

**Virginia State Corporation Commission
eFiling CASE Document Cover Sheet****Case Number (if already assigned)** PUR-2025-00056**Case Name (if known)** Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company**Document Type** EXTE**Document Description Summary** Loudoun County, Virginia's Direct Testimony of Richard N. Olsen, MAI**Total Number of Pages** 209**Submission ID** 35476**eFiling Date Stamp** 10/21/2025 9:40:40AM



Adam B. Winston
Attorney

Direct: (804) 783-7261
AWinston@SandsAnderson.com

RICHMOND | CHRISTIANBURG | FREDERICKSBURG
DURHAM | WILLIAMSBURG

SANDSANDERSON.COM

919 East Main Street
Post Office Box 1998
Richmond, VA 23218-1998
Main: (804) 648-1636
Fax: (804) 783-7291

October 21, 2025

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Mr. Bernard Logan, Clerk
c/o Document Control Center
State Corporation Commission
Tyler Building – First Floor
1300 East Main Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Re: Case No. PUR-2025-00056

Dear Mr. Logan,

Enclosed please find Loudoun County, Virginia's Direct Testimony of Richard N. Olsen, MAI, with regard to the above-referenced matter. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Adam Winston", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Adam B. Winston

ABW:kap
cc: Service List

Witness Direct Testimony Summary

Witness Name: Richard N. Olsen, MAI

Title: Appraiser, William C. Harvey & Assocs., Inc.

Summary:

Mr. Olsen's testimony explains how he and Mr. Harvey developed a combination of mass and single property appraisals in connection with Dominion's filing in this proceeding. The mass appraisal reflects the unimpaired and impaired value of residential properties located along Golden-Mars Routes 3 and 3A that are expected to be impacted by Class 5 (External Conditions) Detrimental Conditions caused by the proposed overhead transmission lines. Mr. Olsen also provides an opinion on the economic benefits of underground placement of segments of Golden-Mars.

Mr. Olsen explains his credentials and the appraisal process broadly, before explaining that both the mass appraisal and the single property appraisals were conducted using the sales comparison approach to value. Mr. Olsen applied recognized quantitative techniques to isolate view disamenity caused by the proposed overhead Golden-Mars Routes 3 and 3A. The analysis then compared properties impacted by high-voltage overhead transmission lines with unimpaired properties similarly located with comparable characteristics.

Mr. Olsen explains how he used County assessment data and an assessment-to-sales ratio to arrive at unimpaired valuations for residential properties with ¼- and ½-mile of Golden-Mars Routes 3 and 3A, as well as the properties within that distance of Underground Options A, B, and C from County Witness Conroy's Direct Testimony. He then utilized paired sales analyses of residential properties along preexisting 500 kV and 230 kV lines to assess the likely impact on residential property values.

Mr. Olsen's analysis found likely damages to residential properties from overhead placement of Route 3—within the ¼-mile impact zone of Route 3—of approximately \$87,862,282. The ½-mile impact zone is likely to experience damages of around \$86,797,437, totaling approximately \$174,659,719 in damages to residential property values from overhead placement of Route 3. The estimated damage to residential property values that would result from overhead placement of Route 3A is approximately \$180,903,976. Mr. Olsen affirms that these estimates are somewhat conservative because the rates of diminution he used (-8.3% for ¼-mile impact zone, -4.8% for ½-mile impact zone) do not represent the high end of professional opinions on the impact of overhead transmission lines on residential property values. If the higher values are used, the damages more than double.

Mr. Olsen concludes by stating that underground placement consistent with the County's proposal could result in more than \$85,000,000 in economic benefit to residents in the form of recovered property values.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
RICHARD N. OLSEN, MAI
AND
WILLIAM C. HARVEY, II, CCIM, MAI
ON BEHALF OF
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VIRGINIA
BEFORE THE
STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF VIRGINIA
CASE NO. PUR-2025-00056**

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?

A. Richard N. Olsen, MAI
William C. Harvey, CCIM, MAI
William C. Harvey & Associates, Inc.
605 South Talbot Street, Unit 5
Saint Michaels, Maryland 21663

Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE?

