

2024 Street Improvement Intermediate Design Review

1/09/2024

### Agenda

- Intermediate Design Review Stakeholder Roles & Responsibilities
- Project Overview
- Road Project Design Overview
- Updated Cost Estimate
- Milestone Schedule
- Comments/Conclusion

Note: Blue Text indicates updates from participants in review

# Stakeholder Roles & Responsibilities

Responsibility Assignment Matrix											
		Stakeholder Name									
Road Project Annual Process Task Name	Engineering Firm	Road Construction Contractor	Town Council (All)	Town Council President	Town Council Infrastructure Member	Community	Street Superintendent	Clerk Treasurer	Billing Clerk	Zoning Administrator	Marshall
1.0 Prioritization Tool Updates	R	-	-	-	1	R	R/A	-	-	R	R
2.0 Update Prioritization Tool	R/A	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	-	-	-
3.0 Publish Road Project Forecast	R	-	R	I	R	I	R/A	-	R	1	-
4.0 Finalize & Publish Road Project Forecast	R	-	-	-	-	I	А	-	R	-	-
5.0 Identification of Project Constraints & Design Concepts	R/A	-	-	-	C/I	-	R	-	-	-	-
6.0 Present Project Design Concepts & Budget Estimates	R/A	-	С	С	С	С	R	С	-	1	1
7.0 Preliminary Design	R/A	-	R	1	R	I	C/I	I	R	1	1
8.0 Intermediate Design	R/A	-	R	1	R	I	C/I	I	R	1	
9.0 Final Design	R/A	-	R	1	R	I	C/I	I	R	1	
10.0 Project Bids	R	1	R	R	R	I	1	А	I	1	- 1
11.0 Project Construction	R	A	1	R	R/I	1	C/I	1	I	1	1
12.0 Post Construction Lessons Learned	R/A	С	С	С	С	С	С	1	R	1	С
	R- Res	ponsible	A-Accou	ntable (	C-Consulte	d I-Info	med				-
	Responsible:	person who	performs an a	activity or do	es the work						
ł	Accountable:	: person who is ultimately accountable and has Yes/No/Veto				No/Veto					
	Consulted:	person that	person that needs to feedback and contribute to the activity.								
	Informed:	person that	needs to know	w of the deci	sion or action	1.					

### 2024 Street Improvement Project

- 2024 street improvement includes all Lakeview Dr road segments
- Notification of CCMG award received 11/03/2023
- Project Estimate \$1.1M
  - INDOT CCMG \$825,000
  - TOCL \$275,000



#### Lessons Learned

ltem #	Originator	LL Description	Status	Phase	Action Taken	Actionee
1	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Document new TOCL Drainage System components, Road edges, Road ROW and Public & Private utilities on TOCL GIS system	Open	Opertional		Rodgers
2	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Inform Contractors of damage responsibilities & Locate TOCL drainage system components prior to ILP approval	Open	Construction		Hawley Rodgers
3	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Ensure Construction Schedule considers asphalt plant operational hours end at 5pm due to noise ordinance	Open	Construction		Thurber
4	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Ensure Construction Schedule considers if utility (NIPSCO, etc) work will interfere with access to Asphalt Plant	Open	Construction		Thurber
5	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Flags marking utility locations were removed by residents	Open	Construction		Thurber Rodgers
6	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Mediacom did not mark their utility in timely manner	Open	Construction		Thurber
7	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Secure Easements 2-months prior to start of construction	Open	Construction		Rippe Thurber
8	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Identify any outstanding Resident commitments associated with completed ILPs	Open	Design	Meet with TOCL Zoning Administrator upon return to office prior to final design review	Hawley Rodgers
9	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Gather Resident interest in contracting additional work on private driveway & coordinate w/ Asphalt Contractor	Open	Construction		Rippe Thurber
10	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Improve process for locating Private Utilities and place	Open	Construction		Rodgers
11	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Establish an application process for new private utilities passing under road	Open	Opertional		Rodgers Rippe
12	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Restoration should consider using sod vs grass seed due to fall leaf vac collection	Open	Design	Get comparison quote for sod vs seed for final desi	Thurber Rodgers
13	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Geo Grid & Geo Mesh worked well and should be in baseline design	Open	Design	Specify Geo Grid under 100% of road length and Geo Fabric under 25% of road length	Thurber
14	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Road closure signs were moved by persons without authority	Open	Construction		Thurber

