



www.StandUpCanada.Solutions

Canada-Wide Declarations of Emergency

Were the Criteria Met for Declaring these Emergencies? ALL CANADIAN PROVINCES & TERRITORIES

The following article is for general information only, and should not be construed as legal advice.

CRITICAL Information for Business Owners and All Canadians

Given the recent second provincial emergency declared by the Premier of Ontario effective January 12, 2021, have you ever wondered what it actually takes to make such a declaration, if only out of curiosity?

We did. And we were quite surprised by what we found. These are our findings from a purely analytical viewpoint.

Did you know that in order to make a Declaration of Emergency in any province or territory in Canada, that certain “conditions” must be met first? This would make sense, otherwise you could have mass pandemonium for nothing. Conditions are like the “safety” feature on a firearm. 100% necessary or else you could kill someone by accident. However, this did not happen when each province and territory in Canada made their Declarations of Emergency back in March 2020, and again now.

When we examined the criteria, it was clear that NONE of the Canadian provinces and territories met any of the “conditions” to make a Declaration of Emergency. Further, they based their initial declarations on a “**predictive**” model from the UK that was outrageously over predicting the number of deaths in Canada.

In mid March 2020, each Canadian province and territory declared their respective Declarations of Emergency one right after another. Beginning on March 14 until March 27, 2020. Within 14 days, the entire country was locked down. Never before seen in our history.

- March 14 – Québec
- March 16 – Prince Edward Island
- March 17 – Ontario & Alberta
- March 18 – Newfoundland, Saskatchewan, British Columbia & Nunavut
- March 19 – New Brunswick
- March 20 – Manitoba
- March 22 – Nova Scotia



- March 24 – North West Territories
- March 27 – Yukon

On March 17, 2020 when the province of Ontario, for example, made its declaration of emergency, there was only 1 COVID-19 related death in Ontario, and 2 in all of Canada. In fact, the first COVID-19 related death was reported in British Columbia on March 9, 2020, a man in his 80's with reported underlying [health conditions](#) (CBC). Ontario's first COVID-19 related death occurred on March 11, 2020, a [77 year old man](#) (Global News).

Why are we and the government referring to COVID-19 deaths as “**related**”? Because of the way the governments are classifying deaths. By their method and admission, there is no way to know for certain how many people have died “from” this virus. Detailed information can be found further down on page 7 under the heading “**Unethical Classification of COVID-19 Deaths**”. As such, COVID-19 “**related**” deaths mean that there are X number of people who may have had COVID when they died.

With Canada's population of nearly 38,000,000 and only 2 COVID-19 related deaths at that time, all provincial and territorial emergency declarations were excessive, unreasonable, and unjustified.

Why are we reporting on this?

Because there are a lot of red flags and many things simply don't add up. Because more and more people are questioning if the measures are causing more harm than good. Because all Canadians and all business owners are now subject to the **SECOND, THIRD and continuous** waves of unreasonable and unjustified lockdown measures. *Many small business owners simply will not survive these continual lockdowns*. Arm yourselves with this critical information so you can stand up and take your power back.

We will demonstrate to you, by using Ontario as a detailed example, how the Declaration of Emergency did not meet the “emergency” definition, including specific criteria required to make this declaration. Identifying that NO such emergency ever existed - then or now. We include further evidence for consideration that one must factor in when looking at the bigger picture. We end this report with a macro-level analysis for all other provinces and territories in Canada so that you can see for yourselves that the very same unjustified emergency declarations occurred.



ONTARIO – FIRST EMERGENCY DECLARATION

Declaration Requirements & Analysis

March 17, 2020 – Premier Ford made a “Declaration of Emergency” under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act ([EMCPA](#)).

Our Analysis

In order to make this “declaration” though, the following two criteria needed to have been met under sub-section 7.0.1 (3).

Criteria for declaration

7.0.1 (3) *An order declaring that an emergency exists throughout Ontario or any part of it may be made under this section if, in the opinion of the Lieutenant Governor in Council or the Premier, as the case may be, **the following criteria are satisfied:***

7.0.1 (3) 1. *There is an emergency that requires **immediate** action to prevent, reduce or mitigate a **danger of major proportions** that could result in serious harm to persons or substantial damage to property.*

Our Analysis

THEN

- on March 17, 2020, there was only 1 COVID-19 related death in Ontario when the Premier declared the first provincial emergency
- “**immediate**” constitutes the “present time”, not a future anticipated event; 1 death at that date in time did not constitute “immediate” action which needed to have been taken
- 1 death did not constitute any “**danger of major proportions**” in consideration of Ontario’s population of just over 14,745,000
- **therefore, the criteria under 7.0.1 (3) 1. That there is an emergency that requires “immediate” action to prevent, reduce or mitigate a “danger of major proportions”, was not met thereby making the Premier’s declaration unjustified**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is claiming there were 8,986 COVID-19 related deaths but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- (**imperative note:** see the **over-inflated and unethical** reporting of deaths for Ontario under the section “Unethical Reporting of Covid-19 Deaths”)
- 2020 – as at December 31, 2020, there were **4,576** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021 – as at June 16, 2021, there were **4,410** COVID-19 related deaths
- note that on January 12, 2021, there were **523** COVID-19 related deaths in 2021 (or 5,099 COVID-19 related deaths for 2020 and 2021) in Ontario when the Premier declared the second provincial emergency



- “**immediate**” constitutes the “present time”, not a future anticipated event; **4,410** deaths for 2021 or even **8,986** deaths for 2020 and 2021, at this present date in time, does not constitute any “**immediate**” action which needs to be taken, especially when majority of those 2020 deaths occurred in long-term-care homes, AND out of a population of nearly 15 MILLION people, including when the recovery rate is 99.9%
- **legal precedence** has been established in Ecuador on January 2, 2021 in this respect:
 - “The Court found that the president based the state of emergency on a possible **future** risk and **not a current one**, without sufficient, clear and specific information. Since states of exception operate in the face of current and certain circumstances, the actual occurrence of the events was not justified.
 - The court ruled to declare Decree No. 1217 **unconstitutional**”
- the only “**immediate**” action the Ontario government should have taken was to protect our most vulnerable citizens, namely the elderly in long-term care homes, by implementing their annual emergency plans which should have had this plan of action already established (to protect the vulnerable in an emergency)
- **4,410** deaths for 2021 or even **8,986** deaths for 2020 and 2021 does not constitute a “**danger of major proportions**”, especially when majority of those 2020 deaths occurred in long-term-care homes, AND out of a population of nearly 15 MILLION people, including when the recovery rate is 99.9%
- **therefore, the criteria under 7.0.1 (3) 1. That there is an emergency that requires “immediate” action to prevent, reduce or mitigate a “danger of major proportions”, was not met (again) thereby making the Premier’s declaration unjustified**

7.0.1(3) 2. **One of the following circumstances exists.**

Our Analysis

Starts to get a little complicated now; only ONE of three circumstances involving their “resources” must exist under this second Criteria – let’s examine these to see if any actually exist.

