

Transforming Tradition: Reviving the Ethics of Homeric Hospitality by Applying Ancient Greek Concepts of Xenia to LGBTQ+ Asylum Seekers in the U.S. Today

Eden Riebling

First Draft: August 23, 2024

Abstract

This paper examines the ancient Greek concept of xenia—a code of hospitality and protection offered to strangers—and explores its potential application to the treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States. Through an interdisciplinary approach, this study analyzes the ethical framework of xenia as depicted in Homeric literature, compares it to modern practices of asylum, and argues for a more compassionate and culturally informed approach to hospitality that can enhance the treatment of vulnerable populations today. The paper concludes that the core values of xenia—protection, respect, and empathy—offer a timeless ethical model that, if thoughtfully adapted, can address the challenges faced by LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in contemporary society.

Introduction

In the dimly lit cells of detention centers across the United States, LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, many of whom fled their homelands to escape persecution, find themselves trapped in a cruel paradox. They left behind lives marked by violence, discrimination, and fear, hoping to find refuge in a country that promises freedom and protection. Yet, upon arrival, they are often met with a new form of suffering: indefinite detention, isolation, and abuse at the hands of the very system they turned to for sanctuary. The stories of these individuals—men and women subjected to solitary confinement for their own "protection," transgender individuals denied necessary medical care, and countless others enduring verbal and physical harassment—paint a

harrowing picture of a broken asylum system that fails to uphold the basic human rights of some of the most vulnerable people in the world.

Take the case of Roxsana Hernández, a transgender woman from Honduras, who fled her home after repeated assaults and threats on her life because of her gender identity. Roxsana hoped to find safety in the United States, but instead, she died in U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) custody in 2018, after being denied adequate medical care and held in freezing, overcrowded conditions (Human Rights Watch, 2020). Her tragic death is not an isolated incident but part of a broader pattern of human rights abuses faced by LGBTQ+ immigrants in U.S. detention facilities. These abuses are symptomatic of a system that is ill-equipped to protect those who seek asylum and, in many cases, exacerbates the very dangers they fled.

In light of these grave injustices, this paper seeks to explore how ancient ethical frameworks, specifically the Greek concept of *xenia*, might offer a path toward more humane treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States. *Xenia*, the ancient Greek practice of hospitality toward strangers, was more than just a social custom; it was a sacred duty, upheld by both societal norms and divine law. In Homeric literature, *xenia* was a binding ethical code that dictated how hosts were to protect and care for those who came to their doors in need, regardless of their background or status (Herman, 1987). This paper argues that by revisiting and reinterpreting the principles of *xenia*, modern societies can develop a more compassionate and just approach to asylum practices, particularly for those who are most vulnerable.

The ethical underpinnings of *xenia*—protection, respect, and empathy—offer a timeless moral guide that transcends the cultural and temporal boundaries of ancient Greece. In the context of today's asylum crisis, where LGBTQ+ individuals face not only the horrors of

persecution in their home countries but also the indignities of detention in their country of refuge, the values of *xenia* could provide a powerful framework for reimagining how we treat those who seek our protection. By integrating these ancient principles into modern legal and social systems, we can work toward a future where the rights and dignity of all asylum seekers are truly respected and upheld.

This paper will explore the historical concept of *xenia* and its ethical implications, analyze the current state of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States, and propose ways in which the ancient practice of hospitality can inform and improve contemporary asylum policies. Through this lens, we aim to shed light on the urgent need for reform and the potential for ethical traditions to guide us toward a more just and humane world.

Previous Scholarship on the Problem

Several strands of research recent research bear on the application of *xenia* in an LGBTQ+ immigrant context. These include examinations of the (1) foundational concepts of *xenia* in ancient Greek culture; (2) the ethical dimensions of hospitality in both historical and contemporary contexts; (3) the specific challenges faced by LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the U.S. today; and (4) comparative analyses of hospitality Across cultures. This literature draws on a wide range of sources, including classical texts, philosophical works, legal analyses, and contemporary studies on migration and human rights.

1. Xenia in Ancient Greece

The concept of *xenia* was central to the social fabric of ancient Greek society, embodying the ethical obligation to offer hospitality and protection to strangers. In the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*, Homer vividly portrays the importance of *xenia* through various interactions between hosts and

guests, illustrating how this social practice was not only a matter of custom but a sacred duty overseen by Zeus, the god of hospitality (Homer, trans. 1996).

