

15th January, 2016 (Updated)

A Summary of some of the Mythology around the EU

- Based upon which we joined the EEC in 1973, and that mythology is still pushed to influence the young and naive throughout Europe
- A mythology that will also be a major part of the propaganda during the UK General Election 2017- or any other - second only to the false economic scare and fear tactics used in the 2016 Referendum -

DO your Research Before you Vote

Read

The Treaty of Rome (1957) and the Lisbon Treaty (2007)
(available on-line)

If only, the sections of concern (EU Army, Law & Order, the Euro, Legal Primacy)

EU Mythology

A collection of the most common misconceptions about the EU, beginning at the beginning, and exposing the true nature of the EU project .

Myth One: *“The EU was designed as a trading bloc (the EEC) where sovereign nations traded together in a spirit of mutual co-operation”*

Wrong. The Treaty of Rome established the EEC but also formally laid the foundations for a much more grandiose project, (the EU) in 1957, and was set up by the Bilderberg Group, which was, in turn, set up by the Fabian Society members, all backed by big business and the USA.

The Treaty of Rome (1957) established four institutions – a Commission, a Council of Ministers, a European Parliament and a European Court of Justice. These were to be staffed by officials, ministers, judges and parliamentarians from member states. They were in charge of creating closer co-operation on a range of economic and trade issues from agriculture to overseas aid, commerce to taxation.

The Treaty laid the foundation for ‘ever closer union’: establishing European government bodies, the freedom to movement of goods, capital and workers, and the concept of cohesion.

Note: *The ECSC was the forerunner of the EEC and was established under the Treaty of Paris (1951) and which forced the belligerents from WWII, notably Germany and France, to share ownership of the means of war production, coal and iron, so as to ensure that the neither could ever again re-arm in secret.*

The fact that there were long term ulterior motives for the formation of the EEC and the deceptive nature of the project were illustrated even before the Treaty of Rome (1957) was signed, by comments made by one of the founders of the EU Jean Monnet in 1952.

“The fusion (of economic functions) would compel nations to fuse their sovereignty into

that of a single European State.” Jean Monnet, founder of the European Movement, April 3, 1952.

This has been the ambition of those running the EU all along. The EEC/EU has always been sold to the European populations as primarily related to trade and that confidence trick continues to be played out whenever discussions take place about how important it is; whilst the politicians hide the true nature of the project and its ramifications to keep the populations onside and blissfully unaware of their own demise.

Our ability to trade with other European countries does not require EU control, in which we effectively have no real way of influencing.

But What exactly is the EU?

Well it has nothing to do with the EEC (the EU's Trojan Horse) or trading between countries of Europe, it is a political takeover and subjugation of the Nation States of Europe in order to place them under a single government, controlled by Brussels (Berlin) and their financial interests in the Quadriga (the financial controllers of the EU - ECB, EU Commission, IMF and ESM) and outside Europe. This is the new name for the Troika (ECB, IMF, EU Commission) - it is named after the Chariot and four horses on the Brandenburg Gate (Triumphal Arch), Berlin.



Quadriga - Berlin Triumphal Arch

How the EU sees itself is illustrated by the description of the EU given by the EU delegation to the USA which attempts to explain the nature of the EU to American Business Interests as follows: -

"The EU is unlike anything else - it isn't a government, an association of states, or an international organization. Rather, the 28 Member States have relinquished part of their sovereignty to EU institutions, with many decisions made at the European level."

Whilst this is the way that the EU wishes to portray itself to its potential markets, Cameron, and all other leaders of governments in Europe, pretend to their own

populations that little or no sovereignty has been lost by the nation states and that they maintain their parliamentary authority, but this is simply a lie. These governments, including our own are "Vichy" style governments maintaining the illusion that we may determine our own future, whilst protecting their (and our) masters in Brussels (Berlin).

The truth is that the EU is still a work in progress, hamstrung because they failed to have the Constitution for Europe (2004) ratified (signed by Blair and Straw whose ambitions lie within the EU) and by which the EU could have accelerated to the formation of a Federal Europe, then onto a nuclear Super-state with all the terrifying implications of super-Nationalism that that conjures up.