7.0.1 (3) 2.i. The **resources** normally available to a ministry of the Government of Ontario or an agency, board or commission or other branch of the government, including existing legislation, **cannot be relied upon** without the risk of serious delay.

Our Analysis

There are several possible meanings – here are two of ours:

1st – that the existing “**emergency plans**” were “**not reliable**”

- did you know that every Municipality in Ontario and the Government of Ontario itself must formulate an emergency plan under sections 3, 6, 8 or 8.1 of the EMCPA?
- and that they conduct training exercises each year in order to be fully prepared for any emergency
- and that this emergency plan is reviewed and revised each year
- **therefore, circumstance under 7.0.1 (3) 2.i. does not exist because they had emergency plans in place that they would have been deemed reliable (otherwise, what is the point in having an emergency plan if you don’t think its reliable), which would have meant that no declaration of emergency would have been needed**



2nd – that the "**current medical system**" could "**not be relied on**" to handle any crisis

- but wait, if the hospitals had not cancelled all surgeries and remained open for business as usual, then this "circumstance" would have existed
- but this did not happen
- in an effort to meet the "**danger of major proportions**" with the "future anticipated" medical crisis, the hospitals cancelled all surgeries, and cleared beds waiting for a tsunami of COVID-19 patients that never came (due to inaccurate modelling – UK and Command Table; all projected "future anticipated" deaths)
- Ontario Hospitals Occupancy (Daily Average %) – Decline Since 2017
 - Dec 2017 – 94.5%
 - Dec 2018 – 94.8%
 - Dec 2019 – 95.0%
 - Dec 2020 – 84.4%
 - Jan 6 2021 – 87.6%
- Ontario ICU Occupancy (Daily Average%) – Decline Since 2017
 - Dec 1-16 2017 – 86.66%
 - Dec 1-16 2018 – 91.21%
 - Dec 1-16 2019 – 83.51%
 - Dec 1-16 2020 – 80.84%
 - Jan 1-13 2021 – 81.60%
- **therefore, circumstance 7.0.1 (3) 2.i. does not exist because the medical system took evasive action to deal with possible overwhelm, and hospitals and ICU occupancies are already below average as compared to previous years, thereby making the health care system reliable to handle any emergency**

7.0.1 (3) 2.ii. The "**resources**" referred to in subparagraph (i) may be "**insufficiently effective**" to address the emergency.

Our Analysis

- again, if the hospitals had remained open for business as usual, this "circumstance" would exist
- however, the hospitals cancelled all surgeries to ensure they would be "sufficiently effective" to address the emergency, of which never came
- hospital and ICU capacity are below average since 2017
- **therefore, circumstance 7.0.1 (3) 2.ii. also does not exist because they took strategic actions to ensure the health care system would not be overwhelmed; their medical system for capacity has been below average since 2017, thereby making them sufficiently effective to handle this emergency**

7.0.1 (3) 2.iii. It is not possible without the risk of serious delay, to **ascertain** whether the **resources** referred to in subparagraph (i) can be **relied upon**.

Our Analysis

- our emphatic first response is, **REALLY?!**



- considering that the "resources" under subparagraph (i) are the 444 Municipalities, the Government of Ontario itself and the 384 hospitals in Ontario – with all of their elected officials, public servants and hospital staff
- considering the yearly review and training exercises of all emergency plans by municipal and provincial staff
- considering the cancellation of all surgeries by Ontario hospitals in their effort to meet this medical crisis (which never came)
- considering the decline of Ontario Hospital and ICU capacities since at least 2017
- **therefore, in light of all of the considerations that all parties went to in order to be "reliable" and ready for emergencies, this circumstance 7.0.1 (3) 2.iii. also does not exist**

Summary and Conclusion

Based on the above analysis, the criteria for declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 (3) of the EMCPA was not met in March 2020 and not met again in January 2021. Therefore, the Premier's declaration was unjustified.

THEREFORE, NO SUCH "EMERGENCY" EVER EXISTED FOR ONTARIO - THEN OR NOW

WANT MORE EVIDENCE EMERGENCY PLANS WERE NOT FOLLOWED?

Retired Lieutenant Colonel David Redman – Former Head of Emergency Management Alberta

Lieutenant Colonel Redman speaks about how none of the emergency plans were followed – because none of the Emergency Management Organizations were consulted. He has written to all 13 Premier's with no response back and speaks throughout Canada, highlighting the importance of how the Provinces should have responded this this pandemic.

- Pandemics happen continuously
- Emergency Management is the foundation responses to all emergencies
- Pandemics are public emergencies, NOT public health emergencies

He gives a full presentation, with slides and provides URL addresses from the Canadian government. He is the co-founder of www.pandemicalternative.org, a valuable resource website.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cKGr5Ks-euM&t=754s>



FURTHER ANALYSIS & EVIDENCE FOR CONSIDERATION

Multitude of Factors One Must Consider – Bigger Picture

Fast forward to our monthly update on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there were *26,001 COVID-19 related deaths in Canada](#) and considering our unchanged population of nearly 38,000,000, this would represent **0.07%** of the population who have died $[(26,001 / 38,000,000) * 100]$. Wait a second! **There is a fundamental problem with the death toll.**

*Incorrectly Counting COVID-19 Related Deaths

***Important distinction** - the provincial and [federal government appear to be continually counting COVID-19 related deaths](#) by combining two flu seasons and combining two years; this is incorrect for the following reasons:

