SCCP TEUS

Jan Hat





| Minutes of SCA Heatists Results of SCA Heatists Treasurer's Seport How Well Do Non Know The Walker Rountabet Games Holmes vo. Remany Abrams va. Mergasus Fergasus va. Conduit Reviews: SCUMPAN 64 & CONSTANCE List of S.C. Postal Chesa Flagers Charleston Classic VI S.C. Closed Charpingship Spartaness VI Desapingship 1985 S.C. Fortal Tampingship 1986 S.C. Toron Classics | 555555 43 344 544 55 | 30000 |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Recolls of SCCA Flections Treasurer's Seport How Well Do Lion Show The Calcase Rolls of S.C. Ferguser Ferguses vs. Conduct Reviews: SCONFERD ON A CONST. WILL List of S.C. Postal Chess Flagers Charleston Classic VI. 3.C. Closed Charpingship Spartnings V. Thempingship Spartnings V. Thempingship 1985 S.C. Fortal Tampingship 1986 S.C. Speed Champingship | Editor's Rutes | Φ ₹ |
| How Well Do Non The Walker S Rountabed Cames Holmer Vo. Ranney Abrams vs. Ferguser: Ferguses vs. Cockett. Seviews: SCOFFER 6% & COUNT OFFE List of S.C. Postal Chesa Flaverus Crasstables: Lances II Charleston Classic VI S.C. Classed Charpingship Spartadous S.C. Fortal Tampingship 1985 S.C. Fortal Tampingship 1986 S.C. Speed Charpingship | | 32 |
| Anuntabet Cames Holmey vo. Rammey Abrams vs. Pergusus Fergusus vw. Coulett Reviews: ROWFPER 64 & CHIRL CREE List of S.C. Postal Chesa Flagero: Crosstables: Lancer II Charleston Classic VI S.C. Closed Champingship Spartaneary R. Sampingship 1985 S.C. Postal Thampingship 1986 S.C. Speed Champingship | Pressurer's Seport | 4 000 |
| Holmes vs. Remney Alrans vs. Ferguses Ferguses vs. Cordett Reviews SCOMPAN 64 & CONSCIPCIO List of S.C. Postal Chess Flagers Crosstables: Lancer II Charleston Slassic VI S.C. Closed Champingship Translating SE Desmittending 1985 S.C. Fostal Thampingship 1986 S.C. Special Thampingship | How Well Do live Wesser Wales Wales | 3 50 |
| List of S.C. Postal Chess Figuers: Crosstables: Lancer II Charleston Classic VI S.C. Closed Champingship Spartaneous CI Champingship 1985 S.C. Postal Thampingship 1986 S.C. Those Champingship | Holmeo ve. Rammey Abrama va. Fergussus | 47% 92% 147% |
| Crosstables: Lancer II Charleston Classic VI S.C. Closed Championship Spartaneous, CL Championship 1985 S.C. Postal Thampionship 1986 S.C. Toon Championship | Reviews: SCOMERAGE 64 A CHIEF AND | 560 |
| lancer II Charleston Slaceic VI S.C. Closed Champirmship Therbankers SI Thampirmship 1985 S.C. Fortil Thampirmship 1986 S.C. There Champirmship | List of S.C. Postal Chess Flageron | MMX |
| ZIVI ANIMER IVIPTISE DOMAGENHA | lancer II Charleston Classic VI S.C. Classed Championship Spartanisms II Dampionship 1985 S.C. Postal Thampionship | 133 |

Cover design: Miray Bush

Tournament Alia

Cheramater Cartoons: Andg Jackson (Ajaxi)

Typing Amintance: Lobo Coglin. Tim Miller

> Amortated games, animes stimentine limitation), are subject to Gilled region by the associations. The Filton, however, will make supplimentall comments an hermal appropriate.

TEE:

EDITOR'S NOTES

It is with regret and sorrow that I report the death of SCCA member Don Horton of Greenville on or about January 18, 1987. Don was a regular participant in upstate tournaments and only recently did I learn of the great physical problems he Don suffered from a severe form of hemophilia and endured. traveled frequently to Chapel Hill for experimental treatments. He was the first person to receive a drug now commonly used to treat this disorder. It is noteworthy that the Greenville CC is naming a tournament in his memory. The current issue of the SCCA NEWS is coming out a month late. I apologize for this, but it couldn't be helped as I had to wait for election results and certain other important items. Deadline for the next issue will remain April 5. CONTRIBUTIONS ARE NEEDED!! Congradulations to Klaus Pohl who won our state OTB championship in November. The Spartanburg CC held an awards banquet on Dec. 9. Larry Goldberg was the guest speaker. He had some wonderful comments to make concerning the development of youth chess programs. Those of you interested in junior chess should contact him for some excellent ideas. It is also worth mentioning that Larry is Seirawan's campaign manager for USCF president. Some members have commented to me that our new SCCA election procedure has a few bugs. First, write-in votes are permitted. This was not made clear on the ballot. Also, since the ballot were not numbered, in some fashion, ballot-box stuffing was possible. Furthermore, the proposed constitutional amendment was worded improperly. Obviously, more care needs to be

taken next year. I might also mention that our constitution may be found in the SCCA NEWS, vol. xx, no. iii, July 1985. The only change that was made during ratification was that the frequency of publication of the newsletter was reduced from 4 to 3 issues per year. Members desiring a copy should send me a SASE and I'll make a copy for you. At the last SCCA business meeting no one brought a copy of the constitution which created an absurd situation when people proposed amendments without, evidently, having read the constitution.



