

**20th August 2018**

## **Fanatical Federalists**

It has been abundantly clear since the 2017 UK General Election that Theresa May was appointed by the Conservative 1922 Committee to stop "Brexit" at any cost and by any means - whether or not that meant the end of the Conservative Party or even the end of the entire UK Political system. Our initial assessment of May's appointment was that she was in place to fight a rear-guard action against the 2016 EU Referendum result - to slow down the process and progress of the "Leave Campaign" while the "Remain Campaign" rearmed and regrouped.

But it is much worse than that, as confirmed by the "Chequers Deal" - which is a shameful deal: done behind the backs of the British people; behind the backs of her own Cabinet - with the connivance of the Blair's politically indoctrinated UK Civil Service and Angela Merkel herself. A deal which she (May) claimed could not be changed and we later discover was 80% already agreed with the 27 other Member States before it was even announced in the British Press - without any open discussion (the EU way) or debate either in Parliament or in the public arena.

If anyone thought that it could not get even worse; more sinister, more egregious, more treacherous or more conspiratorial we discover that after May cuts short her holiday in the Alps; she trots off to chat with Macron - no doubt after discussions with Merkel who mysteriously disappeared from an expected engagement whilst also on holiday - so it was "hill walking with the enemy once again". The upshot of the May-Merkel -> Macron meetings was that Macron subsequently interfered in British political system by encouraging the establishment of a new separate "Remain" political party to add to the other reinforcement from the EU (Soros etc.)

Why don't May, Merkel, Macron, Juncker, Barnier et al., simply openly plot to subjugate the populations of Europe instead of their clumsy attempts to try and fool the British population into believing that they still do really have a functioning Democracy when that is clearly not the case.

What is abundantly clear is that our HMG, Parliament (Commons, Lords), Civil Service and Judiciary, at least in majority, are owned by the EU and totally under EU control; with May (and the rest) taking instruction and colluding with the EU - coordinating actions against the interests of the UK at the highest levels of our society; since at least the Ratification of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - and since 1973 or even earlier.

## **The Next Phase**

Having set the stage for a complete capitulation of the British people to the EU our own establishment they are now ready to present us with a series of options - which are not really options - in the hope that they can take the heat away from "Brexit" with diversionary tactics and we won't realise what is happening.

We next look at the government options on offer to the British people - but first a reminder of some of the background on the Customs Union, Single Market and the ECJ - and how they interrelate.

Both the UK and the EU trade under World Trade Organisation (WTO) rules - the difference between WTO and the EU Customs Union/Single Market is that the EU has built a protectionist block comprising tariffs, rules and regulations and other barriers on top of the base WTO versions.

So leaving the EU strips away the protectionist block limitations to free trade - though it is not that simple because of other limitations on Member States in the EU Treaties - the UK

is tied (as are the other Member States) to the EU through the Customs Union and Single Market - but there is nothing sacred about it - except to the EU.

It was part of the original plan to use trade to lock the Member States into a Federal State system, leading to an EU (European) Empire - not a United States of America type of Federation, which is more like a Confederation in some ways - where the States have much greater powers and sovereignty than the EU Member States have. The EU plan is to turn the Member States into Administrative Regions of Brussels all administered directly from Brussels with their own EU Public Prosecutors - the Regions are already mapped out and annotated onto our Parliamentary Constituency Boundaries! - check for yourselves.

The current EU activity is directed towards completing the takeover (control) of the Member States' remaining sovereign attributes, in particular - to control their Borders, Armed Forces, Fiscal and Financial, Justice and Law and Order and Social Policies - effectively control over all aspects of the lives of the EU Member State populations.

Remember that the EU imposes Laws upon its Member States which other international organisations cannot do - no other organisation can change the character of the nations' - economic, political, immigration, Justice system and social order in exchange for trade - simply by imposing laws into the Member State legislation - but that is precisely what the EU does.