- **the government's tally on COVID-19 related deaths should have reset at zero on January 1, 2021**
- **by not resetting their counter is not only misleading**, but this gives the false perception there are more deaths than are truly being accounted for by year
 - why is this important to know?
 - because you need to compare apples to apples in order to make a determination of significance
 - you simply cannot keep the death toll running; there needs to be a fundamental cut off date so that you can measure its affects; to not have one is misleading
- Statistics Canada has confirmed that they record their statistics on an annual basis, from January 1 to December 31
- As Statistics Canada is the sole source of approved statistical reporting in Canada, Stand Up Canada will provide same statistical reporting by year; to do so otherwise, would be misleading
- **For the year 2020: January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020**, there were
 - **15,651** COVID-19 related deaths in **Canada**, equivalent to **0.04%** of Canada's population; and
 - **4,576** COVID-19 related deaths in **Ontario**, equivalent to **0.03%** of Ontario's population
- **For the year 2021: January 1, 2021 to June 16, 2021**, there were
 - **10,462** COVID-19 related deaths in **Canada**, equivalent to **0.03%** of Canada's population; and
 - **4,410** COVID-19 related deaths in **Ontario**, equivalent to **0.03%** of Ontario's population
- another factor to note is the way the COVID-19 deaths are classified as an official cause of death, proving these numbers are over-inflated – explained below

Unethical Classification of COVID-19 Deaths

In addition to the way the government is incorrectly tallying up the COVID-19 related death toll, were you aware of how COVID-19 deaths have been classified? According to [Statistics Canada, Canadian Vital Statistics – Death database](#), *The cause of death variable in the **death database is classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) "International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems"**.*

So, we looked up how the WHO classifies COVID-19 deaths since they are being referred to as the source of authority on classifying deaths in Canada.

According to their ["International Guidelines for Certification and Classification \(Coding\) of COVID-19 as Cause of Death"](#):



- 2. DEFINITION FOR DEATHS DUE TO COVID-19 - A death due to COVID-19 is defined for surveillance purposes as a **death resulting from a clinically compatible illness**, in a **probable** or confirmed COVID-19 case, unless there is a clear alternative cause of death that cannot be related to COVID disease (e.g. trauma). There should be no period of complete recovery from COVID-19 between illness and death. **A death due to COVID-19 may not be attributed to another disease (e.g. cancer) and should be counted independently of pre-existing conditions** that are suspected of triggering a severe course of COVID-19.
- 3. GUIDELINES FOR CERTIFYING COVID-19 AS A CAUSE OF DEATH - In view of COVID-19 it is important to record and report deaths due to COVID-19 in a uniform way. A- RECORDING COVID-19 ON THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE OF CAUSE OF DEATH COVID-19 should be recorded on the medical certificate of cause of death for ALL decedents where the disease caused, **or is assumed to have caused, or contributed to death.**

In essence, anyone who died from a primary cause of death, like heart attacks, Alzheimer's, suicide, cancer – their death record was changed to COVID-19 **IF** they had tested positive or showed symptoms, including assumed to have COVID-19. *Does that seem ethical to you?*

Want more proof of how the deaths in Ontario are overinflated? According to the Ontario.ca website, their death toll is based on [“Deaths are determined by using the outcome field in iPHIS, CORES, CCMtool or The COD. Any case marked “Fatal” is included in the deaths data. Deaths are included whether or not COVID-19 was determined to be a contributing or underlying cause of death as indicated in the iPHIS field “Type of Death”.](#) **IS THIS ETHICAL???**

The number of COVID-19 related deaths are overinflated, as evidenced from the WHO's classification system the government is using. If you removed the unethical classifications, just how many people truly died “from” COVID-19? Would it be comparable to how many people died “from” the flu each year? Does this make you wonder about the flu? If so, please read further below...

Is Anyone Dying from the Flu Anymore?

The latest data on Statistics Canada is only for [2019](#) which reported that 6,893 people died from influenza and pneumonia (respiratory disease/the flu). The [2018](#) statistics were higher, reporting that 8,511 people died from same cause of death. Why is the death count for the flu going down when it went up on average each year by around 1,200? Could it be due to the unethical classifications of deaths? Our answer is simply, YES.

[The Government of Canada's Influenza Weekly Reports](#) clearly states that the flu starts to take a rapid decline around end of March 2020. On one of their last weekly reports, from September to October, they report *“no influenza detections and no influenza activity was reported across Canada in weeks 39 to 40”*. Is no one dying from the flu anymore? Just COVID-19? Don't you find it odd that the flu is suddenly disappearing? Something people have died from every year **without fail** since the beginning of time. *Six in one, half-dozen in the other, as the saying goes...*

Introducing “All-Cause Mortality”

Do you know what this is? When you understand the vital significance of “all-cause mortality”, you will realize that this is the **ONLY** number to be concerned about. This means the total number of deaths, for all causes in a given period. All deaths, from all causes are added up into one total number for a given period of time. This number is then measured against the previous years all-cause mortality total and from the same time frame. You need to compare these two numbers to determine if there are more deaths now than last year.



When a Canadian researcher did this analysis in 2020, he found that this number had not changed as compared from this year to last! Why is this significant? Because if the all-cause mortality number has NOT gone up, it means that there are NO new diseases killing anyone. The data does not lie. This is the only way to scientifically know if there is a new disease killing people. We were astonished to understand this fact.

Incidentally, when you start classifying deaths by “cause of death”, it then becomes political. A death is a death, regardless of how someone died. When you start classifying deaths it then becomes very hard to know just how many people are dying from a new disease. So, let’s forget about the unethical classification of COVID-19 deaths for a moment. If LESS people are dying from heart disease or cancer now (because their deaths have been classified as COVID-19,) and we have a NEW number of deaths attributed to COVID-19, BUT the overall number of all-cause mortality is the SAME – what does this tell you? Its basic math.

Here is an informative [video presentation](#) of all-cause mortality by Denis Rancourt, researcher of the Ontario Civil Liberties Association, displaying graphs of this data. Mr. Rancourt does a fantastic job of explaining all-cause mortality. It’s less than 20 minutes long, so we highly recommend everyone watch this.

