



Jural Society <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com>

Re: Keishia Henning v. Kin Way Xi

1 message

Kin Way [REDACTED]

Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 3:32 PM

To: Jural Society <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com>

Cc: K'in Xi [REDACTED], Amaru Nama Taga Xi-Ali [REDACTED]

Oh okay, this is evidence I have made to the public before but okay I got you.

My response to them simply declining, and then that declining being the NJS officially saying they will stay on in the case is... Yes of course they would say they want to stay on the case...

Especially if I have evidence of them committing wrong doings and stealing against me.

My position is the moment there are claims like this it should be investigated and discussed among the other members of NJS not just them simply nit wanting to and stating that they will still be on...

But okay, I'll send evidence appreciate it being considered

On Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 3:16 PM Jural Society <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com> wrote:

Adding officials

ARNA National Jural Society
www.arnanjs.org

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jural Society** <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 3:15 PM
Subject: Re: Keishia Henning v. Kin Way Xi
To: Kin Way <[REDACTED]>

Yes, you requested them to be removed, they have initially declined. Further discussions will be had on who should stay on the case pursuant to your objections.

Plaintiffs did not need to be tagged in that email, this a personal and individual issue you are raising. They received the orders issued on the pleadings.

You have provided no evidence to prejudice in this case's filings, only hearsay statements. If you have documents of evidence that would tend to prove your claims, then those would be appropriate to submit.

ARNA National Jural Society
www.arnanjs.org

On Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 3:05 PM Kin Way [REDACTED] wrote:

So the question I have is, was the fact that they 'disagreed' with being removed from the case the only thing that stipulated the decision to keep them on earlier?

Or was there an official ruling by other members of the NJS members/judges?

Also is there more evidence that is needed from me that I mentioned before for the determination to be clear whether they should both be on or not?

Lastly Keishia wasn't added in your last email, just a heads up.

On Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 2:06 PM Jural Society <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com> wrote:

The Court will consider this your objection to the order.

The NJS Members/Judges identities are public information.

The 2 Judges in question initially disagreed with being removed from this case.

The Court will consider your objection and make a ruling on it before your deadline to show just cause.

ARNA National Jural Society
www.arnanjs.org

On Mon, Mar 17, 2025 at 1:52 PM Kin Way [REDACTED] wrote:

Okay I'll be withdrawing my counterclaim right now.
I'll be sending a motion for that for the record.

My next question is, what judge or counsels are presiding in this case?

And who made the decision to keep Arkan and Trazelle on this case vs if there was a certain number of people JS wanted ruling on this one case, why not replace them and add on Nationals in the Tribe like jury duty if it is on record that both of these people have committed violations against the Defendant.

One had an official local jurisdiction mediation case of him violating the defendant in Hawab DFW that he also attended and participated in (Arkan) and the other was caught red handed violating court orders and Defendant in Case 2...

Or am I simply participating in what's defined as a Kangaroo Court?

If I could get answers to these that would be great. You'll get the response motion early.

Thanks,

On Sun, Mar 16, 2025 at 7:33 PM Jural Society <xiamarujuralsociety@gmail.com> wrote:

Greetings,

The Court has issued a Second Order on the Pleadings. Please see the Order attached and transcribed below:

**Aboriginal Republic of North America
National Jural Society
Case 22325KWX
12th month 5th day 15110
2-23-2025**

Keishia Henning *et al*
Plaintiffs,

Vs

Kin Way Xi,
& all Indigenous Business Associated,
Defendants.

**SECOND ORDER ON THE PLEADINGS
in re Defendant Requests for Recusal and Counterclaims**