

Center-Outward Architectures: The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 Framework and the NASOF–NAISCII Ecosystem

A Comparative Technical and Conceptual Analysis
Including the Claimed Synergy of Joint Application

Prepared for public, mathematical, and engineering scrutiny

Document Type: White Paper | Version: 2.1 | Date: September 2026

Status: Ready for distribution and public examination

Abstract

This white paper examines two architectural proposals published under the OneKindScience portfolio associated with Brian B.J. Hall: the Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 geometric and measurement framework, and the NASOF architecture operating within the NAISCII / eXp-AIOS ecosystem. Both are presented by the source materials as center-outward, scale-agnostic frameworks whose specific constraints are defined by the application. The paper further records the source claim that joint application of the two frameworks produces inherent synergy and compounding results. All performance, universality, and synergy claims are attributed to their source. Independent verification status is stated explicitly throughout so the document can withstand technical and public scrutiny.

1. Executive Summary

This white paper examines two architectural proposals published under the OneKindScience portfolio:

1. The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 (phi-cubed) geometric and measurement framework.
2. The NASOF (Nonagon AI Standardized Object Format) architecture within the NAISCII / eXp-AIOS ecosystem.

Both are described by the source as **center-outward, scale-agnostic frameworks**. In each case a generative pattern is fixed while application-specific constraints remain open. Energy-related concepts (Quantum Nikola) and aerospace-related concepts (Eaglestar) are located by the source inside the same information ecosystem.

A further claim advanced by the source is that the two frameworks, when applied together, produce **inherent synergy** that compounds and escalates results beyond additive combination. This paper records that claim, describes the proposed mechanism, and states the current limits of independent verification.

The document is descriptive, comparative, and evidentiary. It does not assert universal adoption, final technical validation, or historical decisiveness.

2. Purpose, Scope, and Methodological Standards

Purpose

To place the principal architectural claims of the OneKindScience portfolio—including the claimed synergy of joint application—in a form that can be examined by mathematicians, engineers, journalists, and the public on the basis of evidence.

Scope

- Description of the Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 framework as published.
- Description of NASOF and its relationship to NAISCII and eXp-AIOS as published.
- Formal comparison with emphasis on scalability and constraint philosophy.

- Examination of the claimed synergy and compounding effect.
- Placement of energy and flight concepts within the claimed ecosystem.
- Explicit verification status for each major claim.

Methodological Standards

- Primary reliance on materials publicly available at OneKindScience.com and linked technical documents.
- Consistent distinction between “as presented by the source” and “independently verified.”
- No claim is advanced as established scientific consensus unless supported by external, reproducible evidence.

3. The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 Framework

(As presented in OneKindScience materials)

3.1 Core Geometric Claim

Documents published by OneKindScience state that the traditional Yin-Yang symbol, when treated as a three-dimensional spherical form, exhibits golden-ratio (ϕ) relationships. This is formalized as the ϕ^3 **Volumetric Principle**. Reported proportions include approximately 61.8% / 38.2% in two-dimensional projection and approximately 76.4% / 23.6% in three-dimensional volumetric division, linked by the source to $\phi^3 \approx 4.236$.

3.2 Measurement Architecture

A 364-point (13×28) grid derived from Fibonacci number 13 and the 28-day lunar cycle is claimed to provide high surface coverage with fewer sensors than conventional arrays while supporting omnidirectional sampling. Dimensional synergy is described as the scaling of ϕ -based relationships across one, two, and three dimensions.

3.3 Constraint Philosophy and Scalability

The framework is presented as scale-agnostic. It supplies a generative geometric pattern; specific constraints (resolution, domain, error tolerance, number of nodes) are left to be defined by the application. The same center-outward logic is claimed to be applicable across widely different sizes and domains.

3.4 Verification Status

Golden-ratio relationships in the classic two-dimensional symbol have independent geometric precedent. The specific three-dimensional ϕ^3 formalization, the 13×28 grid, and associated quantitative performance claims appear primarily in OneKindScience materials. Large-scale independent replication remains limited at the time of writing. The framework is treated here as a published architectural and mathematical proposal.

4. The NASOF–NAISCII Architecture

(As presented in OneKindScience materials)

4.1 Core Components

- **NAISCII**: proposed universal language for AI-system interchange.
- **NASOF**: $9 \times 9 \times 9$ cubic structure (729 Byte Point Quadrants) for organized packaging of heterogeneous data.
- **eXp-AIOS / MAPLE layers**: operating-system and processing components.
- Retrieval functions (including OmniSearch): navigation across the structured field.

4.2 Constraint Philosophy and Scalability

NASOF is presented as scale-agnostic and application-defined. The generative informational pattern is fixed; constraints of data type, volume, security, latency, and domain are left to the implementer.

