enter the proposed Amended Receivership Order, attached hereto as Exhibit A, extending the receivership to cover the personal assets of the New Defendants. (See Ex. A, Proposed Order.) DATED this 29th day of June, 2022. /s/ Casey R. Fronk Tracy S. Combs Casey R. Fronk Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on the 29th day of June, 2022, I caused the PLAINTIFF 2 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S MOTION AND MEMORANDUM 3 OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND 4 5 RECEIVERSHIP ORDER TO INCLUDE NEWLY ADDED DEFENDANTS to be served 6 to all parties entitled to service through the Court's ECF system and to the following individuals 7 by the means indicated below: 8 Sent to process server for personal service: 9 Larry Jeffery 10 Laguna Beach, CA 11 12 Jason A. Jenne 13 Las Vegas, NV 14 Seth Johnson 15 Gilbert, AZ 16 Christopher M. Madsen 17 Henderson, NV 89052-3136 18 -OR-19 Henderson, NV 20 Richard R. Madsen 21 Las Vegas, NV 22 -OR-23 Duck Creek Village, UT (Kane County, UT, possibly Kanab) 24 25 Mark A. Murphy 26 Henderson, NV

Cameron Rohner 1 Gilbert, AZ 2 Warren Rosegreen 3 4 Henderson, NV 5 By U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, to: 6 BJ Holdings LLC 7 c/o Beasley Law Group PC, c/o Matthew Wade Beasley Nevada Southern Detention Center 8 2190 East Mesquite Avenue Pahrump, NV 89060 9 The Judd Irrevocable Trust 10 c/o Trustee Matthew Wade Beasley Nevada Southern Detention Center 11 2190 East Mesquite Avenue 12 Pahrump, NV 89060 13 Jason M. Jongeward and JL2 Investments, LLC 14 Washington, UT 15 PAJ Consulting, Inc 16 21371 Estepa Cir. Huntington Beach CA 92648 17 Triple Threat Basketball, LLC 18 c/o Warren Rosegreen 19 Henderson, NV 20 The Judd Irrevocable Trust 21 c/o Jeffrey Judd 22 Henderson, NV 23 24 By email to the following: 25 Anthony Michael Alberto, Jr. and Monty Crew, LLC 26

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 120 Filed 06/29/22 Page 8 of 8

1	Dyke Huish Huish Law Firm
2	huishlaw@mac.com
3	Counsel for Roland Tanner
	Daniel Hill
4	Snow, Christensen & Martineau, P.C. DDH@scmlaw.com
5	Counsel for ACAC LLC
6	
7	/_/ C D. E 1
8	/s/ Casey R. Fronk Casey R. Fronk
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Exhibit A

Proposed Order Amending Receivership Order

TRACY S. COMBS (California Bar No. 298664) 1 Email: combst@sec.gov CASEY R. FRONK (Illinois Bar No. 6296535) 2 Email: fronkc@sec.gov SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 3 351 South West Temple, Suite 6.100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 4 Tel: (801) 524-5796 Fax: (801) 524-3558 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No.: 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY 8 COMMISSION, 9 [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING Plaintiff, RECEIVERSHIP ORDER (DKT. NO. 10 88) v. 11 MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY LAW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; 12 CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska 13 Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J 14 PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. JAGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY 15 SEYBERT; ROLAND TANNER; LARRY JEFFERY; JASON A. JENNE; SETH 16 JOHNSON; CHRISTOPHER M. MADSEN; RICHARD R. MADSEN; MARK A. 17 MURPHY; CAMERON ROHNER; AND WARREN ROSEGREEN: 18 19 Defendants; and 20 THE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ CONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC; 21 STIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C.; CJ INVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 INVESTMENTS, 22 LLC; ROCKING HORSE PROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT BASKETBALL, 23 LLC; ACAC LLC; ANTHONY MICHAEL ALBERTO, JR.; and MONTY CREW LLC; 24 Relief Defendants. 25 26

27

WHEREAS this matter has come before this Court upon motion of the Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC", "Commission" or "Plaintiff") to amend the receivership order previously entered by the Court (Dkt. No. 88), and for related relief;

WHEREAS the Court has found based on the evidence presented and record in this case that the Commission has made a proper *prima facie* showing that Defendants Larry Jeffery, Jason Jenne, Seth Johnson, Christopher Madsen, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen directly and indirectly engaged in violations of the federal securities laws as alleged in the Amended Complaint, and thus, the equity jurisdiction of this Court has been properly invoked and the Court possesses the power and authority to fashion appropriate remedies and relief;

WHEREAS the Court finds that, based on the record in these proceedings, the appointment of a Receiver in this action is necessary and appropriate for the purposes of marshaling and preserving all assets of the New Defendants that: (a) are attributable to funds derived from investors or clients of the New Defendants; (b) are held in constructive trust for the New Defendants; (c) were fraudulently transferred by the New Defendants; and/or (d) may otherwise be includable as assets of the estates of the New Defendants; and

WHEREAS this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over the New Defendants, and venue properly lies in this district;

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Court hereby takes exclusive jurisdiction and possession of the personal assets, of whatever kind and wherever situated, of the following defendants: Larry Jeffery, Jason Jenne, Seth Johnson, Christopher Madsen, Richard Madsen, Mark Murphy, Cameron Rohner, and Warren Rosegreen (the "New Defendants").
- 2. Until further Order of this Court, **GEOFF WINKLER** of **AMERICAN FIDUCIARY SERVICES LLC** (the "Receiver") is hereby appointed to serve without bond as receiver for the assets of the New Defendants.
- 3. The Court's June 3, 2022 Order Appointing Receiver (Dkt. No. 88) (herein, June 3, 2022 Receivership Order) is amended, such that the personal assets of the New Defendants are hereby included as "Receivership Property" and "Receivership Estate" as defined and ordered in the June 3, 2022 Receivership Order; and the New Defendants are hereby included as the "Individual Receivership Defendants" and "Receivership Defendants" as defined and ordered in the June 3, 2022 Receivership Order. The New Defendants shall have the same obligations and duties as the Individual Receivership Defendants in the June 3, 2022 Order, except that the deadlines in Section II, paragraphs 9, 10, and 11 of the June 3, 2022 Order shall begin to run for the New Defendants upon the date of entry of this Order.

IT	TC	co	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	DΝ	TD	ED.
11	10	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{U}$	v	Nυ	\mathbf{r}	ED.

Date:	
	CRISTINA D. SILVA
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY Document 120-1 Filed 06/29/22 Page 5 of 5