## The Way the EU Really Works

The following section is derived from Introductory comments contained in "*Consolidated Readable Edition as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon in 2009, with all amendments until 2016*" in: THE EU TREATIES - The Readable Version with Notes and Alphabetical Index Editor: Jens-Peter Bonde © Fourth edition 2016, can be downloaded at [www.euabc.com](http://www.euabc.com) together with the third edition with comparisons with the previous treaties"

- With Reference to EU Law Making: ***"IN REALITY, THE FULL FORMALITIES OF THIS GENERAL PROCEDURE ARE ONLY USED FOR 10% OF EU LAWS. SOME 90% OF THE LAWS ARE DECIDED IN PRACTICE BY INFORMAL COMPROMISES BETWEEN THE COMMISSION, THE COUNCIL AND THE PARLIAMENT. THEY ARE WORKED OUT IN SO-CALLED "TRIALOGUE MEETINGS" BEFORE THE FIRST FORMAL READING IN THE COUNCIL. THERE IS NO TRANSPARENCY AROUND THESE IMPORTANT MEETINGS."*** (Caps in the original)
- ***"The EU Court of Justice has decided that the EU treaties constitute the European Constitution and prevail over national law and national constitutions. The Charter of Fundamental Rights is legally binding according to art. 6 TEU. It prevails over national constitutions when EU law is being implemented by the member states – according to the Melloni-case from 2013."***

## Trade Deal Options

For the purpose of discussion we review the fundamental concepts related to EU trade deals:

### Customs Union - the EEC(EU)

- ***"The customs union the basic "skeleton" of the European Economic Community (EEC), established in 1958, it was succeeded by the European Community (EC) in the Maastricht Treaty (1992) and then the European Union in the Lisbon Treaty (2007). Unlike a "Free Trade Area" no customs duties are levied on goods travelling within the customs union – but members of the customs union must impose a common external tariff on all goods entering the union."*** (Article 28 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union states that all trade in goods between EU

*countries must be free of customs duties and that member states must apply a common customs tariff for goods imported from outside the EU.)*

- *"A precondition of the customs union is that the European Commission negotiates for and on behalf of the Union as a whole in international trade deals such as the World Trade Organisation (WTO), rather than each member state negotiating individually." (Wikipedia)*
- *"It is not necessary to be in the Single Market to be in a Customs Union with the EU (e.g. Turkey)"*
- *Norway is part of the European Economic Area (EEA), which gives it access to the Single Market, but is not in the EU's customs union. In practice, this means that while most goods that originate in Norway can still be traded tariff-free to the rest of the European single market, products coming through Norway into the single market are subject to further checks.*
- *Trade in services is arguably more important to the UK than trade in goods, as services make up almost 80% of the UK economy and a customs union would have limited impact on trade in services. (after BBC News Reality Check: What is a Customs Union, July 2016)*

**Single Market:** The Remainers' want the British people to think that the Customs Union - Single Market are just about trade - but the trade is actually the device that has historically, and is currently, being used to bring about a single state government in Brussels (Berlin) by controlling all the Member State populations in minute detail.

- *"The Single Market is not a 'stand alone' option. It is but one of four pillars of a Single Regulatory Regime. They are the essential and fundamental tenets of the EU and cannot be changed."*
- *"it is a Single Regulatory Regime and the Single Market is only a part of it. It includes several political clauses that ensure the EU keeps complete control of any country foolish enough to sign up to it. It is also a Customs Union to protect French agriculture and German industry by making imports more expensive."*

The consequences of being in the Single Market are inviable and include:

- *"Unlimited and uncontrolled migration from EU into UK. This would include non-EU people from anywhere in the world who have been give EU passports to enable them to move from any EU country to UK"*
- *"Submission to the primacy of the European Court of Justice. The remit of the EJC is not to administer justice but to make judgments that further 'ever closer union'. This would make the UK Supreme Court no more than a body to rubber stamp EJC judgments with no right to question or amend them."*
- *"Accept the supremacy of EU Corpus Juris over English Common Law and the Magna Carta. Free speech and individual rights of British subjects enshrined at Runnymede would be null and void. It involves arrest without evidence and by no more than rumour. It would be illegal for UK subjects to criticise the EU. The Spanish Advocate General of the EU Court of Justice has claimed that "Criticism of the EU is akin to blasphemy and could be restricted without affecting Freedom of Speech" It is a criminal offence to criticise the EU as of 2013-002 and 2012 – 033"*

- *"Acceptance of all EU standards even when not applicable to UK." There are thousands of such standards and regulations that act as a ball and chain on our companies seriously restricting their ability to operate competitively. Sadly many of these Regulations were 'gold Plated' by our Health and Safety Exec to make UK commerce and industry even more uncompetitive.*
- *Before joining EU British Standards were democratically set up by committees of those involved not bureaucrats who have never worked in 'the real world'. (after 2016 – 077 The Single Market and Customs Union are part of a Single Regulatory Regime Posted on 13 November, 2016 | Leave a comment*

## What is being Offered to the British People

(a) The Chequers Deal - This has been 80% agreed by the EU - with the caveat that it must not violate EU principles - so all of those listed above will have to be adhered to - and therefore none of what May is claiming to be in the interests of Britain is actually true - but we want to look at another aspect of the deal.