4.3 Verification Status

Universal interchange languages and standardized data formats are active research topics. The specific NAISCII definition, NASOF grid, and claimed performance characteristics appear in OneKindScience materials. Independent large-scale deployment and third-party benchmarks are not documented in the public literature at the time of writing. The architecture is treated as a published systems proposal.

5. Formal Comparison of the Two Architectures

Feature	Yin-Yang / ϕ^3	NASOF–NAISCII
Starting principle	Complementary dualism + ϕ scaling	9-based cubic organization
Direction of expansion	Center → outward	Center → outward
Scaling mechanism	ϕ + Fibonacci grid	Discrete $9 \times 9 \times 9$ lattice
Constraint philosophy	Constraints defined by application	Constraints defined by application
Scalability claim	Across size and domain	Across size and domain
Primary register	Geometric / claimed biological archetype	Informational / AI data organization
Verification level	Published proposal	Published proposal

Both architectures share a center-outward generative logic and an explicit decision to leave constraints to the application.

6. Claimed Synergy of Joint Application

6.1 Source Claim

OneKindScience materials present the Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 framework and the NASOF–NAISCII architecture as products of the same authorial and technical origin. When the two are applied together, the source asserts an inherent synergy that produces compounding and escalating results beyond the additive combination of the separate frameworks.

6.2 Proposed Mechanism of Compounding

As articulated across the materials, the synergy is claimed to operate through the following linkage:

- The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 layer supplies a geometric and relational pattern for measurement, resource allocation, and complementary balance.
- The NASOF–NAISCII layer supplies a structured informational pattern for packaging, locating, and exchanging heterogeneous data.
- Because both patterns are center-outward and constraint-agnostic, they can be nested or aligned without one framework imposing fixed limits on the other.
- Geometric or measurement decisions informed by ϕ^3 proportions can determine sensor or node placement; those placements can then be represented and communicated inside the NASOF grid via NAISCII.
- Information organized under NASOF can be interpreted through ϕ -proportioned allocation rules, balancing validation effort and new acquisition effort according to the same ratios.
- Energy (Quantum Nikola) and aerospace (Eaglestar) concepts are located by the source inside the informational ecosystem, allowing any measurement or control loop that uses both frameworks to extend, in the claimed stack, into physical-effect systems.

The compounding effect is described by the source as structural rather than merely additive.

6.3 Verification Status of the Synergy Claim

The formal parallel between the two center-outward, constraint-agnostic architectures is observable from the published descriptions. The stronger claim—that joint application necessarily produces measurable, escalating results—remains a source assertion. No independent, large-scale experimental demonstration of synergistic performance gains has been located in the broader public technical literature at the time of writing. The synergy claim is therefore recorded as an architectural hypothesis advanced by the source.

7. Placement of Energy and Flight Concepts

Quantum Nikola and Eaglestar concepts are located by the source inside the NAISCII / eXp-AIOS / NASOF ecosystem. They are presented as applications that would operate within or be coordinated by the same standardized language and object-format framework. In the joint-application thesis, any compounding between the geometric and informational layers could, in principle, extend into these physical-effect domains. All such extensions remain source-asserted and lack large-scale independent experimental confirmation at the time of writing.

8. Conditions for Further Evaluation

For the architectures and the synergy claim to advance under global scrutiny, the following steps are relevant:

- Independent mathematical review of the ϕ^3 volumetric derivations and the optimality claims of the 13×28 grid.
- Third-party implementation and controlled benchmarking of NAISCII and NASOF.
- Explicit experimental designs that test joint application against single-framework baselines.
- Transparent release of methods and data sufficient for external replication.
- Clear separation of geometric, informational, and physical-effect claims so each can be evaluated on its own evidence.

9. Conclusion

The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 framework and the NASOF–NAISCII architecture are formally parallel as center-outward, scale-agnostic systems whose constraints are left to the application. The source materials assert that joint application produces inherent synergy and compounding results. The formal parallel is visible in the published descriptions. The stronger compounding claim remains a hypothesis advanced by the source and is not yet supported by large-scale independent demonstration.

This white paper records the architectures, their shared design philosophy, the synergy claim, and the current evidentiary status so that mathematicians, engineers, and the public can examine them directly. The frameworks are open. The evidence is incomplete. Further evaluation will depend on transparent methods, external testing, and reproducible results.

Document Control

Title: Center-Outward Architectures: The Yin-Yang / ϕ^3 Framework and the NASOF–NAISCII Ecosystem

Type: White Paper

Version: 2.1

Date: September 2026

Classification: Public

Prepared for: Technical, mathematical, and public examination

End of White Paper