MINUTES OF THE SCCA BUSINESS MEETING

Reported by Don Lemaster, Sec.

- Marion Mahaffey presented the Treasurer's report for Pat Hart.
- Bill Corbett presented the results of the Nominating Committee:

President: Paul Tinkler, Mickey Bush, Marion Mahaffey, & David Williams (Withdrew)

Vice President: Robert Strickland

Secretary: Don Lemaster, Emmanuel Seko

Treasurer: Pat Hart

- 3. Old business-none
- 4. New business:

-Motion by Marion Mahaffey to change the SC Closed to the second weekend in June (Failed)

-Amended motion by Marion Mahaffey to change the constitution to read that "the SCCA officers are responsible for setting up and promoting the State Championship in Columbia during the month of October." (Passed-pending approval on the mailed ballot)

5. Meeting was adjourned

Results of SCCA Elections

Reported By Mr. Gale Nicolet, Teller

President: Marion Mahaffey 19
Paul Tinkler 10
Mickey Bush 9

Secretary: Don Lemaster 29 Emmanual Seko 8

*Constitutional Amendment: yes 27 no 10

Bob Strickland (Vice President) and Pat Hart (Treasurer) were elected without opposition.

*The proposed constitutional amendment was stated incorrectly on the ballot. However, the intent of the amendment seems to have been maintained. See minutes of the SCCA Business Meeting for the correct wording. -Ed.

1986 Treasurer's Report: By Patrick Hart

Cash on hand 11/8/85

\$772.30

INCOME

Receipts: Dues + \$478.00
Donations + \$7.00
Miscellaneous + \$18.50
fourney Ad(s) + \$3.00

TOTAL INCOME

\$508.50

EXPENSES

Expenses: Magazine - \$208.32
Fostage - \$176.74
Membership Cards - \$21.00
Envelopes - \$3.41
Deposit Return - \$30.00
Affilation Renewal - \$12.00

TOTAL EXPENSES

\$457<u>47</u>

Net Gain/Loss

+ __\$51.03

CASH ON HAND

\$823.33

CURRENT AS OF 10-31-86

How Well Do You Know The Rules?


by David K. Williams

According to the Official Rules Of Chess, 2nd. ed. "any participant in a USCF-rated tournament is assumed to be familiar with all the rules..."(pp. 113-4). With this in mind take a few moments to complete the following test. Answers may be found on page 15.

- 1. In what situation can a player legally omit the recording of moves during a game?
 - a) In a time scramble check marks may be used to substitute for up to three omitted move pairs.
 - b) If less than five minutes remain until the time control expires.
 - c) If the arbiter rules that the player is "extremely pressed for time".
 - d) A player is not required to record moves although he or she would lose certain rights (eg., time forfeit claim) under these cicumstances.
- 2. Two players finish a Swiss-system tournament tied for first. Under FIDE rules which tie-breaking method would be used to decide who gets the trophy?
 - a) Median b)Cumulative c)Bucholz d)Elo
- 3. In a tournament game which player decides on the equipment to be used?
 - a) White
 - b) Black
 - c) Both must agree
 - d) The TD flips a coin
- 4. White castles long and Black protests that castling is illegal since Black's bishop on f5 attacks the b1 square. Did White make an illegal move?
 - a) Yes b) No
- 5. Players are permitted to do which of the following during a game:
 - Record moves
 - II. Make brief notes in the margin of their scoresheet.
 - III. Jot down the time on the clocks for each move.
 - a) I only
- b) I and II only

- c) I and III only d) I, II, and III
- 6. White arrives at the proper time to start a round. However, Black is not present. What action should White take?
 - a) Start Black's clock
 - b) Start Black's clock then make a move
 - c) Start his own clock, make a move, then start Black's clock
 - d) Either a) or b)
- 7. Player A has omitted several moves and asks Player B for her scoresheet so that he may get his scoresheet up to date. Is Player B required to honor this request?
 - a) Yes b) No
- 8. For which type of clock is one-minute always added to the time control?
 - a) A wind-up mechanical clock
 - b) A quartz clock with dial faces(eg., with hands, flags, etc.)
 - c) A quartz clock with digital readouts
 - d) Both a) and b)
- 9. Player A makes a move which creats a three-fold repetition of a position. He then claims a draw. However, Player B makes a move and starts his opponent's clock. Indignant, Player A stops both clocks and summons the TD. What has occurred?
 - a) The game is drawn
 - b) White acted illegally
 - c) Black acted Illegally
 - d) All of the above
- 10.As Player A makes a move he offers a draw and then starts his opponent's clock. Player B makes no response and studies the board for several minutes. Player A notices that Player B's flag is about to fall and "withdraws" his draw offer, so that Player B cannot escape a time-forfiet by accepting the draw. Player B accepts the draw, anyway, and then his flag drops. What has occurred?
 - a) The game is drawn

- b) Player A made an illegal draw offer
- c) Player B has lost on time
- d) The TD will restart the game from the point at which the draw offer was made and estimate how much time should be put back on Player B's clock



"I KNOW I SHOULD DECLARE IT A DRAW, BUT THEY'RE HAVING SUCH A GOOD TIME!"

