BUILDING THE BOARDS OF TOMORROW

It's no longer enough to fill boardrooms with impressive résumés or symbolic presence. The future belongs to boards with real, earned experience individuals who've built, operated, scaled, and navigated complex transformations across markets and sectors.

Contact Us

Mahesh Punia
Managing Partner

Maheshpunia@livebeanpartners.com

Anushka Sokhi
Engagement Manager,
Portfolio Operations & Growth Advisory

anushkasokhi@livebeanpartners.com

Building the Boards of Tomorrow: Aligning Governance with Organizational Evolution

From Symbolism to Strategic Stewardship

Boards today operate in an era of heightened complexity, defined by volatile markets, tariffs, disruptive technologies, ESG imperatives, and rising stakeholder scrutiny.

While much has been said about the need to reform boardrooms, this paper does not seek to critique for the sake of it. Instead, it offers a **constructive and context-specific lens** to help boards evolve in sync with the real-world challenges and ambitions of the organizations they serve.

What this paper explores:

- Why traditional board structures and skillsets are increasingly out of step with modern organizational trajectories.
- The difference between symbolic presence and strategic contribution at board level
- How global experience should be defined, not by exposure, but by actual execution
- The risks of equating tenure with relevance, and how to recalibrate for value
- How to rethink board composition based on company maturity, not convention
- Practical guidance on conducting a board skills audit and managing boardroom renewal with respect

Ultimately, the goal is to position boards not as ceremonial overseers, but as **high-impact instruments of strategic stewardship**, equipped to guide enterprises through growth, transformation, and complexity.

The Missing Link: Stagnant Boards vs Evolving Organizational Needs

Unless shareholders are content with an echo chamber, boards must be viewed not as static guardians but as dynamic instruments of strategic stewardship. Board composition should evolve in sync with the organization's maturity, not merely through rotation of individuals, but by onboarding relevant, outcome-aligned expertise.

Consider these scenarios:

- A growing firm entering new markets needs globally tested operators, not legacy sector veterans.

- A company undergoing digital or IT transformation requires technology governance acumen, not just financial oversight.
- A scaling fintech or micro-credit lender needs board members who understand regulatory agility, data-driven risk models, and inclusive finance, not just conventional banking wisdom.
- A legacy brand aiming for exponential growth must break free from inherited mindsets and embrace leaders with expertise in global consumer insight, core strategy, and operational transformation-not just custodians of the past.
- A bank seeking to grow its loan book needs a Head of Investment Committee with cross-sectoral insight and the ability to evaluate the economic viability of projects, not just experience in credit approval or portfolio management.

Too often, the **skills matrix of the board remains static**, out of sync with where the company is heading or what it is undertaking internally. **"What got you here won't get you there."**

Real Global Experience: Beyond Frequent Flyer Miles

If a firm aspires to become world-class or expand globally, it must be advised by those who have actually built and operated such enterprises across diverse markets.

- Real global experience means leading P&Ls in multiple geographies, building cross-cultural teams, navigating regulatory complexity, not just attending overseas conferences or taking benchmarking tours.
- Prestigious degrees are commendable, but they are not a proxy for operational experience and alone should not qualify one for board or advisory roles.

Wisdom is forged in the trenches: Effective board advisors bring tested judgment shaped by navigating complexity, not just polished jargon or academic frameworks.

Tenure vs Relevance: New Litmus Test for Board Effectiveness

Experience is invaluable, but only if it's relevant to the firm's current and future trajectory.

- We must avoid the trap of appointing **outdated but tenured individuals** who fail to grasp the speed of today's markets.
- Equally, we must avoid **over-indexing on youth or style** without substance. Boards are not pitch competitions.

There is a sweet spot, often found between the ages of 50 to 60, where individuals bring the ideal mix of credibility, freshness, and adaptability. But this is not a hard rule.

- Older professionals can be assets, if they do not slow down or resist transformation.
- Younger board members can be valuable, if they bring real skills, not just potential.

Finally, some board members may be brought in for very specific roles, such as government liaison or regulatory access. This is sometimes necessary.
However, such individuals must not be placed in leadership positions on critical committees (e.g. Audit, Risk, Strategy, Credit) where domain depth, independence, and long-term value thinking are non-negotiable.

The Skills Matrix Audit

Firms should conduct an annual or event-triggered **Board Skills Audit**, mapping existing board capabilities against:

- Strategic priorities and long-term vision
- The organization's stage of maturity
- Upcoming transformations or inflection points
- Evolving governance and regulatory landscapes

Each director should be assessed for domain expertise, strategic foresight, governance acumen, digital fluency, and global perspective. Identified gaps should guide decisions on new appointments, re-appointments, or structured transitions.