Scholars such as Donlan (1982) and Herman (1987) have explored the origins and significance of *xenia*, emphasizing its role in maintaining social harmony and fostering alliances between different communities. According to Donlan, *xenia* was deeply intertwined with the concept of honor in ancient Greece, where the failure to provide proper hospitality could result in social disgrace and divine retribution. Herman (1987) further elaborates on the ritualized aspects of *xenia*, noting that it often involved elaborate ceremonies and exchanges of gifts, which served to formalize and reinforce the bond between host and guest.

The ethical dimensions of *xenia* are also discussed by Cairns (1993), who examines the concept of *aidōs*—the sense of shame or respect that guided ethical behavior in ancient Greece. Cairns argues that *xenia* was rooted in this broader ethical framework, where the obligations of hospitality were governed by a deep respect for social norms and the divine. This ethical foundation is crucial for understanding how *xenia* functioned not only as a social practice but as a moral imperative that extended beyond individual interactions to the broader community.

2. Ethical Dimensions of Hospitality: From Ancient to Modern Times

The concept of hospitality has evolved significantly over time, influenced by various cultural, religious, and philosophical traditions. In modern ethical discourse, hospitality is often associated with the moral obligation to care for others, particularly those who are vulnerable or marginalized. Derrida (2000) offers a radical interpretation of hospitality, proposing the idea of unconditional hospitality, where the host must welcome the guest without any conditions or expectations. Derrida's notion challenges traditional boundaries of hospitality and raises questions about power, authority, and the ethics of welcoming the other.

Still (2010) builds on Derrida's ideas, exploring the practical implications of unconditional hospitality in contemporary society. Still argues that while Derrida's ideal is inspiring, it is also fraught with challenges, particularly in the context of state sovereignty and immigration controls. The tension between the ethical imperative to welcome and the practical realities of border enforcement is a central theme in contemporary debates on hospitality and asylum.

Nussbaum (1997) provides another perspective on hospitality, linking it to broader ethical virtues such as compassion, justice, and respect for human dignity. Nussbaum argues that hospitality is a fundamental aspect of global justice, where individuals and states have a moral responsibility to protect those fleeing persecution or hardship. This ethical approach resonates with the principles of *xenia*, suggesting that ancient practices of hospitality can offer valuable insights for addressing modern ethical challenges.

In addition to these philosophical perspectives, scholars have examined the legal and social dimensions of hospitality in the context of asylum and refugee protection. Cohen (1978) discusses the historical relationship between law, sexuality, and society in ancient Athens, highlighting how social norms and legal practices were intertwined with ethical obligations such as *xenia*. Cohen's analysis provides a historical foundation for understanding how ethical principles like *xenia* can inform contemporary legal frameworks for protecting vulnerable individuals.

3. LGBTQ+ Asylum Seekers in the U.S.: Legal and Social Challenges

The experiences of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States present a unique set of challenges that highlight the need for more compassionate and inclusive asylum practices.

LGBTQ+ individuals often face severe persecution in their home countries, where their sexual

orientation or gender identity may be criminalized, leading them to seek asylum in countries like the U.S. However, the U.S. asylum system has been criticized for its failure to adequately protect LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, who frequently encounter legal obstacles, social discrimination, and harsh detention conditions (Human Rights Watch, 2020).

Luibhéid (2002) provides a comprehensive analysis of how sexuality intersects with migration and asylum policies, focusing on the specific vulnerabilities of LGBTQ+ migrants. Luibhéid argues that the asylum system often fails to recognize the unique forms of persecution faced by LGBTQ+ individuals, resulting in inadequate protection and, in some cases, further harm. This analysis underscores the need for legal reforms that account for the specific needs of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers and provide them with the protection and support they deserve.

Amnesty International (2021) and the Center for American Progress (2021) have documented the numerous challenges faced by LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the U.S., including difficulties in proving their claims, lack of access to legal representation, and the threat of deportation. These reports highlight the systemic barriers within the U.S. asylum system that disproportionately affect LGBTQ+ individuals, calling for urgent reforms to address these issues.