#### Lessons Learned

ltem #	Originator	LL Description	Status	Phase	Action Taken	Actionee
	Billing Clerk	Improve coordination of trash/recycling pickup				Thurber
15	Sattison	with road closure	Open	Construction		Sattison
					Consider a One Page Flyer outlining several topics.	
					-Timeline of the project by segment	
					- Proposed detours	
					- When they should expect ulliles to be marked, when	
					they can remove the	
					flags, suggest that if flags are removed before work is	
					completed it could directly	
					impact their homes. Homeowners should	
					communicate this to their lawn	
					service providers who may remove flags for	
					convenience.	
		Consider communicating additional details to			- Swales – why we install them, why they need to	Thurber
		impacted homeowners' regarding the work being			remain clear, and when they can	Rodgers
16	John Wilhelm	done on the segment of road where they own homes	Open	Construction	remove the seeding nets.	Rippe
					Gathering information regarding the	Thurber
		Miscommunication resulted in road & driveway			miscommunication and escalation paths for future	Rodgers
17	Brian Woodward	sloping to the west vs east	Open	Construction	interactions with residents during construction	Rippe
		Consider developing an approach to prevent grass				
		buildup along road edges leading to drainage				
18	Brent Schlosser	problems	Open	Operational		Rodgers
		Consider developing informational and policing				
		guildlines for drainage swales to prevent				
19	George Schenkel	destruction and/or filling with debris	Open	Operational		Rodgers

 Lakeview Drive Core Sample Results – <u>Inadequate</u> <u>Subgrade</u>

		Average Pavement Core Thickness, inches (Figures 1.1 through 1.6 Show approximate Core Locations)				
Street Name	Coring Number	Total Asphalt Pavement Thickness	Aggregate Thickness	Remarks		
Quiet Harbor	PC-1	±2.4	±10.5	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
Quiet Harbor	PC-2	±2.6	±11.25	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
West Clear Lake Dr	PC-3	±5.1	±3	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
West Clear Lake Dr	PC-4	±4.2	±12	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
West Clear Lake Dr	PC-5	±7.9	±57	Brown, Fine Sand		
West Clear Lake Dr	PC-6	±4.1	±14	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
Lakeview Dr	PC-7	±4.8	±53	Brown, Fine Sand		
Lakeview Dr	PC-8	±4.2	±10	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
East Clear Lake Dr	PC-9	±2.7	±15	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
Outer Dr	PC-10	±4	±7	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
South Clear Lake Dr	PC-11	±3.8	±16	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		
South Clear Lake Dr	PC-12	±6	±7	Brown, Sandy Gravel Product		

Right of Way Variables:

- Bridge
- R/W as pavement edge
- R/W established



• Traffic

~10 -12K vehicles 20000 per month affected (Traffic & Radar Metric) 18000 16000 14000 Sum of ECLD\_SB 12000 Sum of ECLD\_NB Sum of Lakeview WB 10000 Sum of Outer Dr Sum of Lakeview EB 8000 Sum of Penner Dr 6000 Sum of SCLD\_EB Sum of WCLD 4000 2000 0 04/01/21 05/01/21 06/01/21 07/01/21 08/01/21 10/01/21 11/01/21 11/01/22 05/01/22 05/01/22 05/01/22 05/01/22 05/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 07/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 06/01/22 07/02/22 06/01/22 07/02/22 06/01/22 07/02/22 06/01/22 07/02/22 00/02/22 00/02/22 00/02/22 00/02/22 00/02/22 00/ 09/01/23 02/01/21 03/01/21 10/01/23 01/01/21