Declarations of Emergencies called on Predictive Basis

We now know that all the provinces and territories made their respective Declarations of Emergency based on an [international predictive model](#) from Professor Neil Ferguson of Imperial College London UK on March 16, 2020. His report warned that **tens of millions would die** in a pandemic that was compared to the Spanish flu, the deadliest epidemic in modern times. Two days later, the US-Canada border closed to ‘non-essential’ travel, and one week after that, Prime Minister Trudeau announced an \$82 BILLION aid package to address the massive job losses expected from the lockdowns.

Predictive vs Reality

Ferguson projected that, unmitigated, **COVID-19 would kill 326,000 people in Canada** in 2020. That would be **0.86% of Canada’s population** [(326,000 / 37,855,621)*100]. As of December 31, 2020, there were **15,651** COVID-19 related deaths in Canada or **0.04%**, and **4,576** in Ontario or **0.03%**. We don’t know about you, but that is a VAST difference and one that can no longer be ignored.

Predictive vs Actual COVID related deaths in 2020 = BIG difference

- Predicted: 326,000 = 0.86% of population
- Actual: 15,651 = 0.04% of population

Ontario’s Command Table – Modelling Predictions

The Ontario government is now using modelling from their Command Table – a group of unidentified individuals whose projections dictate the lives of Ontarians in every sense. These modelling projections are consistently over-inflated, with the worse projection having been made in the fall 2020. It warned that if Ontario maintained Michigan’s case trajectory, by the end of October 2020, we would have over 250 patients in ICU. Although Ontario maintained Michigan’s case trajectory, the actual number of ICU patients on October 31st was 73 or 3.5 times LESS than predicted.

The most recent Command Table projection, which prompted this second provincial emergency in January 2021, warns that “[The latest modelling data shows that Ontario is in a crisis and, with the current trends, our hospital ICUs will be](#)



overwhelmed in a few short weeks with unthinkable consequences,” said Premier Ford. Will these “predictions” be wrong again, Mr. Ford?

Counting the Continual Declarations of Emergency in ONTARIO - 2020

So, let’s say that we would call the FIRST Declaration of Emergency as an act of “good faith”. That our provincial governments were acting in the best interest of Canadians with the information they had at hand during that time. Heck, we could even go so far as to say they were still acting in good faith for the SECOND and *maybe* even the THIRD Declaration of Emergency. But what about the FOURTH, FIFTH and SIXTH and now with continual lockdown for one year at a time now? What about the recent January 12, 2021 Declaration of Emergency?

• 1 st 7.0.7 (1)	14 days only	Mar 17-30/20
• 2 nd 7.0.7 (2)	1 further extension 14 days only	Mar 31-Apr 13/20
• 3 rd 7.0.7 (3)	additional periods no more than 28 days	Apr 14-May 11/20
• 4 th 7.0.7 (3)	additional periods no more than 28 days	May 12-Jun 8/20
• 5 th 7.0.7 (3)	additional periods no more than 28 days	Jun 9-Jul 6/20
• 6 th 7.0.7 (3)	14 days this time	Jul 7-20/20

When it is all going to end?

Good question. Are you wondering like us, how long this can go on for? Seems like the powers shifted from the Premier to the Lieutenant Governor in Council (LGC) (*and you wonder why most Canadians are confused with this stuff!!!*) under section 7.0.7 Termination of Emergency.

Turns out that under this section that the LGC holds all the cards now. The LGC under section 7.0.7 (1) *“an emergency declared under section 7.0.1 (by the Premier of Ontario), is terminated at the end of the 14th day following its declaration UNLESS the LGC by order declares it to be terminated at an earlier date.”*

According to section 7.0.7 (4) *“If there is a resolution before the Assembly to extend the period of the emergency, the declaration of emergency shall continue until the resolution is voted on.”* Huh? Who is the “Assembly”? They are the MPP’s who you elect and who sit in the Ontario House of Commons.

Seems that the Assembly may have powers to END the declaration of emergency because according to section 7.0.9 (1) *“Despite section 7.0.7, the Assembly may by resolution **disallow the declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1** (made by the Premier) or the extension of emergency.”* Maybe give your MPP a phone call if you want the declaration of emergency to end. Seems they DO have more power than they may have been telling their constituents.

Emergency Powers Shifted from EMCPA to Reopening Ontario Act - 2020

The extensions of emergency were passed from the EMCPA to the NEW **Reopening Ontario Act** (or Bill 195) that came into force on July 21, 2020. In case you didn’t know the significance of this, it gives the provincial government extraordinary powers to **keep Ontarians in a state of emergency for one year at a time** under section 8.(2) of the Reopening Ontario Act (rather than approving every 28 days under the EMCPA). How can we possibly REOPEN Ontario if we are continually in a state of emergency for one year at a time now? Was anyone paying attention to this when it was



being voted on? Did your MPP fully disclose these extraordinary powers under this new Bill to you? At some point the declaration of emergency must end. The expressed understanding of an emergency is that it is temporary. These continual extensions of emergency give NO sight in end to the “temporary” emergency.

Why Is There A Second Provincial Emergency in 2021 back under the EMCPA?

Are you wondering why the province declared a [second provincial emergency in January 2021](#) under the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act* (EMCPA)? Most people don’t understand the difference between the first provincial emergency and the Reopening Ontario Act.

The emergency powers under the EMCPA can only go so far and they went to their maximum duration which ended on July 20, 2020. Then the Ontario government introduced the new Reopening Ontario Act effective July 21, 2020 and the purpose of that was to continue the existing orders under the EMCPA for up to a year at a time. The keyword here is “existing”.

The Ontario government declared a new state of emergency on January 12, 2021 so that they can now introduce [NEW measures](#). What do you suppose these will be? Will it have anything to do with the roll out of the [COVID-19 vaccines](#) and possible removal of medical freedom rights, including [involuntary removal and detention at quarantine facilities](#)?

- [Vaccine Choice Canada has excellent source material on COVID-19 vaccines](#) - MUST READ! They are a trusted source in Canada for vaccines. You need to have INFORMED CONSENT before you take these vaccines (including any medical treatment actually). **Please do your own research before you take any action regarding vaccines.**

What was the Point of all this Information?