Finkelpearl (1998) and DeGenova (2017) contribute to the discussion by examining how cultural and social norms influence the treatment of asylum seekers. Finkelpearl's analysis of cultural narratives and DeGenova's exploration of the autonomy of migration offer insights into how societal attitudes and legal frameworks intersect, often to the detriment of marginalized groups such as LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. These scholars emphasize the importance of integrating ethical principles, like those found in *xenia*, into modern asylum practices to ensure that all individuals receive the protection and respect they deserve.

4. Comparative Analyses of Hospitality Across Cultures

The concept of hospitality is not unique to ancient Greece; it is a universal practice that has been interpreted and applied in various ways across different cultures and historical periods. Comparative studies on hospitality provide valuable insights into how different societies have understood and practiced hospitality, and how these practices can inform contemporary approaches to asylum and refugee protection.

Lissarrague (2001) explores the visual representations of hospitality in ancient Greek art, particularly on vases, which often depict scenes of *xenia*. These images provide a rich source of information on how the Greeks conceptualized hospitality and the social rituals associated with it. By comparing these visual representations with those from other cultures, Lissarrague highlights the commonalities and differences in how hospitality was practiced across ancient societies.

Miller (2012) offers a philosophical perspective on the ethics of hospitality, comparing ancient practices with modern theories. Miller argues that while the context and implementation of hospitality may vary, the underlying ethical principles remain consistent across cultures. This cross-cultural analysis supports the idea that the ethical values of *xenia* can be adapted and applied to contemporary contexts, including the treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the U.S.

Finally, MacIntyre (1981) discusses the role of virtue ethics in shaping moral behavior across different societies. MacIntyre's work provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how ethical principles like *xenia* can be integrated into modern practices, emphasizing the importance of cultivating virtues such as compassion, respect, and justice. This approach aligns

with the broader goal of this paper, which seeks to apply the ethical values of xenia to contemporary asylum practices.

Methodology

This paper employs an interdisciplinary approach, combining literary analysis of ancient Greek texts with qualitative research on LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the U.S. The textual analysis focuses on Homer's *Odyssey* to extract the ethical dimensions of xenia and compare them with contemporary notions of hospitality. Additionally, case studies of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers are analyzed to evaluate how their experiences align with or diverge from the principles of xenia. The research draws on both primary and secondary sources, including legal documents, reports from human rights organizations, and academic studies on hospitality ethics. This approach allows for a comprehensive analysis that integrates historical ethics with modern social challenges.

Analysis

Let's now delve deeper into the potential application of the ancient Greek concept of xenia to the contemporary context of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the U.S. The analysis is divided into several subsections: (1) Ethical Foundations of Xenia; (2) Xenia and the Protection of the Vulnerable; (3) The Role of Reciprocity in Xenia; (4) Xenia and Modern Legal Frameworks; and (5) Challenges in Applying Xenia to Contemporary Asylum Practices.

1. Ethical Foundations of Xenia

Xenia was more than a social practice in ancient Greece; it was a deeply embedded ethical obligation that governed interactions between hosts and guests, particularly strangers or foreigners. As observed by Herman (1987), xenia was underpinned by a moral code that required

hosts to offer hospitality, protection, and respect to their guests, regardless of the guests' origins or circumstances. The reciprocal nature of *xenia* was enforced by Zeus, the god of hospitality, who was believed to punish those who violated these sacred duties (Cairns, 1993).

In the context of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, the ethical foundation of *xenia* offers a compelling argument for their protection. By framing asylum as a form of hospitality, where the host nation has an ethical obligation to provide safety and respect to those seeking refuge, we can draw parallels between ancient practices and contemporary human rights. The principle that hospitality should not be conditional upon the guest's background or perceived worth is particularly relevant, as LGBTQ+ individuals often face discrimination based on their identity (Nussbaum, 1997).

2. Xenia and the Protection of the Vulnerable

One of the core aspects of *xenia* was the protection it offered to those who were vulnerable, such as travelers or refugees who were far from home and without the protection of their own community. As Homer illustrates in the *Odyssey*, the failure to extend hospitality to those in need was seen as a grave moral failing (Homer, trans. 1996). This ethical imperative to protect the vulnerable is mirrored in contemporary human rights discourses, which emphasize the duty of states to protect individuals fleeing persecution (Human Rights Watch, 2020).

