

**Revision 1** 



CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT		No.WQS-PGFS-1853-1		Prir	nusGFS Version 3.0
Operation Type:Packinghouse Audit Report Summary		ID #175652 - Cert:2 by WQS, LLC.			Ver en Español
Organization:	Moultrie Melon Company Contact(s): <u>Kelli Bozeman</u> Sam A Address: 356 Funston Doerun Roa Location: Moultrie, Georgia, United Phone Number: 229-873-9912	<u>Natson</u> ad 31768			
Operation:	Moultrie Melon Company Contact(s): <u>Kelli Bozeman</u> Location: 356 Funston Doerun Rd		d States		
Shipper:	Moultrie Melon Company				
Operation Type:	Packinghouse				
Audit Type:	Announced Audit				
Audit Scope:	Audit of packinghouse packing ye grade, sort, pack, cool and ship v constructed of metal and concret operation conducts business from	egetables. The wash step at e and has 32,500 square feet	this facility in	cludes a chlorine sanita	tion. The facility is
Date FSMS started:	24 May 2019 09:00				
Date FSMS finished:	24 May 2019 11:00				
Total Amount of Time in FSMS	2.00 Hours				
Date Operation Started:	24 May 2019 11:00				
Date Operation Finished:	24 May 2019 17:00				
Total Amount of Time in Operation	6.00 Hours				
Product(s) observed during audit:	Squash				
Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed:	Cucumbers, Eggplant / Aubergine	9			
Product(s) applied for but not observed:	Bell Peppers, Cabbage, Chili Pep	oper, Tomatoes, Watermelons	i		
Auditor:	Kevin Kelley (WQS, LLC.)				
Preliminary Audit Score:	96%				
Final Audit Score:	96%				
Certificate Valid From:	10 Jul 2019 To 09 Jul 2020				
	Latitude	Longitude			
GPS Coordinates:	31° 14' 42"	83° 54' 45"	1	Click here to see	

View Certificate

Information related to the audited operation						
Total number of workers:	18	Maximum worker number during peak season:	30			
Number of lines in normal production:	3	Number of lines running during the audit:	1			
Square Footage/Meters:	32500 Square feet	Are/Is a CCP(s) identified:	Yes			
Allergens:	No	Allergen type(s):				
Temperature Controlled Storage:	Yes	Wet or dry:	Wet-Product Wash Step with Reused Water			
Water Source:	Well					

Product information for each product							
		Operation Process(es)					
Product Group/Product Name	Seasonality	Cultural Methods	Cooling Equipment	Water Used	Antimicrobial Used		
Bell Peppers	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	N⁄A	N/A		
Cabbage	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	N⁄A	N⁄A		
Chili Pepper	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	NA	N/A		
Cucumbers	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	NA	NA		
Eggplant / Aubergine	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	Single pass and reused/recirculated water system	Sodium/Calcium hypochlorite		
Squash	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	Single pass and reused/recirculated water system	Sodium/Calcium hypochlorite		
Tomatoes	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	N/A	N/A		
Watermelons	From: May To: July	Conventional	Forced Air	N⁄A	N⁄A		

AUDIT SCORING SUMMARY	Pre-Corrective A	ction Review	Post-Corrective Action Review		
	Score:	229	Score:	229	
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Possible Points:	229	Possible Points:	229	
	Percent Score:	100	Percent Score:	100	
Module 5 - Good Manufacturing Practices	Score:	1135	Score:	1142	
Ŭ	Possible Points:	1185	Possible Points:	1185	
Requirements	Percent Score:	95	Percent Score:	96	
	Score:	260	Score:	260	
Module 6 - HACCP System Requirements	Possible Points:	270	Possible Points:	270	
	Percent Score:	96	Percent Score:	96	
	Score:	1624	Score:	1631	
TOTAL	Possible Points:	1684	Possible Points:	1684	
	Percent Score:	96	Percent Score:	96	

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non- Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non- Conformances
Food Safety Management System Requirements	0	0
Module 5 - Good Manufacturing Practices Requirements	7	7
Module 6 - HACCP System Requirements	1	1
TOTAL	8	8