A. Mr. Harvey and I have extensive specialized education and training in the fields of real estate appraisal and appraisal review. We are both professional certified general real estate appraisers, certified to practice in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Mr. Harvey was awarded the MAI (Designated Member, Appraisal Institute) designation from the Appraisal Institute in 1986 and the CCIM (Certified Commercial Investment Member) from the Commercial Investment Real Estate Institute, an affiliate of the National Association of Realtors, in 1998. Mr. Harvey is also a certified instructor and certified to teach real estate-appraisal-related courses and seminars in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Mr. Harvey was awarded the AQB Certified USPAP Instructor designation from the Appraisal Foundation in 2003 and is certified to teach the current edition in effect, USPAP, 2024 edition. Mr. Harvey's curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit WH-1**.

I was awarded the MAI (Designated Member, Appraisal Institute) designation from the Appraisal Institute in 1986. My curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit WH-2**.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR FIELD OF EXPERTISE?

A. Mr. Harvey and I are both recognized as experts in the fields of real estate appraisal and appraisal review with a specialty in dealing with complex appraisal assignments.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Counsel for the Respondent listed in the heading of this document (“Respondent”) asked us to develop a combination of mass and single property appraisals in connection with Virginia Electric and Power Company’s (“Dominion Energy Virginia” or “Dominion”) Application for Approval and Certification of Electric Transmission Facilities in Case No. PUR-2025-00056 for the 500 kV and 230 kV Golden-Mars Lines, Lockridge 230 kV Loop, Sojourner 230 kV Loop, and Related Projects.

The mass appraisal was to reflect the unimpaired and impaired value, if any impairment was found, of residential properties located along the approximate 8.3-mile Golden-Mars *Route 3* and the approximate 8.4-mile Golden-Mars *Route 3A* that are expected to be affected by Class 5 (External Conditions) Detrimental Conditions caused by the planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines as “overhead aerial lines and towers.”

Counsel for the Respondent also requested that we opine on the expected economic benefit of the planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines as “underground lines” along parts of the *Routes 3* and *3A*.

The purpose of my testimony is to explain how we performed these analyses and to report our conclusions and opinions.

Q. HAVE YOU EVER UNDERTAKEN THIS TYPE OF ANALYSIS?

57 A. Yes, we have previously undertaken the valuation of transportation and utility
58 corridors including damage estimates caused by Class 5 (External Conditions) Detrimental
59 Conditions such as high voltage overhead transmission lines (HVOTLs) and underground
60 gas transmission lines (UGTLs).

61 Our experience in developing both mass and single property appraisals involving
62 transportation and utility corridors in Virginia and the mid-Atlantic region includes the
63 Alexandria Renew's RiverRenew Tunnel Project (Old Town Alexandria, VA), Atlantic
64 Coast Pipeline (numerous counties in NC, VA and WV), DC Water's Potomac River
65 Tunnel (NW Washington, DC), Dulles Greenway (Loudoun County, VA), Dulles Toll
66 Road Right-of-Way for Metrorail's Silver Line Extension (Fairfax and Loudoun County,
67 VA), Colonial Pipeline Co.'s gas transmission lines (Fairfax and Loudoun County, VA),
68 Columbia Gas's transmission lines (Fairfax, Loudoun, Prince William, Goochland and
69 Louisa County, VA), Dominion Virginia Energy's distribution and transmission lines
70 (Fairfax, Loudoun, Prince William and Rappahannock County, VA), and TRANSCO's
71 transmission lines (Fairfax and Pittsylvania County, VA).

72 We have substantial experience in mass appraisals conducted for easements and
73 rights-of-way in eminent domain proceedings for public road and utility projects as well as
74 conservation easements for private charitable contributions.

75 **Q. HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS ON PROPERTY**
76 **VALUATION ISSUES?**

77 A. Yes, both Mr. Harvey and I have both qualified and testified as an expert witness
78 in the fields of real estate appraisal and appraisal review. Mr. Harvey has testified in the
79 Circuit Court for Albemarle County, Virginia; Circuit Court for the City of Alexandria,

80 Virginia; Circuit Court for Arlington County, Virginia; Circuit Court for Augusta County,
81 Virginia; Circuit Court for Fairfax County, Virginia (numerous cases); Circuit Court for
82 Goochland County, Virginia; Circuit Court for Loudoun County, Virginia (numerous
83 cases); Circuit Court for Montgomery County, Maryland; Circuit Court for Prince Georges
84 County, Maryland; Circuit Court for Prince William County, Virginia (numerous cases);
85 Circuit Court for Stafford County, Virginia; Circuit Court for Washington County,
86 Maryland; General District Court for Loudoun County, Virginia; Superior Court of the
87 District of Columbia; United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Maryland; United
88 States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (numerous cases); United
89 States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey; United States Bankruptcy Court
90 for the Southern District of New York; United States Court of Federal Claims (court-
91 appointed expert); United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; and
92 United States House of Representatives, House Committee on Financial Services.