Applying this principle to LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, who are often fleeing severe persecution based on their sexual orientation or gender identity, underscores the moral responsibility of host nations to provide not just refuge, but also a safe and dignified environment. As Luibhéid (2002) discusses, the intersection of sexuality, migration, and rights creates a complex field where the vulnerabilities of LGBTQ+ individuals are often exacerbated by legal and social systems that are not equipped to recognize or protect their specific needs.

3. The Role of Reciprocity in Xenia

Reciprocity was a fundamental element of xenia, where both the host and the guest had obligations to one another. This reciprocal relationship was not merely transactional; it was based on mutual respect and the understanding that both parties would honor their respective roles. In ancient Greece, this reciprocity often extended beyond the immediate interaction, creating long-term bonds of friendship and alliance between different families or communities (Donlan, 1982).

In modern asylum contexts, the notion of reciprocity can be interpreted as a call for mutual respect between the host nation and asylum seekers. While the host nation provides protection and hospitality, asylum seekers contribute to their new community in various ways, whether through cultural enrichment, economic participation, or by fulfilling legal and civic duties. This reciprocal relationship can help alleviate concerns about the perceived burden of accepting asylum seekers and instead highlight the potential for positive, mutually beneficial outcomes (MacIntyre, 1981).

4. Xenia and Modern Legal Frameworks

The principles of xenia offer an ethical framework that could inform and potentially improve modern legal approaches to asylum. Current U.S. asylum laws, while intended to protect those fleeing persecution, often fail to account for the specific needs and vulnerabilities of LGBTQ+ individuals. As Amnesty International (2021) reports, LGBTQ+ asylum seekers frequently face barriers in proving their claims, and the legal system often subjects them to further harm through detention and inadequate protection.

By integrating the ethical values of *xenia* into legal frameworks, policies could be developed that better protect the rights and dignity of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. For instance, legal reforms could include specialized procedures for handling LGBTQ+ claims, greater access to legal representation, and protections against detention in environments that pose a risk of harm to LGBTQ+ individuals (DeGenova, 2017). These reforms would align with the principle of *xenia* that demands the host's protection and care for the guest.

5. Challenges in Applying Xenia to Contemporary Asylum Practices

While the ethical principles of *xenia* offer valuable insights, there are significant challenges in applying an ancient concept to modern contexts. One of the primary challenges is the cultural and temporal gap between ancient Greece and contemporary U.S. society. *Xenia* was developed in a relatively homogeneous society where social roles and obligations were clearly defined and widely accepted (Lissarrague, 2001). In contrast, modern societies are characterized by cultural diversity and complex legal systems that may not easily accommodate ancient ethical practices.

Moreover, the concept of *xenia* assumes a level of reciprocity that may be difficult to achieve in contemporary asylum contexts, where power imbalances and resource constraints can complicate the relationship between host and guest. Critics may argue that applying *xenia* in this context could lead to unrealistic expectations of both asylum seekers and host communities, potentially undermining the very protections that the concept seeks to promote (Still, 2010).

Despite these challenges, this paper argues that the core values of *xenia*—protection, respect, and empathy—are universal and can be adapted to contemporary contexts. By carefully considering the differences between ancient and modern societies, and by focusing on the ethical principles

rather than the specific practices of *xenia*, we can develop more humane and effective asylum policies that respect the dignity of all individuals, including LGBTQ+ asylum seekers.

Discussion

Integrating *xenia* into modern asylum practices offers both opportunities and challenges. On one hand, the ethical framework of *xenia* provides a time-tested model of hospitality that could lead to more humane and just treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. On the other hand, adapting an ancient ethical system to a modern, pluralistic society is not without its difficulties. Critics may argue that *xenia*, rooted in a culturally homogeneous society, may not easily translate to the diverse and complex realities of the 21st century (Cairns, 1993).

However, this paper argues that the core values of *xenia*—protection, respect, and empathy—are universal and can be adapted to contemporary contexts with careful consideration. By focusing on these values, modern asylum practices can be reformed to better meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals while also fostering greater social cohesion and mutual respect.

