United States Court of Appeals

SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 15th day of November, two thousand sixteen.

in the city of frew Tork, on the 13 day of frovemoer, tw	o thousand sixteen.
Present:	
José A. Cabranes,	
Rosemary S. Pooler,	
Barrington D. Parker,	
Circuit Judges.	
In re Committee to Stop Airport Expansion, et al.,	
Petitioners.	
Committee to Stop Airport Expansion, et al.,	
Movants-Petitioners,	
v.	
Friends of the East Hampton Airport, Inc., et al.,	16-3226
Plaintiffs-Respondents,	10-3220
v.	
Federal Aviation Administration, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Defendants.	

Petitioners seek a writ of mandamus with respect to the district court's order concerning intervention. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the mandamus petition is DENIED, because Petitioners have an "adequate alternative means to obtain the relief sought." See In re Steinhardt Partners, L.P., 9 F.3d 230, 233 (2d Cir. 1993). The district court has advised Petitioners that they can seek enlargement of their right of intervention if warranted by

future circumstances. Additionally, the district court also advised Petitioners that, once the stay of the case is lifted, Petitioners can re-file their motion to enlarge their time to move for reconsideration of the intervention order.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk