1	you added some things in this amended second motion
2	MR. SHEFFIELD: I did.
3	THE COURT: to dismiss. So we could probably go
4	right to that.
5	MR. SHEFFIELD: Yes, sir. The, the second motion to
6	dismiss is wholly encompassed within the amended motion,
7	and there are additional allegations in the in that
8	amended motion to dismiss. Basically, what we have said
9	is that he's charged in the three-count information. And
LO	Count II of it charges that he unlawfully and knowingly
L1	used a computer, on-line service, et cetera, et cetera,
L2	in an attempt to seduce, solicit, lure, or entice a child
L3	or another person he believed to be a child. And that
L 4	he's he tried to get that child to commit some sex
L5	act.
L6	And in this particular case, the statute contains
L 7	specific provisos where it says, described in Chapter
L8	794, Chapter 800, or Chapter 827. That language is not
L 9	included in the information of this case. In fact
20	THE COURT: In Count II, you're saying specifically,
21	right? Because it's in Count I.
22	MR. SHEFFIELD: It's in Count I. But in Count II,
23	it is, it is not so I'm making reference to Count II.
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. SHEFFIELD: It is not referenced in Count II.
	VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	And so Count II, the charging document alleges to
2	otherwise engage in other unlawful sexual conduct with a
3	child or another person believed to be a child.
4	THE COURT: So you're saying that that's
5	insufficient to
6	MR. SHEFFIELD: I'm saying
7	THE COURT: put the defendant on notice?
8	MR. SHEFFIELD: that that is insufficient, that
9	it deprives the defendant the right to know exactly what
10	he's being charged with, because what is, in fact, other
11	sexual conduct? It, it doesn't say that they've
12	eliminated sexual battery under 794. They've eliminated
13	lewd and lascivious. They under 800 827. So he's,
14	he's traveling under, quote, otherwise engaged in
15	unlawful sexual conduct, which I think violates
16	Mr. Harvey's due process rights, because it simply does
17	not sufficiently inform him of what he's actually having
18	defend on.
19	And I, I would note that there is no traverse that
20	the State has filed in this. The State attempted to file
21	an amended information, again, late yesterday. Our
22	position is and I, I went in the court file and
23	checked the clerk's notes and everything that we have not
24	waived speedy trial. We've never waived speedy trial.
25	It's not in there and it reflects it. So we're past the

VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 175-day period of time where the State can legally amend the information. So our position is that the attempt to amend the information is a nullity.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, furthermore, it doesn't cure the problem. Ιf you also look -- the, the language that is in the information that -- done by Mr. Hutchins adds a section, because the language of the statute specifically says, an illegal act described in Chapter 794, 800, or 827 or otherwise engaged in other unlawful conduct with a child, period. And Mr. Hutchins has added the to -- the language, or another person believed to be a child. And he can't do that. That's not, that's not what the statute says. The statute is very specific in its language. And, and we feel like that that is defective.

So the basis of my second amended motion is that the information itself is defected. It deprives Mr. Harvey of his due process rights. It also attempts to charge him with some kind of activity that, that we have no idea. So he doesn't know what he's charged with. hasn't been charged with, with a formal crime under the statute. And Mr. Hutchins has taken a poetic license to add language to the charges that is not authorized under So we feel like that it's well taken and it hasn't been traversed.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Hutchins, first of VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	all, in regards to Count II, there's language that says
2	other unlawful sexual conduct but it doesn't
3	specifically
4	MR. HUTCHINS: We would
5	THE COURT: as in Count I, point to Chapter 794,
6	Chapter 800, or Chapter 827 to put the defendant on
7	notice as to what type of conduct.
8	MR. SHEFFIELD: And there, there was an amended
9	information that includes those, those statutes now.
10	THE COURT: All right. That was filed
11	MR. HUTCHINS: Yesterday morning.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And so
13	THE CLERK: I do have it. They just have not made
L4	it to the file yet.
L5	THE COURT: All right. Great. All right.
L6	MR. HUTCHINS: So it includes that language now.
L 7	May I just respond to a couple of Mr. Sheffield's
L8	arguments while the Court is doing a review on that?
L 9	The defendant in this case was arrested on February
20	the 12th of 2017. We are clearly outside of the time for
21	speedy. There was a waiver of speedy in this case,
22	Judge. That's
23	THE COURT: Where when was it? Do you know?
24	MR. HUTCHINS: Well, the case is set for trial
25	outside of speedy, Judge. That constitutes a waiver of
	VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 speedy. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me -- Mr. Sheffield. 3 MR. SHEFFIELD: Defendant's waiver of speedy trial 4 can't be inferred by fact that he didn't say anything 5 when it got set outside of the speedy trial time. So 6 there has to be a specific waiver and there hasn't been. 7 THE COURT: Well, I mean, I don't remember a 8 specific waiver. And I went back and looked at the Court 9 sheets, and I don't have anything written down where it says, speedy trial is waived. However, we did set it 10 11 outside of, of the speedy trial time period. And, and I 12 know that we had several conversations about it that 13 we're setting it for trial, and we had acquiescence by the defendant and his counsel in regards to that. Is 14 15 that -- you're saying that --16 MR. HUTCHINS: That, that constitutes --17 THE COURT: -- an implicit speedy --18 MR. HUTCHINS: -- a waiver, Judge. If a defendant has not specifically set a -- set the case in the 175 19 20 days, if the case is continued outside of that, then 21 there's a waiver of speedy trial. Otherwise, I mean, if 22 you follow the logic, then, Judge, the case can go for 23 two or three years, and the defendant can say, well, I 24 never specifically waived speedy. Clearly, the statute