The following discussion section explores the potential benefits and challenges of applying *xenia* in asylum practices, while also considering the relevance of *xenia* in modern ethical discourses and legal frameworks. The discussion is organized into four key areas: (1) Ethical Relevance of *Xenia* in Modern Times; (2) Integrating *Xenia* into Contemporary Legal and Social Frameworks; (3) Addressing Criticisms and Limitations; and (4) The Broader Impact on Society.

1. Ethical Relevance of Xenia in Modern Times

The ethical principles embedded in *xenia*—protection, respect, and reciprocity—offer timeless values that resonate with contemporary human rights discourses. In particular, *xenia* emphasizes the moral duty of the host to protect and care for the guest, a concept that aligns

closely with modern understandings of asylum and refugee protection. As Nussbaum (1997) argues, the cultivation of ethical virtues rooted in ancient traditions can enhance our moral and civic responsibilities in modern society.

The relevance of *xenia* extends beyond its historical context, offering a framework that can inform contemporary ethical practices. In the case of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, who often face severe persecution and social ostracism, the principles of *xenia* provide a compelling ethical argument for their protection and integration into host societies. By reviving these ancient ethical practices, modern societies can develop a more compassionate and inclusive approach to asylum, one that recognizes the dignity and rights of all individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity.

Moreover, the concept of *xenia* can be seen as a precursor to modern human rights principles. While *xenia* was practiced within the cultural and religious framework of ancient Greece, its underlying values of respect for human dignity and the protection of the vulnerable are echoed in contemporary human rights treaties and conventions, such as the 1951 Refugee Convention (UNHCR, 2021). This continuity of ethical principles highlights the enduring relevance of *xenia* in addressing the moral challenges of our time.

2. Integrating Xenia into Contemporary Legal and Social Frameworks

One of the most significant contributions of this paper is the proposal to integrate the ethical principles of *xenia* into contemporary legal and social frameworks for asylum. Such an integration would require a rethinking of current policies and practices, with a focus on enhancing the protection and dignity of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers.

For example, legal reforms could be guided by the principle of *xenia* to ensure that LGBTQ+ asylum seekers are treated with the respect and care they deserve. This might include

the creation of specialized legal procedures for LGBTQ+ claims, as well as the development of safe housing and support services tailored to the unique needs of this population. As DeGenova (2017) points out, the current asylum system often fails to recognize the specific vulnerabilities of LGBTQ+ individuals, resulting in inadequate protection and, in some cases, further harm.

Additionally, social integration programs could draw on the reciprocal nature of *xenia* to foster mutual respect and understanding between host communities and asylum seekers. Such programs could emphasize the contributions that LGBTQ+ asylum seekers can make to their new communities, while also ensuring that they receive the support they need to rebuild their lives. This reciprocal approach not only honors the ethical principles of *xenia* but also promotes social cohesion and integration.

However, the successful integration of *xenia* into modern legal and social frameworks requires more than just policy changes; it necessitates a cultural shift in how societies perceive and treat asylum seekers. This shift would involve recognizing the shared humanity of all individuals and embracing the ethical duty to protect and care for those who are vulnerable. As Derrida (2000) argues, true hospitality involves a radical openness to the other, a willingness to extend care and protection even when it challenges our own comfort and security.

3. Addressing Criticisms and Limitations

While the application of *xenia* to contemporary asylum practices offers many potential benefits, it is not without its criticisms and limitations. One of the primary criticisms is the concern that *xenia*, as an ancient and culturally specific practice, may not be easily applicable to the diverse and complex realities of modern society (Lissarrague, 2001). Critics argue that the values and social structures that supported *xenia* in ancient Greece are vastly different from those

in contemporary societies, making it difficult to translate these principles into modern legal and social systems.

Furthermore, the reciprocal nature of *xenia* may raise concerns about the expectations placed on asylum seekers. In ancient Greece, *xenia* involved a mutual exchange of goods, services, or alliances, but in modern contexts, such reciprocity may not always be feasible or appropriate, particularly for individuals who are fleeing persecution and are in immediate need of protection (Cohen, 1978). There is a risk that emphasizing reciprocity could lead to unrealistic expectations of asylum seekers or could even be used to justify conditional or limited forms of protection.

