

## Wolves in Sheep's Clothing



Corbyn and McDonnell and the rest of the political Left.

### Corbyn's Faust Tribute Act

So, finally Corbyn and the Labour party decided, not to decide, what to do about their voting choice in any 2nd EU Referendum. Instead, they decided to wait and see what happens and then to attempt to take any advantage - should one emerge.

If Jeremy Corbyn believes that he and Labour would be "out-of-the-woods", trying to force the Tories into taking ownership of keeping the UK in the EU, while they just sit on the side-lines, he is mistaken.

After he decided to meet with Theresa May in 2019, he came back with a worse version of what was already in the Merkel-May Treaty (Withdrawal Agreement) - a never-ending Customs Union.

Thus, ensuring that the UK would be trapped the EU - so why they are trying to fudge their position now?

**Corbyn has already sold out the Leave voters - the rest is history.**

**So, what happened to Corbyn? After he visited the EU negotiating team in Brussels (2018) he came back, as a new man (literally) - (a second Neil Kinnock perhaps) gone was the anti-EU rhetoric and then it was all about staying in the EU - even with its "State-Aid" restrictions.**

## **Labour and the EU**

**Blair and the Labour Party have pushed for full integration of the UK into the EU since 1997.**

**In fact, Blair spoke recently, about how the UK would evolve in the next 20 years - "once Brexit was out of the way".**

**He went on to suggest that we should integrate more inside the UK (get rid of the idea of Scots, Welsh, Irish, English) and embrace multiculturalism - although he chose his words more carefully.**

**The next 20 years were thoroughly mapped out in the Lisbon Treaty (2007) and they involve the destruction of the UK - with the individual UK nations existing simply as multiple dissected regions of the EU. Each administered from Brussels (under control from Berlin).**

**The individual national identities wiped-out to form part of an EU identity; a continuation of what Blair would have carried out if the Labour party had remained in power. It is worth a reminder of what the Labour party have been up to since 1997, as set out below:**

### **"New Labour"**

**It would be a mistake to directly compare the "alleged" pacifist Corbyn, who openly supports selected terrorist groups and admires Marxist states, with failed economies, around the world with the "alleged" warmonger Blair.**

**That is because Blair was only half of a double act - with Brown.**

**Some "New Labour" politicians in the Parliamentary Labour Party (PLP), like Blair were more than just Champagne Socialists, and others were part of an extreme Left-wing Cabal, all Fabians, and all intent on the same programme; that Corbyn would also adopt. A programme with only one objective, to bring the UK to heel within the EU; as part of a larger programme to bring about a single government in the EU.**

**While Blair was living the rock star lifestyle on the one hand, he was also systematically dismantling the UK through Devolution, and wrecking our state education with "dumbed-down" programmes.**

**Labour's "Education, Education, Education" policies produced a lost generation of our youngsters, who were unable to compete with even basic overseas education systems - let alone on the world stage. Leaving Britain with a major loss of skilled workers, barely able to carry out basic Reading, Writing or elementary Mathematical tasks.**

**Blair and Straw interfered in our legal system, to make it compatible with the EU's Corpus Juris system: EU Arrest Warrant, UK "Supreme Court", repealing the "Death" penalty for Treason, effectively, removing Policing by consent and their connection to the local community, and more like the Continental system, installing mass surveillance etc. Much more control coming our way if Corbyn gets into power.**

**They also began a major privatisation programme with our NHS, cutting the number of beds in our hospitals, giving GP's a pay increase and cutting their requirement for out of hours provision. Simultaneously, they opened up the UK to mass migration from the EU; and beyond.**

**Meanwhile, Brown developed a benefit system designed provision, and other public services to all those who enter the UK from the EU. Which in effect, because of open borders, was for anyone from anywhere in the world; and all free at the point of delivery - even though none had made any contribution to the service.**

**Basically, Blair got into power in the UK by pretending not to be part of the Labour Party represented by Corbyn, but when in office behaved exactly the same way that Corbyn and his "Dream Team" would do, if ever elected.**