says 175 days. Anything outside of that constitutes a

VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

25

1	waiver.
2	MR. SHEFFIELD: He never specifically waived, Judge.
3	And, and the law is, is that mere acquiescence to it
4	being set outside the speedy trial time frame is not a
5	waiver of speedy trial. Mr. Harvey never waived speedy
6	trial, nor did I. So I, I that's, of course, one of
7	the reasons we have the other motion that we've, that
8	we've set that asking for a speedy trial. So
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's address
10	MR. SHEFFIELD: our position
11	THE COURT: let's I understand your position.
12	Let me, let me address
13	MR. SHEFFIELD: Okay.
14	THE COURT: speedy and ju I'll give you
15	another opportunity to speak on that. But let's so
16	let's go back to the amended information now, because we
17	got off on speedy.
18	But do you have any other argument in regards to the
19	amended information? What about the argument in regards
20	to that the count should not contain this additional
21	language, unlawful sexual conduct with a child or another
22	person believed to be a child?
23	MR. HUTCHINS: Judge, I'm sorry. I don't have my
24	statute book down here with me. Clearly, for us to be
25	able to use the statute for these types of laws, we're

VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	not talking about children being used. We're talking
2	about adults that are posing as children. Mr. Sheffield,
3	in his own arguments to the Court a few minutes ago, made
4	the argument that the defendant thought that this person
5	was someone who was 14 years old.
6	THE COURT: I mean, wouldn't the defense if this
7	language wasn't in the information, wouldn't the defense
8	be making the exact opposite argument, that they weren't
9	on notice, that
10	MR. HUTCHINS: Yes, Your Honor. That is our
11	position.
12	THE COURT: that, that this was another person
13	believed to be a child; and, therefore, that the
14	information is defective? I mean, it can work both ways,
15	right?
16	MR. HUTCHINS: It absolutely.
17	THE COURT: What about that, Mr. Sheffield? I mean,
18	if that language wasn't in there, wouldn't you be here
19	arguing the fact that, that this was another person
20	believed to be a child, and that's not specifically
21	alleged in the information, and so the defendant's not on
22	notice?
23	MR. SHEFFIELD: We're we're arguing that that it

wasn't a child, Judge. And, certainly, the, the fact of whatever Mr. Harvey believed is, is not part of this VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

statute. So that language should not be there. And, consequently, by inserting language that is an attempt to charge him with a -- with an illegal act, I mean, it, it -- it's defective. You can't just -- Mr. Hutchins just can't argue and put into an information whatever he wants. It has to comply with the law and it doesn't. So our position is it should be dismissed.

MR. HUTCHINS: And, and the purpose of the information, Judge, is to put the defendant on notice for what the State's allegations against him are. And that's clearly what we've done here, to let them know that, yeah, this is what you're being charged with. And based on that, Judge, we'd ask the Court deny defense's motion.

THE COURT: All right. The motion's denied. I'm finding that the, the information is sufficient based on this amended information that was filed yesterday. I'm also going to find that there's been no prejudice to the defendant. I mean, this defendant was on notice of the type of sexual conduct, even by virtue of the fact of what's contained in Count I, and those chapters are specifically there in Count I. And these two counts generally run together; we see them often at the same time.

And I'm not going to find that there's any prejudice just simply because there wasn't any Chapter 794, Chapter VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

800, or Chapter 827 specifically stated. But that's been corrected at this point. And, and any additional language is simply just to put the defendant on notice.

The motion's denied.

All right. Now in regards to speedy trial. We have to make a determination as to when we need to set this. If the State is, is arguing and is stating that speedy trial has been waived, then, I mean, certainly, we have a different time period that we're dealing with. But, I mean, we have time next week where we could get this set on the trial calendar.