The next opportunity that the EU will have to become the flag waving, anthem singing and menacing Totalitarian Nuclear Super-state, with the laws of a Police State, to which it has always aspired, will be if Britain decides to stay in the EU. After which Blair will assume the Presidency of the EU (unless Merkel get there first) and will embark on a major programme of re-arming and threaten stability and peace throughout the world (It is worth remembering that the EU member states have a total of approximately 1.5 million under arms)

But What about EU Democracy?

The EU functions as a pseudo Democracy, based upon the Soviet model. The best that our MEP's can do is to dismiss the European Commission, which has been done before.

In 1999 the entire European Commission, including, Jaques Santer, resigned amid allegations of fraud, mismanagement and nepotism.

But they were simply replaced by a new unelected Commission and since that is not where the real power in the EU resides it is simply a distraction to divert attention away from dubious practices within the Eurozone (Eurogroup)

In reality, our MEP's are entirely irrelevant, as are all the MEP's in the EU., they have no power nor influence on outcomes in the EU. The real power resides with the EU Finance Ministers controlled by Germany - this was exposed by Varoufakis during negotiations on the recent Greek debt crisis (2015).

According to Varoufakis, he discovered that after requesting a legal opinion about the validity of the legal status of the Troika, (now Quadriga) in its dealings with the Greek debt crisis that: -

"The Eurogroup does not exist in law, there is no treaty which has convened this group."

"So, what we have is a non-existent group that has the greatest power to determine the lives of Europeans. It's not answerable to anyone, given it doesn't exist in law; no minutes are kept; and it's confidential. So, no citizen ever knows what is said within. ... These are decisions of almost life and death, and no member has to answer to anybody." Yanis Varoufakis referring to the Eurogroup in the New Statesman interview, 13th of July, 2015."

For his impudence Varoufakis was banned from future Greek Crisis negotiations by the Germans.

The next major EU myth:

“The EU has prevented war in Europe for the last 71 years”

Wrong again- The EU has played no part in preventing war, nor conflict in Europe, the fact that war in Europe has been avoided results from a number of other factors, mostly related to oversight by the Allied powers initially. Subsequently the USA, in particular, has been instrumental in the development in the various stages of the ECSC (Treaty of Paris (1951), then EEC (Treaty of Rome (1957)), the EU (Maastricht Treaty (1992)), and finally the Lisbon Treaty (2000)

Taking account of the fact that fighting between European countries had brought about the horrors of WWI and WWII, the Allied powers compelled Germany and France to share joint responsibility for the resources needed for warfare; steel and coal, under ECSC (1951) and these were joined by Belgium, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands.

This was done so that neither Germany nor any other country would ever again be able to re-arm in secret, as Germany had done under Hitler in the 1930's - not exactly a sign of contrition from any side.

At the end of the WWII West Germany was only partially disarmed due to the perceived threat from the Soviet Union and Allied preparations for a proposed plot against the Soviet Union, code named “Operation Unthinkable” but that was shelved - and may be about to be revived in an updated form.

The Cold War between the USA and the USSR began, arguably in 1946 over a dispute between the USA and USSR involving the withdrawal of Soviet combatants from Iran.

By August 29th, 1949 the Soviet Union had tested its own Atomic Bomb and this led to increased tensions during the Cold War.

In 1954 West Germany was fully re-armed after being invited to join NATO, which it did on the 9th of May, 1955, and on the 14th of May, 1955 the Soviet Union established the Warsaw Pact, in response to the perceived threat from West Germany.

However, the Cold War did not lead to major conflict within Europe nor between the USA and the USSR; the potential for mutual annihilation seems to have prevented war during that period. Perceived external threats to the West from the Soviet Union dominated the following 45 years, and, persist today.

Presumably, working on the basis that my enemy's enemy is my best friend there would not be the return to major conflict between the nations of Europe (even if they had the means to re-arm) during the entire period of the Cold War, up until 1991 or for almost 50 years out of the past 71 years since the end of WWII.

After the Cold War

Since the end of the Cold War conflict in Europe has included the Bosnian War (1992 – 1995) which was part of the break-up of Yugoslavia, linked to the break-up of the Soviet Union, and was ended largely due to the intervention of NATO, not the EU who, if anything made the situation worse.