As things stand at the moment - the British people have been effectively manoeuvred into a choice between three options - Chequers, No Deal, or Remain in the EU (i.e. Revoke Article 50) - with "Chequers" being criticised as being the equivalent of the UK being a "Vassal State" in the EU - but few people seem to realise that it is *literally* the same as remaining in the EU courtesy of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - for the following reasons:

The definition of a Vassal State is *"one that is subordinate to another state and is required to provide military assistance when required by the dominant state."* and under Title 3 Common Security and Defence Policy - Article 42 (3) of the Lisbon Treaty (2007):

- *Article 42 3. Member States shall make civilian and military capabilities available to the Union for the implementation of the common security and defence policy, to contribute to the objectives defined by the Council. Those Member States which together establish multinational forces may also make them available to the common security and defence policy. "So that could include Conscription."*
- *Member States shall undertake progressively to improve their military capabilities. The Agency in the field of defence capabilities development, research, acquisition and armaments (hereinafter referred to as "the European Defence Agency") shall identify operational requirements, shall promote measures to satisfy those requirements, shall contribute to identifying and, where appropriate, implementing any measure needed to strengthen the industrial and technological base of the defence sector, shall participate in defining a European capabilities and armaments policy, and shall assist the Council in evaluating the improvement of military capabilities.*

So by definition, and in reality, Britain and the rest of the EU Member States are each "Vassal States" of the EU and have been since at least 2009 - and from the ECJ ruling that the Lisbon Treaty (2007) is the de facto EU Constitution (see above - "How the EU Really Works") - the EU has superiority - not only over the Member State Legal Systems - but also over their Constitutions - a profound change for the people of Britain and their Common Law protections - which the EU has swept away without any consultation or consent from those in the EU it most affects.

One must therefore conclude that HMG, working with the EU is seeking - through the "Chequers Deal", "No Deal fear mongering" and "Remain" as the only options - to trap the British people inside the Totalitarian EU Police State - in direct response to the British people's vote to escape from that very same trap.

22nd August 2018

## Fanatical Federalists II

Continuing from the previous article "Fanatical Federalists" dated the 20th August 2018.

Having established that, as part of the EU, the UK is a "Vassal State" of that organisation courtesy of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - in spite of the fact that the British people have not been given a single Referendum of any of the EEC(EU) Treaties - effectively, the people of the British Isles have been sold into EU servitude by their nefarious MP's, Peers and Judiciary - who have kept the "EU Project" a secret for the past 45 years - and continue to hide the real ambition of the EU from public scrutiny.

Specifically - *"The EU Court of Justice has decided that the EU treaties constitute the European Constitution and prevail over national law and national constitutions."* and Lisbon Treaty (2007) Title 3 Security and Defence Policy in Article 42.3: *"Member States shall make civilian and military capabilities available to the Union for the implementation of the common security and defence policy, to contribute to the objectives defined by the Council."* but this is just a sample of far more Articles, Declarations and Protocols, scattered throughout the Treaty, that confirm the subordinate position and dictate the responsibilities of the Member States to the EU; in no uncertain terms.

Now, following the 23rd June 2016 EU Referendum, the one opportunity in 43 years that we had chance to voice our opinion on the actions of our political class they do everything they can to maintain the status quo which the majority of the UK voted against.

### "Chequers" Agreement and Implementation

To be clear, this agreement came about behind the backs of those tasked with bringing about a UK exit agreement and who were working on a "Free Trade Deal" based upon a similar arrangement to that between the EU and Canada (CETA - see later) but more specific for the UK - which was side-lined after Theresa May and co-conspirators in the UK Civil Service "ambushed" the "Brexit" supporting Cabinet members (in a ratio of 20:7) and forced acceptance of the deal - after been told that it had already been agreed by Angela Merkel. Those who would dared to disobey Merkel were threatened with being replaced by inexperienced MP's who would agree the deal. Boris Johnson (Foreign Secretary) and David Davis (Chief "Brexit" Negotiator) both resigned the following day.

- (a) The "Chequers" deal - 80% agreed by the 27 EU Member States before the "Chequers" meeting - is made to look like, basically the worst possible deal that the EU and UK could have ever put together - it includes a payment of ~ £40 billion to the EU and continued payments to various EU projects: continuation of free movement of people by another name; to follow all EU rules ("Common Rulebook"); continued subservience to ECJ and an offer to collect tariffs on behalf of the EU (Maximum Facilitation agreement (Max-Fac)) in order to get around the Eire/NI border issue etc.
- (b) The implementation period though makes everything even worse since it involves a 21 month (December 2020) period - which is comically designed to help businesses and traders to adapt to the new regime (for which they already have contingencies in place) - but in reality is just a stalling period during which the EU can impose new rules, laws and restrictions which the UK cannot oppose (as if it would oppose anything the EU wanted even under the status quo) - so the final deal would be effectively undeterminable until the end of the transition.

This latter extension was apparently requested by the UK government on behalf of the CBI et al., who are part funded by the EU. The UK would not be allowed to vote or even attend meetings related to these new changes that the EU plans to bring in, but more

ludicrously the UK government have delayed repeal of the European Communities Act (1972) until possibly the end of 2022 - so we will simply continue under EU rule anyway

It is clear to us that this agreement is just a ruse by the EU/UK to offer an agreement that represents a deal that could only possibly be acceptable to the EU and "arch-Remainers" - so as a alternative to the status quo it is a non-flyer - a giant confidence trick in reality. But is likely to be offered as an alternative to Remaining in the EU under current terms and conditions (i.e. Status Quo) - on the assumption that the public would rather "stay in the EU" with all its faults, rather than have something "worse". Without realising that following the advent of Qualified Majority Voting (QMV) the two alternatives are identical; the UK would be a "Vassal State" of the EU - whichever option was chosen

## Other Options

**Norway and Canada** - *"The Norway and Canada options have been broadly framed as a choice between having a high level of access to EU markets and a high degree of EU regulation (Norway), or a lower level of access and regulation (Canada)." (<https://fullfact.org/europe/brexit-trade-deals-norway-canada-options/>)*

- **Norway** - is In the **European Economic Area (EEA)** and therefore in the **EU Single Market** - *with most of the rules outlined under Single Market in the previous article;*
- including the **"Four Freedoms"**
- and no cap on EU / EEA immigration
- **tariff free trade** and
- **no customs checks with the EU and other EEA members;**
- not part of the **Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)**
- nor **Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)** - so subject to tariffs; not in the **Customs Union**
- trade managed through **"Streamlined" technology;**
- Norwegian **Services** are traded in the same way as for an EU member; makes **financial contribution** to access the Single Market; can make trade deals outside **the EU / EEA;**
- under **ECJ rulings** and, must **accept all EU Directives, Regulations, Standards etc.;**
- Norway cannot vote on any changes or new **Single Market** rules ("rule taker")(from <https://fullfact.org/europe/brexit-trade-deals-norway-canada-options/>)

**Canada** - the Canada-EU -> Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) came into force in 2017 (but not fully implemented) - *"Canada has almost completely tariff-free trade in goods with the EU, but it faces more regulatory barriers to trade. The movement of services is also much more limited than within the single market. Canada is not subject to EU law or institutions, and doesn't pay the EU any money as part of the trade agreement."*

- The CETA deal does not follow Single Market rules but faces other barriers related to the EU Customs Union regulations;

- *"CETA removes tariffs on industrial products between Canada and the EU"; "removes all barriers to investment for EU investors in Canada, and allows EU companies to bid for public procurement contracts in Canada.";*
- gives access to the **EU Services Market**, with restrictions;
- **Financial Services** do not have full access to the EU market. The agreement is governed by a joint EU - Canadian committee with disputes are settled through arbitration.
- *"Canada also does not have to align its laws with the EU. There are a few areas of "mutual recognition"*
- *in assessing goods and accepting professional qualifications, but there is no requirement for Canada to observe most European rules, such as the principle of free movement." (after <https://fullfact.org/europe/brexit-trade-deals-norway-canada-options/>)*

However, we understand that the CETA agreement is partly stalled because Romania and Bulgaria are demanding visa-free access to Canada for their citizens - which illustrates the difficulty with trying to escape from the legal clutches of the EU - having to get agreement with all 27 other Member States; once EU citizens are allowed in then it will be a free-movement "free-for-all" and ECJ rules would follow shortly afterwards.

The "Brexit" negotiators (David Davis et al.) were attempting to produce a Canada +++ deal for the UK with the EU - which would be closest to what the British people voted for - but they were undermined and side-lined by May and Merkel, and it is not something that the EU will allow; so it will not be on offer as an option to the UK.

The only acceptable way out of the EU is a "No Deal" which the EU / UK cabal are doing their best to prevent - with the ultimate Project Fear - the threat of "War and Pestilence" if we do not remain in the EU.

## "No Deal"

The "No Deal" scenario involves repealing the European Communities Act (1972) and leaving the Customs Union and Single Market and trading under Most Favoured Nation (MFN) status under World Trade Organisation (WTO) rules thereby reducing tariffs and freely trading with the rest of the world.

In other words we do have a deal with the EU under WTO rules.

The EU is a protectionist block which favours Germany (Manufacturing) and France (Agriculture) - whose countries jointly established the Élysée Treaty (1963) - *a treaty of friendship between France and West Germany, signed by President Charles de Gaulle and Chancellor Konrad Adenauer on 22 January 1963 at the Élysée Palace in Paris.*

*Among other things it established a Franco-German Military Brigade, in 1987 and is still intact. (wikipedia)*

France and Germany have cooperated in controlling the EEC(EU) ever since, and that co-operation is still very much in evidence today in their efforts to keeping the UK in the EU.

The benefits of, and obstacles to, moving to WTO rules have been comprehensively analysed by Martin Howe QC at Lawyers for Britain viz.

***"In the Sunday Times on 12 August 2018, under the headline "No deal will hike food bills by 12%", it was reported that 'senior executives from the big four supermarkets' had claimed that a 'no deal' Brexit 'would force up the price of the average weekly food basket by as much as 12%.***

***"This suggestion is therefore based on a misconception which is so widespread and so often repeated that I shall call it "the tariff delusion."***

***That delusion is that when we leave the EU, WTO rules will require the UK to take the current tariffs which the EU at present forces us to impose on imports from the rest of the world and impose them on imports from the EU as well."***

***"What WTO rules do require, under the so-called "Most Favoured Nation" (MFN) principle, is that whatever tariffs we decide to set must be charged equally to everyone, with the exception of countries with which we have customs union or free trade agreements. In those cases, zero tariffs must be charged on substantially all trade in goods under Article XXIV(8) of GATT."***

| Average EU tariff by product type (%) |      |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Animal products                       | 15.7 |
| Dairy products                        | 35.4 |
| Fruit, vegetables and plants          | 10.5 |
| Coffee, tea                           | 6.1  |
| Cereals and preparations              | 12.8 |
| Oilseeds, fats and oils               | 5.6  |
| Sugars and confectionery              | 23.6 |
| Beverages and tobacco                 | 19.6 |
| Cotton                                | 0.0  |
| Other agricultural products           | 3.6  |
| Fish and fish products                | 12.0 |
| Minerals and metals                   | 2.0  |
| Petroleum                             | 2.5  |
| Chemicals                             | 4.5  |
| Wood, paper etc                       | 0.9  |
| Textiles                              | 6.5  |
| Clothing                              | 11.5 |
| Leather, footwear etc                 | 4.1  |
| Non-electrical machinery              | 1.9  |
| Electrical machinery                  | 2.8  |
| Transport equipment                   | 4.3  |
| Other manufactures                    | 2.6  |

Source: WTO World Tariff Profiles 2017, p82

### **None Tariff Barriers**

***"Quite apart from tariffs which increase prices inside the EU above world levels (indeed, that is the whole point of having the tariffs), the rules of the EU single market on goods also raise prices inside the EU above world levels because they create so-called "non-tariff barriers" (NTBs) against imports of many kinds of goods from outside the EU."***

**One estimate suggests that NTB's could add up to 20% on prices inside the EU compared to those outside the EU.**

***"This means that UK consumers and UK companies who buy goods are paying on average 20% above world prices for goods which they buy from the EU27. It is true that by the same***

*logic, UK exporters of goods are also reaping a 20% premium above world prices for the goods which they export to the EU27."*

*"But – and here is the crunch point – the UK buys massively more goods from the EU27 than we export to the EU27. In 2017, we exported £164bn of goods to the EU27 and imported £259bn, giving a deficit of £95bn in goods (ONS Pink Book 2018, section 9, table 9.4)."*

## **After Brexit**

*"After Brexit, the UK will not have to charge any tariffs on anything unless we want to. The depth of ignorance in public discourse about tariffs and tariff policy is probably the result of us having outsourced tariff policy to the EU for the last 40 years. Tariff policy used to be the subject of lively and informed political debate: the trade-off between protection of producers and higher prices for consumers was well understood." ...*

If anyone is interested in more about the "NO Deal" please feel free to contact [euexit.com](http://euexit.com)