To bring you up to speed on what date the provincial governments had real-time Canadian data, that the “predictive” model was outrageously overstated and no longer valid, including overblown predictions from the Ontario Command Table. Since we know that the majority of those COVID-19 “related” deaths occurred between April-May 2020, almost 10 months later, the government can no longer keep us in a state of emergency based on their original and current predictive models.

The real-time Canadian data proves this. As of December 31, 2020, there have only been 15,651 COVID-19 related deaths in Canada, NOT the whopping 326,000 predicted deaths. And of that total, 4,576 COVID-19 related deaths occurred in Ontario of which 84% happened in long-term care homes. As of June 16, 2021, there have been **10,462** COVID-19 related deaths in Canada counting from the new year and of that, **4,410** COVID-19 related deaths have been in Ontario.

We did not protect our most vulnerable, instead, **we are overwhelming the PUBLIC**, not the public health care system with these measures.

Therefore, the Ontario provincial government can no longer justify the continued state of emergency because they have had data since April/May which confirm that the predictive model for making the original declaration of emergency is NO LONGER VALID, including their predictive model from their Command Table. Their actions, beyond any “good faith” period of the 1st 28 days of lockdown, are now in fact done in “bad faith”.



CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Ontario](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.

We need to Consider Effects of these Measures

We cannot shut an entire country down, cripple our economy, force mask-wearing on every citizen, poison them with [banned hand sanitizers](#), all in the name of a typical seasonal death toll. Our Canadian brothers and sisters are now afraid of human contact! They have been conditioned to fear everyone, even their own family members. This is just so wrong. We are social creatures - we need social interaction, human touch in order to survive and thrive. The mass propaganda that anyone and everyone could be “asymptomatic” is criminal.

World Health Organization (WHO) declare ASYMPTOMATIC People NOT Contagious

Did you know that the World Health Organization (WHO) retracted their position on asymptomatic people? They now declare they are NOT contagious! But the damage has already been done. Many people around the world, including Canadians, do not know this information.

Why the government never talks about our natural immune system, our [immune response to COVID-19](#) is completely irresponsible and reckless. Our bodies (our immune system) are designed to fight off viruses! Why the government never talks about the 99.9% recovery rate is now becoming criminal negligence.

Testing Positive for COVID-19

But WAIT! What about ALL of the people who are testing positive?

This is totally irrelevant. Why? Because we have a 99.9% recovery rate. The only people who will die with virus related complications, like the flu, are people with very compromised immune systems. Their immune system is already very weak and another hit to it is too much for the body to take. Thus, they die “with” the virus, not from it. The mass number of people testing positive is ONLY another fear tactic! The provincial and territorial governments are using testing as justification for the continuation of the states of emergencies and unconstitutional measures.

Examining PCR Test and Virus

Ok, so now we have been hearing for many months now that it's about cases. I guess they have to focus on something to justify the lockdown as the death count is relative to a typical flu season. Cases, hmm? Guess the mask is not working.

PCR Test – What we Know and Portugal Court Ruling

First of all, the name is misleading. It is not a “test”. The late Kary Mullis, inventor of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) in 1985, did so as a research tool. The PCR is not a test. It is an amplification technique which is great for research, that's it. It is a research tool designed to find genetic material. [It should not be used for diagnosis of a disease](#) as it is



too sensitive. It does not point to infections and therefore, anything deemed “positive” in terms of finding someone infected with COVID-19 is a mis-diagnosis and [useless](#).

Further, it was designed for 25 cycles. Remember this is an “amplification” technique. Think of it this way – this is identical to increasing the scale on a print job if you have something with small print and you would like to read it with a larger font. That’s what this PCR test does. We know now that hospitals are ramping up cycles to 37 - 45 (increasing the zoom) to find genetic material. *You can find anything if you zoom in enough!* The increase in cycles (or amplification) gives a 50-95% false positive error rate. All these “cases”, false-positive or not, are used as continual justification to keep us all locked down, to strip away our fundamental rights and freedoms under our *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.

November 11, 2020: Portugal (Europe) Court Ruling

Lisbon Court of Appeal ruled that the PCR test “*is unable to determine, beyond reasonable doubt, that a positive result corresponds, in fact, to the infection of a person by the SARS-CoV-2 virus*”. Most importantly, the judges decided that a **single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection**. The judges referred to the following publication: Surkova, E., Nikolayevskyy, V., & Drobniewski, F. (2020). False-positive COVID-19 results: hidden problems and costs. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 8(12), 1167–1168. doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30453-7
Fulltext: [www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600\(20\)30453-7/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600(20)30453-7/fulltext)

No-Test Order for Ontario Teachers

In July 2020, Public Health Physician Dr. Yaffe explains the No-Test Order for Ontario Teachers. She admits to a 50% false-positive rate for covid tests – short video clip [HERE](#)

No Viral Isolation

One also needs to consider the fact that [19 Canadian Institutions](#) confirm that there is NO evidence of viral isolation.

- Public Health Agency of Canada, Health Canada, the National Research Council of Canada, Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac), Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Ontario Ministry of Health, Institut National de Sante Publique du Québec, British Columbia’s Provincial Health Services Authority, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority (re “the variant”), Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Health & Community Services, McGill University, the City of Toronto, the Region of Peel (Ontario), KFLA&A Public Health (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario re “any variant”), the University of Toronto, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, McMaster University and Mount Sinai Hospital. These facts were brought to light, thanks to the amazing research done by Canadian researcher, Christine Massey, who filed several Freedom of Information (FOI) requests.



Additionally, she confirms:

- Here are 2 compilation PDF's containing 60 responses from 47 institutions in 10 countries regarding the isolation/purification/existence of "SARS-COV-2" last updated February 12, 2021
 - Part 1: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/FOI-replies-SARS-COV-2-isolation-existence-causation-47-institutions-Feb-12-2021-chrono-part-1.pdf>
 - Part 2: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/FOI-replies-SARS-COV-2-isolation-existence-causation-47-institutions-Feb-12-2021-chrono-part-2.pdf>

If the virus has not been isolated, then what exactly are they finding in those PCR "tests" for which people are testing positive? More importantly, how can they create a vaccine if they have not isolated the virus?

Our Original Prediction on Second Wave

We originally published this article on September 3, 2020. Please read below for [our prediction of the second lockdown](#).