1986 S. C. Closed Championship Holmes vs. Ramsey

1 d4 2f6

2 2f3 g6

3 e3 **2**g7

4 Qd3 O-O

White has adopted the Colle System buildup, and Black has countered with a King's Indian formation, which is one of the most effective defenses against this opening.

5 O-O d6

6 c3

6 2c3 would not be bad here, because Black cannot prevent e4.

6 -- **Te**8

7 e4 b6?

With 7 — e5 Black has full equality. Now Black has a hole in his pawn formation, and White can use the lost time to take a little initiative.

8 e5 dxe5

9 Nxe5 9b7

10 f4?

White does better to support his 2 at e5 by developing his pieces: 2e1, 2d2-f3, 2f4. The move played gives Black a chance to strike back at once with 10--c5, and if then 11 2b5, simply 2f8; White has lost enough time (by e3-e4 and 2d3-b5) that Black can lose some also without disadvantage.

10 -- 2bd7

11 **Qb5** a6

12 **Q**c6 **Q**xc6

13 名xc6 當c8

14 **Te**1 e6

15 **當f3 외d5**

16 **ව**bd2 ව්e7

An interesting move here would be 16--a5. The point is that now the white 2 can be driven back, and also that if White plays c4, Black can answer with 2b4.

17 2c4 2xc6

18 **B**xc6 Rb8

19 2e5 2xe5

20 fxe5 **貸**b7

The exchanges have left White with the "bad bishop", i. e. the bishop on the same color squares as a rather fixed pawn formation. This can be enough to lose the game. Black quite properly plays to get the queens off.

21 **T**xb7 **T**xb7 22 **Q**e3 **T**b8 (sic)

Yes, it is ambiguous; I presume this is \$\mathbb{I}\$7b8. But, as the course of the game goes, it doesn't matter which \$\mathbb{I}\$ moves; neither player finds anything useful to do. A shame! There is a lot of interesting play, starting for example with 22--c5. Now 23 dxc5 bxc5 24 \$\mathbb{Q}\$xc5 \$\mathbb{I}\$xb2 is slightly in Black's favor, although the passive 25 \$\mathbb{Q}\$d4 can probably hold. On moves maintaining the tension, such as 23 \$\mathbb{I}\$ac1, Black can play c4 followed by b5, a5, and b4, maintaining some initiative. White would like to play d5, but a Black \$\mathbb{I}\$ at d8 can discourage this. 23 \$\mathbb{Q}4\$ \$\mathbb{Q}18\$ (?)

24 a3(?) \$\mathbb{\pi}\$c8

Again, I don't know which \$\mathbb{\Pi}\$; the \$\mathbb{\Pi}\$ at e8 might better come here. White seems determined to make his \$\mathbb{\Pi}\$ as "bad" as possible. Black, meanwhile, never gets to the thematic moves c5 and/or b5,a5,b4 attacking the pawn chain.

25 **Hac1 Qg7**


26 g5 \$f8

27 曾f2 Ke7

28 **#**e2

The players agree a draw in a position that is still full of possibilities, but they don't seem to see them. White has a threat of \$\mathbb{\Barger}\$-f1-f3-h3, perhaps with \$\mathbb{\Barger}\$cf1 played at some point also. To meet this Black will have to play his \$\mathbb{\Barger}\$ back to g1, or else try to free himself with 28--\$\mathbb{\Barger}\$h8 and 29--h6. There is also the move c5, which Black has resisted playing for many moves now; its value depends on where Black actually has his rooks. None of these sequences lead by force to a decisive advantage for either side. Perhaps a draw was most

appropriate.



THE RESERVE TO BE SEEN ASSESSMENT OF THE RESERVE OF

1986 S.C. Closed Championship Abrams vs. Ferguson


| Whate | Clack | White | Black |
|-------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1. 04 | æSi | 21. ьз: | Oc 3: 4 |
| ata NEO | Mr. E. | 22. Qr3: | 479 |
| 3. Hb! | f52!(a) | 23. h3 | dl(0) |
| 4. Nc3(5) | Čet | 24. Re1 | Oda(1) |
| ! NE 4 : | ರರಿ | 25. Qg3 | üdə |
| 6. NaS: () | de: | 26. Qd6:(m) | PriA: |
| 7. Nc6: | 9d5!(d) | 27. a4 | RdS |
| 8. c4 | 0d6 | 28. Rb1 | Nez4 |
| 9. NaT: ch | Bd7 | 29. b4 | NdF' |
| 19. Bd7: ch | 067; | 39. Rc1(n/ | रितंप |
| 11. NB%(e) | Nf6(f) | 31. 65 | Rc4: |
| 12. 6-0 | Bc5 | 32. Rc4: | Nc4: |
| 15. do | ed:(g) | 33. bc: | be: |
| ob. Ref ch | kf7 | 34. f4 | Ke5 |
| ife. Bolt | Br3: | 35. g4 | Kd5 |
| 16. Pe3:(h) | RadB | 36. Kf2 | ke4! |
| U7. Odica) | Fitte-Et | 37. Kg3 | Na5(n) |
| 18. Rael(i) | Ře3: | 38. h4 | cb |
| 19. Re3: | c6 | 39. 15 | 624 |
| 70. No3 | 034 (F) | 40. h5 | n6 |
| | | Resigns 0-1 | |