# of Vehicles by Month

- Preliminary Traffic Detour Plan as presented
  - Primary:
    - CR 875 to CR 800 (Stateline) to CR 700
  - Secondary:
    - CR 925 to SR 120
- Preliminary Traffic Detour Plan as recommended by residents
  - Primary: 💳
    - CR 925 to SR 120
  - Secondary:
    - CR 875 to CR 800 (Stateline) to CR 700



#### Design Overview – Technical Considerations 2023 Lessons Learned Influencing Design

Item #	Originator	LL Description	Status	Phase	Action Taken	Actionee
1	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Document new TOCL Drainage System components, Road edges, Road ROW and Public & Private utilities on TOCL GIS system	Open	Opertional		Rodgers
2	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Inform Contractors of damage responsibilities & Locate TOCL drainage system components prior to ILP approval	Open	Construction		Hawley Rodgers
3	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Ensure Construction Schedule considers asphalt plant operational hours end at 5pm due to noise ordinance	Open	Construction		Thurber
4	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Ensure Construction Schedule considers if utility (NIPSCO, etc) work will interfere with access to Asphalt Plant	Open	Construction		Thurber
5	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Flags marking utility locations were removed by residents	Open	Construction		Thurber Rodgers
6	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Mediacom did not mark their utility in timely manner	Open	Construction		Thurber
7	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Secure Easements 2-months prior to start of construction	Open	Construction		Rippe Thurber
8	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Identify any outstanding Resident commitments associated with completed ILPs	Open	Design	Meet with TOCL Zoning Administrator upon return to office prior to final design review	Hawley Rodgers
9	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Gather Resident interest in contracting additional work on private driveway & coordinate w/ Asphalt Contractor	Open	Construction		Rippe Thurber
10	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Improve process for locating Private Utilities and place	Open	Construction		Rodgers
11	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Establish an application process for new private utilities passing under road	Open	Opertional		Rodgers Rippe
12	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Restoration should consider using sod vs grass seed due to fall leaf vac collection	Open	Design	Get comparison quote for sod vs seed for final desi	Thurber Rodgers
13	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Geo Grid & Geo Mesh worked well and should be in baseline design	Open	Design	Specify Geo Grid under 100% of road length and Geo Fabric under 25% of road length	Thurber
14	Rippe, Thurber, Rodgers	Road closure signs were moved by persons without authority	Open	Construction		Thurber

- Full Reconstruction (what it entails)
  - Excavation Depth (18")
  - Reconstruction design cross section thicknesses

Same as last year:

- 1.5" of Surface Asphalt
- 4" of Intermediate Asphalt
- 12" of Limestone
- Geogrid



 Design includes the following road contours: Crown and super elevation





- Drainage Approach
  - Existing Swales



- Drainage Approach
  - New Passive Swales





- Drainage Approach
  - New Drywells







- Drainage Approach
  - Existing Inlets/Drainage (Condos)