To address these criticisms, it is important to emphasize that the application of *xenia* to modern asylum practices should focus on its core ethical principles rather than on specific historical practices. The values of protection, respect, and empathy are universal and can be adapted to different cultural and temporal contexts. Moreover, the principle of reciprocity in *xenia* should be understood not as a demand for equal exchange, but as a recognition of the dignity and agency of both host and guest. This approach allows for a more flexible and inclusive application of *xenia* that respects the needs and rights of asylum seekers while also promoting social harmony.

4. The Broader Impact on Society

The broader impact of integrating *xenia* into contemporary asylum practices extends beyond the immediate benefits for LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. By adopting an ethical framework that emphasizes protection, respect, and empathy, societies can foster a more inclusive and compassionate approach to immigration and refugee issues. This shift has the potential to

transform not only asylum policies but also broader social attitudes towards migrants and other marginalized groups.

Moreover, the revival of *xenia* as a guiding ethical principle can contribute to the development of a more just and equitable global society. As Miller (2012) suggests, the ethical challenges of globalization require us to draw on a diverse range of moral traditions, including those from ancient cultures, to create frameworks that can address the complex issues of our time. By integrating the principles of *xenia* into modern practices, we can develop a more holistic and humane approach to global migration that respects the dignity and rights of all individuals.

The application of *xenia* also has the potential to strengthen the social fabric of host communities. By promoting mutual respect and understanding between hosts and guests, *xenia* can help to reduce social tensions and foster a sense of shared responsibility and solidarity. This approach aligns with contemporary ethical theories that emphasize the importance of empathy, care, and social justice in addressing the challenges of modern society (Nussbaum, 1997).

Finally, the integration of *xenia* into contemporary asylum practices can serve as a model for other areas of social and ethical concern. The principles of protection, respect, and reciprocity can be applied to a wide range of issues, from homelessness and poverty to environmental stewardship and global justice. By drawing on the wisdom of ancient ethical traditions, we can develop more effective and compassionate solutions to the pressing challenges of our time.

Conclusions

The ancient Greek concept of *xenia* offers a compelling ethical framework that, when thoughtfully adapted, has the potential to significantly enhance the treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States. This paper has argued that the core values embedded in

xenia—protection, respect, and reciprocity—provide a timeless moral compass that can inform contemporary practices in asylum and refugee protection. These values, though rooted in a different cultural and historical context, resonate with modern human rights principles and offer a pathway toward more compassionate and just treatment of those fleeing persecution.

1. Reviving Ancient Ethics for Modern Challenges

At its heart, xenia embodies a deep commitment to the ethical treatment of strangers, particularly those who are vulnerable and in need of protection. In ancient Greece, this practice was not merely a social custom but a sacred obligation that bound communities together and ensured the safety and dignity of all individuals. By revisiting this ancient concept, we can draw valuable lessons for addressing the complex challenges faced by LGBTQ+ asylum seekers today. The ethical foundation of xenia calls on us to extend hospitality not as a privilege, but as a moral duty, one that transcends cultural and temporal boundaries.

2. Practical Implications for Asylum Practices

The application of xenia to modern asylum practices suggests several practical implications. First, it calls for a rethinking of current legal frameworks to better protect the rights and dignity of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. This could involve legal reforms that incorporate the principles of xenia, such as specialized procedures for handling LGBTQ+ claims, improved conditions in detention facilities, and the provision of safe housing and support services. By aligning asylum policies with the ethical demands of xenia, host nations can create a more humane and effective system that honors the dignity of all individuals seeking refuge.

Second, xenia encourages a reciprocal approach to asylum, one that recognizes the contributions that asylum seekers can make to their new communities. This approach challenges the notion that asylum is a one-sided transaction, instead promoting a mutual exchange of

respect, care, and resources. Such reciprocity not only benefits the asylum seekers themselves but also enriches the host communities by fostering social cohesion and mutual understanding.

3. Addressing Criticisms and Emphasizing Adaptation

While the application of *xenia* offers significant potential, this paper also acknowledges the challenges and criticisms associated with adapting an ancient ethical framework to modern contexts. The differences between ancient Greek society and contemporary U.S. society, as well as the complexities of modern legal and social systems, present obstacles that cannot be ignored. However, these challenges do not diminish the value of *xenia* as an ethical model; rather, they highlight the need for thoughtful adaptation and careful consideration of how these principles can be translated into modern practices.