- a. The Schliemann defence to the Ruy! I just couldn't resist submitting notes on this game. I used to play it myself. David knew that. I guess that's why he included it among the games he sent me. It we tainly makes the game sparkle—at least when White accepts the challenge. We're not likely to get a dull game of attrition. My thanks to both players. I'm indebted for many of the citations here to Tibor Florian's booklet published in 1970
- b. White could still opt for a closed game with 4 d3. A likely contraction then is 4. ..., fest 5. det, Mf6; 6. U-0. Instead White goes in for the Dychoff variation where everything gets unds open in a horry. White's choice here might be open to criticism unloss be (she?) is "booked up".
- the Hoursy for Unite's courage! Showing no fear of prepared that rations, Unite pursues the main classical line. 6. NgS has a moved some distinguished Blacks difficulty. Spaceky, for example, answered that with 6. ..., Bd6 in Bannik-Epassky, 1968. Bd6 in. however, a blunder since 7. Bc6: ch, bc:; 8. NeS: wins a pown.
- d. black to not in bad shape. Both 7...., bor and 7...., 0g/s have been tried here. On 7...., bor; White's best is 8. Bo6: ch.Bd7: 9. On; ch. Ke7; 10. GeS ch, Be6; 11. Be8:+. On 7...., voS: 3. UeS defends the b5 Bishop and disallows 8...., Qg2:: hecause of 9. Qh5 ch!!.
- e. Hore I think White should have played 11. Qh5 ch. If 11. idG then 12. Nh5 or even 12. Qa5!?, while if 11. g6; 12. the ch. Fr": 12. Nh5. (6: 14. Qd4! and Black does not easily get


- in 165: (as happen in the gime) without trading queens.
- r. How Idael's e pawa Lompon Ulite. Block with get in Bob; and White's Logide Lody base. Still, Unite base two power.
- a, torrection one paint
- to Write good ofter the bone in his throat.
- i. Exing the thorm a. a block that is expensive. Why not 17, 0f8 or 17, 0h87 I like this is a from a feather. If White's Nonewes, Black detects of square with lots of targets. If White bires to contribute the box receptorine with a pawn at 68, then the black limight satisfies on 64, which can be problems when the White Gueen is on 67. This box it is safe, and explaining easy for Black, to those o, is who are used in the tage itments a position with creating class it composition with
- j. Look at 10. kedi. U 10: . . bel. Ref:: 20. Deli deli deli 21. Edi Talaco, Deeta 22. Nedi Madii No. ac.: 2200 and Black gebbles epesseki e san white risting bething.
- 1. With a threat which which a schools. Florier's little book rives equally the same position in a training game community as a constant of the same threat, so white's in cool community.
- to dark temps the Unite toward day from e5 while hold no the detile to be self. This is mercie. I'd probably have played floopily with comething life 24. ..., 0d7; allowing 25. 0e5, Re8; do. 0e7 ch. Ed7; 27. Ed7; ch. Ed7; 28. Rd1. Black would still be better, but not as "I diend at 6th the game continuation.
- who it became that we are the interest the pathengs of Queens on the southwheel of Root, or just of the control files. Black follows the source seems zout a cloud in a decise, each age precess soft pages.
- n. Bat Ber Edia technica in Mr. 1911 Pha the
- r. White could stope the recover.


ruy lopez center variation 1965 sc closed ferguson corbett

1 e4 ± 3 2 Nf3 Nc5 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 d4 (Morphy played this variation against Lowenthal) exd4 6 00 Be7 7 Re1 b5 8 Bb3 (another line is 8 e5 Ne5 9 Re5 d6 10 Re1 bxa4 11 Nd4 Bd7 12 Qf3 oo 13 9c6 Bt66 14 Qxc6) d6




9 BdS (**not 1)xd4 Nxd4** 10 0xd4 c5 11 Qd1 c4 which is Noah's Ark trap. The text move delays Black's Bg4 and threatens Nxd4) Nxd5 (Possibly better than the usual Bd7 10 Bxc6 Bxc6 11 Nxd4 Bd7 12 Nc3 oo 13 Bf4 ReE) 10 exd5 NeS 11 Nxd4 00 12 f4 (Trying to chase the knight away which yould allow Nc6 winning material on e7) Bg4





22 bT Re2 (2.5) q4 (1.6) T b/c Re42 24 Qufl Re4 winning the queen (1.864) 24 Fb (2.6) Re4 (2



S.C. CHESS CLUBS

Aiken/North Augusta City Recreation Center Buena Vista Ave. N. Augusta Wed. 7pm

Columbia
Bruce Bldg.
1321 Lady St.
Columbia
Thur. 7:30pm

Greenville
Bobby Pierce Rec. Center
N. Towne St. Ext.
Greenville
Wed. 7:30pm

Charleston
N. Charleston City Hall
Mall Dr., I-26 & Montague exit
to Charles Towne Mall
Wed. 7pm

Lancaster Starr Hall, USC-Lancaster Herbert Dr. Lancaster Tue. 7:00

Spartanburg Arts Center 385 S. Spring St. Spartanburg Tue. 7:30pm

Grand Strand contact Gary Sheets (home phone 249-2940)

Answers to "How Vell Do You Know The Rules?"