93 Mr. Harvey has also served as an expert witness on numerous real estate valuation
94 matters for clients such as Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Columbia Gas Transmission, Dominion
95 Virginia Energy, Maryland State Highway Administration, Metropolitan Washington
96 Airports Authority, State Highway and Transportation Commissioner of Virginia, and
97 Virginia State Corporation Commission.

98 I have testified in the Circuit Court for Loudoun County, Virginia (several times),
99 Circuit Court for Prince William County, Virginia, and Virginia State Corporation
100 Commission.

101 I have also served as an expert witness on numerous real estate valuation matters
102 for clients such as Alexandria Renew, Columbia Gas Transmission, Dominion Virginia

Energy, Maryland State Highway Administration, and State Highway and Transportation Commissioner of Virginia.

Q. DO APPRAISERS HAVE TO MEET ANY SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS?

A. Yes, per the *Code of Virginia*, Title 54.1, Chapter 20.1, §§ 54.1-2009-2019, appraisers must be licensed and are regulated by the Virginia Real Estate Appraiser Board (VREAB). The VREAB rules and regulations are set forth in the *Virginia Administrative Code*, Chapter 20, §§ 18 VAC 130-20-10 through 130-20-250.

18 VAC 130-20-170 requires a Virginia-licensed real estate appraiser to comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in effect at the time the appraisal is developed. USPAP consist of the standards promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation for use by all appraisers in the development and preparation of appraisal reports. The edition currently in effect is USPAP, 2024 edition.

As designated members of the Appraisal Institute, Mr. Harvey and I are also required to conform our work to the Appraisal Institute's Code of Ethics and Standards of Valuation Practice.

Q. DO APPRAISERS FOLLOW DIFFERENT RULES WHEN ANALYZING A GROUP OF PROPERTIES VERSUS A SINGLE PROPERTY?

A. Yes, USPAP has different standard rules for single property appraisal, appraisal review and mass appraisal. The development and reporting of a single property appraisal must conform to USPAP Standards 1 and 2; whereas, USPAP Standards 3 and 4 are controlling on the development and reporting of an appraisal review.

A mass appraisal involving a universe of properties must conform to USPAP Standards 5 and 6. While mass appraisals are more commonly performed for ad valorem property taxation purposes, any group of properties can be the subject of a mass appraisal.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE CASE INCLUDING THE VARIOUS ROUTES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED FOR THE 500 KV AND 230 KV LINES?

A. Yes, I am familiar with Dominion's PUR Application for Case No. PUR-2025-00056 and the routes that have been brought into this case; however, the focus of our analysis was limited to *Routes 3 and 3A* and the segment of each that the Respondent proposes to be undergrounded.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT YOU DID TO FAMILIARIZE YOURSELF WITH THIS CASE?

A. The scope of work we followed for this assignment included a careful reading of Dominion's Application for Case No. PUR-2024-00056, monitoring the SCC's docket for Case No. PUR-2024-00056, collecting and analyzing public records for the residential parcels along *Routes 3 and 3A*, and physically inspecting a sampling of those subject parcels.

Q. WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN VALUING THE REAL ESTATE ASPECTS OF THIS CASE?

A. The purpose was twofold. First, to study and analyze the effect that existing high voltage overhead transmission lines ("HVOTLs") have on residential property values in the Loudoun County submarket and to apply the results of such studies to the residential properties located along *Routes 3 and 3A* that will have a view of the planned 500 kV and 230kV lines and towers along those routes.

Second, to study and analyze the expected economic benefit of undergrounding the planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines along segments of *Routes 3* and *3A*.