**The only way that New Labour was different from Corbyn's Labour Party is, (was) that Blair-Brown, held back from Nationalisation of our public services - from fear of not being re-elected. Which would have endangered their longer-term plans to trash the British economy. Which is the default position of all Labour and other Marxist political parties (SNP, LibDems, Greens, and non-parties alike such as "Extinction" Rebellion and the Unions etc., on route to a turning Britain into a Marxist state.**

## **post -"New" Labour**

**After Miliband from the New Labour (PLP) failed to get elected in 2015 with Blair's Centrist policies, Margaret Beckett put forward Jeremy Corbyn as a candidate - and this is where we are today.**

**A bare-faced Labour Party pretending to care for Britain - without the pretence of Blair and "New" Labour - but with exactly the same motivations and objectives as Blair and Brown.**

**Clause IV of the Labour Party Constitution - related to Nationalisation of Public Services was removed by Blair, because it was deemed to be the reason for the lack of progress in gaining electoral success by the Labour Party, and that turned out to be the case. However, its revival under Corbyn was put to the electorate in the 2017 General Election, and they did gain votes; fortunately, not enough to continue were Blair left-off.**

**The entire Corbyn programme was presented for approval to the Fabian Society in a speech, earlier in 2017 - that speech formed the basis of the Labour Party Manifesto.**

**The Labour a manifesto was accompanied by a mantra of "For the Many not the Few" borrowed from the Bernie Sanders USA Democratic (Marxist) campaign against Donald Trump.**

**The Labour mantra is, of course, just Marxist "Doublespeak" to fool the masses, brought in by US Labour advisors.**

**The significance of Corbyn and his speech to the Fabian Society is discussed below, to explain that there is no real fundamental difference between the Blair / Brown New Labour and Corbyn's Labour Party. That is apart from the hard-left Momentum army of hard-left activists rooting out non-believers in the "Cult of Corbyn".**

**In terms of differences between each Labour Party the only differences are re-Nationalisation of public services - and, in presentation - which Corbyn has lately brushed-up on.**

**But the real Corbyn is far more sinister than his demeanour suggests - just as it was with Blair. The real link between them though is the Fabian Society and its ideology; to which both of them adhere.**

## **The Labour Party - Fabian Society Connection**

***"The Labour Party has its roots in Fabian Socialism, which is a subversive ideology inspired by Marxism. It was founded in 1884, in London, by a group of Liberals and Radicals with links to financial interests. In 1893 a group of local societies merged to form the Independent Labour Party (ILP).***

***In 1900, Fabian Society and the ILP formed the Labour Representative Committee (LRC) (Keir Hardie) - in 1903, the LRC formed a pact with the Liberal Party against the Conservative Party and went on to win 29 seats. Soon after the 1906 elections, the LRC has renamed the Labour Party with the ILP as an affiliate.***

***The Labour Party became the second major Party in 1922, forming a minority government between 1924 and 1929. It formed its first majority party in 1945 under Clement Atlee.***

***The declared objective of the LRC, in 1905, was to "Overthrow Capitalism and to institute a system of public ownership of all means of production, distribution and exchange."***

***The Labour Party adopted a Constitution written by Sydney Webb in 1918 which aimed to establish "State ownership of the means of production, as well as state control of all industries and services" (Pugh, p.138).***

***During WWII, Labour MP's in Churchill's coalition government campaigned for Socialist policies, such as nationalisation, social welfare, based upon increased taxation and cooperation with the Soviet Union (Callaghan, p.156).***

***In 1945, Atlee introduced the Beveridge "Nanny State" welfare system - based upon the Soviet model; whilst simultaneously working towards dismantling the British Empire in preparation of a Socialist world government.***

***Under Harold Wilson in the 1960s, the Soviet Union was the ideal model society, which continues the case to the present, in one guise or another - particularly within the EU.***

***Other Fabian Socialist projects included the creation of the UN, NATO, EEC (EU), Bilderberg Group, the NUS and LSE, to name only a few".***  
**Controlling the Labour Party**

