

**Aboriginal Republic of North America
National Jural Society
Case 22325KWX
12th month 5th day 15110
2-23-2025**

Keishia Henning, et al

Plaintiffs,

vs.

K'in Way Xi, & All Indigenous Business Associated

Defendants,

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY QUALIFICATIONS AND ASSERT
LEGAL STANDING**

COME NOW the Defendant, K'in Way Xi, in the above-captioned matter, and respectfully submits this Motion to Clarify Qualifications and Assert Legal Standing in response to the claims presented by the Plaintiffs and the recent assertions made by the Court regarding Defendant's qualifications to practice law and his role as a jurist. Defendant seeks to clarify his legal standing, qualifications, and rights under both U.S. law and ARNA jurisdiction.

CLARIFICATION OF TERMINOLOGY AND QUALIFICATIONS

1) Defendant asserts that he is indeed a jurist, defined as "someone skilled in law" by Merriam-Webster and the American Dictionary. Defendant's extensive legal workload and records that can be proven underscore his proficiency and standing within the legal community, which is second only to Chief Executive Amaru Namaa Taga Xi-Ali as far as Defendant knows thus far.

2) Defendant respectfully requests the Court to consider a comparison of his legal workload and achievements with those of other members of The Jural Society who question his qualifications, to demonstrate his competence and expertise.

DISTINCTION BETWEEN JURIST AND ABORIGINAL JURIST

3) Defendant highlights that on Page 7 the Manual for Aboriginal Jurists makes a clear distinction between a "Jurist" and an "Aboriginal Jurist." **At no point in the contract with the Plaintiff or in any communications was it stated that Defendant was acting as an Aboriginal Jurist on behalf of ARNA**, beyond assisting them in finding a jurist that could nationalize them into the Tribe, if there was interest along with works associated with specific ARNA Jurist frameworks.

4. Defendant even had a very specific conversation with Plaintiff Keishia about past discrepancies of his positioning in ARNA as a now National specifically because he was teaching about 70 people in Plaintiffs family on the benefits to get Nationalized in ARNA knowing the public case of Defendant in case 2 is public information despite what Plaintiff states that Defendant never told her **which is completely false to create a fake narrative.**

5. Defendant requests a non biased Cosmologist tests whether who is lying via our Cosmology minute/hour reading system and acquiring documented evidence showing Plaintiffs and Defendants birth times to verify.

LEGAL STANDING AND RIGHTS UNDER ARNA AND U.S. JURISDICTION:

6) Defendant questions whether becoming an ARNA jurist **can inadvertently result in the loss of rights that would otherwise be preserved under U.S. citizenship or ARNA nationality.** Specifically, Defendant points out that **even incarcerated individuals in U.S. jurisdiction can operate as someone's Power of Attorney and draft legal documents.**

7) Defendant inquires if it is a stipulation within ARNA laws that opting into ARNA jurist status for the Tribe could result in fewer rights than those held by a U.S. citizen or an ARNA national serving as a Power of Attorney.

8) Defendant seeks clarification on where it is stated within ARNA's constitution or other legal documents that opting into ARNA jurist status would lead to a potential reduction in legal rights.

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND RELIEF

9) Defendant requests the Court to take judicial notice of the definitions and roles as outlined in relevant legal dictionaries and the Manual for Aboriginal Jurists, which clarify the capacities in which Defendant has operated.

10) Defendant seeks a declaration from the Court affirming his legal standing and qualifications as a jurist within the definitions applicable under both ARNA and U.S. law.

11) Defendant also requests that any misconceptions regarding the reduction of legal rights through ARNA affiliation be addressed and rectified, ensuring that legal practices under ARNA do not unjustly limit the rights of its jurists compared to those afforded under U.S. law.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, K'in Way Xi, respectfully requests that this Court:

- Grant the motion to clarify his qualifications and assert his legal standing.
- Provide a comparison of legal achievements if necessary.
- Issue a declaration concerning the rights of ARNA jurists as compared to those of U.S. citizens and nationals for Defendants records of being skilled and versed in law.
- Consider the additional evidence of prior communications with Plaintiff as proof of Defendant's transparency and truthfulness.
- Authorize the use of a cosmological minute/hour reading system to verify the statements made by Plaintiff and Defendant, ensuring an unbiased resolution to the factual disputes presented in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

K'in Way Xi

Defendant

kinwayxi@gmail.com

469-420-8871

DATED: March 17, 2025