

26th March 2019

The EU Little "Rebels"

Our elected representatives certainly think a lot of themselves - but it is clear that, in majority, they cannot be trusted - their word cannot be relied upon, nor can their Manifesto pledges* - which means our Democracy is a lie - and it is no better than that of the EU or any other third world country.



The above amendment passed by 461 votes to 89 (majority of 372 MP's) - which is 68% of the total of 550 MP's who voted - in majority they voted to trigger Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty (2007) and take the UK out of the European Union.

Last week they reneged upon their respect for the wishes of the majority and sought to look after their own interests and those of the EU - led in no small part by Jeremy Corbyn and the political Left to prevent the WTO Option - the only option that really does respect the result of the Referendum in 2016.

They also voted down, on two occasions, the non-negotiated EU Treaty (WA&PD) given to Theresa May by Angela Merkel - but their motivation was: to blame the Conservatives for the UK being trapped in the EU; attempt to bring down the elected government; show their disdain for the electorate and position themselves for a General Election - in the hope that they could install Jeremy Corbyn in No 10 - who was already working with the EU in order to keep the UK in the Customs Union and Single Market. We must ensure that the duplicitous politicians are never elected again.

The political Left do not seem to realise that, anything, other than either WTO or the WA&PD, would actually require a renewed extension period to produce a different Withdrawal Agreement - but why would the EU want to do that - and back down from its position of strength?

The EU (Merkel) would more likely refer any new Prime Minister, or parliament - back to the same WA&PD - already given to Theresa May.

After all the EU is even less trustworthy than the UK Parliament - and that is saying something. Perhaps the two are connected - but the question is - was the UK Parliament corrupt before the 1st January 1973 or has it developed over the past 46 years as a result of joining the EEC(EU)?

Seizing Power

In a spectacular show of hubris, our elected representatives have shown themselves to be beneath contempt, as they break the sacred bond of trust between the British people and their MP's - whom they entrusted to make laws on their behalf. But, for the past 46 years have also been passing on laws from an unelected foreign power (behind the backs of the British people) - laws which the British people are required to abide by - this is the ultimate deception.

Those who voted for this current display of "parliamentary power" (329 votes to 302 votes) - are simply demonstrating their loyalty to the EU; for the benefit of their masters in Brussels (Berlin) - but they will neither be forgiven nor forgotten - their names will live in infamy

The idea that they needed to make this display, apart from a reason cited above, is rather obscure - since Theresa May had already stated that indicative votes would take place - but the government would not provide advance acceptance of any of them - for obvious reasons.

What is parliament up to? They are planning on voting for various alternative options to (WA&PD) and (WTO) - on Wednesday the 27th March and again on the 1st of April - which they plan to offer to Barnier and the 27 - these may include, in no particular order.

A longer extension of Article 50; 2nd EU Referendum; Revoking Article 50; Staying in the Customs Union and Single Market etc., etc. Whatever is put forward for Parliament to vote on it will not be in Britain's interests - only in the interests of Bankers and big business.

Mrs May, meanwhile, plans to present (WA&PD) again on Thursday - and on, and on, and on ... the EU way. She must not get WA&PD ratified at any price - the claims made by May about alternatives losing power in the EU apply equally to Merkel's WA&PD - only WTO provides escape from the EU prison camp. Any so called "Brexit" who signs up to Merkel's deal was always just another "Remainer" in "sheep's clothing" - it would be an act of insanity to capitulate to the EU.

Perhaps the most bizarre episode of these recent shenanigans is Corbyn's, and the rest of the Left who want to stay in the EU, who insisted on ensuring that the UK keeps all the EU workers' rights etc.

But, as the "Socialist Worker" pointed out in 2008, regarding the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - if they really care about workers' rights etc., they should put all their efforts into finding a way to leave the EU (e.g. WTO) not look for ways to stop leaving the EU.

Referring to Gordon Brown's refusal to hold a Referendum on the Lisbon Treaty (2007) - the "Socialist Worker" in 2008, Commented:

- "The Lisbon treaty commits the EU member states to seek "uniformity in measures of liberalisation". This is code for privatisation of water, health, education and other services.
- The treaty also gives constitutional backing to the creation of an "open market" free from "distortions" – an attack on both the welfare state and trade union rights.
- Meanwhile fresh attacks are stacking up at a European level. A recent EU directive seeks to promote privatisation of postal services across Europe.

