

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Securities and Exchange Commission,

Case No. 2:22-cv-00612-CDS-EJY

Plaintiff

**Order Granting the Receiver’s Motion for
An Order Authorizing Receiver to
Initiate Clawback Actions**

v.

Matthew Wade Beasley, et al.,

Defendants

[ECF No. 876]

The Judd Irrevocable Trust, et al.,

Relief Defendants

On March 6, 2026, the court-appointed Receiver, Geoff Winkler, by and through his counsel, filed a motion for order in aid of receivership authorizing receiver to pursue clawback actions. Clawback mot., ECF No. 876. Defendant Jeffrey Judd filed a timely opposition to the motion. Opp’n, ECF No. 879.¹ No other responses or oppositions were filed. The motion is now fully briefed. Reply, ECF No. 880. For reasons set forth herein, the clawback motion is granted.

I. Discussion

The Receiver seeks an order granting him permission to undertake any necessary and appropriate litigation to recover assets belonging to the Receivership Estate, including disgorgement of profits from “Net Winners”² and clawback of fraudulent transfers from non-investor individuals who seemingly received fraudulent transfers from the J&J Enterprise (hereinafter “Transferees”). *Id.* at 3–4. The Receiver contends both the Net Winners and the Transferees are subject to avoidance under Nevada’s Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, so the Receivership Estate has claims against both. *See id.* at 6–7.

¹ Any response or opposition to the motion was due by March 20, 2026. *See* Local Rule 7-2(b) (stating the deadline to file and serve any points and authorities in response to the motion is 14 days after service of the motion).

² As explained in the motion, “Net Winners” are certain J&J Receivership Defendant investors who were paid more than the aggregate amounts they invested in the entities. ECF No. 876 at 3. The Receiver has identified over 150 potential Net Winners. *Id.*

1 In his opposition, Judd fails to cite any points and authorities to support his argument.
2 See ECF No. 879. Consequently, Judd consents to the Receiver’s motion. See LR 7-2(d) (“The
3 failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion, except a
4 motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion for attorney’s fees, constitutes a consent to the
5 granting of the motion.”). Accordingly, having considered the motion and finding good cause
6 therein, I exercise my discretion and grant the clawback motion.

7 **II. Conclusion**

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for order in aid of receivership authorizing
9 receiver to pursue clawback actions [ECF No. 876] is GRANTED.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court-appointed Receiver, Geoff Winkler, is
11 authorized to immediately initiate litigation against the Net Winners identified in Exhibit A to
12 the motion (ECF No. 876-1) and against the Transferees identified in Exhibit C (ECF No. 876-3)
13 to the motion by filing complaints in substantially the form as those attached as Exhibits B and
14 D (ECF Nos. 876-2, 876-4) to the motion.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receiver is authorized to pay the law firm of
16 Greenberg Traurig, LLP for work related to pursuing claims against the Net Winners and
17 Transferees utilizing the discounted fee structure set forth on page 8 of the clawback motion.

18 Dated: March 26, 2026

19 
20 _____
21 Cristina D. Silva
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26