***All Labour governments have been dominated by Fabian members, and all Labour Prime Ministers have been members - Blair and the rest of the PLP are Fabian members and there about 200 Fabian MP's in the House of Commons ("The Fabian Society: a Brief History," Guardian, 13 August 2001).***

**London Mayor Sadiq Khan is also a Fabian member. Effectively, the Labour Party is a front organisation for the Fabian Society. This explains the continuity of its actions, by whatever disguise the Labour Party leaders inhabit - be it Blair or Corbyn or anyone else.**

## **How the Labour Party Operates**

**The Labour Party has had a negative impact upon the UK through its policies for most of its existence, and it was particularly extensive under the previous Labour Government (so-called "New" Labour).**

**These include its Hallmark economic mismanagement, state education failures, social breakdown, extremism, crime, immigration, Multiculturalism and Islamisation.**

**The main positive effect of the Labour Party has been the NHS, a Healthcare system based upon the Soviet model, under Aneurin Bevan in 1948 - designed and funded (costed) for a population of some 46 million.**

Even this has been handicapped by the Labour Party, through PFI obligations, bed reductions and uncontrolled mass immigration (see Bob Lyddon's analysis of the effect of EU immigration on the UK public services, derived from official figures) *Labour's destruction of the UK*

Economics

The actions of Blair and Brown (1997 - 2010) led to the longest and deepest recession since WWII, creating an unprecedented budget deficit of £90 billion in 2008/09. According to the Guardian, not only is the deterioration of the public finances unprecedented, but it is due to the credit crunch which began in 2007 (“UK budget deficit hits record £90 billion,” 22 Apr. 2009).

The Labour party created economic disaster left three million people unemployed.

## Education

In 1934, the Labour Party took control of the London County Council - primary and secondary schools - and similar bodies across the country - it had already "seized" control of universities and other institutions like the Fabian created London School of Economics.

The fact that the Labour regime has found it necessary to import millions of skilled workers from countries like Pakistan speaks for itself. It shows that in spite of the vast amounts of tax-payers' money invested in it, Britain's education system is worse than that of failed Third World states.

## Breakdown of Society

Labour policy has been to ignore the importance of marriage in the development and progress of children, allegedly, so as not to appear “discriminatory or judgemental” towards unmarried and single parents.

The direct result of this has been that in 2009 married couples became a minority in Britain for the first time in history and this, in turn, has led to a rise in broken homes and the anti-social and criminal behaviour that comes with it.

The overall result of Labour policies has been that the overwhelming majority of Britons (70%) now believe that British society is broken (“We're living in broken Britain, say most voters,” The Times, 9 Feb. 2010).

## **Rising Crime**

*In 2000, there was a significant rise in violent crime and this trend continued unchanged during the Blair-Brown regime (“Big rise in violent crime,” BBC News, 18 Jul. 2000; “How the police missed the violence,” BBC News, 23 Oct. 2008).*

Gavin Lockhart, head of Policy Exchange’s crime and justice unit has said:

“After a decade of unprecedented spending on policing, courts and prisons, England and Wales have a recorded crime rate twice that of the European average” (“The UK failing on causes of crime,” BBC News, 11 May 2009).

In particular, religion-motivated extremism has become a new cause of crime under Labour.

### **Immigration**

In 1948, Labour Prime Minister Clement Attlee passed the British Nationality Act., potentially, allowing all inhabitants of the British Empire to enter, live and work in the UK without restriction.

Controls were introduced after public concerns. Labour Party policy has always been to allow more and more immigrants into the UK. Perhaps we should ask - is the entire population of the Commonwealth the real cap that Labour might consider for immigration into the UK - perhaps not even that.

Between 1997-2010, Labour’s Blair-Brown regime imposed an official, deliberate and systematic policy of mass immigration, while blatantly lying about the true extent of immigration (“Labour lied to the public about immigration, says Ed Miliband’s aide Lord Glasman,” Daily Telegraph, 17 Apr. 2011).

Labour’s policy of mass immigration, that is, deliberate and systematic import of cheap labour from abroad, has resulted in wages being kept artificially down, and clearly exposes Labourism – a system ostensibly representing the British working class – as a fraudulent system.