It is very important to understand the information we have shared with you about how the state of emergency was declared. Because all of the provincial and territorial governments will resume lock-down measures with the "second wave" they are predicting this autumn / winter. They will use the same "state of emergency" justification. Except this time, they will justify it with "cases" and not deaths.

Originally published September 3, 2020

Do you think you can survive another second wave of forced business closures? Again, you need to ask yourself if any of these overwhelming abuses of authority, violations to our *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, coupled with the BILLIONS of Canadian tax-payer dollars spent on "COVID-19 measures" – is this really justified with only 15,651 COVID-19 related deaths in Canada for 2020 out of a population of nearly 38,000,000? **This is completely illogical.**

PROVINCIAL / TERRITORIAL DECLARATIONS OF EMERGENCY

Remaining Provincial and Territorial Declarations of Emergency

Below are the remaining Canadian provinces and territories who also declared a state of emergency. **Please take note of the number of deaths, THEN and NOW per province.** *It will shock you.* Also, please read the definitions for "emergency" or the requirements to take such action and decide for yourself if any emergency existed at all. We update this entire document on a monthly basis. We analyzed the provincial/territorial legislation and data on a macro-level.

CALL TO ACTION – Challenge Emergency Orders

We would highly recommend that each province conduct an in-depth analysis, similar to Ontario. Each province needs to challenge their emergency order.

We understand that Alberta has begun litigation on December 4, 2020, to challenge their emergency order by civil rights group [Freedom4Canada](#). *Way to go!!!*

Stand Up Canada has every intention to challenge Ontario's emergency order as well.

Which province or territory will be next?



ALBERTA

March 17, 2020 – Alberta declared a public health emergency under [section 52.1 of Alberta's Public Health Act](#). State of Public Health Emergency 52.1(1) Where, on the advice of the Chief Medical Officer the Lieutenant Governor in Council is satisfied that (a) a “**public health emergency exists**” or “**may exist**”

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 17, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 4,428,247
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “public health emergency exists” or “may exist”, out of a population of 4,428,247**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 2,278 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **1,046** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **1,232** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 4,428,247
- **therefore, 1,046 deaths in 2020 and 1,232 deaths in 2021 out of a population of almost 4.5 MILLION people definitely does NOT constitute that a “public health emergency exists” or even “may exist” and especially when the recovery rate is 99.9%!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR ALBERTA - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

1. If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Alberta](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.
2. Alberta has begun litigation on December 4, 2020, to challenge their emergency order by civil rights group [Freedom4Canada](#). Please support this important litigation!

STAND UP
CANADA



DEBOUT
CANADA

BRITISH COLUMBIA (BC)

March 18, 2020 – The declaration of a provincial state of emergency in B.C. pursuant to [subsection 9.\(1\) of the Emergency Program Act](#).

DEFINITION: “**emergency**” means a “**present**” or “**imminent**” event or circumstance that

(a) is caused by accident, fire, explosion, technical failure or the forces of nature, and

(b) requires prompt coordination of action or special regulation of persons or property to protect the health, safety or welfare of a person or to limit damage to property

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 18, 2020, there was **1** COVID-19 related death
- population: 5,100,000
- **therefore, 1 COVID-19 related death did NOT constitute a “present or imminent” event or circumstance, out of a population of 5,100,000**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 1,738 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **901** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – May 15, 2021, there were **837** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 5,100,000
- **therefore, 901 deaths in 2020 and 837 deaths in 2021 out of a population of 5.1 MILLION people definitely does NOT constitute that there is any “present” or “imminent” event or circumstance and especially when the recovery rate is 99.9%!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of British Columbia](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.

STAND UP
CANADA



DEBOUT
CANADA

MANITOBA

March 20, 2020 – The Manitoba government declared a province-wide state of emergency under The [Emergency Measures Act](#) under section 10 which states: the government may declare a state of emergency in respect to allow any part of the province in the event of a major emergency or disaster.

DEFINITION: “**emergency**” means a “**present**” or “**imminent**” situation or condition that requires prompt action to prevent or limit.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 20, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 1,369,000
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “present or imminent” situation or condition that required prompt action to prevent or limit, out of a population of 1,369,000**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 1,111 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **667** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – May 15, 2021, there were **444** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 1,369,000
- **therefore, 667 deaths in 2020 and 444 deaths in 2021 do NOT constitute a “present or imminent” situation or condition that required prompt action to prevent or limit, especially when the population is 1,369,000 and especially when the recovery rate is 99.9%!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR MANITOBA - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Manitoba](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



NEW BRUNSWICK

March 19, 2020 – Premier Blaine Higgs declared a state of emergency under [section 10 \(1\) of the Emergency Measures Act](#).

DEFINITION: “**emergency**” means a “**present**” or “**imminent**” event in respect of which the Minister or municipality, as the case may be, believes prompt coordination of action or regulation of persons or property must be undertaken to protect property, the environment or the health, safety or welfare of the civil population.

STATES OF EMERGENCY

Declaration:

10(1) When the Minister is satisfied that an emergency exists or may exist, the Minister may declare a state of emergency at any time with respect to all or any area of the Province.

10(2) When a municipality is satisfied that an emergency exists or may exist in all or any area of the municipality, it may declare a state of local emergency in respect of the municipality or the area of the municipality.

10(3) A declaration under this section shall identify the nature of the emergency and the area in which it exists.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 19, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 776,827
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “present or imminent event” out of a population of 776,827**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 45 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **9** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **36** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 776,827
- **therefore, 9 deaths in 2020 and 35 deaths in 2021 do NOT constitute a “present or imminent event” out of a population of 776,827**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR NEW BRUNSWICK - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of New Brunswick](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



March 18, 2020 – the Newfoundland and Labrador Minister of Health, John Haggie, signed a Declaration of a Public Emergency (“Declaration”) under section 27 of the [Public Health Protection and Promotion Act](#) (“the Act”), on the advice of the Newfoundland and Labrador Chief Medical Officer of Health.