At the same time, retaining a select number of board members for **continuity and institutional memory** is both valuable and prudent, as long as it does not come at the cost of relevance or renewal. Global best practice favors a thoughtful balance between **refresh and retention**.

Kenya's Case: Banking Sector as a Lens

Kenya's banking sector illustrates the risks of legacy boardroom thinking:

- A persistent reliance on collateral-based lending (particularly land) rather than rigorous assessments of project viability and business models.
- Limited board leadership in pushing for enhanced credit intelligence, sector-specific risk frameworks, and adoption of predictive analytics.

- Homogeneity of thought in boardrooms has fostered excessive conservatism, stifling innovation, especially for SMEs and emerging sectors.

A key governance gap lies in the role of the Investment Committee, the chair must not be limited to financial oversight. Instead, should bring deep cross-sectoral expertise, capable of assessing commercial viability, scalability, and developmental impact across sectors.

Without such multidimensional capability, banks risk defaulting to the familiar, missing out on future-forward opportunities that drive economic transformation.

To align with global best practices, Kenyan banking boards must evolve**:**

- Embedding diverse and domain-relevant expertise
- Rebalancing risk appetite with innovation
- Shift from legacy comfort zones toward a proactive, forward-looking credit culture.

Questions Boards Must Ask Themselves

Boards must challenge themselves regularly:

- Is our composition aligned to where the company is going, not just where it's been?
- Do we have the right mix of domain, digital, ESG, regulatory, and global expertise?
- Are we appointing individuals based on network familiarity or validated skills?
- Are we enabling strategic foresight or simply ensuring compliance?
- What are the gaps in our capability to support upcoming strategic moves

Reform with Respect

This is not a call to dismantle. It is a call to **modernize with integrity**. The future of governance lies in:

- Respecting the wisdom of experience
- Demanding relevance and skill-fit
- Ensuring diversity of thought and background
- Building governance as a strategic asset

Sample Board Composition Framework by Maturity Stage

Stage of Organization	Typical Characteristics	Critical Board Capabilities
1. Founding / Family-Controlled Phase	• Founder- or family-led enterprise • Informal governance • Limited external capital • Early-stage risk-taking	• Deep trust alignment with founders/family • Basic governance awareness and business ethics • Strategic scaling know-how (building structure while preserving entrepreneurial DNA) • Ability to unlock liquidity (partial exits, alt. capital, asset-light models) • Alliance-building acumen (co-investors, distributors, tech enablers) • Separating ownership from management
2. Early-Stage / Growth Phase	• Product-market fit emerging • Cash-flow pressures • Limited capital	• Hands-on startup/scale-up experience - Fundraising (grants, angel, seed, early VC) • Market validation and go-to-market strategy • Team formation and culture setting
3. Mid-Stage Expansion Acceleration	• Enhanced product/service development • Smart capital structuring • Automation and digitization • Global/JV partnerships	• Strategic capital structuring (convertible debt, mezzanine, reinvestment) • Operational scaling and efficiency models • ROI-driven digital transformation oversight • Alliance negotiation and risk governance • Cash flow and working capital optimization • Talent and leadership depth building
4. Institutionalization / Formalization Phase	• Entry of external investors • Audit and compliance structures forming • Team scaling underway	• Performance management and incentive structures • Risk and internal controls oversight • ESG and stakeholder governance • Legal/tax compliance frameworks • Internal audit and compliance design
5. Regional Expansion / Diversification Phase	• Geographic or vertical diversification • JV/strategic partnerships • Structured balance sheet emerging	• Global market building (hands-on, not symbolic) • Localization and regional integration strategy • M&A and partnership structuring • Global P&L management • Geopolitical and trade-bloc insight (EAC, AfCFTA)
6. Pre-IPO / Exit / Strategic Investor Phase	• PE/VC involvement - IPO/trade sale readiness • Governance scrutiny increasing	• Corporate governance and audit leadership • Capital markets/IPO preparation • Investor relations strategy • Exit planning, valuation strategy • Reputation and global investor credibility

The question is no longer whether your board is experienced.
The question is: **Is your board right for where you're going next?**

Livebeanpartners.com

Disclaimer: This document is intended for informational and strategic discussion purposes only. It does not constitute legal, tax, or investment advice. While care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the analysis, LiveBean and the authors accept no liability for decisions made based on this publication. Stakeholders are encouraged to seek professional advice tailored to their specific context.