

27th Match 2018

The EU Police State

To begin with we note that the basic principle of "Separation of Powers" does not exist in the European Union - there are no "Checks and Balances" which would prevent the accumulation of power - a breeding ground for wannabe dictators - so much for peace in Europe.

"However, with the EU we find that the executive is not elected and holds all the power, and the legislature is elected, but has no power![38] The judiciary is under the control of the executive and thus cannot do anything but enforce the whims of the executive. It has been said that the concentration of powers is the very definition of tyranny.[39]" The bracketed references [...] relate to the article published by *"FREEDOM IN JEOPARDY: THE CASE AGAINST THE EU AND SUPRANATIONALISM BY D. ANDREWS* (www.freedom-central.net/euanddbritain.html)

The American Constitution took account of the tyranny and oppression in Europe and determined to produce a system based upon Equality, Freedom, Justice and Liberty where the Member States sought to maintain most of their own laws and limit the number of laws passed to the Federal Union - the EU is doing precisely the opposite.

The freedoms that the EU offer only relate to areas such as free-movement of Capital, Goods, Services, and Labour.

The true Freedoms: Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Association, Freedom from Oppression and the Freedom to live our lives without state interference do not exist in the EU.

The Article 50 negotiations have taught us that whatever the EU gives, such as freedom to travel without a passport - it can, just as quickly take them away - that is, if the people of Europe do not obey their masters in Brussels (Berlin) or even if they do - and either, if they try to escape or if they remain in the EU.

Uniform EU Legal System

"In April 1997 a seminar was held in San Sebastian, Spain, to discuss a proposal for the 'Criminal protection of the financial interests of the European Union' "Corpus Juris" (CJ) under the auspices of the Directorate General XX of the European Commission.

Subsequently there have been numerous attempts at denying that the European Commission has been involved in these proposals and that the meeting was just a non-related discussion group.

"The objectives of the seminar were twofold: to seek to call the attention of jurists in general to the need for effective protection of the Community budget, particularly in connection with fraud against subsidies: and to make known the contents of the (CJ) for protection of these financial interests, which has been conceived as the embryo of a future European Criminal Code" - Emphasis added

The proposals aim to:

- *Introduce a single legal area with the European union*
- *Introduce a European Public Prosecutor ("EPP") with national public prosecutors being "under a duty to assist" him or her (Article 18.5)*
- *There will be a "Judge of Freedoms" whose function is ostensibly to protect the citizen's rights, which however do not include the right to demand that evidence be produced.*

- *This means, of course, that an enforceable arrest warrant can be granted without there actually being any evidence at all, since there is no right to verify it at that stage.*
- *A European Warrant of arrest shall be issued by a national judge on "instructions" of the EPP, and any police force in any member State can be required to enforce it.*
- *A suspect can be imprisoned without charge for 6 months, renewable for a further 3 months without any limit to the number of renewals*
- *The 'trial' shall be heard by professional judges, specifically without "simple jurors" or "even lay magistrates" (a clear and specific reference to the British trial system where the crucial decisions are taken by ordinary people)*
- *An accused can be retried on the same charge if found innocent (i.e. the prosecution can appeal against an acquittal)*
(<http://www.silentmajority.co.uk/silentmajority/eurorealist/corpus2.html>)

The EU has attempted to keep the idea of a unified legal system from the Member States' populations precisely because that is one of the recognised requirements for EU Nationhood - and the EU, prior to "Brexit", had done its level best to keep its Superstate ambitions hidden with the collusion of our elected representatives, their unelected Peers and their appointed Judiciary.

The big problem for the EU and our establishment alike was always that the UK system of justice is very different from that in the rest of Europe.

In the UK the emphasis is on maintaining the liberty of its citizens whereas the EU Corpus Juris system is simply focussed upon taking the liberty away from its citizens and keeping them under state control at its convenience.

We saw with the recent arrest of Carles Puigdemont - Catalan Leader in exile - that he can be held for 60 days in a German prison with a further 30 days as necessary.

We would hope that this could not happen in the UK - but Mrs May has signed the UK up to this very same system, and in this case, it is for a Political "crime" which could lead to 30 years in jail in Spain. There is no place for self-determination in the EU - with 25 Spanish Politicians either jailed or "on-the-run" likely to become "Political Prisoners".

We await a statement from Brussels (Berlin) to justify such behaviour against any Spanish (EU citizens) - which would establish the true nature of the EU's Corpus Juris system exposed for all to see.

The EU's Article 6 (TEU) claims to recognise Human Rights legislation (but not if that affects the EU's powers) - and the arrest of the Catalan leader looks more like the beginnings of political purges in the EU.

Differences English Common Law and EU Corpus Juris Common Law Magna Carta are detailed below:

Magna Carta (~1215)

Rights of Accused:

Cannot be Arrested without Evidence

Can only be held for short period (~24hours) charges must be presented in an open court

Has the right to face their accuser

Assessed by Lay Magistrates

Right to Trial by Jury

"Adversarial" Model

Open Court

Presumption of Innocence

Cannot be tried more than once for the same criminal offence

Burden of Proof is on the Prosecutor

Corpus Juris ("Napoleonic" Law)

Rights of Accused:

Can be arrested without Evidence

Can be held indefinitely without any charge

Accuser may be anonymous and accused has no right to see the evidence

Professional Judges

No Right to Trial by Jury

"Inquisitorial" Model

Closed Court

Guilty until proven innocent

Can be repeatedly retried until found guilty

Burden of Proof is on the Defendant (*after Freedom under threat - The case against the EU and Supernationalism by D. Andrews - freedom-central.ne*)

In fact, the basic system described above under "Uniform EU Legal System" was included in the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - and under Article 86 (TFEU):

"1. In order to combat crimes affecting the financial interests of the Union, the Council, by means of regulations adopted in accordance with a special legislative procedure, may establish a European Public Prosecutor's Office from Eurojust. The Council shall act unanimously after obtaining the consent of the European Parliament."