- *And a trio of European court judgements allows employers to hire migrant workers on the pay and conditions of their home country – permitting Polish workers working in Germany to be paid Polish-level wages and to be covered by Polish health and safety laws." (Socialist Worker, Chris Bambery, Tue 17th June 2008 Issue No 2106)*

It would be expected that the political Left would be well aware of the above facts - but it is probable that very few of the UK MP's have actually ever read any of the EU Treaties - even Caroline Flint (Europe Minister at the time) admitted that she had not read all of the Lisbon treaty (2007) - now the EU's Constitutional Treaty - though she may now be fully aware of how dangerous it is to the EU Member States.

MP's stopping the UK from leaving the EU need to explain why they are happy with the following consequences:

1: The UK along with all existing members of the EU lose their abstention veto in 2020 as laid down in the Lisbon Treaty when the system changes to that of majority acceptance with no abstentions or veto's being allowed.

2: All member nations will become states of the new federal nation of the EU by 2022 as clearly laid out in the Lisbon treaty with no exceptions or veto's.

3: All member states must adopt the Euro by 2022 and any new member state must do so within 2 years of joining the EU as laid down in the Lisbon treaty.

4: The London stock exchange will move to Frankfurt in 2020 and be integrated into the EU stock exchange resulting in a loss of 200,000 plus jobs in the UK because of the relocation. (This has already been pre-agreed and is only on a holding pattern due to the Brexit negotiations, which if Brexit does happen the move is fully cancelled but if not and the UK remains a member it's full steam ahead for the move.)

5: The EU Parliament and ECJ become supreme over all legislative bodies of the UK.

6: The UK will adopt 100% of whatever the EU Parliament and ECJ lays down without any means of abstention or veto, negating the need for the UK to have the Lords or even the Commons as we know it today.

7: The UK will NOT be able to make its own trade deals.

8: The UK will NOT be able to set its own trade tariffs.

9 The UK will NOT be able to set its own trade quotas.

10: The UK loses control of its fishing rights

11: The UK loses control of its oil and gas rights

12: The UK loses control of its borders and enters the Schengen region by 2022 as clearly laid down in the Lisbon treaty

13: The UK loses control of its planning legislation

14: The UK loses control of its armed forces including its nuclear deterrent

15: The UK loses full control of its taxation policy

16: The UK loses the ability to create its own laws and to implement them

17: The UK loses its standing in the Commonwealth

18: The UK loses control of any provinces or affiliated nations e.g.; Falklands, Cayman Islands, Gibraltar etc

19: The UK loses control of its judicial system

20: The UK loses control of its international policy

21: The UK loses full control of its national policy

22: The UK loses its right to call itself a nation in its own right.

23: The UK loses control of its space exploration program

24: The UK loses control of its Aviation and Sea lane jurisdiction

25: The UK loses its rebate in 2020 as laid down in the Lisbon treaty

26: The UK's contribution to the EU is set to increase by an average of 1.2bn pa and by 2.3bn pa by 2020 ••

This is the future that the youth of today think we stole from them? (from theeuroprobe.org: 2019 – 012 If UK stays in the EU these are the consequences. Posted on 14 March, 2019)

*** "A recent court case (Brighton County Court 07/02/2008) challenged the decision by Gordon Brown not to have the Labour Party manifesto promise of a referendum, along with the formal statement by Tony Blair that the Labour Party manifesto was a Contract with the People. But Gordon Brown's barrister Ms. Cecelia Ivimy said on behalf of her client: "They (NuLabour manifesto promises and contracts) are not subject to legitimate expectation". Astonishing?**

Even more astonishing is that the Brighton County Court judge accepted this defence. His judgement was: 'The public should not expect promises or contracts made in NuLabour Party manifestos to be kept' (see 2013 – 017 Manifesto promises by Blair, Brown and Cameron Posted on 10 March, 2013)

This ruling means that no Manifesto promise from any UK political party can be relied upon - as was unambiguously demonstrated by the UK parliament in recent days - most notably by those on the Political Left.