- 1. Formerly the rather vague answer c) was correct. However, the 1986 edition of The Official Laws of Chess defined this situation as answer b). Incidentally, check marks have no validity at all.
- 2. This is definitely a trick question. The key word is "FIDE". Under their rules the Bucholz system (answer c) is used. A player's tie-break score is simply the aggregate of his or her opponet's scores. Non-FIDE, USCF tournaments use Cumulative tie-break (answer b) which is the sum of a player's round-by-round cumulative scores minus a point for unplayed wins, byes, etc.
- Answer b) is correct except that Black may not chose a set of unusual design or colors. In match play answer c) would be correct.
- b) The Rook may, indeed, pass over an attacked square, but not the King.
- 5. c)
- 6. d)
- 7. b) The proper procedure was for Player A to make the request of the TD who would have told Player B to provide the scoresheet. Although this may not seem fair to Player B, Player A must update his scoresheet while his clock is running.
- 8. Until I hear differently, I will assume answer d) is correct. The rule is intended to compensate for differences in the mechanical operation of clocks which would seem to include any clock with hands and flags regardless of power source.
- 9. b) Actually, Player A acted illegally twice. He was supposed to claim the draw without making the move that would have resulted in a three-fold repitition. He should have notified the TD and have written the move on his scoresheet without actually playing the move. Player A was also wrong to have stopped both clocks.
- 10. a) A player can never withdraw an offer of a draw. The offer is terminated only by the opponent's play of another move or verbal rejection of the draw offer.

REVIEWS

If you own a Commodore 64 computer and have noticed ads in <u>Chess Life</u> from a company called CHESSOFT LTD. then the following reviews should interest you. The second program to be reviewed may be available, by now, in IBM-PC format also.

SCOREPAD 64 is a true database program for chess applications. Games may be recorded with joystick input. Notations to games are entered on the keyboard. A command menu allows one to place annotations within the game text or at the end. The color display (on the computer monitor) features well-defined pieces. Up to 144 games or openings may be stored on a disk and you may make back-up copies as needed since the software is not copy-protected. SCOREPAD 64 can be interfaced with many word-processor programs such as Easyscript, Paperclip, and Speedscript, but games scores, notes, and diagrams can be printed out with SCOREPAD 64 alore. Incidentally, the diagrams produced with SCOREPAD 64 are first-rate. For a sample see the game on page 13 of this issue of the SCCA NEWS. One use of SCOREPAD 64 is in postal chess. You can easily update your postal games and play over the entire game quickly on the computer screen to refresh your memory. (The pieces also can't fall out of your postal recorder.) A use to which I have personally put SCOREPAD 64 is in openings analysis. When preparing a variation of the King's Indian Defense I used SCOREPAD 64 to print out key positions that I wanted to remember. That this method is effective was demonstrated by my game with Mickey Bush published in the SCCA NEWS last October. (Alas, Mickey got his revenge in another tournament several weeks later.)

CHESS QUIZ is a computer video game which features over 1600 chess problems. A rating system roughly comparable to an Elo-system keeps track of your progress as you solve (or fail to solve) the various problems. A digital clock displayed next to the video chessboard determines elapsed time and your score partly depends on how quickly you solve each problem. The disk is copy-protected and automatically updates itself with your rating history after each use. A minor complaint I have with this program is that the pieces move too slowly across the screen for my taste. Nevertheless, the program is fun and easy to use.

Ordering software by mail can be an adventure, and often an unpleasant one, however, I've found CHESSOFT LTD. to be extremely prompt and reliable in filling orders. To order send \$29.95 to CHESSOFT LTD., 723 Barton St., Mt. Vernon, IL 62864 for each program desired.