Q. DID YOU CONDUCT YOUR VALUATION WITH RESPECT TO EACH OF THE ROUTES THAT ARE BEING CONSIDERED IN THIS CASE?

A. No, our valuation was limited to Dominion's *Routes 3* and *3A*.

Q. WHAT PROCEDURE DID YOU FOLLOW TO ANSWER THE APPRAISAL PROBLEM AT ISSUE?

A. We followed the valuation process to answer our client's question regarding the potential impact the planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers will have on residential real estate located along *Routes 3* and *3A*. The valuation process is a systematic procedure that can be adapted to a wide variety of questions that relate to value.

"The valuation process is accomplished through specific steps. The number of steps followed depends on the nature of the appraisal assignment and the available data. The model provides a pattern that can be used in any appraisal assignment to perform market research and data analysis, apply appraisal techniques, and integrate the results of these activities into an opinion of defined value." The Appraisal of Real Estate, 31 (15th ed. 2020).

The steps in the valuation process we used to develop the valuation of the residential properties along the segment of the planned route at issue are illustrated on attached Exhibit WH-3.

Q. HOW DO APPRAISERS EVALUATE DETRIMENTAL CONDITIONS AFFECTING REAL ESTATE?

A. The current appraisal body of knowledge, which is composed of appraisal-related courses, seminars, standards and textbooks, is the authoritative source of recognized methods and techniques for valuation practitioners and includes the tools for detrimental condition analysis. “The basic tools for detrimental condition analysis are:

- The detrimental condition matrix
- The detrimental condition model
- The Bell Chart
- The three approaches to value” Real Estate Damages, 19 (3rd ed. 2016).

“The detrimental condition matrix addresses the life cycle of the effects on real estate with three potential stages: 1) the assessment stage (before repair), 2) the repair stage (during repair), and 3) the ongoing stage (after repair), along with cost, use, and risk issues that could potentially impact value during each stage. However, not every stage is necessarily relevant to every detrimental condition.” *Id.* 20. For example, when a HVOTL is developed near a residential neighborhood, there is typically only an ongoing stage and no assessment or repair stage.

The detrimental condition (DC) model includes all possible stages and the variety of patterns that may occur on a property’s value over the detrimental condition’s life cycle and are illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-4**. The variety of patterns are reflected as A, B, C, D, E & F on the illustration with each leg representing a change in the value pattern. Again, it is important to note that many detrimental conditions do not include all of the stages that are depicted. In the example involving a HVOTL developed near a residential neighborhood, the unimpaired value (A) becomes impaired once the HVOTL is constructed (B) and the impairment is permanent (C).

“The Bell Chart organizes all detrimental conditions into ten standard categories: (1) general conditions, (2) transactional conditions, (3) distress and sociological conditions, (4) legal conditions, (5) *external conditions*, (6) building and manufacturing conditions, (7) site and infrastructure conditions, (8) environmental and biomedical conditions, (9) conservation conditions, and (10) natural and climate conditions (emphasis added).” *Id.*, 137.

In the example involving a HVOTL developed near a residential neighborhood, the Bell Chart classifies that situation as a Class 5 (External Conditions) detrimental condition, which includes “many different types of neighborhood nuisances, such as prisons, tunnelling projects under properties, power plants, sewage treatment plants, landfills, airport noise, *transmission lines*, cellular towers, and *view diminution* and privacy issues (emphasis added).” *Ibid.*

Q. WHAT GENERALLY ACCEPTED APPRAISAL METHOD DID YOU USE TO ESTIMATE THE REAL ESTATE VALUES FOR THE ROUTES AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE?

A. The mass appraisal developed for this assignment was based on the sales comparison approach to value, which is one of the three traditional approaches used to value improved property. The mass appraisal was developed using a property valuation model that extended the 2025 assessments for the properties at issue in order to reflect their unimpaired value as of a current date by employing calibrated parameters. Said 2025 assessments were originally developed by the Loudoun County Commissioner of the Revenue’s office with an effective date of January 1, 2025. We brought those values current

to today by adjusting the data using an assessment-to-sales ratio that was developed using recent sales and comparing their prices to their assessments at the time of sale.

