

**Aboriginal Republic of North America
National Jural Society
Case 22325KWX
12th month 5th day 15110
2-23-2025**

Keishia Henning, et al

Plaintiffs,

vs.

K'in Way Xi, & All Indigenous Business Associated

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND REQUEST
FOR RETRIAL**

COME NOW the Defendant, K'in Way Xi, in the above-captioned matter, and respectfully submits this Motion to Vacate Default Judgment, citing improper judicial conduct, unmitigated bias, and lack of fair process as grounds for relief, and additionally requests a retrial of Case 2 based on similar grounds.

BACKGROUND

1. Defendant asserts that a default judgment was entered against him under circumstances that significantly compromised the fairness of the judicial process, describing the proceedings as akin to operating in a "kangaroo court" fashion. This term is used to denote proceedings where due process rights are neglected or ignored.
2. Prior to escalating the matter, Defendant attempted to address these issues amicably by reporting specific sections of the problematic operations of these tribal court "trials" to the ARNA Congressional Counsel, hoping for internal resolution.

ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT AND BIAS

3. Defendant contends that certain actions by the Jural Society and the presiding judges were biased and contrary to the standards expected in judicial proceedings. These actions

include the creation and dissemination of materials, referred to as "scamming flyers," that negatively impacted the Defendant's reputation and professional standing.

4. Defendant further alleges that these judges and certain members of the Jural Society engaged in behavior that amounted to scamming, theft, and exhibited a history of unmitigated bias against him, directly affecting his legal and financial interests.

SYSTEMIC ISSUES WITHIN THE JURAL SOCIETY

5. Defendant cites a systemic issue within the Jural Society where only a few jurists or tribal lawyers were working to the levels of client work given via instruction from ARNA Law class instructors from 2020 to 2022, excluding these jurists and Tribal lawyers from participation against the collaborative ethos taught in law classes within the community. This exclusion has adversely affected the legal service availability to a long list of Aborigines who require assistance.

LEGAL BASIS FOR MOTION

6. In the U.S government under Rule 60(b), a party may seek relief from a final judgment under several grounds including mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; newly discovered evidence; fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party; the judgment is void; the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; or any other reason that justifies relief. If this court moves or operates differently please say that and make it public so not to hide the truth of how this courtworks.

7. Defendant argues that the conduct described qualifies as "misconduct of an adverse party" and "any other reason that justifies relief," warranting the vacation of the default judgment and even a retrial of Case 2.

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL ACTION

8. Defendant requests the removal of the default judgment due to these irregularities and breaches of judicial decorum.

9. Defendant seeks the court's intervention to have any misleading or defamatory materials removed, to ensure that the judges in question are recused from further participation in this case, and to grant a retrial for Case 2.

10. Defendant urges a review of the practices within the Jural Society to ensure fairness and adherence to established protocols, including the work-together protocol given in our law classes given at the timeframe of 2018 to 2019.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, K'in Way Xi, respectfully requests that this Court:

- Vacate the default judgment entered against him.
- Order the removal of any materials deemed misleading or defamatory created by the Jural Society related to this case.
- Recuse any judges involved who have exhibited bias or improper conduct.
- Review and correct the systemic exclusions and biases within the Jural Society, even though this is directed towards that exact same Jural Society.
- Grant a retrial of Case 2 under the grounds of similar biases and procedural irregularities.

Respectfully submitted,

K'in Way Xi

Defendant

kinwayxi@gmail.com

469-420-8871

DATED: March 31, 2025