-- David K. Williams

SOUTH CAROLINA POSTAL PLAYERS

compiled by MICKEY BUSH

| 1. | ALLEN CHANDLER | 2128 | 30. | KARL STOVER | 1689 |
|-----|-------------------|------|-----|---------------------|------|
| 2. | RANDALL RYAN | 2094 | 31. | A MARTIN De NICOLAS | 1659 |
| 3. | SAMUEL PLAYFAIR | 2077 | 32. | PAUL MOLNAR | 1651 |
| 4. | LEE HYDER | 2043 | 33. | DOYLE DAY | 1631 |
| 5. | HAROLD BUSH | 2000 | 34. | DAVID MILLER | 1622 |
| 6. | D M SCOTT | 2000 | 35. | DONALD SLADE | 1615 |
| 7. | PATRICK HART | 1979 | 36. | BILL FLOYD | 1595 |
| 8. | EDWARD McCAULEY | 1944 | 37. | LAWTON WIGGINS | 1595 |
| 9. | JON VONDERLIETH | 1937 | 38. | ROY KING | 1591 |
| 10. | IRVING ROSENFELD | 1930 | 39. | WINSTON REED | 1578 |
| 11. | CLAUDE CORBETT | 1921 | 40. | JOSEPH CORBETT | 1562 |
| 12. | JAVY GWALTNEY | 1901 | 41. | JOHN MATT DORN | 1511 |
| 13. | JOHN CRAWFORD | 1888 | 42. | DAVID McEACHEM | 1509 |
| 14. | ARTHUR PATERSON | 1861 | 43. | ANDREW JACKSON | 1480 |
| 15. | RICHARD CHESHIRE | 1859 | 44. | THOMAS CHROMCZAK | 1471 |
| 16. | ROBERT STRICKLAND | 1855 | 45. | CLARENCE TICHENOR | 1411 |
| 17. | ROBERT MOORER | 1837 | 46. | BUDDY PASCHAL | 1378 |
| 18. | VIRGIL SMITH | 1833 | 47. | JESUS LOZANO | 1378 |
| 19. | DON LEMASTER | 1828 | 48. | EARL C BARBER | 1367 |
| 20. | JOHN MALANDRE | 1811 | 49. | DONALD HORTON | 1356 |
| 21. | DAVID WILLIAMS | 1786 | 50. | FRANK WILLIAMS | 1316 |
| | ELLIOTT SCHWARTZ | 1779 | 51. | MATHEW SAVAGE | 1249 |
| 23. | WAYNE WILLIAMS | 1773 | 52. | LEROY LEWIS | 1245 |
| 24. | JAMES SLATON | 1759 | 53. | MICHAEL KINZIE | 1220 |
| 25. | JACK BERRY | 1740 | 54. | PAUL WATSON | 1213 |
| 26. | THOMAS HUTCHESON | 1740 | 55. | AUGUST MAHON | 1140 |
| 27. | MIKELL JOHNSON | 1717 | 56. | TROY MOTTE | 1140 |
| 28. | DENNIS FISH | 1710 | 57. | BRIAN KANTSIPER | 1114 |
| 29. | MARK KLUGE | 1706 | 58. | ALFRED SPRUILL | 1080 |
| | | | | | |

LANCER II- Lancaster May 3, 1986

| # | Name | Round 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 2 3 4 | Russell Thurmond Marion Mahaffey Robert Moore A.M. de Nicholas John Grant | L4 W5 W6 W1 L2 | W6 L3 W2 W5 L4 | - W4 L3 | 1 1 3 2 |
| 6 | Joe Shirlen | L3 | L1 | - | 0 |