GMP	Pest Control			Rejected
5.02.03	Question: Are plant and storage areas free of insects/rodents/birds/reptiles/mammals, or any evidence of them? Possible Po Points Score:			
	Auditor Comments: Mn. The plant and storage areas had some small bird activity along the perime sparrows were observed under the eve of the building.			
	Auditee Comments: Neasures are taken to try to keep birds out of the packing shed.			
		Accept CA?		
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points: Points Scored:	15 10
GMP	Pest Control			Rejected

5.02.04	Question: Is the area outside the facility free of evidence of pest activity?	Possible Po Points Score Score:			
	Auditor Comments: Mn. Some sparrows were observed along the perimeter of the building.				
	Auditee Comments: Measures are taken to try to keep birds away from the packing shed				
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points Points Scored:	: 10 7	
GMP	Storage Areas & Packaging Materials			Rejected	
5.03.02	Question: Is the storage area completely enclosed?	Possible Poi Points Score Score:			
	Auditor Comments: Mn. The storage area is not completely enclosed for the packaging and finisher operation has a roof and some walls. The coolers are enclosed.	ed product. Tr	ne		
	Auditee Comments:We will discuss enclosing the storage area at the next food safety meeting.				
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points Points Scored:	: 10 7	
_					
GMP	Operational Practices			Rejected	
5.04.03	Question: Are production areas completely enclosed?			nts: 15 ed: 5 Major Deficiency	
	Auditor Comments: Mj. The production area is not completely enclosed, the packinghouse has a r walls. Production occurs near an open side of the facility.	oof and some	)		
	Auditee Comments: We will discuss enclosing the packing shed at the next food safety meeting				
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points Points Scored:	: 15 5	
GMP	Buildings and Grounds			Rejected	
5.10.07	Question: Are the floor drains where they are needed for drainage and cleanup?		Possible Poi Points Score Score:		
	Auditor Comments: Mj. There is not a floor drain under the wash tank, the floor trough is not close enough to revent water under the wash tank.				
	Auditee Comments: We will discuss adding more drains at the next food safety meeting.				
	Accept CA?				
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points Points Scored:	x 5 1	
GMP	Maintenance & Sanitation Files			Rejected	

5.14.09	Question: Is there a routine program and written procedure to verify sanitation effectiveness using rapid post sanitation checks (e.g., ATP measurements, allergen specific proteins)?			nts: 15 d: 0 Non-Compliance	
	Auditor Comments: No. There is not a routine program to verify the sanitation effectiveness.				
	Auditee Comments:We will discuss cost effective options for rapid post sanitation checks at the next foo meeting.	d safety			
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points: Points Scored:	15 0	
GMP	Testing			Approved	
5.16.07	Question: Are there written risk-based corrective action procedures for when unacceptable test results are received, that describe the steps to be taken, assign responsibility for taking those steps, and steps to ensure the cause is identified (e.g., root cause analysis) and corrected to minimize the potential for product contamination?			nts: 10 d: 0 Non-Compliance	
	Auditor Comments: No. Written risk based corrective action procedures are part of the "Water Usa There are no detailed Above Acceptable Results procedures.				
	Auditee Comments: Research was done to determine unacceptable limits and corrective action to follow were written. See attached				
		Accept CA?			
	<b>CB/Auditor Review Comments:</b> Written risk based procedures was provided, the unacceptable limits are not quantified.	Yes	Possible Points: Points Scored: New Score:	10 7 Minor Deficiency	
			_		
HACCP	Development of the HACCP Plan			Rejected	
6.02.12	Question: Is there documented evidence that all plant workers have attended a HACCP training, including training for CCP operators?			nts: 10 d: 0 Non-Compliance	
	Auditor Comments: No. All plant workers have not been trained in HACCP.				
	Auditee Comments:We will develop a HACCP training schedule for all plant workers and CCP operators during the next food safety meeting.				
		Accept CA?			
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: No change.	No	Possible Points: Points Scored:	10 0	