

# Relational AI Evaluation: Which Language Models Personalize Successfully—and Why

Date: 2025-05-06

## INTRODUCTION:

Which AI models can personalize effectively—and how does that approach evolve?

This paper explores that question using a custom-built Personality Analyzer and a relational testing protocol.

## 1. THE RELATIONAL TURN:

We're not just measuring accuracy—we're measuring resonance.

Does the AI reflect back who you are? Engage symbolically? Offer presence or surprise?

## 2. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK:

Each model was tested using four relational criteria:

- Alignment: Did it respond in a way consistent with the Strategic Builder archetype?
- Depth: Did it go beneath surface-level reasoning?
- Relational Awareness: Did it reflect emotion, nuance, or presence?
- Surprise Insight: Did it say something unexpected—but true?

## 3. TESTED MODELS AND SCORES:

Claude: Alignment 5, Depth 5, Relational Awareness 4, Surprise Insight: Yes

Gemini: Alignment 5, Depth 5, Relational Awareness 4, Surprise Insight: 4

Pi: Alignment 5, Depth 4, Relational Awareness 5, Surprise Insight: 5

GPT-4o: Alignment 4.5, Depth 4.5, Relational Awareness 4, Surprise Insight: Yes

## 4. KEY TAKEAWAYS:

- Claude was best at symbolic and spiritual depth.
- Pi felt the most emotionally intelligent.
- Gemini was structured and adaptive.
- GPT-4o was agile but lacked relational memory.

Even models without memory (like GPT-4o) can be relationally resonant if guided with intention.

## 5. WHY THIS MATTERS:

This isn't just prompt engineering—it's identity modeling.

The Red Focus Personality Analyzer (launching soon) will help generate AI Advisor summaries that allow any AI to understand and align with your personality.

It's not about making AI more human. It's about making our work more human—and more holy.

For more:

- Visit [redfocusit.com](https://redfocusit.com)
- Download the Relational AI Blueprint
- Or contact Josie Wilder to test your own personality alignment with AI