#### Compliance assessment

TOCL Road										
Policy Req		Governing Requirement								
3.01	The road s	The road surface shall be asphalt.								
3.02	Project sh	Project shall include all necessary design elements to establish a road segment lifespan of >15-Years.								
3.03	Design sh	all be adequate for Indiana temperature extremes and repeated winter freeze/thaw cycles.	Х							
3.04	Road segr	nents shall include a drainage system that shall have the following requirements.								
	3.04a	a capacity to manage a 10-year storm (1.91 in/hr) event.	Х							
	3.04b	be durable, easily maintained, retard sedimentation, and retard erosion.	Х							
	maximize the use of passive swales alongside the road where sufficient Right-of-Way (ROW) exists and minimize use of									
	5.04C	drains in applications where design constraints leave no other cost-effective solution.	^							
	3.04d	3.04d exist within the road ROW.								
	Include filtering elements (i.e storm drains, sump features to settle particulates, rip raft to slow flow of water, etc.) on all				v					
	5.040	drainage conveyed via pipe directly to the lake.								
	3.04f	require a drainage easement for any portion located on private property.			Х					
	2 04 a	ensure surface water falling on the roadway enters the drainage system in a manner to prevent water and/or	v							
	5.04g	sedimentation from flowing onto adjacent private property lots.	^							
	3.04h	maintain roadway free of standing water following a storm event.	Х							
	3.04i prevent ponding along roadway from seeping back onto road surface.									
	3.04j	3.04j use roadbed structural components which dissipate moisture.								
	3.04k account for all pre-existing additional drainage loads.									
3.05	Road's str	uctural components shall be designed for a maximum vehicular load of 80,000 lbs.	Х							
3.06	Road finis	h asphalt layer shall be a thickness adequate for a mill and resurface maintenance operation.	Х							

#### Risk assessment and mitigation approach

Item #	Originator	Risk Description	Mitigation Actions
		If Construction does not start prior to Labor Day then weather (temperature)	
		may prevent completion of project in 2024 resulting in an impact to TOCL	
1 Tł	hurber	ability to apply for CCMG in 2025	Start construction prior to Labor Day
2 Tł	hurber	If road closures prevent access to condominium garages for extended periods of time then residents will not be able to place watercraft in storage resulting in delayed storage activities and degrading weather conditions	Work with Condominium President to coordinate road closure and watercraft storage activities

### Updated Cost Estimate

 No significant update from Community Crossings Matching Grant (CCMG) application estimate of \$1.1M

### Schedule Considerations

- Recommend starting prior to Labor Day due to longer overall road length
- Coordinate road closure to accommodate weekend access for boat storage in the Condominium Garages

# Upcoming Milestone Schedule

- 1/30/24: Final Design Review
- 2/2 & 9/24: Advertise for Bids
- 2/20/24: Award Project
- 3/1/24: Submit Documents to INDOT
- TBD: Pre-Construction Meeting

# Questions/Closing Comments

#### Attendee Comments/Questions

- Brent Schlosser
  - Kudos on all the hard work that is being put into the road projects and openness with the community

#### Backup Slides

# Annual Process Timeline

January	February	March	April	May	June
		1.2. Perform Annual	4. Update Prioritization		
		PASER Assessment	Tool Following Town		
		2. Update Prioritization	Council Meeting if		
		Tool per Update	Necessary	6. Provide next (current	
		Instructions (see	5. Identify Constraints	year +1 approved in 3.3)	
	1. Gather Prioritization	instruction Tab in	and Recommended	year's Road Budget	
9. Develop Final Design	Tool updates (except 1.2)	spreadsheet)	Approach (TOCL Input to	Estimates to Town	7. Develop Preliminary
per Roadway Design	10. Bidding per Roadway	3. Publish Road Project	Road Engineering Firm	Council & Zoning	Design per Roadway
Standards Document	Design Standards	Forecast	for projects approved in	Administrator	Design Standards
	Document		3.3)		Document

July	August	September	October	November	December
				8. Develop Intermediate	
				Design per Roadway	
				Design Standards	
			5.2. Engineering Firm	Document	
7.3 Council Approval to	11. Construction	11. Construction	Assesses Road Segment		
Proceed with CCMG	(Previous Years CCMG	(Previous Years CCMG	Projects Compliance to	12. Post Construction	
Application	projects)	projects)	Governing Requirements	Review	