27. (1) The minister, on the advice of the Chief Medical Officer of Health, may declare a public health emergency in all or a part of the province where the minister is satisfied that

(a) a **“public health emergency exists”**; and

(b) the public health emergency cannot be sufficiently mitigated or remedied without the implementation of the special measures available under section 28.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 18, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 521,542
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “public health emergency exists” out of a population of 521,542**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 7 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **4** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 15, 2021, there were **3** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 521,542
- **therefore, 4 deaths in 2020 and 3 deaths in 2021 do NOT mean a “public health emergency exists” out of a population of 521,542**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Newfoundland and Labrador](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES (NWT)

March 24, 2020 – The Northwest Territories’ Minister of Municipal and Community Affairs declared a territory-wide state of emergency pursuant to 14 of its [Emergency Management Act](#).

DEFINITION: “**emergency**” means a “**current**” or “**imminent**” event that requires prompt coordination of action or special regulation of persons or property in order to protect the safety, health or welfare of people or to limit or prevent damage to property or the environment; (situation d’urgence)

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 24, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 44,982
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “current or imminent” event, out of a population of 44,982**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 0 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – May 15, 2021, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 44,982
- **therefore, 0 deaths in 2020 and 0 deaths in 2021 do NOT constitute a “current or imminent” event, out of a population of 44,982**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR NORTHWEST TERRITORIES - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Northwest Territories](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



NOVA SCOTIA

March 22, 2020 – Nova Scotia Premier Stephen McNeil declared a state of emergency under [section 12\(1\) of the Emergency Management Act](#).

DEFINITION: (b) “**emergency**” means a “**present**” or “**imminent**” event in respect of which the Minister or a municipality, as the case may be, believes prompt co-ordination of action or regulation of persons or property must be undertaken to protect property or the health, safety or welfare of people in the Province.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 22, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 971,395
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “present or imminent event” out of a population of 971,395**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 90 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **65** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **25** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 971,395
- **therefore, 65 deaths in 2020 and 25 deaths in 2021 do NOT mean there is a “present” or “imminent” emergency, particularly when 53 of those deaths occurred in the same long-term care home (Northwood) in Halifax!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR NOVA SCOTIA - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Nova Scotia](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



NUNAVUT

March 18, 2020 – Nunavut issued a declaration of a public health emergency under its [Public Health Act](#), Part 5, section 40 (available only as a downloadable PDF).

DEFINITION:

“**health hazard**” means

(a) a condition, a substance, a thing or an activity that

(i) “**threatens**” or may “**reasonably**” be expected to threaten public health, or

(ii) interferes or may reasonably be expected to interfere with the suppression of diseases, injuries, contaminants or other risks to public health

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 18, 2020, there was **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 38,782
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “threat” or even “reasonably” be expected to threaten public health, out of a population of 38,780**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there is 4 COVID-19 related death](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there was **1** COVID-19 related death
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **3** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 38,782
- **therefore, 1 death in 2020 and 3 deaths in 2021 does NOT constitute a “threat” or even “reasonably” be expected to threaten public health, out of a population of 38,780**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR NUNAVUT – THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Nunavut](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND (PEI)

March 16, 2020 – Premier Dennis King declared a public health emergency under [section 9 of the Emergency Measures Act](#).

STATES OF EMERGENCY

9 – Declaration, state of emergency

(1) The Minister may at any time, when he is satisfied that an emergency exists or may exist, declare a state of emergency in respect to all or any area of the province. Definition (c) “[emergency](#)” means a “**present**” or “**imminent**” event in respect of which the Minister or municipality believes prompt co-ordination of action or special regulation of persons or property must be undertaken to protect the health, safety or welfare of people or to limit damage to property

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 16, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 156,947
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “present or imminent event” out of a population of 156,947**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 0 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 156,947
- **therefore, 0 deaths in 2020 and 0 deaths in 2021 do NOT constitute a “present or imminent event” out of a population of 156,947**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Prince Edward Island](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



QUÉBEC

March 14, 2020 – Québec Premier Francois Legault declared a public health emergency under [s. 118 of the Public Health Act](#). Certain measures in this Act are intended to enable public health authorities to engage in public health monitoring activities and to give public health authorities the power to take action in cases where the health of the population is threatened. In this Act, a “**threat**” to the **health of the population** means the presence within the population of a biological, chemical or physical agent that may cause an “**epidemic**” if it is not controlled.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 14, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 8,485,000
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “threat” to the health of the population by the presence within the population of a biological, chemical or physical agent that may cause an “epidemic” out of a population of 8,485,000**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 11,177 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **8,226** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 May 15, 2021, there were **2,951** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 8,485,000
- **therefore, 8,226 deaths in 2020 and 2,951 deaths in 2021 or even 11,177 deaths for both combined years, do NOT constitute any “threat” to the health of the population that may cause an “epidemic”, especially when more than 80% of those 2020 deaths occurred in long-term-care homes, out of a population of nearly 8.5 MILLION people, and especially when the recovery rate is 99.9%!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR QUÉBEC - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Québec](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



SASKATCHEWAN

March 18, 2020 – the Government of Saskatchewan declared a state of emergency pursuant to section 17 of The [Emergency Planning Act](#) (available only as a downloadable PDF).

DEFINITION: “**emergency**” means:

(i) a “**calamity**” caused by:

- (A) accident;
- (B) act of war or insurrection;
- (C) terrorist activity as defined in the Criminal Code;
- (D) forces of nature; or

(ii) a “**present**” or “**imminent**” situation or condition, including a threat of terrorist activity as defined in the Criminal Code, that requires prompt action to prevent or limit:

- (A) the loss of life;
- (B) harm or damage to the safety, health or welfare of people; or
- (C) damage to property or the environment;

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 18, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 1,181,897
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “calamity” or “present or imminent” situation, out of a population of 1,181,897**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 562 COVID-19 related deaths](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there were **155** COVID-19 related deaths
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **407** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 1,181,987
- **therefore, 155 deaths in 2020 and 407 deaths in 2021 do NOT constitute a “calamity” or “present or imminent” situation, out of a population of 1,181,897 and especially when the recovery rate is 99.9%!**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR SASKATCHEWAN - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Saskatchewan](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.



March 27, 2020 – The Government of Yukon declared a state of emergency under the [Civil Emergency Measures Act](#) under Section 6 (1). Declaration and term of state of emergency

6(1) The Commissioner in Executive Council may declare that a state of emergency exists in the Yukon or in any part there of “if” informed that “a **war emergency exists**” or if the Commissioner in Executive Council is of the opinion that a “**peace time disaster**” exists.

