



1. Q early morning drops (6 Feb) urging us to keep public interest high in U1 informant, Awan/Debbie Wasserman Schultz & spying on Congress, & Loretta Lynch tarmac mtg with Bill Clinton where it looks like she was offered Supreme Court position vacated by Scalia who was murdered.



2. Q posts link to FBI employee, Carl Ghattas, Executive Assistant Director, National Security Branch, who appears to be a key witness to the FISA warrant. Here are some of the items of interest the anons dug up early this morning.







https://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvWTSzGWAAAEfgX.jpg

Today was different. Carl Chattas, FBI's Executive Assistant Director for National Security, testified. And aside from totally dodging a Chuck Grassley question about why, according to Rosemany Collyer, FBI waited 11 months before informing the FISA Court about one violation, he was a very informative winness.

Take, for example, a detail he provided in his written testimony (alther 34-50) about what FBI obtains in raw form (this may be public in the DIGG that the intercept leaked, but i'm not otherwise aware of this detail). The FBI can only get raw data for selectors "relevant to" full investigations, not preliminary investigations or assessments.

It's important to remember FBI receives a small fraction of the total collection that NSA receives under this program. In fact, the FBI only receives a small fraction of the total collection that NSA receives under this program. In fact, the FBI only receives a small fraction of the total collection that no receive Section 172 collection of the selector—" to the jack perial address or social media handle, for example—is relevant to spending full investigation. The FBI cannot or secretive Section 172 collection during either a preliminary inquiry or an assessment.

As a result, although the FBI conducts spending and the section 172 collection that has a captured by the US government. In other words, when the FBI runs a US percond learning than 15x the collection maintained by NSA.

This does limit things, though as the FBI likes to say, it has thousands of investigations going at any time, the most emphasized of which (terrories and counterfinelligence) would likely implicate 702 data. Moreover, it raises questions about the foreign intelligence designations made, especially (prior to this year) regarding the data FBI shared in raw form with NCTC. And of course, we all know that the word "relevant to" has ceased to have real limiting menning.

Aso, the FBI amy only obtain this information at the FBI luses to see, cour

3. An anon did an excellent job building the timeline as Q recommended in this morning's drop (see post #1 in this thread).

#QAnon

Anonymous 02/06/18 (Tue) 07:58:18 ID: 5d7f49 No.284904 >>284914 >>284926 >>284933

Timeline:

Podesta email re: Wetworks - 2/6/16

Scalia 187- 2/13/16

Podesta email re: Scalia replacement 2/13/16

Peter Strzok -"end Hillary investigation" texts, draft memo exonerating HRC -May 2016 Peter Strzok changed wording from grossly negligent to extremely careless - 6/20/16

LL/BC tarmac meeting - 6/27/16 LL to accept FBI findings - 7/1/16 HRC interviewed by FBI - 7/2/16

JC dismisses HRC investigation - 7/5/16

Seth Rich murdered - 7/10/16

Wikileaks publishes DNC/ Podesta emails -7/22/16

McCabe aware of add'l emails on AW laptop -August/September 2016

Bruce Ohr's wife goes to work for Fusion GPS - September 2016

NYPD threatens to go public with arrest warrants based on contents of AW laptop - October 2016

Second FISA warrant issued to spy on Carter Page/Trump Campaign - October 2016

JC re-opens HRC email investigation based on "new emails" - 10/28/16

JC issues letter to not prosecute HRC - 11/6/16

JC to Trump, dossier un-verified - January 2017

https://pbs.twimg.com/media/DVWtAwTVQAAbkp8.jpg