

Aboriginal Republic of North America
National Jural Society
Case 22325KWX
12th month 5th day 15110
2-23-2025

Keishia Henning *et al*
Plaintiffs,
Vs

Kin Way Xi *et al*,
Defendants.

ORDER
***in re* Defendant Objections to Judges Remaining in the Bench**

1. Defendant objected to Ministers Trazell Onoja and Arkan Shabazz being allowed to remain on this case as Judges.
2. Defendant alleged that during NJS Case 2 in which he was a Defendant, Minister Trazell interfered with a business relationship with a client of Defendant and lied about Defendant no longer being a Jurist.
3. Minister B'ak Amaru will attest that there was confusion amongst the Members of the Court during the onset of Case 2 as to whether Defendant Kin Way was still eligible to work as a Jurist during that case, as the Chief Executive stated at the time that he had "*a private notice [generated] exclusively for K'in Way Xi in answer to his services pending adjudication.*"
4. Minister B'ak Amaru will also attest that the NJS Members had initially received word of presumption from either the then NJS President Ansar Muhammad or Minister Kojo that Defendant could not work as a jurist pending the Case 2 outcome.
5. **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant's objection is overruled concerning Minister Trazell's recusal. Minister Trazell Onoja will remain a Judge in this case.
6. Defendant alleged that Minister Arkan had stolen \$500 from him concerning a business interaction prior to Case 2, in which Defendant paid Arkan for a service that was never completed.
7. Defendant submitted audio evidence of the arbitration between he and Arkan hosted by Kojo Shabazz to address the dispute over the funds. Defendant states that Arkan agreed to pay back the \$500, but has yet to return the funds.
8. There are several issues with the arbitration in question:
9. The parties to the arbitration were inhabitants of two different Regions, Kin Way Xi of Region 6, and Arkan Shabazz of Region 1. This dispute should have been handled at the National level, not Regional.
10. Minister B'ak Amaru will attest that Kojo had a bias against Arkan at the time of that arbitration, as Kojo had spoken to Minister B'ak over the phone about a personal negative opinion he had of Arkan, while using ad hominem to describe Arkan.

11. The private arbitration was not an official ARNA Court arbitration of record.
12. However, the Court acknowledges that there exists a clear issue between Defendant and Minister Arkan that should be addressed in a proper proceeding.
13. **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant's objection is overruled in part concerning Minister Arkan's refusal. Arkan Shabazz will remain on the case strictly as an Administrator for the proceeding, but he will not be allowed to cast a vote on the jury ballot.

ORDERED AND NOTICE GIVEN this 19th day of March, 2025.

Indigenous Political Authority
Aboriginal Cherokee - Choctaw
Deputy Clerk of Court - Tribal Xi-Ameru
Minister B'ak al-Baci' Waxak Kab' Xi Ameru
Shaykhamaxum Semal Shariq
US Dept of State Audit# 06013144-1