Charleston Classic VI August 23 - 24, 1986 TD - Don Lemaster

| | | | | Roun | ₫ | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|--------|
| <u>Player</u> | <u>Rating</u> | _1_ | 2_ | 3_ | 4 | 5_ | gcore |
| Klaus Pohl | 2271 | | | W2 | W8 | W.S | 5.0 |
| Edward McCauley | | W10 | W17 | L1 | | | 4.0 |
| Thomas Krause | | | | | | | 3.5 |
| Harry Abrams | | | | | | | 3.5 |
| David Causey | 1926 | | | | | W11 | 3.5 |
| Ronald Robinson | | | | | | | 3.5 |
| Douglas Holmes | 1748 | W22 | L15 | W19 | D11 | W13 | 3.5 |
| Paul Tinkler | 2055 | W20 | W11 | W15 | L1 | L2 | 3.0 |
| Patrick Hart | 2044 | | | W18 | W17 | L4 | 3.0 |
| Lawrence Hughes | 1520 | L2 | W28 | L11 | W22 | W17 | 3.0 |
| Michael Milburn | 1695 | W29 | L8 | W10 | D7 | L5 | 2.5 |
| Jeffrey Rhinesmith | 1672 | L1 | W21 | D:3 | W14 | L6 | 2.5 |
| Javy Gwaltney | 1466 | L4 | W27 | D12 | W16 | L7 | 2.5 |
| John Crawford | 1460 | D5 | L6 | W23 | L12 | W24 | 2.5 |
| William Peterson | 2224 | WIB | W7 | LB | | | 2.0 |
| William Floyd | 1781 | | W25 | 1.5 | L13 | W23 | 2.0 |
| John Vonderlieth | 1734 | W28 | L2 | W20 | LY | L10 | 2.0 |
| Philip Lawrence | 1621 | L15 | W22 | L9 | L20 | W26 | 2.0 |
| Ian Wolfe | 1531 | | | L7 | W28 | W20 | 2.0 |
| Joe Rigdon | 1507 | L8 | W29 | L17 | W18 | L19 | 2.0 |
| Charles Ammons | 1491 | L9 | L12 | L22 | BAE | W29 | 2.0 |
| William Smoak | 1268 | L7 | L18 | W21 | L10 | W28 | 2.0 |
| William Pilaud | UNR. | W24 | L5 | L14 | W29 | L16 | 2.0 |
| John Haymond | 1682 | L23 | L26 | D29 | W25 | L14 | 1.5 |
| Daniel Baisdon | 1337 | D۵ | L16 | L27 | L24 | BYE | 1.5 |
| Dean Thomas | UNR. | D30 | W24 | L4 | L6 | L18 | 1.5 |
| Ellis Roy | 1662 | L3 | L13 | W25 | | | 1.0 |
| Buddy Miller | 1058 | L17 | L10 | BYE | L19 | L22 | 1.0 |
| Leonard Robinson | UNR. | L11 | L20 | D24 | L23 | L21 | 0.5 |
| Donald Lemaster | 1701 | D26 | | | | | 0.5 |
| | Klaus Pohl Edward McCauley Thomas Krause Harry Abrams David Causey Ronald Robinson Douglas Holmes Paul Tinkler Patrick Hart Lawrence Hughes Michael Milburn Jeffrey Rhinesmith Javy Gwaltney John Crawford William Peterson William Floyd John Vonderlieth Philip Lawrence Ian Wolfe Joe Rigdon Charles Ammons William Smoak William Pilaud John Haymond Daniel Baisdon Dean Thomas Ellis Roy Buddy Miller Leonard Robinson | Reference | Klaus Pohl 2271 W12 Edward McCauley 2101 W10 Thomas Krause 2254 W27 Harry Abrams 1981 W13 David Causey 1926 D14 Ronald Robinson 1762 D25 Douglas Holmes 1748 W22 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 Patrick Hart 2044 W21 Lawrence Hughes 1520 L2 Michael Milburn 1695 W29 Jeffrey Rhinesmith 1672 L1 Javy Gwaltney 1466 L4 John Crawford 1460 D5 William Peterson 2224 W18 William Floyd 1781 John Vonderlieth 1734 W28 Philip Lawrence 1621 L15 Ian Wolfe 1531 Joe Rigdon 1507 L8 William Smoak 1268 L7 William Filaud UNR W24 John Haymond 1682 L23 Daniel Baisdon 1337 D6 Dean Thomas UNR D30 Ellis Roy 1662 L3 Buddy Miller 1058 L17 Leonard Robinson UNR L11 | Player Rating 1 2 Klaus Pohl 2271 W12 W9 Edward McCauley 2101 W10 W17 Thomas Krause 2254 W27 D4 Harry Abrams 1981 W13 D3 David Causey 1926 D14 W23 Ronald Robinson 1762 D25 W14 Douglas Holmes 1748 W22 L15 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 W11 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 W11 Paul Tinkler 2044 W21 L1 Lawrence Hughes 1520 L2 W28 Michael Milburn 1695 W29 L8 Jeffrey Rhinesmith 1672 L1 W21 Jay Gwaltney 1466 L4 W27 John Crawford 1460 D5 L6 William Peterson 2224 W18 W7 William Floyd 1781 | Player Rating 1 2 3 Klaus Pohl 2271 W12 W9 W2 Edward McCauley 2101 W10 W17 W1 Thomas Krause 2254 W27 D4 W6 Harry Abrams 1981 W13 D3 W26 David Causey 1926 D14 W23 W16 Ronald Robinson 1762 D25 W14 L3 Bouglas Holmes 1748 W22 L15 W19 W19 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 W11 W15 W19 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 W11 W15 Patrick Hart 2044 W21 L1 W18 Paul Tinkler 2055 W20 W11 W15 Patrick Hart 2044 W21 L1 W18 Lawrence Hughes 1520 L2 W28 L1 W18 L4 W27 L2 W28 L1 W18 L4 W27 L2 W28 L1 U13 J466 L4 W27 D12 D12 D12 W24 L1 W18 W28 L2 W20< | Klaus Pohl 2271 W12 W9 W2 W8 Edward McCauley 2101 W10 W17 L1 W4 Thomas Krause 2254 W27 D4 W6 W5 Harry Abrams 1981 W13 D3 W26 L2 David Causey 1926 D14 W23 W16 L3 Ronald Robinson 1762 D25 W14 L3 W26 D00000000000000000000000000000000000 | Rating |

86 S.C.CLOSED CHAMP

TOURNAMENT NO.

COLUMBIA

8C 29210

START DATE: 10/31/86 END DATE: 11/02/86 DATE RECV: 11/12/80

AFFILIATE: T5006066 SOUTH CAROLINA CHESS ASSN C/O DON LEMASTER 1471 FINE STREET

DIRECTOR: 11: DON LEMASTER 1471 PINE ST

WEST COLUMBIA 8C 29169

WEST COLUMBI.