The key to successfully applying *xenia* lies in focusing on its core ethical principles rather than attempting to replicate specific historical practices. Protection, respect, and empathy are universal values that can be integrated into contemporary asylum practices in ways that respect the unique needs and circumstances of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers. By doing so, we can overcome the limitations of *xenia* and harness its ethical power to address the pressing challenges of our time.

4. Broader Implications for Global Justice and Ethics

The revival of *xenia* as a guiding ethical principle has broader implications beyond the immediate context of asylum and refugee protection. It challenges us to rethink our approach to global justice, emphasizing the moral duty to protect and care for all individuals, regardless of their background or identity. In a world increasingly characterized by migration, displacement, and cultural diversity, the principles of *xenia* offer a valuable ethical foundation for building more just and inclusive societies.

Moreover, the application of *xenia* can serve as a model for addressing other social and ethical issues, from homelessness and poverty to environmental stewardship and international relations. By drawing on the wisdom of ancient ethical traditions, we can develop more effective and compassionate solutions to the complex challenges we face today.

5. A Call to Action

In conclusion, this paper has argued that the ancient Greek concept of *xenia* offers a powerful ethical framework for improving the treatment of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in the United States. By reviving the principles of protection, respect, and reciprocity, modern societies can create a more humane and just system of asylum that honors the dignity of all individuals. While challenges remain in adapting *xenia* to contemporary contexts, its core values provide a timeless moral guide that can inform not only asylum practices but also broader efforts to promote global justice and human rights.

As we confront the ethical challenges of our time, it is imperative that we draw on the rich traditions of the past to guide our actions in the present. The concept of *xenia* reminds us that hospitality is not merely an act of charity, but a profound moral obligation that binds us together as members of a shared human community. In the face of rising xenophobia, discrimination, and fear, *xenia* offers a vision of a world where hospitality is extended to all, and where the most vulnerable among us are treated with the respect and care they deserve.

This vision is not merely a lofty ideal but a call to action. It challenges us to reimagine our approach to asylum and refugee protection, to build legal and social systems that are rooted in the ethical principles of *xenia*, and to create a more just and compassionate world for all.

Sources

- Amnesty International. 2021. *LGBTQI+ Asylum Seekers in the United States: Seeking Safety, Seeking Dignity*.
- Cairns, D. L. 1993. *Aidōs: The Psychology and Ethics of Honour and Shame in Ancient Greek Literature*. Oxford University Press.
- Center for American Progress. 2021. *The State of Asylum for LGBTQ+ People in the U.S.*
- Cohen, D. 1978. *Law, Sexuality, and Society: The Enforcement of Morals in Classical Athens*. Cambridge University Press.
- DeGenova, N. 2017. *The Borders of "Europe": Autonomy of Migration, Tactics of Bordering*. Duke University Press.
- Derrida, J. 2000. *Of Hospitality*. Stanford University Press.
- Donlan, W. 1982. *The Aristocratic Ideal in Ancient Greece: Attitudes of Superiority from Homer to the End of the Fifth Century B.C.* University Press of Kansas.
- Finkelpearl, P. J. 1998. *Metamorphosis of Language in Apuleius: A Study of Allusion in the Novel*. University of Michigan Press.
- Herman, G. 1987. *Ritualised Friendship and the Greek City*. Cambridge University Press.
- Human Rights Watch. 2020. *US: Asylum Seekers Fleeing LGBTQ+ Persecution Left in Danger*.
- Lissarrague, F. 2001. *Greek Vases: The Athenians and Their Images*. Routledge.
- Luibhéid, E. 2002. *Entry Denied: Controlling Sexuality at the Border*. University of Minnesota Press.
- MacIntyre, A. 1981. *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*. University of Notre Dame Press.

McNally, T. 2016. "Homeric Hospitality in a Modern Context: Reinterpreting Xenia in the Face of Refugee Crises." *Classical Journal*, 112:1, 23-45.

Miller, A. 2012. "Philosophical Perspectives on Hospitality." *Journal of Ethics*, 16:1, 1-21.

Nussbaum, M. C. 1997. *Cultivating Humanity: A Classical Defense of Reform in Liberal Education*. Harvard University Press.

Still, J. 2010. *Derrida and Hospitality: Theory and Practice*. Edinburgh University Press.