

On Oct 2, 2026, at 12:16 PM, N. N. Light's
Book Heaven

 <nnlight@nnlightsbookheaven.com> wrote:

David,

Did you use generative AI in your writing?





David Earl Williams III

Yesterday

To: Heaven N. N. Light's Book >



Contact got a new submission

Good afternoon,

No, I have not. The only program I have ever used since 2020 is Grammarly to grammatically correct any sentence issues.

Did you refund my payment?

You



David Earl Williams III

Yesterday

To: Heaven N. N. Light's Book >

Re: Contact got a new submission

Thank you for your response. Could you please identify the specific page number(s) and text that you believe constitute "AI prompts"? I wrote the manuscript myself and did not use generative AI to write it, so I would like to review exactly what you are referring to.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2026, at 1:44 PM, N. N. Light's Book Heaven

<[REDACTED]@nnlightsbookheaven.com> wrote:

Yes, we have refunded your payment. We found ai prompts in your book.

Mr. N

President and CEO of N. N. Light's Book Heaven



David Earl Williams III

Yesterday

Cc: Heaven >

Re: Contact got a new submission

Just to clarify, when you said you found "AI prompts" in my book, were you referring to its script-style format? If not, could you please provide the exact page numbers and passages containing the AI prompts you found? Your organization reviewed my first two books in 2020 and 2021, which use the same format, without issue. I'm concerned by the statement that there are "AI prompts throughout the book" when no evidence has been provided. If there are, please provide the specific examples. Regardless of the outcome, I'm willing to consider this a misunderstanding and move past it. However, I would advise against making such general statements in the future without providing evidence to support them. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2026, at 2:50 PM, N. N. Light's
Book Heaven

@nnlightsbookheaven.com> wrote:

Mr. N reviewed your first book. He pointed out some inconsistencies and then it said, "Scenario #1 and #2". If those aren't AI prompts, then it is a misunderstanding. You might want to reconsider those wordings in this day and age of AI writing and AI farms.

I don't accuse. It's an author's choice to use AI. We are firmly against it. We have a book review policy that we do not under any circumstances read and review AI-written books.





David Earl Williams III

Yesterday

To: Heaven N. N. Light's Book >

Contact got a new submission

Let me correct you on something quickly
lol

“Scenario #1” and “Scenario #2” are not “AI prompts”. They are scenario headings used as part of the book’s script-style storytelling format. The term “scenario” has existed in literature, theater, film, and storytelling long before generative AI.

An AI prompt is an instruction given to a generative AI system requesting that it produce or modify content. Simply labeling a section “Scenario #1” or “Scenario #2” is not an instruction to AI and is not evidence that AI was used to write the book.

As you noted, Mr. N reviewed my first and second book, which uses this same unconventional script-style format. I appreciate your acknowledging that this may have been a misunderstanding. I would simply ask that, in the future, allegations of AI use be verified before being presented as fact. I will forgive you and look past this, but this was really ridiculous. 🤔

Thank you.



David Earl Williams III

Yesterday

To: [REDACTED] Cc: Heaven >

Contact got a new submission

With that said, your organization made a mistake based on a misunderstanding and seemingly forgot the writing style I established in the two prior volumes. I consider this matter water under the bridge. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] although I do believe it was issued prematurely and before giving me an opportunity to clarify the situation—which I found unprofessional. That [REDACTED] can never say no to getting my money [REDACTED]. But you chose Scenario #2. 🥁

I will pursue reviews elsewhere and retain all correspondence regarding this matter for my records. Going forward, I strongly encourage you, for the fourth time, to verify such claims before accusing an author of using AI based on a misunderstanding of an established playwriting-style format.

Have a great weekend. :)