The single property appraisals were also developed by the sales comparison approach using the grouped data analysis, paired sales analysis, statistical analysis, and cost analysis (depreciated cost) which are recognized quantitative techniques used to identify and measure adjustments to the sale prices of comparable properties. “To apply these techniques, sales data on nearly identical properties, or *adjusted data*, is compared to isolate and estimate a single characteristic’s effect on value (emphasis added).” The Dictionary of Real Estate, 139 (7th ed. 2022). In this instance, the single characteristic that was isolated was the view disamenity that will be caused by Dominion’s planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers along *Routes 3 and 3A*.

These types of analysis compare properties impacted by HVOTLs with unimpaired properties in the same location that share comparable characteristics. If a legitimate detrimental condition exists, there is a measurable and consistent difference between the two sets of market data; if not, there is no significant difference between the two sets of data.

Q. HOW IS THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH DEVELOPED FOR A MASS APPRAISAL INVOLVING A GROUP OF PARCELS?

A. “Mass appraisal builds on the same basic principles as single-property appraisal. However, because it involves the appraisal of many properties as of a common date, mass appraisal techniques emphasize equations, tables, and schedules, collectively called models.” Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration, 310 (1990).

“Simply stated, single-property appraisal is the valuation of a particular property as of a given date; mass appraisal is the valuation of many properties as of a given date, using standard procedures and statistical testing. The principal differences are in scale and quality control.” *Id.*, 88.

Q. WHAT DO VALUATION MODELS DO?

A. Valuation models attempt to perform several related functions, including to:

1. predict, replicate, or explain the fair market value of properties from real estate data; and
2. represent the forces of supply and demand within particular markets; and replicate one of the three traditional valuation approaches – the cost approach, the sales comparison approach, or the income approach.

Q. HOW DID YOU DESIGN THE ANALYSIS THAT YOU PERFORMED AT THE REQUEST OF THE RESPONDENTS?

A. Solving an appraisal problem that arises from a client’s question or concerns about the value of a property involves a three-step process. The steps are:

1. Identify the problem.
2. Plan the solution.
3. Apply the solution.

An appraiser’s scope of work encompasses all of the steps taken in the appraisal development process to answer the client’s question. Every appraisal assignment must begin with a clear understanding of seven parameters – client, intended users, intended use, type of opinion, effective date, relevant characteristics about the assignment, and assignment conditions.

260 The appraisal problem at issue involved an analysis of the impact the planned 500
261 kV and 230 kV lines and towers may have on the residential properties along *Routes 3* and
262 *3A*. To solve the appraisal problem, our scope of work included identifying and viewing a
263 sample of the subject properties along the route at issue, collecting, verifying and analyzing
264 residential real estate transactions, developing and calibrating the sale comparison models
265 for the mass and single property appraisals that quantified the comparative units value for
266 the subject properties; and lastly applying the conclusions reflected in the models to the
267 characteristics of the properties that we appraised.

268 **Q. DID YOU HAVE SUFFICIENT DATA TO COMPLETE YOUR ANALYSIS?**

269 A. Yes, we found an ample supply of recent transactional data involving property
270 transactions for the purposes of developing the sales comparison models necessary for
271 credible assignment results. By analyzing real estate transactions comparable to the subject
272 properties along the routes at issue, we were able to reasonably ascertain the impact that
273 planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers may have on nearby residential properties
274 along *Routes 3* and *3A*.

275 **Q. WHAT 2025 ASSESSMENT DATA DID YOU DEVELOP AND USE FOR THIS**
276 **CASE?**

277 A. The 2025 assessment data for the 1,208 residential properties located within the ¼-
278 mile impact zone and the 2,322 residential properties located within the ½-mile impact
279 zone, as measured from the centerline of the planned HVOTL easement, that will be
280 affected by Dominion's planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers along *Route 3* are
281 illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-5**. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ¼-mile
282 impact zone total \$1,007,213,810. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ½-mile

impact zone total \$1,720,532,760. The 2025 assessments are effective as of January 1, 2025.

The 2025 assessment data for the 1,265 residential properties located within the ¼-mile impact zone and the 2,358 residential properties located within the ½-mile impact zone of *Route 3A* are illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-6**. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ¼-mile impact zone total \$1,074,728,580. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ½-mile impact zone total \$1,727,564,590.

