UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

BALA BANGLES, INC.,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A,

DEFENDANTS.

CASE No.: 23-cv-16721

JUDGE FRANKLIN U. VALDERRAMA

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KERI L. HOLLEB HOTALING

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff, Bala Bangles, Inc., ("Bala" or "Plaintiff") submits this Memorandum of Law in support of its Motion for Entry of a Preliminary Injunction.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Bala Bangles, Inc., brings the present action against the defendants identified on Schedule A to the Complaint (collectively, the "Defendants") for federal patent infringement (Count I), trademark infringement and counterfeiting (Count II), false designation of origin (Count III), violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act (Count IV), and copyright infringement (Count V). As alleged in Bala's Complaint [Docket No. 8], the Defendants are promoting, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, and selling products, using counterfeit versions of Bala's federally registered patent and/or trademarks as well as unauthorized copies of Bala's federally registered copyrights (collectively, the "Counterfeit Bala Products") through various fully interactive, commercial Internet stores operating under at least the online marketplace accounts identified on Schedule A to the Complaint (collectively, the "Defendant Internet Stores" or "Seller Aliases").

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On December 22, 2023, this Court granted Bala Bangles, Inc.'s *Ex Parte* Motion for Entry of a Temporary Restraining Order ("the TRO"). [21]. The TRO authorized Bala to provide notice of these proceedings and the preliminary injunction hearing to Defendants by electronically publishing a link to the Complaint, the TRO, and other relevant documents on a website and/or by sending an e-mail to the e-mail addresses provided for Defendants by third parties that includes a link to said website. [21] at ¶ 8. This Court granted Bala's *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend the Temporary Restraining Order until January 24, 2024. [26]. Since, and pursuant to entry of the TRO, several financial accounts associated with the Defendant Internet Stores have been frozen. See Declaration of Ann Marie Sullivan (hereinafter, "Sullivan Declaration") at ¶ 2.

Bala respectfully requests that this Court convert the TRO to a preliminary injunction

against Defendants, so that they remain enjoined from the manufacture, importation, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit Bala Products during the pendency of this litigation. As part of the Preliminary Injunction, Bala requests that Defendants' financial accounts remain frozen until completion of these proceedings.

III. ARGUMENT

A. A Preliminary Injunction Extending Relief Already Granted in the TRO Is Appropriate

Bala respectfully requests that this Court convert the TRO to a preliminary injunction to prevent further unlawful conduct by Defendants. Courts addressing similar allegations of Internet-based counterfeiting have also issued preliminary injunctions following a temporary restraining order. *See, e.g., Deckers Outdoor Corporation v. The Partnerships, et al.*, No. 15-cv-3249 (N.D. Ill. May 6, 2015) (unpublished).

i. <u>This Court Has Already Found that the Requirements for a Preliminary Injunction Have Been Satisfied</u>

The standard for granting a TRO and the standard for granting a preliminary injunction are identical in this Circuit; as such, the requirements for entry of a preliminary injunction extending the TRO have been satisfied. *See, e.g., Charter Nat'l Bank & Trust v. Charter One Fin., Inc.*, 2001 WL 527404, at *1 (N.D. Ill. May 15, 2001) (citations omitted). A temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction may be issued upon a showing that: "(1) there is a reasonable likelihood that Plaintiff will succeed on the merits; (2) Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if the order is not granted because there is no adequate remedy at law; (3) the balance of hardships tips in Plaintiff's favor; and (4) the public interest will not be disserved by the injunction." *Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc. v. Jasso*, 927 F. Supp. 1075, 1076 (N.D. Ill. 1996). By virtue of this Court's entry of the TRO, it has already found that the above requirements have been satisfied.

ii. The Equitable Relief Sought Remains Appropriate

The Lanham Act authorizes courts to issue injunctive relief "according to principles of equity and upon such terms as the court may deem reasonable, to prevent the violation of any right of the registrant of a mark" 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a).

Bala requests conversion of the TRO to a preliminary injunction so that Defendants' accounts in U.S.-based financial institutions remain frozen. Since entry of the TRO, e-commerce platforms, including, but not limited to, Alibaba, Amazon, eBay, Walmart, and payment processors, including, but not limited to, PayPal, Payoneer, and Stripe, have provided Plaintiff with information, including the identification of several financial accounts linked to the Defendant Internet Stores which were offering for sale and/or selling Counterfeit Bala Products. In the absence of a preliminary injunction, Defendants may attempt to move any assets from any accounts in U.S.-based financial institutions to an offshore account. Therefore, Defendants' assets should remain frozen for the remainder of the proceedings.

The amount of damages to which Bala is entitled, as set forth in the Complaint, far exceeds any amount contained in any of the Defendants' frozen financial accounts. For example, Bala's prayer for relief requests statutory damages which can be up to \$2 million from each Defendant. [8]. In addition, and as established in Bala's TRO Memorandum [18], many federal courts, including the Northern District of Illinois, have granted orders preventing the fraudulent transfer of assets. *See, e.g., Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Montrose Wholesale Candies & Sundries, Inc.*, 2005 WL 3115892 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 8, 2005). As such, an order continuing to freeze the Defendants' assets should be granted.

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Bala respectfully requests that this Court enter the preliminary injunction against the Defendants.

Case: 1:23-cv-16721 Document #: 28 Filed: 01/19/24 Page 5 of 6 PageID #:3325

Dated: January 19, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alison K. Carter Ann Marie Sullivan Alison K. Carter

SULLIVAN & CARTER, LLP 2743 N. Ridgeway Ave. Chicago, Illinois 60647 Telephone: 929-724-7529 E-mail: a.carter@scip.law

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on January 19, 2024 a true and correct copy of the

above and foregoing document was: electronically filed, with the Clerk of the Court using the

CM/ECF system; electronically published on a website to which the Defendants have been

directed pursuant to the Service of Process; and emailed to all email addresses identified or

provided for Defendants by the Defendants or third-parties, which includes a link to said website.

/s/ Alison K. Carter Alison K. Carter