#### Prioritization Tool Update

Dreinst	CCMG Year/			# of				
Forecast	mended	Ref #	Priority	# of Vehicles	Designation	Roadway	From	То
(2)	2024	13	27	5	Lakeview Drive-1	Lakeview Drive	Town Limits	132 Lakeview Drive
202	2024	14	26	5	Lakeview Drive-2	Lakeview Drive	132 Lakeview Drive	West Clear Lake Drive
Bu	2024	15	26	5	Lakeview Drive-3	Lakeview Drive	West Clear Lake Drive	Town Limits
arti	2025*	47	26	1	Sand Point Road	Sand Point Road	East Clear Lake Drive	Town Limits
(St	2025*	3	24	2	West Clear Lake Drive-4	West Clear Lake Drive	192 West Clear Lake Dr	Bridge
lan	2026*	25	23	4	East Clear Lake Drive-1	East Clear Lake Drive	South Clear Lake Drive	572 East Clear Lake Drive
ar P	2027*	24	23	4	East Clear Lake Drive-2	East Clear Lake Drive	572 East Clear Lake Drive	520 East Clear Lake Drive
-Ye	2027*	19	23	4	East Clear Lake Drive-6	East Clear Lake Drive	356 East Clear Lake Drive	Maple Street
ю́	2027*	34	23	2	South Clear Lake Drive-2	South Clear Lake Drive	891 South Clear Lake Dr	770 South Clear Lake Dr
		40	23	2	South Clear Lake Drive-9	South Clear Lake Drive	Elm Street	Paradise Point
		38	23	2	Elm Street	Elm Street	South Clear Lake Drive	South Clear Lake Drive
		7	22	2	Penner Drive-1	Penner Drive	West Clear Lake Drive	Penny Court
		35	22	2	South Clear Lake Drive-1	South Clear Lake Drive	Fountain Beach Drive	891 South Clear Lake Dr
		33	22	2	South Clear Lake Drive-3	South Clear Lake Drive	770 South Clear Lake Dr	Buck Point Drive
		32	22	2	South Clear Lake Drive-4	South Clear Lake Drive	Buck Point Drive	Terrace Drive
		37	22	2	Paradise Point	Paradise Point	South Clear Lake Drive	Dead End
		17	21	4	Maple Street	Maple Street	East Clear Lake Drive	Dead End
		20	21	4	East Clear Lake Drive-5	East Clear Lake Drive	384 East Clear Lake Drive	356 East Clear Lake Drive
_		18	21	4	East Clear Lake Drive-7	East Clear Lake Drive	Maple Street	Lakeview Drive
lan		26	21	4	Outer Drive	Outer Drive	Buck Point Drive	South Clear Lake Drive
ar P		41	21	2	Gecowets Drive	Gecowets Drive	State Road 120	South Clear Lake Drive
-Ye		31	21	2	South Clear Lake Drive-5	South Clear Lake Drive	Terrace Drive	East Clear Lake Drive
10		40	21	2	South Clear Lake Drive-8	South Clear Lake Drive	Gecowets Drive	Elm Street
		23	20	4	East Clear Lake Drive-3	East Clear Lake Drive	520 East Clear Lake Drive	Sand Point Road
		11	20	1	Penny Court	Penny Court	Penner Drive	Cul-de-sac
		12	20	1	Powhattan Court	Powhattan Court	Penner Drive	Cul-de-sac
		46	20	1	Rieke Drive	Rieke Drive	Town Limits	West Clear Lake Drive
		9	20	1	John Court	John Court	Penner Drive	Cul-de-sac
		10	20	1	Joann Court	Joann Court	Penner Drive	Cul-de-sac
		29	19	1	Chapel Drive	Chapel Drive	Outer Drive	South Clear Lake Drive
		27	17	3	Lakeside Court	Lakeside Court	South Clear Lake Drive	East Clear Lake Drive
		43	17	2	South Clear Lake Drive-6	South Clear Lake Drive	CR 700 E	Clear Lake Cove
		42	17	2	South Clear Lake Drive-7	South Clear Lake Drive	Clear Lake Cove	Gecowets Drive

### Road Asset Map