"2. The European Public Prosecutor's Office shall be responsible for investigating, prosecuting and bringing to judgment, where appropriate in liaison with Europol, the perpetrators of, and accomplices in, offences against the Union's financial interests, as determined by the regulation provided for in paragraph 1. It shall exercise the functions of prosecutor in the competent courts of the Member States in relation to such offences."

Legal (and therefore political) interference can and will take place directly within the court systems of the EU Member States

"4. The European Council may, at the same time or subsequently, adopt a decision amending paragraph 1 in order to extend the powers of the European Public Prosecutor's Office to include serious crime having a cross-border dimension and amending accordingly paragraph 2 as regards the perpetrators of, and accomplices in, serious crimes affecting more than one Member State."

The powers of the EU Public Prosecutor can be extended to include other areas of crime - that is to say the implementation of Corpus Juris will be visited upon the populations gradually. The Treaties are legally binding contracts which the EU can alter at will - using such clauses.

This Corpus Juris system though is not new to many EU members - but it's totally anathema to the UK population's belief in freedom and justice - and, as a result, it is being introduced more stealthily with a gradual erosion of our rights under Common Law through modification of our legal practices; under the ruse of saving money, again more generally applied since 1997.

These changes are taking place without our permission - implemented by our so called elected "representatives" in particular those in the Parliamentary Labour Party (PLP) - but many others are no different - and the full application of Corpus Juris will result in an EU Police State - unless the UK's parliamentary "Nest of Perfidious Vipers" is cleared of EUphile politicians, and their appointed Peers at the next general election.

EU Crime Agencies

The following information illustrate the underlying nature of the EU project

"Agents of the EU government cannot be prosecuted. All those who work for the EU have a life time's immunity from prosecution. The buildings, records and files of the EU cannot be searched. They are above the law.[41]"

EAW

"... extradition procedures empower the EU with the "European Arrest Warrant"[42] which strips the British Government of doing anything to stop European officers from coming into the country and taking whom so ever they want away for incarceration. They do not even need to possess the warrant. There does not even need to be a warrant! The British Government will be powerless to stop them (as eventually with all EU laws)"

New Labour (Jack Straw) brought in the EAW and Theresa May seems to have been happy to extend it - in fact most of the politicians are apparently happy that the British people should be tricked into giving up their Common Law protections to the EU.

Property Rights

From the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union we read that: "no one may be deprived of his or her possessions, except in the public interest..."[43]

And the Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 52) allows the EU to limit rights "where necessary" in the "general interest" of the EU. It is the European Court that will decide what is both "necessary" and what exactly constitutes the "general interest".

Free Speech

"The Advocate-General of the ECJ gave a legal opinion (ref. case C-274/99) that criticism of the EU was akin to blasphemy. Punishing someone for allegedly criticising the EU, whether such allegations were proven or not, were (said he) not an infringement of free speech.[45]"

"The EU is also creating "new crimes" whereby it can make illegal expressions it deems to be "xenophobic" or "racist". Terms it will define of course."

We understand that the very offensive Mr Blair was a prime mover in the instigation of these convenient new "offences" - designed to give more rights to selected groups within the Member States - as part of a campaign to control the populations of Europe using "Cultural Marxism" techniques.

Liberty

"Europol, the new European Police force, is not accountable to an elected body. It answers to a committee formed by the Council of Ministers. It has a much wider function than fighting crime, being possessed of the ability to store information on a central database (provisions for which were made under the Maastricht Treaty) on anyone (criminal or not), including a record of an individual's political and religious affiliations." Europol is the EU's Secret Police - its "KGB" with Diplomatic Immunity

"Common Law presupposes that everything is permitted that is not expressly forbidden by law whereas the Civil Law of Europe takes the view that everything is illegal unless expressly permitted by law." Why is our establishment so desperate to have its population subject to foreign laws - enforced by a foreign power?

Self Defence

"The right to keep and bear arms is enshrined in the English Bill of Rights (1689) which, in part, reads: "The subjects...may have arms for their defence suitable to their condition and as allowed by law." This is a declaration of a lawful right and is not subject to infringement by governments of the day; its inclusion was and is for the very purpose of protecting from such infringement.[50]" The EU wants all of its citizens disarmed - beware of the next EU Dictator or even the current one (Merkel)

Free Association

"The EU is also looking to fund political parties with taxpayers' money, a proposal that is so clearly wrong it is a wonder anyone could support it. Although the EU claims this will

bring more democracy and transparency (which it will not) the real effect of state funding will be that the EU will control which parties are legal and which are not."

It difficult to comprehend the level of hatred of their own people that anyone could harbour that they would wish to trap their own countrymen and women in the EU.

The trivial nature of what the Atheist EU is offering as benefits are so far outweighed by the sinister nature of the EU organisation itself, and its plans for the subjugation of the populations of the Member States.

That it could only appeal to political classes of the Member States who would be happy to dispose of their responsibilities to their own populations - and so totally betray their trust.

For a detailed elaboration of the items highlighted above and the [...] references cited in this article - and very much more please see "*Freedom in Jeopardy: The case against the EU and Supernationalism*" by D Andrews (www.freedom-central.net/euanddbritain.html)