

29th November 2018

Parliament's Meaningless Vote

It is beginning to look like Theresa May (Merkel's little helper) in collaboration with the British establishment could be about to pull off a spectacular confidence trick on the British people that even Charles Ponzi himself would be proud - they could be about to accept a Capitulation Document which was given to Theresa May by Angela Merkel - on behalf of the British people - which pretends to be a fulfilment of the 23rd June 2016 EU Referendum - which it most certainly is not, by any stretch of the imagination.

The EU "Withdrawal and Implementation Bill " basically neutralises Britain's ability to trade with any country in the world, and the EU - except on terms dictated by the EU - in perpetuity.

Despite much commotion, Theresa May has not been removed and the Bill continues to the UK Parliament, ostensibly for a period of debating and voting on amendments to the Bill; which will result in a final vote on the 11th December 2018 - but if we examine what is really happening it is clear that what we will witness is a choreographed charade - which would make any corrupt third world country or Communist State proud; for the following reasons :-

- The MP's will only be allowed 6 amendments chosen by the "Commons" Ring Master; John Bercow - an avowed Remainer - the Bill is a 585 page document containing Articles which are cross-referenced with all of the other EU Treaties - a deliberately difficult document to properly scrutinise in a short period of time. Compare that with the flood of amendments attached to the ECA (1972) Repeal Bill, by MP's and Peers, as they do their utmost to prevent the UK population from escaping from EU control.
- The Bill itself only considers how the UK leaves the EU, not the future trade and other relationships - it is vague, hastily put together and subject to change by the EU (ECJ) and the individual Member States during a "Transition period" leading to undefined outcomes in terms of future trade relationship with the EU and the wider World - a faux "deal" which must preclude its use in any future Referendum vote attempt - as must any Remain option.
- It is already in its final form - signed off by the 27 EU Member States - so the debates and voting are just window dressing to fool the public - because nothing can be changed by the UK.
- It gives away £39 billion to the EU (with no guaranteed acceptable trade agreement of any kind) - in return for purgatory at the hands of the EU.
- Parliament will follow, as far as can be seen at this point in time, the same format as the debating and voting in the original European Communities Act (1972) passage through Parliament; which were not broadcast - and no amendments were allowed - but MP's can debate and vote "as if they were real amendments" but they have no effect.
- But it will look good in the Hansard and useful for Historians to pretend that Parliament acted properly and it was all above board - but more likely it will encourage boasting from EU politicians - when used to show how gullible the British people are and how easily they can be duped by their "superiors".
- The Bill's passage will take place during the time that the European Communities Act (1972) is only partially repealed - with the most important part - Clause 2; which

keeps the UK under EU legal supremacy is still intact - and that Clause will not be repealed until after the poorly defined end of the "Transition Period" - if ever.

- Clause 2 leaves the UK completely at the mercy of the EU for as long as the EU can keep the "Transition Period" in operation and avoiding repealing it - punishment indeed.
- It is perfectly clear that HM Government and Parliament are coordinating the demise of the UK on behalf of the EU - with the express purpose of punishing the UK for having the temerity to vote to leave the EU - and (a) to remove the UK's ability to compete effectively with the EU (b) to scare-off any other "potential leavers" and (c) to place the very survival of the UK as a Sovereign nation in doubt.
- It does everything but require the UK admit its guilt for attempting to damage the EU - in a similar way that Article 231 of the Versailles Treaty required Germany to admit guilt for WWI. In spite of the fact that the UK had a legal right to leave the EU under Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty (2007)

The public should also be aware that:

"... her (Mrs May's) government has covertly signed Britain back into the EU's ever closer defence union. The EU's plan includes a common military force, a centralized defence acquisitions agency, a centrally controlled defence economy, and a common intelligence system – which would inevitably force Britain to disassociate from NATO and the “five eyes” intelligence alliance. (bracket added)(after Bruce Newsome, Ph.D. is a lecturer in International Relations at the University of California Berkeley, 30 November 2018)

Our comment: All the evidence shows that Mrs May (in common with most of the British establishment) has absolutely no intention of allowing the UK to escape from the EU's control - whatever the cost.

All the most important associations that we should not be signing up to with the EU, are being settled without public or even parliamentary consultation and approval - all the propaganda against leaving the EU is about "Economics" and based upon easy to manipulated "Dodgy Economic Forecasts" by experts with the same agenda as May and her government - and these are just manipulated diversions - just like the Irish border - while the really dangerous and costly (for the UK) EU association are being carried out behind our backs.

They dare not discuss the real problems with our being in the EU - our participation in building a new German Hegemony in Europe - as if Britain writing-off Germany's WWII war debt of £3.62 Trillion by an earlier Conservative government wasn't bad enough.

Mrs May's clandestine actions are High Treason under our Common Law - but that has been usurped by the rejected EU's Constitution for Europe (2004) which was hidden within the Lisbon Treaty (2007) and scattered throughout the other treaties so that it would not be noticed - the Lisbon Treaty (2007) and all the EU - UK Treaties can be repealed by fully repealing the European Communities Act (1972) - instead of signing the EU's "Withdrawal and Implementation Bill".

May is going behind the backs of Parliament and trying encourage their Constituents get the MP's to vote in favour of the deal that only benefits the EU by lying and claiming, quite falsely that it is the "Brexit" that people voted for and the Referendum result has been achieved - Mrs May seems to believe that:-

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the greatest enemy of the State." - Joseph Goebbels

NOTE: The UK could leave the EU by simply repealing Clause 2 and completing the repeal process in the "Great Repeal Bill (2018)" - that would automatically nullify all the EEC(EU) Treaties and we would be free - but that may not sit well with other countries and organisations who might not trust future deals with the UK. Then again, the original European Communities Act (1972) is illegal under English Common Law and would be seen as legally justifiable, and a necessary step, to free the UK from EU control.

The problems with entering into a Withdrawal Agreement without that repeal have been discussed above - but it could still have been repealed and used as a major bargaining position by the UK to obtain - not only a satisfactory withdrawal agreement, but also a mutually advantageous free trade deal with the EU - the problem is with our elected representatives; their ennobled Peers, and other interests vested in the EU. They are the real problem - they will not allow ECA(1972) to be repealed - so there must be a major political change in the UK in order for us to properly fulfil the UK's potential; independently of the EU.

The government's position

"Conservative MP, Steve Baker, writing for The Times, claimed the new bill "

- *"gives whatever deal we strike with the EU proper standing in British law" and that it was consistent with the referendum result, in proving "more control over how we are governed to the UK Parliament."*

It is entirely inconsistent with the referendum result - it is simply an EU trap.

We don't want *"more control (from the EU) over how we are governed (given to) the UK Parliament"* - while the EU still has primacy over our Common Law and Legal system; including our Parliament.

Our position is that effectively the EU is offering us "trinkets" while they "steal our gold".

We want our Freedom from Rule by a Foreign Power