The Kosovo War (1998-1999) once again related to the break-up of Yugoslavia, once again NATO was involved, rather ingloriously, since it was also accused of war crimes after bombing civilians following a controversial decision to make NATO pilots bomb from an altitude of 15,000 feet.

The Serbian TV civilian headquarters in central Belgrade was also bombed, killing 16 – an act which Blair claimed was entirely justified.

Unrest in Kosovo began again in 2004 with the North Kosovo crisis and lasted until 2013. NATO again intervened with the EU acting on the side lines.

Other, small scale conflicts also occurred until the next potentially major conflict in Ukraine began, in which the EU was fully involved in the interference in the politics of that external sovereign nation.

EU Awards

The fact that the EU was awarded a Nobel Prize for promoting Peace - not for preventing war, nor playing any significant part as a moderating force or even in a leadership role - it had no such role, and says more about the politics of the Nobel Prize committee that it does about the EU - which had also previously nominated Hitler Ghandi, and Stalin - none of whom were successful.

It might also be worth noting that individual members of the EU ruling class have received prizes, for example, Angela Merkel and Herman Van Rompuy, have each received the Coudenhove - Kalergi European Prize "For promoting the cause of European Union."

Which oddly enough seems to be very similar to the cause promoted by the UN through Peter Sutherland, the UN Special Representative for International Migration, who has been doing his best to create a migration crisis, which is being subsequently facilitated by Merkel.

Finally, it should be pointed out with all this talk of Peace that the original European - the belligerents of WWI and WWII are just as militaristic now, as they ever were in the past - they have not learned any lessons that would suggest they should be venerated.

Up until 2010, Germany was the third largest arms dealer in the world - behind the USA and Russia, and was then taken over by China

(Ironically, many people still believe that Germany has never been rearmed because of its WWII activities)

Germany's principal clients are in the Middle East, and it has even admitted (in 2011) to selling dual use chemicals to Syria. France is number four on the list of arms exporters followed by the UK, Spain, Italy, Ukraine and Israel. *"China overtook Germany, France and the UK in exporting weapons between 2010 and 2014, said the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI)".*

However, the principal weapon of choice in the EU, since WWII, has been economic, which it was used to devastating effect against Greece and the southern countries of Europe, (and which continues today); it is also the means by which the EU expands its borders, rather than military force. Nevertheless the EU plans to have its own Armed Forces, as prescribed in the Lisbon Treaty (2007) and intends to become a Superpower, as alluded to by the former US assistant secretary of Defence Joseph S Nye recently (Jan, 2016).

Summary

Peace in Europe over the past 61 years (1955 – 2016) has been almost exclusively maintained by NATO, and prior to 1955 by the presence of Allied troops in Europe (following WWII) and the continued stationing of US troops in Europe up until April, 2013.

But it is worth noting that, In fact the Cold War should take more credit for keeping peace within Europe than the EU. The EU has not played any significant part in preventing war nor conflict in Europe or anywhere else in the world over the past 71 years, but it may well create World War III; as it continues its obsession with expanding along its borders into other sovereign nations, and building its own EU Armed Forces, which would include French and British Nuclear weapons – if the British are dumb enough to stay in the EU - and under the control of Germany.

The most interesting fact about the EU though, is that it did not exist in a legal form until the 1st of January, 2009 – we joined when it was the EEC, which changed to the EC, then the EU in 1992; but did not have a legal personality until the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - and therefore could not have played any part in preventing war in Europe or anywhere else, at any time from 1945 to 2009 - and has not done so at any time since.

More EU Myths

“Britain is safer inside the EU”

Nothing could be further from the truth. First though it is important to clarify that the EU and trading with Europe are not the same thing, and those who have most to gain from being in the EU, are the banks, big business and the Politicians themselves who have entered into a Faustian Pact with the EU.

It is not necessary for the EU to exist in order for European Nations to trade freely with each other - that is the big lie that is being perpetrated - the EU was brought into existence (by the Maastricht Treaty (1992)) for a very different purpose (to rule over the member states) - courtesy of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) by virtue of which it derived a legal personality.