Following the demise of the Blair-Brown regime in 2010, immigration policies remained largely the same due to government advisory bodies like the Migration Advisory Committee (MAC) which was set up by Labour in 2007 at the London School of Economics (LSE); Migration Observatory at Oxford University, which has served as adviser to a string of pro-immigrant bodies like the International Labour Organisation (ILO), the International Organisation for Migration (IOM), the Global Commission on International Migration (GCIM) and the UN Development Programme (UNDP).

## **Multiculturalism**

*In 1966, Labour Home Secretary and future President of the European Commission, Roy Jenkins – a former Fabian Society Chairman – initiated a shift in government policy from the assimilation of immigrants to state-promoted “integration accompanied by cultural diversity” or multiculturalism (Patterson, p. 113).*

*“The dishonest intent of Jenkins’ actions is evident from the fact that he deliberately waited until after the elections (in which Labour won an increased majority) to start promoting this change of policy” (Banton, p. 71).*

As in the case of mass immigration, multiculturalism has been made a virtual taboo subject.

The British people have been given absolutely no say on the matter and all objective and critical discussion has been systematically suppressed and stifled.

## **Anti-racism**

*Labour’s immigration policies led to the transformation of Britain into a multiracial society.*

*The resulting inter-racial tensions were then used by Labour politicians to win the votes of immigrant communities and muster support for its anti-majority policies. “Anti-racism” has become Labour’s tool of choice for suppressing the rights of the indigenous population (Lewis, pp. 137 ff.), in effect becoming a new form of racism directed against the white majority”.*

*This anti-indigenous policy married up with European Union legislation which led to an extraordinary situation where EU-nationals enjoyed more immigration rights in Britain than did British citizens” (Joppke, 136).*

A situation which the EU plans to extend with the 3 million or so EU nationals currently in the UK., and whatever Keir Starmer says, the EU would get their way under a Corbyn government.

## **Promotion of Islam**

*The Labour policies of uncontrolled and unlimited immigration from Islamic countries, especially Pakistan; shambolic student visa system; mandatory multiculturalism; systematic sponsorship of Islamic schools, cultural centres, charities and mosques; appointment of Muslims in key positions in the Labour Party, Ministry of Justice, Home Office (responsible for immigration and asylum), Social Services, etc., have enabled Islamic extremist organisations to*

***infiltrate all sections of British society and obtain support, funds and recruits for their anti-British activities.***

***As revealed by a Policy Exchange report in 2009, £90 million spent on “fighting Islamic extremism” actually went to groups linked to extremist organisations like the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt and Jamaat-e Islami in Pakistan.***

***Other beneficiaries included the Muslim Council of Britain, the United Kingdom Islamic Mission and the Islamic Society of Britain. In an attempt to win Muslim votes, in Luton alone the Home Office project “Preventing Violent Extremism” funded seven Muslim centres (“How the Government pays Muslims to vote Labour,” Daily Telegraph, 17 March 2009).***

***Islamic Extremism Journalist Polly Toynbee pointed out that the Left has embraced the extreme Islamist cause, which excites its revolutionary zeal (“We must be free to criticise without being called racist,” Guardian, 18 Aug. 2004).***

The Labour policy has not been one of eradication of Islamic extremism, but one of “containment” by bribing the Muslim minority and its extremist elements through concessions and cooperation.

***In July 2006, the Foreign Office (headed by Margaret Beckett) sponsored a large gathering of European Islamist organisations in Turkey which concluded that all Muslims in Europe should abide by the Koran as a means of “enriching Europe” and setting an example for non-Muslims to follow (Pargeter, pp. 198-9; Topkapi Declaration, 2 Jul. 2006).***

Organisations recruiting Muslim fundamentalists under Blair’s New Labour regime were:

- MI6, which recruited Indian-born Haroon Rashid Aswat, believed to have masterminded the 7/7 London bombings (FOX News, “Day Side,” 29 Jul. 2005; “As 3 Nations Consulted, Terror Suspect Eluded Arrest,” The New York Times, 29 Jul. 2005);
- 
- MI5 (“Al Qaeda may have infiltrated British Security Service,” FOX News, 1 Aug. 2009);
- 
- Scotland Yard, which appointed adviser on combating extremism and terrorism the Tunisian immigrant Mohamed Ali Harrath, co-founder of the Tunisian Islamic Front, a fundamentalist organisation advocating the establishment of an Islamic state in Tunisia and on an Interpol list for terrorism-related offences (“Sack Mohamed Ali Harrath, Scotland Yard told,” The Times, 16 Dec. 2008; “Muslim Channel chief held over terror allegations,” The Times, 26 Jan. 2010);
- 
- Territorial Army (“Territorial Army infiltrated by Al-Qaeda,” The Sunday Times, 17 Oct. 2004).

## Foreign Policy

*The United Nations: as admitted by Fabian Executive member and Chairman of the Fabian International Bureau Denis Healey, the main objective of the 1945-51 Attlee Government had been the conversion of the United Nations into “some form of world government,” which was to be achieved “by a steady strengthening in both the scope and the authority of the United Nations” (Healey, 1963, pp. 1, 3).*

- *NATO: Ostensibly, meant to contain the expansion of Soviet and Chinese Communism, NATO was in fact used by the Attlee government as a smokescreen to make deals with the Communist regimes and promote world Socialism.*
- 
- *Socialist International: declaration at the 1962 Oslo Conference: “The ultimate objective of the parties of the Socialist International is nothing less than world government. As a first step towards it, they seek to strengthen the United Nations so that it may become more and more effective ...”*
- 
- *Bilderberg Group: A Fabian organisation set up in 1954 by leading Fabians Joseph Retinger (a London-based Polish Socialist belonging to Fabian Society circles), Hugh Gaitskell and Denis Healey in collaboration with David and Nelson Rockefeller and other leading Council on Foreign Relations officials. Healey was a member of the Bilderberg Steering Committee from inception (Callaghan pp. 203-4; de Villemarest, 2004, vol. 2, p. 15; Healey, 2006, pp. 195-6; Rockefeller, p. 411).*
- 
- *The Bilderberg Group, the European Movement and the Action Committee for a United States of Europe (ACUSE) – founded by French left-winger Jean Monnet – were the key organisations campaigning for a united Europe in the 1940s and 50s (Aldrich, 216), which led to the establishment of the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) that later became the European Union.*

## Islamisation of Europe

In November 2007, at the Opening Ceremony at the Bruges Campus, College of Europe, Bruges, Belgium, Labour Foreign Secretary David Miliband spoke in favour of “unbreakable ties” with Europe’s Muslim neighbour countries and inclusion of Turkey, the Middle East and North Africa in Europe.

Ominously, he stressed the need of developing shared institutions to overcome religious and cultural divides between Europe and Muslim countries (“EU ‘should expand beyond Europe’”, BBC News, 15 Nov. 2007). After freebritainnow.org.

For more information about the Labour Party, and how it despises Britain and the British people; seeks to promote its own agenda; not just in the UK, but also

in Europe and further afield, "Exposing the Labour Party" at [freebritainnow.org](http://freebritainnow.org) – looks like this website has been shut down as well.

## **The Bottom Line**

The EEC (EU) is a Fabian Society project, so is the Labour Party, the Bilderberg Group (as well as many other affiliated, supporting organisations, such as the UN, LSE etc.) - they are all interrelated.

The entire project is about controlling the working-class populations in the UK and Europe - on behalf of the Banking and Multinational Corporations (mass migration lowers wages on behalf of big business and acts against the interests of the working class - who the Labour pretend to support) - that is what the Labour Party has always really been about.

This has been the case since its Fabian Society origins; which are based upon Marxism - and was established and run by Middle-Class individuals (Beatrice Webb / Bernard Shaw) and supported by Moneyed interests (Rothschild / Rockefeller) - from "Origins of Socialism" by Cassivellaunus, 25 December 2012, at [freebritainnow.org](http://freebritainnow.org) (*the website now appears to have been shut down*)

If Corbyn or an alliance with the Political Left ever win a General Election, then Britain will be lost in any form that we would ever recognise in the future, and not for the better.