And I know that you might have issues with some of your witness, but that would solve any problem, because then we would be within the, the time period of any time period. And that would be required under the rules, regardless of my ruling, and we can get it set for trial next week. I've got two days. I've got wednesday and Friday.

MR. HUTCHINS: And, Judge, obviously, I don't want to commit and say that I can do it next week. We obviously don't have subpoenas out for this case for next week. We have subpoenas out for the week of the 19th of, of February. We, we have one of our -- our chatter, probably one of our most important officers does not live here. She's an officer from Daytona Beach. I don't know VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

what her schedule is, and I don't want to stand here and tell the Court that I will be able to do this next week.

The officers that are involved are involved in several different agencies. We have an officer from Wakulla. We have an officer from our state attorney's office, one from TPD, from the Sheriff's Department.

Again, I don't know what these officers' --

THE COURT: Well, I understand.

MR. SHEFFIELD: -- schedules are.

THE COURT: I just don't want to get into the situation where I make a ruling that speedy's been waived and we set it farther out. And then, and then we have an appellate issue for that and if we -- and if the appellate court determines otherwise.

MR. HUTCHINS: Well, I, I think the Court, I think the Court needs to make a determination as to whether there's been a waiver of speedy and I -- because then I think that determines how we proceed from there. Our position is, Judge, that, you know, once you move the case outside the 175 days, it constitutes a waiver of speedy.

I mean, here we are -- this case is almost a year old. He was arrested on February the 12th. You can't come in after a year and say, well, we never waived speedy trial. We never specifically -- I mean, if the VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 case goes outside 175 days, the case law is clear that 2 that constitutes a speedy trial. 3 So it -- our position is, obviously, there has been a waiver because the case has not been -- this is the 4 5 first time, I believe, the case has been set for trial. And it has been set for trial for the weak of February 6 the 19th. That is -- no. I, I misspoke. I think it was 7 8 set for trial in --9 THE COURT: We had it set for trial --10 MR. HUTCHINS: -- January. 11 THE COURT: -- at one other time. 12 MR. HUTCHINS: We had it set for trial in January. Again -- but that's going to be outside the time that --13 that's going to be outside of speedy. So, you know, 14 15 obviously, our position is there has been a waiver of 16 speedy, and the Court should treat this like a demand for speedy. We're -- obviously, we're looking at a 45-day 17 18 time period. And that's, that's our position. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sheffield. 20 MR. SHEFFIELD: Judge, we're ready. We can try it 21 next week. The reason this case got pushed at all is 22 because of actions by the State. We, we had a tremendous 23 amount of problem taking the deposition of the detective 24 in this case, because I subpoenaed the witnesses. And

first thing that I get is, is a call from the Assistant

VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

25

United States Attorney in Arlington, Virginia telling me that the witnesses are not going to show up, because they were all sworn in as special agents with the, the Sheriff's -- the Marshals Department. So they, they would not show.

We set it up for another day and I, I finally got clearance from Arlington that they would let them show. They didn't show. We set it up for another day, and, and we -- ended up that the detective that's the main witness in this case was going to be up for the Chmielewski trial in front of Judge Walker, over in federal court. And she didn't show. And so I didn't get my -- I set -- my deposition, again, it didn't occur. I set it again and, and, finally, I had to end up going to Judge Walker over in the -- in US District Court in the Northern District and get him to enter an order directing this witness to show up for deposition.

None of that is our fault. We were ready to go.

All we needed was one deposition. So the fact that this case got pushed is squarely on the feet of the State. We have never waived speedy trial. We've been pushing this case to get it to trial as quickly as we possibly can.

And I'm sitting here right to now -- if you recall, the last time we had case management, a whole group of my people from FDLE showed up and say, oh, Judge, we have VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

discovery we haven't produced. We're going to produce

it. We're going to get together with Mr. Sheffield. I

still don't have it. I've got nothing.

MR. HUTCHINS: I think it was Judge Flury who was covering for him that day.

MR. SHEFFIELD: Well, Judge -- and Judge Flury was sitting in for you.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHEFFIELD: But they showed up, and I still don't have that discovery. But, but we are ready to go to trial. We can try this case next week. It's a Tallahassee case. These people all managed to get up here when it was time for them to make these arrests. It simply appears that they don't want to come up here any other time for depositions or for trial. So we're ready to go. We haven't waived speedy trial.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to find that speedy trial has been waived based on our previous conversations in regards to setting the trial outside of the 175-day time period and the defense's acquiescence to that. I will say -- and I acknowledge from Mr. Sheffield I don't have any specific notation in the file where -- that -- where counsel said, specifically, that speedy trial is waived. However, based on the actions and the setting of the trial, I'm going to find that it has been VERONICA G MCCLELLAN, RPR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER