

14th February 2017

Lords' Conflict of Interest

The final hurdle for the triggering Article 50 is becoming, what can only be described, as deliberate interference, by some members of the House of Lords who are doing their level best to ensure that Britain will be in its weakest possible position when it comes to negotiating Britain's leaving the EU.

This is being carried out by attempting to hamstringing the government with amendments which should properly be part of the negotiation process. In contrast, the Commons voted to allow the government the very best chance to negotiate a good deal for Britain.

Some 44 years ago Britain was taken into the proto-EU - the EEC, based upon lies and subterfuge. The true purpose of the EEC (EU) was exposed to ministers in the Heath government, by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office in 1971, prior to the signing of the European Communities Act (1972) - clearly the true nature of the EU was known to our establishment from the very beginning, but they successfully kept the truth from the British population.

By acquiescence and connivance, they allowed, and even encouraged the widespread infiltration of EU apparatchiks within every layer of our society - particularly in our Parliament and the House of Lords.

It is clear that we were never meant to have a Referendum on the EU, at least not one that would have any chance of succeeding, because there is too much vested interest within our society:

- from various celebrities, businessmen, the Media, local government, and including our Politicians, our judiciary, the civil service, our education system and in every arm of government.

Indeed, one previous attempt to hold a Referendum to bring in legislation to prevent further loss of UK Sovereignty to the EU in 2011, was thwarted by the House of Lords, most notably blocked by those who have conflicting interests in the EU.

No-one can truly serve two masters.

For the sake of brevity, the House of Lords contains numerous former EU employees who receive EU pensions, as well as being paid by the British taxpayer (twice - directly and, through EU subscriptions)

The pensions of those former EU employees, which includes former Commissioners and Diplomats, are at risk should they not show loyalty to the EU - but even more ludicrous is the fact that they do not have to declare those pensions in the House of Lords Register of Members Interests.

Of the more prominent Peers, such as Mandelson, he did register his EU pension, when he became a Minister.

It is one thing for such Peers to vote on UK only matters, which currently have little impact from the EU, in which case, there may be no conflict of interest - but it is preposterous for Lords with vested interests in the EU to attempt to thwart or dilute legislation as fundamentally important, as Britain leaving the EU - there is a clear and distinct conflict of interest.

The bottom line is that those Peers who have previously worked for the EU directly, in positions of responsibility, have first-hand knowledge of the true aims and ambitions of

the EU, as set out in the Lisbon Treaty (2007), and know full well that remaining in the EU, or being trapped within the legal framework of the European Court of Justice (ECJ) means; the dismantling and ultimate destruction of the UK.

If they speak out in order to thwart the Commons vote, or attempt to dilute its efficacy, they should not be allowed to vote.

Triggering Article 50 is not the end of their political interference and mischief though, because at some point the European Communities Act (1972) must be repealed by both Houses of Parliament, before we can leave the legal framework binding the member states to the EU, and that must not be hindered by a bunch of self-serving failed politicians, working with other ne'er do wells in the House of Lords.

Those Lords who have, or expect to receive, pensions from the EU, or who currently receive remuneration from the EU, risk losing those rewards if they criticise or act against the interests of the EU - therefore we are not in the normal working environment for which the House of Lords should, and was so designed to operate.

The British Parliament was not designed to be controlled by a foreign power (the EU), either directly, or through its Vassals, and our Parliament is only in this position through the illegal actions of former, nefarious British politicians, in 1972, together with those politicians who have maintained that status quo, and extended the power of the EU over the UK during the past 44 years.

The largest contribution to the extension of EU control over the UK being provided by Blair, Brown and the rest of New Labour, but the Tory/LibDem Coalition, Cameron's and May governments are also complicit.

In any scenario in which the interests of the EU directly oppose the interests of the UK, such as at the present time, those Lords who are loyal to the EU cannot be trusted to vote in the interests of the UK.