Only a pro-UK government, which wants Britain and its people to be successful and economically, viable and independent, can prevent the dire future that awaits the UK in the Communist-dominated EU Dictatorship; by getting us out of the EU.

Any new Labour Party government would simply defer to any proposition put by the EU - since their interests run in parallel - they have no motivation to get us out of the EU - quite the opposite - they would "spend" us into bankruptcy, then borrow from the EU.

Which would allow the ECB, IMF, EC, ESM to asset strip the UK, even more so than for the past 46 years.

The Conservatives claimed, most vociferously, and all the other political parties stated, that they also accepted, that the majority of voters in the UK (in June 2016) do not wish to stay in the EU - and they have agreed to act upon that instruction.

Unfortunately, the political Left have turned out to be charlatans, at least in the majority, since they never had any real intention of acting as instructed by the result of the 2016 EU Referendum. Worse, we discovered that, with rare exception, our entire political system is a corrupt EU "puppet".

Throughout the time following the 2016 EU Referendum - Blair has been conniving with the EU to deny the British people their democratic right to decide who really governs them and remove those who have usurped that right.

Blair has been very active in his attempts to openly attack and prevent the "Brexit" process from bearing ripe fruit; by coordinating the strategy of the EU with visits to Brussels negotiators.

Whilst also coordinating action to prevent the legal passage of legislation to free the UK from the EU rule, in parliament - working in the Commons through the PLP, and in the Lords through appointed Peers loyal to the EU; which he and Cameron ennobled for the purpose. Blair has never hidden his ambition to become Emperor Blair of the EU - but Merkel also wants the top EU job - not sure who is worse.

We must believe that our Democratic vote will be defended by a new pro-UK political party; in the same way that we have no faith in the Labour Party or any other, to do any such thing.

In fact, the Fabian, Bernard Shaw, had stated that:

*"Democracy is incompatible with Socialism"*

this statement links together all those who have refused (or only pretend) to accept the result of the EU Referendum on the 23rd June 2016, and are currently pursuing repeat referenda, on behalf of the EU.

Until we are free from legal control by the EU, we cannot solve our numerous problems within the UK, which have been mostly created by the EU and the Labour Party et al., in collusion.

If the EU was so good for the populations of Europe why did it have to be built through stealth and deception; behind their backs?

## References

Aldrich, Richard J., "OSS, CIA and European unity: The American Committee on United Europe, 1948-60," *International History Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, London, Nov. 1995; also in *Diplomacy & Statecraft*, Vol. 8, No. 1, London, March 1997, pp. 184-227.

Banton, Michael., *Promoting racial harmony*, Cambridge, 1985. Callaghan pp. 203-4;

De Villemarest, 2004, vol. 2, p. 15; Healey, 2006, pp. 195-6; Rockefeller, p. 411  
De Villemarest, Pierre., *Facts & Chronicles Denied To The Public*, vols. 1 & 2, 2003; English trans. Slough, Berkshire, 2004.

**Healey, Denis., "A Labour Britain and the World," Fabian Tract No. 352, London, 1963.**

**Healey, Denis., The Time of My Life, London, 2006.**

**Joppke, Christian., Immigration and the Nation-State: The United States, Germany and Great Britain, New York, NY, 1999.**

**Lewis, Russell, Anti-Racism: A Mania Exposed, London, 1988.**

**Patterson, Sheila., Immigration and Race Relations in Britain 1960-1967, London, 1969.Pargeter, Alison.,**

**The New Frontiers of Jihad: Radical Islam in Europe, London, 2008.**

**Pugh, Patricia., Educate, Agitate, Organize: 100 Years of Fabian Socialism, London, 1984.**

**Rockefeller David., Memoirs, New York, NY, 2002.**

**Adapted from an earlier Blog.**

**Please advise euexit.com of any errors or misquotes, which will be corrected**

**Please feel free to distribute this pdf.**