

Revision 1



CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT		No.WQS-PGFS-2735-1	PrimusGFS Version 3.1
		GFS ID #185240	Ver en Español
Operation Type:Farm	Audited	l by WQS, LLC.	Ver en Espanoi
Audit Report Summary			
	Moultrie Melon Compan	ıy	
	Contact(s): Kelli Bozeman Sam		
Organization:	Address: 356 Funston Doerun Ro Location: Moultrie, Georgia, United		
	Phone Number: 229-873-9912	d Oldles	
	Shed Farm		
Operation:	Contact(s): <u>Sam Watson</u>		
	Location: 356 Funston Doerun Ro	d Moultrie, Georgia 31768, United	d States
Shipper:	Moultrie Melon Company		
Operation Type:	Farm		
Audit Type:	Announced Audit		
Audit Scope:	Moultrie Melon is a grower, pack are grown on plastic with drip irri		gplant, squash and cucumbers located in Moutrie, GA. All crops uction practices.
Date Documentation Review Started:	14 Oct 2019 08:00		
Date Documentation Review Finished:	14 Oct 2019 16:30		
Total Amount of Time on the Documentation Review:	6.00 Hours		
Date Visual Inspection Started:	14 Oct 2019 13:00		
Date Visual Inspection Finished:	14 Oct 2019 14:30		
Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection:	1.50 Hours		
Addendum(s) included in the audit:	Not Applicable		
Product(s) observed during audit:	Bell Peppers, Chili Pepper, Cucu	umber English, Eggplant / Aub	ergine, Squash
Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed:	Tomatoes, Watermelons		
Product(s) applied for but not observed:	Cabbage		
Auditor:	Wendi Jennings (WQS, LLC.)		
Preliminary Audit Score:	97%		
Final Audit Score:	97%		
Certificate Valid From:	18 Nov 2019 To 17 Nov 2020		
	Latitude	Longitude	
			1
	31° 14' 40"	83° 54' 44"	Click here to see map
	31° 14' 38"	83° 54' 46"	
	31° 14' 30"	83° 54' 57"	
GPS Coordinates:	31° 14' 49" 21° 14' 20"	83° 55' 3"	
	31° 14' 39"	83° 54' 42"	
	31° 14' 26"	83° 54' 38"	
l	31° 14' 20"	83° 54' 40"	Page 1 of

31° 14' 21"	83° 54' 31"	
31° 14' 31"	83° 54' 27"	
31° 14' 16"	83° 54' 25"	
31° 14' 47"	83° 54' 41"	
	31° 14' 31" 31° 14' 16"	31° 14' 31" 83° 54' 27" 31° 14' 16" 83° 54' 25"

View Certificate

Which input(s) are used in the growi	ing operation?
Subcategory Name	Description
Inorganic Fertilizers	e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.

Which water source(s) is/are used in the growing operation?				
	What is this water source used for?	Irrigation, Crop protection sprays, Fertigation		
	What type of irrigation is used?	Drip		
Well	Does the water come in contact with the edible portion of the crop?	No		
	Which product grouping is this water source used for?	Brassica Vegetables, Cucurbit Fruit, Cucurbit Vegetables, Fruiting Vegetables		

Information related to the audited operation				
Total number of workers for the operation:	8	Was work being performed?	Yes	
Adjacent Land:	crop, woodland, residential	What work was being performed?	Other: harvest	
Operation Size:	262 Acres	What type of toilet(s) was present at the operation?	Portable Toilet	
Cultural Methods used:	Conventional			

Product information for each product				
Product Group/Product Name	Observed Product	Seasonality	Country of destination for product	
Bell Peppers	Observed on the day of audit	From: April To: November		
Cabbage	Not observed and not of a similar risk type to what was observed	From: April To: November		
Chili Pepper	Observed on the day of audit	From: April To: November		
Cucumber, English	Observed on the day of audit	From: April To: November		
Eggplant / Aubergine	Observed on the day of audit	From: April To: November		
Squash	Observed on the day of audit	From: April To: November		
Tomatoes	Not observed but of a similar risk type to what was observed*	From: April To: July		
Watermelons	Not observed but of a similar risk type to what was observed*	From: April To: July		

AUDIT SCORING SUMMARY	Pre-Corrective A	Pre-Corrective Action Review		Post-Corrective Action Review		
	Score:	219	Score:	222		
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Possible Points:	229	Possible Points:	229		
	Percent Score:	95	Percent Score:	96		
	Score:	567	Score:	567		
Module 2 - Farm	Possible Points:	577	Possible Points:	577		
	Percent Score:	98	Percent Score:	98		
	Score:	786	Score:	789		
TOTAL	Possible Points:	806	Possible Points:	806		
	Percent Score:	97	Percent Score:	97		

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non- Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non- Conformances	
Food Safety Management System Requirements	2	2	
Module 2 - Farm	1	1	
TOTAL	3	3	

FSMS	Release of Items/Product			Rejected	
1.05.03	Question: Is there a documented product release procedure available?			Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 0 Score: Non-Compliance	
	Auditor Comments: NC. Daily inspections of the field are completed per Mr. Watson. However, the documentation confirming completion of this activity	en			
	Auditee Comments: Pre-Harvest inspection forms have been created and put into practice. Prior to harve someone inspects the field and zone and completes the pre-harvest inspection form. Attached are the for completed.		View	/ Files	
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: A preharvest inspection log has been implemented for the release of product at the field level for harvest. However, this question requires a procedure detailing the requirements of the release activities to be implemented. For which documentation has not been submitted.	No	Possible Points: Points Scored:	5 0	
SMS	Release of Items/Product			Approved	
1.05.04	Question: Are there records of product releases kept on file?		Possible Points Points Scored: Score:		
	Auditor Comments: NC. Records of field product releases have not been completed at this time.				
	Auditee Comments: On the pre-harvest inspection form, the inspector is expected to write whether the fire released for harvest. Attached are the inspection sheets with the written release in notes section.	eld is	View	/ Files	
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: MN. Product release at the field level has been implemented and records of completion submitted. However, there is not three months of records available for review. Records to be reviewed at next audit to confirm compliance.	Yes	Possible Points: Points Scored: New Score:	5 3 Minor Deficiency	
FARM	Adjacent Land Use			Rejected	
2.04.02	Question: Is there evidence of domestic animals, wild animals, grazing lands (includes homes with hobby farms, and non-commercial livestock) in proximity to the growing operation? If No, go to 2.04.03.		Possible Points Points Scored: Score:		
	Auditor Comments: Yes. there are cows located on adjacent land, however all land slopes away from growing area assisting in prevention of contamination. Buffers 12' are also provided further protection.				
	Auditee Comments:We will not complete corrective action				
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No corrective action submitted.	No	Possible Points:	10	