DEFINITION: “**war emergency**” means the state existing as a result of a proclamation issued by Her Majesty or under authority of the Governor in Council that war, invasion or insurrection, real or apprehended, exists “**peacetime disaster**” means a disaster, real or apprehended, resulting from fire, explosion, flood, earthquake, landslide, weather, epidemic, shipping accident, mine accident, transportation accident, electrical power failure, nuclear accident or any other disaster not attributable to enemy attack, sabotage or other hostile action whereby injury or loss is or may be caused to persons or property in the Yukon

SUMMARY and CONCLUSION

THEN

- on March 27, 2020, there were **0** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 35,875
- **therefore, 0 deaths did NOT constitute a “war emergency exists” or that a “peacetime” disaster exists, out of a population of 35,874**

NOW

- on June 16, 2021, the government is [claiming there are 3 COVID-19 related death](#), but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year
- 2020: January 1 - December 31, 2020, there was **1** COVID-19 related death
- 2021: January 1 – June 16, 2021, there were **2** COVID-19 related deaths
- population: 35,874
- **therefore, 1 death in 2020 and 2 deaths in 2021 does NOT constitute a “war emergency exists” or that a “peacetime” disaster exists, out of a population of 35,874**

THEREFORE, NO SUCH “EMERGENCY” EVER EXISTED FOR YUKON - THEN OR NOW

CALL TO ACTION:

If you agree with our analysis and want to take action, [contact the Premier of Yukon](#) and demand that the emergency be declared terminated immediately. Send the PDF copy of this document to support your request.

PROVINCIAL / TERRITORIAL SUMMARY

Most people are visual, so we are including a summary list of all the COVID-19 related deaths by province and territory. ***This should shock and enrage you!*** Please note that we have left the population numbers the same, as reported for 2020 in general.

Important to note that the government is [claiming there were 26,6001 COVI-19 related deaths in Canada](#) on **June 16, 2021**, but they are combining two years which is misleading; they must be split by year. As such, we have reported COVID-19 related deaths by year.

Province/Territory	2020 COVID-19 Related Deaths Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2020	2021 COVID-19 Related Deaths Jan 1 – Jun 16, 2021	Population
ALBERTA	1,046	1,232	4,428,247
BRITISH COLUMBIA	901	837	5,100,000
MANITOBA	667	444	1,369,000
NEW BRUNSWICK	9	36	776,827
NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR	4	3	521,542
NORTHWEST TERRITORIES	0	0	44,982
NOVA SCOTIA	65	25	971,395
NUNAVUT	1	3	38,780
ONTARIO	4,576	4,410	14,745,040
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	0	0	156,947
QUÉBEC	8,226	2,951	8,485,000
SASKATCHEWAN	155	407	1,181,987
YUKON	1	2	35,874
Totals	15,651	10,462	37,855,621

Overwhelming Conclusion

The Emperor has no clothes!

NONE of the Canadian provinces or territories were ever in a state of “emergency” back in March 2020 when they each declared one and Ontario certainly is not in another declared state of emergency now in January 2021.

NO PROVINCE OR TERRITORY HAS EVER BEEN IN A STATE OF EMERGENCY – THEN OR NOW!

The original and continual extensions to the provincial and territories states of emergencies are unlawful, unreasonable, and unjustified. The continual extensions are a gross **ABUSE OF POWER, FORCE AND AUTHORITY!** *Are you not shocked and outraged with the evidence you see here?*

The wording is very clear – “**present**” which means “**existing or occurring now**”; “**imminent**” which means “**about to happen**”. None of it occurred in the present moment and **none of it occurred in the future**. You cannot declare a state of emergency for an anticipated future event, especially for a virus with a 99.9% recovery rate.



Final Evidence - Lawsuit – Ecuador’s State of Emergency – Unconstitutional

January 2, 2021: Ecuador (South America)

Constitutional Court ruled that Decree 1217 for a State of Emergency declared by President Lenín Moreno on December 21, 2020 is unconstitutional. **The Court found that the president based the state of emergency on a possible future risk and not a current one, without sufficient, clear and specific information.** Since states of exception operate in the face of current and certain circumstances, the actual occurrence of the events was not justified. The President responded that his government will abide by the ruling of the Court because it is "respectful of the rule of law". He further stated that in large cities, the Intensive Care Units (ICU) are "on the brink" and that there may be an upturn in infections in January, for which they have a "contingency plan."

"Due to the foregoing, the Court decided:

- 1. To declare Decree No. 1217 unconstitutional.*
- 2. Remind the President of the Republic and the competent authorities that the measures referred to in Decree No. 1217, aimed at avoiding crowds and mass meetings as well as preventing the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19 identified in the United Kingdom, they can be adopted based on the attributions of the ordinary constitutional regime, even by the COE, as in fact has happened, without it being necessary to resort to the extraordinary powers authorized in a state of emergency.*
- 3. Faced with the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19, the Court reiterated its call to the national government to reinforce, in a coordinated manner, the prevention mechanisms available under the ordinary regime."*

<https://www.eluniverso.com/noticias/2021/01/02/nota/9245869/corte-constitucional-ecuador-dictamen-inconstitucional-decreto>

Closing Thoughts...

Shouldn't we be asking ourselves, what exactly happened to those elderly people in the Long-Term Care homes in Ontario and Québec? Does anything you've read here make you stop and question if these emergency orders are legitimate? Have you read anything that makes you want to stand up and say NO to what's going on?

If you feel compelled to say something, but are afraid to speak up, you are now part of the problem. We know firsthand how difficult it is to speak up and stand out. It is uncomfortable and can be downright unpleasant. But...if you don't take that individual action to speak up, nothing will change. We all need to stop waiting for the other person or group to save us in this situation. *We are always that which we seek.*

Don't think you have it in you to speak up? Think again. You are stronger than you've been led to believe. The revolution you seek is never external. *It is always internal.* The revolution then becomes the revelation of your innate powers.

We believe that knowledge is *potential power*. But **knowledge has no power without action**. And so, our purpose has been fulfilled by giving you this knowledge... the rest is up to you.

So now that you have this knowledge, what are you going to do with it?