It is also worth noting that eight ex-Goldman Sachs employees or advisers occupied leading positions in the EU in 2011, that does not include the Bank of England's Mark Carney. No wonder the bankers are putting money into keeping Britain in the EU project.

A report at the time from the Independent illustrates the trend. *“What price the new democracy? Goldman Sachs conquers Europe” Stephen Foley Friday 18 November 2011*

The EU is a political project which affects every aspect of the lives of every person in the EU, the EEC was just the EU's Trojan Horse, a means of enticing nations of Europe to join a “Club” designed to trade for the mutual benefit of its member – but it was never meant to be anything of the sort.

The real rules of the “Club” were hidden from the populations of the EU member states by their politicians at the time that most of larger more prosperous countries joined, and that has been largely maintained ever since

Taking over the Member States is Not a Myth

The EU intends to take over the nation states of Europe, asset strip them and bring them under direct control from Brussels - as it has already done with Greece – and currently working on doing the same to Britain – with the help of May, Corbyn and the rest of our elected representatives (*updated*)

The means by which this is currently being accomplished elsewhere in the EU is by first making the nation states multicultural, in order to undermine their national identities, Christian traditions and homogeneity, (a UN-EU Policy; advocated by Peter Sutherland - former EU Commissioner, Special Representative for International Migration / former Chairman of Goldman Sachs) – *now deceased, January 2019?*

In doing so their settled populations will be marginalised, and the member state destabilised, and it will be easier to bring them under the control of a single government, in Brussels (Berlin).

This is also a quicker method of taking over control of the nation states than using economic force alone which can lead to a long drawn out and messy take over (Greece) which has become the first Eurozone country to entirely lose its sovereignty to the EU.

Still think you would be safer in the EU?

The actual EU “Club” rules are spelled out in the Lisbon Treaty (2007), upon which no country, apart from Eire, in Europe has held a Referendum, simply because it contains the text of the earlier rejected “Constitution for Europe.” That text is distributed, effectively hidden, within the text of the Lisbon Treaty and in order for the EU leaders to ensure that no political leader would be allowed to hold a Referendum on its contents it was called a Reform Treaty, to disguise its true purpose

The Lisbon Treaty (2007) spells out the ambitions of the EU and how they are to be achieved. They are quite simply to form a Supranational State (an EU Superpower) under which all of the populations of the former European and new states will be ruled over by uniform Regulations, Directives and Laws, including their own version of Corpus Juris, in perpetuity; EU has supremacy over the Constitutions and Laws of all other countries in the EU – *courtesy of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) (updated)*

Corpus Juris and British Common Law

The EU is obsessed with the idea of a Common Currency, Police Force, EU Armed Forces including harmonised Taxation; and everything else that they can get under their control. Effectively the EU will become a Dictatorship with the Laws of a Police State (Totalitarian) and it has been under construction formally, and behind the backs of most of the populations of Europe, since the Treaty of Rome (1957); and informally for a much longer period.

For the EU the Civil and Criminal system of Law is based upon Corpus Juris, though not fully developed or well tested, whereas in Britain we have a system of Common Law.

Obviously the legal systems in the UK and the EU are complex and it is not the intention to delve into the minutiae of either system, however, there are differences which are very important to point out.

Under British Common Law we are innocent until proven guilty and under the EU’s Corpus Juris style system we are guilty, or thought to be guilty, first.

The following small extract reveals some of the effects of the EU's legal system (European Arrest Warrant (EAW)):

"...across the EU, people who have not been convicted of any crime are being detained without good reason for months or even years, often in appalling conditions that make trial preparation impossible..."

and

"...growing numbers are being extradited under the European Arrest Warrant, only to be held for months in prison, hundreds of miles from home, waiting for trial..."

see "Detained without trial: Fair Trials International's response to the European Commission's Green Paper on detention October 2011"

This is what happens to normal citizens, but of course any criminal will be exempt because they have a right to a family life, in order to take care of "Tiddles", their Persian Pedigree cat.

Normal citizens can always apply to the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) though, and good luck with that and with battling the thousands of other people trying to get Justice, and assuming you're not locked away without access to anything resembling legal representation.