Q. DID YOU ANALYZE THE UNDERGROUND OPTIONS PROPOSED BY THE RESPONDENT?

A. Yes, our scope of work for this assignment included an analysis of the three (3) underground options set forth in RLC Engineering, LLC's (RLC) Routing Report, identified as *Options A, B and C*.

The map illustrating the underground segment of RLC's *Option A* is presented on attached **Exhibit WH-7**. The 2025 assessment data for the 433 residential properties located within the ¼-mile impact zone and the 1,210 residential properties located within the ½-mile impact zone of RLC's *Option A* are also illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-7**. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ¼-mile impact zone total \$467,541,810. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ½-mile impact zone total \$885,576,240.

The map illustrating the underground segment of RLC's *Option B* is presented on attached **Exhibit WH-8**. The 2025 assessment data for the 429 residential properties located within the ¼-mile impact zone and the 1,214 residential properties located within the ½-mile impact zone of RLC's *Option B* are also illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-**

305 8. The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ¼-mile impact zone total \$461,789,320. The
306 aggregate 2025 assessments within the ½-mile impact zone total \$891,328,730.

307 The map illustrating the underground segment of RLC's *Option C* is presented on
308 attached Exhibit WH-9. The 2025 assessment data for the 160 residential properties
309 located within the ¼- mile impact zone and the 94 residential properties located within the
310 ½-mile impact zone of RLC's *Option C* are also illustrated on attached Exhibit WH-9.
311 The aggregate 2025 assessments within the ¼-mile impact zone total \$217,504,520. The
312 aggregate 2025 assessments within the ½-mile impact zone total \$129,620,590.

313 **Q. HOW DID YOU USE THE 2025 ASSESSMENT DATA IN DEVELOPING THE**
314 **VALUATIONS FOR THIS CASE?**

315 In order to bring the 2025 assessments at issue forward to a current value and
316 effective date, we multiplied the 2025 assessments by an assessment-to-sales ratio (ASR)
317 of 1.051 or 105.1%, which resulted in a current unimpaired valuation of the residential
318 properties within the ¼- and ½-mile impact zones of *Route 3* of \$1,058,581,714 and
319 \$1,808,279,931, respectively.

320 Applying the same ASR to the residential properties within the ¼- and ½-mile
321 impact zones of *Route 3A* resulted in a current unimpaired valuation of \$1,129,539,738 and
322 \$1,815,670,384, respectively.

323 We also applied the same ASR to the residential properties within the ¼- and ½-
324 mile impact zones of RLC's *Options A, B and C*.

325 The current unimpaired valuation of the residential properties within the ¼- and ½-
326 mile impact zones of RLC's *Option A* are \$491,386,442 and \$930,740,628, respectively.

The current unimpaired valuation of the residential properties within the ¼- and ½-mile impact zones of RLC's *Option B* are \$485,340,575 and \$936,786,495, respectively.

The current unimpaired valuation of the residential properties within the ¼- and ½-mile impact zones of RLC's *Option C* are \$228,597,251 and \$136,231,240, respectively.

The Third Quarter 2025 sales data we used to analyze and develop the current ASR are illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-10**.

Q. WHAT CASE STUDIES DID YOU DEVELOP AND USE FOR THIS CASE?

A. We developed paired sales analyses of residential properties located along one of Dominion's pre-existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers located in Loudoun County to analyze the effect that these types of HVOTLs have on property values. The pre-existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers that we studied are valid proxies for the likely impact Dominion's planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers will have along proposed Routes 3 and 3A.

Paired data analysis is defined as "a quantitative technique used to identify and measure adjustments to the sale prices or rents of comparable properties. To apply this technique, sales or rental data on nearly identical properties, *or adjusted data*, is compared to isolate and estimate a single characteristic's effect on value or rent (emphasis added)." The Dictionary of Real Estate, 139 (7th ed. 2022).

Of note is that "paired data analysis can also be used to extract and support adjustments *when there is more than one difference* (emphasis added)." Valuation by Comparison, 122 (2nd ed. 2018).

