

The Killing/Murder of Ashli Babbitt and the DOJ's COVER-UP



Ashli Babbitt

January 6, 2021: When you have tens of millions of Americans convinced that the 2020 Presidential Election was **ILLEGITIMATE**, you get what happened in Washington, DC on January 6, 2021. This was the day the U.S. Congress was scheduled to certify the election. The day started off with a Trump Rally south of the White House, continued with thousands of protesters marching the roughly one mile to the U.S. Capitol, and culminated with a large number proceeding into the Capitol building itself. While it appears some of these protesters broke Capitol windows and tried to “break in” to the building, subsequently released video shows **U.S. Capitol Police Officers standing aside** as hundreds of these protesters walked through **WIDE-OPEN** Capitol doors. Although some protesters engaged in vandalism, and one protester hit an officer on the side of his helmet with a fire extinguisher (*Btw, I have no problem prosecuting this individual to the full extent of the law*), there was only one deadly act of violence. U.S. Capitol Police Officer Michael Byrd shot **unarmed** protester **Ashli Babbitt** to **death**. **The act was caught on tape**. It’s a mystery what the justification for this shooting was,

as the 5-foot-2, 115-pound **UNARMED** Mrs. Babbitt was clearly **NOT** an imminent threat to the life of Officer Byrd or anyone else. An investigation of sorts apparently took place, as evidenced by the **Department of Justice** letter dated April 21, 2021, which marked the investigation's completion. The key sentence as to why the DOJ determined Officer Byrd **SHOULD NOT** be held culpable for killing Ashli Babbitt follows:

"Specifically, the investigation revealed **no evidence** to establish that, at the time the officer fired a single shot at Ms. Babbitt, the officer **did not reasonably believe** that it was necessary to do so in self-defense or in defense of the Members of Congress and others evacuating the House Chamber."

This is arguably the most **ass-backwards/ asinine** sentence ever cooked up by any lawyer in the **history of jurisprudence**. **Even Kamala Harris** herself could not come up with a statement this **RIDICULOUS** and **NONSENSICAL**. Essentially, the DOJ appears to be arguing that because it cannot be proven **EXACTLY** what was going on in Officer Byrd's brain at the moment of the shooting (btw an **IMPOSSIBLE** standard), Byrd cannot be held accountable for **SHOOTING ASHLI BABBITT TO DEATH**. Usually (aka 99.9% of the time), in the **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** of self-defense in a murder charge, a defendant would **have to demonstrate** that he or she **REASONABLY** feared for his or her life or the lives of others. That is **NOT** what the DOJ is claiming here. Apparently, Officer Byrd lives by different rules than our nation's other police officers and the public as a whole.

And, of course, according to the Democrats' **INCENDIARY** and **DEFAMATORY** rhetoric, as a January 6 protester, Mrs. Babbitt was a “**dangerous insurrectionist**” who was undoubtedly a “**white supremacist**” to boot. “**GOOD RIDDANCE**” many Democrats said in response to her death. And since we have many of these “**GOOD RIDDANCE**” partisan types (*foremost of whom is Attorney General Merrick Garland himself*) working at **OUR** Department of Justice, **the killing/ murder of Ashli Babbitt was quietly swept under the rug.**

For more, check out [**The Common-Sense Guide to American History.**](#)