English Common Law

Our Common Law system does not allow such treatment, but our system is being subverted by the European Arrest Warrant (EAW), courtesy of Straw, and Blair, and if Britain votes to stay in the EU we will all be under the Jurisdiction of the EU's version of Corpus Juris.

Since 2004, anyone in the EU can file a complaint against a British Citizen or other Nationality in the EU without any evidence, and that can require the British Police to arrest that person and hand them over to the Police force of another EU country, without any requirement for checking evidence or formal extradition procedure.

You should be aware that an 800-strong paramilitary police force called the European Gendarmerie Force (EGF) already exists and will be at the disposal of the EU for operations anywhere in the EU and will have permanent bases inside each EU Province, with members drawn from Italy, Spain, Portugal, Romania, France and the Netherlands. *See Mary Ellen Synon, November, 2010 March-Euro-police-The-shocking-powers-prosecution-EU-us.*

That a British national can be thrown into a foreign prison for months or years without charge, as shown above - is courtesy of Straw, Blair and New Labour, on behalf of the EU.

British Police can also be required to investigate suspected crimes on behalf of other EU countries, ever wondered why our Police are so busy? – in addition, our Police no longer have to swear an oath to our Queen and anyone from the EU can join the Police in the UK, thus destroying the concept of Police Community cohesion - again courtesy of the Blair and New Labour on behalf of the EU.

Update 23rd February, 2016: Today the Daily Mail reported that Hogan-Howe had refused to apologise for the behaviour of the Police investigating allegations of historic sex abuse against Lord Bramall. The Mail went on to report that "Earlier this month Sir Bernard said police should change their approach to sex abuse claims and not automatically believe the complainant, as is the current policy." - What an astonishing claim that the British Police seem to have been hounding Lord Bramall on the basis of a policy straight

out of the EU's Corpus Juris legal system, whereby the accused is assumed guilty without corroboration or independent evidence - how long before these policies are applied to any British citizen for any crime - and perhaps more importantly, are the Police acting unilaterally or are they under the direction of the EU, either directly or indirectly?

Such activity by our Police is illegal under English Common Law. Clearly Britain is now getting closer to being a country under occupation by the EU.

Read more: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3460404/Met-police-chief-refuses-apologise-Lord-Brammall-failed-sex-abuse-probe-claiming-s-say-sorry-for.html#ixzz411kS6VP5>

Our Laws are founded on an evolutionary process of precedence with Trial by Jury and Habeas Corpus, whereby we cannot be detained without evidence, which is our birth right.

In the EU there would only be professional Judges and Lay Magistrates, and is really more similar to Military Courts in occupied countries, which if we stay in the EU will apply to us. The system is one of repression - with a code established by a Dictator.

Staying in the EU will result in EU Prosecutors being stationed within the EU Provinces which in the case of Britain will have 12 Regions. These Prosecutors will have the power to imprison anyone for up to 6 months, which can be extended by 3 months, pending investigation. The European Public Prosecutor (EPP) would decide whether to investigate to establish if there is enough evidence to prosecute - totally opposite to the system in Britain.

The legal system in the EU is not fully fledged and will become more and more Draconian as it evolves, we need to get out of the EU while we still can. The other main way that the EU's version of Corpus Juris differs is in the nature of the relationship between the State and the People, these are additional threats to our Civil Liberties.

This next section is taken from www.caef.org.uk

The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights gives Brussels the right to suspend any Civil Liberty in the "general interest" of the EU, under Article 52.

In terms of Free Speech: Europol has the right to monitor anyone alleged to be xenophobic.

More worryingly Europol is only accountable to its own management in The Hague, and its officers have Diplomatic Immunity from prosecution and can initiate and become involved in criminal investigations in other EU countries

Under EU Law: any act of Civil Disobedience could potentially be classified as terrorism and result in long prison sentences. Finally, for this section, the voting rights of entire governments can be suspended within the Council of Ministers and political party's "which do not sing to the prescribed EU hymn sheet" (my wording) can be denied public funding – all courtesy of Article 7 and 191 of the Nice Treaty (2001) respectively

For more about the EU and its modus operandi (www.caef.org.uk)