In our paired sales analysis, we used several data analysis techniques to support the various elements of comparison. Real estate markets are imperfect in nature. Very rarely are

there two similar properties. “Even when adjustments are supported by comparable data, the adjustment process and the indicated values should reflect good judgement. Small inaccuracies can be compounded when several adjustments are added or multiplied, and thus seemingly precise arithmetic conclusions derived from adjusted data might contradict the appraiser’s judgement. The sales comparison approach is not formulaic. It does not lend itself to detailed mathematical precision. Rather, it is based on judgement and experience as much as quantitative analysis.” The Appraisal of Real Estate, 368 (15th ed. 2020).

The case studies revealed a diminution in value due to the proximity of Dominion’s existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers between -4.1% and -13.2% with an average (mean) diminution of -8.3% within one-quarter mile from the centerline of the HVOTL. The residential case studies we developed for this assignment for the single-family attached and detached residences within one-quarter mile from the centerline of existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers are illustrated on attached Exhibit WH-11.

The case studies also revealed a diminution in value due to the proximity of Dominion’s existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers between -2.1% and -9.4% with an average (mean) diminution of -4.8% within one-half mile from the centerline of the HVOTL. The residential case studies we developed for this assignment for the single-family attached and detached residences within one-half mile from the centerline of existing 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers are illustrated on attached Exhibit WH-12.

Q. WHAT PEER-REVIEWED APPRAISAL LITERATURE DID YOU RESEARCH AND RELY UPON FOR THIS CASE?

A. The prior research on the effects of HVOTLs on property value dating back to the 1980s though today has been exhaustively reviewed in peer-reviewed appraisal literature

and will not be repeated here ad infinitum. However, we have summarized five peer-reviewed learned treatises on this specialized topic to highlight how consistent their findings have been over the years. In general, the peer-reviewed appraisal literature we relied upon revealed that:

- When negative impacts are evident, studies report an average diminution of between 1% and 10% of property values.
- Where views of the lines and towers are completely unobstructed, negative impacts can extend up to a quarter mile or beyond depending on elevation.
- It has been found that high-end custom homes are generally more sensitive to the negative impacts of HVOTLs than lower-end homes.
- Research has found that the negative impacts on lots adjacent to or with a direct view of a tower may be slightly greater than impacts on lots further from the tower. This is most likely due to the visual obstruction from a tower is more substantial than the lines themselves.

A summary of the peer-reviewed appraisal literature we relied upon for this case is illustrated on attached Exhibit WH-13.

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA THAT WILL BE POTENTIALLY IMPACTED BY DOMINION'S PLANNED 500 KV AND 230 KV LINES AND TOWERS ALONG THE ROUTES AT ISSUE?

A. As a result of our research and analysis, including the graphic depictions of viewshed analyses provided by the Loudoun County Office of Mapping & Geographic Information, it is our opinion that the geographic area that will be potentially impacted by Dominion's planned 500 kV and 230 kV lines and towers extends for a minimum of one-

quarter mile from the centerline of *Routes 3* and *3A* and as much as one-half mile from the centerline of *Routes 3* and *3A*.

The approximate boundaries of the $\frac{1}{4}$ - and $\frac{1}{2}$ -mile impact zones we analyzed for *Route 3* are shown on attached **Exhibit WH-14**.

The approximate boundaries of the $\frac{1}{4}$ - and $\frac{1}{2}$ -mile impact zones we analyzed for *Route 3A* are shown on attached **Exhibit WH-15**.

The graphic depictions of the viewshed analyses along *Routes 3* and *3A* further support our opinions and conclusions that the impact zones extend to one-half mile from the centerline of the proposed HVOTLs, and are illustrated on attached **Exhibit WH-16**.

Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF THE BASIS BY WHICH DOMINION'S REAL ESTATE EXPERTS HAVE ESTIMATED THE IMPACT ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VALUES CAUSED BY HVOTLS?

A. Yes, based upon our view of a publicly available video of a town hall meeting held at Belmont Middle School on August 29, 2023, a Dominion Energy representative, Rob Richardson, asserted that "based upon the real estate experts that they have worked with on numerous occasions, powerlines affecting property values result in a -4%, -5% or -6% impact." "Dominion Power," YouTube, uploaded by @landsdownewoods763, August 29, 2023, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-Xw8qvvKgrQ>. The range in the diminution of property values due to powerlines that is recognized by Dominion closely parallels that which we found in peer-reviewed appraisal literature as well as the results of the case studies we developed for this case.