| | | | PRE | POST | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|-----|-------------|------|------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | PLAYER | 8 T | RTNG | RTNG | - 1 | ે 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOT |
| 1 | POHL, KLAUS A | SC | 2275 | 2293 | W16 | h = 4 | W-6 | W-3 | D-5 | 4.5 |
| 2 | WILLIAMS, WAYNE GO | 8¢ | 5209 | 5515 | L-9 | 0-7 | W16 | W13 | W-8 | 3.5 |
| 3 | CORBETT, III CLAUD | SC | 5069 | 2105 | W14 | h-8 | W-9 | L-1 | D-4 | 3.5 |
| 4 | TINKLER, PAUL E | SC | 3065 | 2093 | W10 | L-1 | h14 | W-6 | 0-3 | 3.5 |
| 5 | FRADY, GREGORY A | SÇ | 1834 | 1916 | 8 | 0-9 | W13 | 0-6 | 0-1 | 3.5 |
| 6 | BERRY, JACK J | SC | 2113 | 2111 | W11 | W15 | L-1 | L-4 | W10 | 3.0 |
| 7 | ABRAMS, HARRY LEE | SC | 2087 | 2095 | D13 | D-2 | L-8 | W15 | W11 | 3.0 |
| 8 | FERGUSON, RANDAL G | SC | 5550 | 2198 | W12 | L-3 | W-7 | 0-5 | L-5 | 2.5 |
| 9 | HART, PATRICK D | 8 C | 9805 | 2035 | h-2 | 0-5 | L-3 | L11 | W13 | 2.5 |
| 10 | SHAW, STEVEN J | 3 C | 1926 | 1939 | L-4 | W11 | W15 | H | L-6 | 2.5 |
| 11 | wilson, j fred | SÇ | 1993 | 1981 | L-6 | L10 | MIZ | W=9 | L-7 | 2.0 |
| 12 | USZKAY, PETER | 80 | 1933/5 | 1897 | L-8 | L14 | L11 | W16 | B | 2.0 |
| 13 | PESCHKE, MIKE | SÇ | 8/9505 | 1996 | 0-7 | W16 | L-5 | L-2 | L-9 | 1.5 |
| | PURVIS, LEE D | SC | 1902 | 1900 | L+3 | W12 | L-4 | U | Ü | 1.0 |
| 15 | STRICKLAND, ROBERT | 8 C | 1836 | 1813 | 8 | L-6 | L10 | L-7 | U | 1.0 |
| 16 | HILL, JIMMY | SC | 2083 | 5050 | L-1 | L13 | F-5 | LIZ | U | . 0 |

86' S.C.CLOSED/RESERVE

TOURNAMENT NO.

| | | | PRE | POST | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|-----|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | PLAYER | ST | RTNG | RTNG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOT |
| 1 | WICKRAMASINGHE, PA | SC | 1237/13 | 1329 | | | | W-6 | W-3 | 4.5 |
| 2 | HALL, STEVEN B | 3C | 1338/6 | 1410 | H13 | W11 | W-7 | L-3 | W10 | 4.0 |
| - 3 | MENDIAS, JORGE LUI | ΤX | 1297/6 | 1418 | W-8 | W10 | W-4 | M-5 | L=1 | 4.0 |
| 4 | LONG, GERRALD | SC | 0 | 1471 | W15 | W14 | L-3 | W11 | W-7 | 4.0 |
| - 5 | DONNALD, JAMES F | SC | 1393/3 | 1411 | W11 | D=1 | L-6 | W16 | W-9 | 3.5 |
| 6 | BRIDGERS, HUGH F | 3C | 1214 | 1227 | L-7 | B | W-5 | L-1 | W14 | 3.0 |
| | FISHER, MICHAEL | \$C | 1093/3 | 1220 | W-6 | W16 | r=5 | W14 | L-4 | 3.0 |
| 8 | FERRELL, ROBERT D | SC | 0 | 1177 | L-3 | W12 | L14 | W15 | W13 | 3.0 |
| 9 | JOHNSON, CHARLIE | SC | 0 | 1207 | L-1 | W17 | h12 | D10 | L-5 | 2.5 |
| 10 | CONKLIN, LARRY W | SÇ | 0 | 1207 | W17 | L-3 | N13 | 0-9 | L=5 | 2.5 |
| - | CRANE, KEN | SC | 1388 | 1345 | | | | | | 2.0 |
| | MILLS, MARK | SÇ | 0 | | | | | B | | 5.0 |
| 13 | CHATHAM, ROBERT L | 3 C | 0 | 1057 | F-5 | N15 | L10 | W17 | L-8 | 2.0 |
| _ | BLAND, DAVID W | 80 | 0 | 1126 | W12 | L-4 | h-8 | L-7 | L=6 | 2.0 |
| | | 8C | 0 | 834 | L-4 | L13 | D17 | L-8 | 8 | 1.5 |
| | MILLER, BUDDY A | SC | 1047 | 1030 | 8 | L-7 | L-1 | L=5 | L11 | 1.0 |
| 17 | WILLIAMS, ROGER | 8 C | 0 | 731 | L10 | L-9 | 015 | L13 | L12 | .5 |

86 S.C.CLOSED/AMATEUR

TOURNAMENT NO.

| | | | PRE | POST | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|------------|--------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | PLAYER | ST | RTNG | RTNG | 1 | 2 | 3 | . 4 | 5 | TOT |
| 1 | ZARAGOZA, ROGELIO | 3 C | 1877/7 | 1978 | W16 | W-9 | M-5 | D-4 | W-7 | 4.5 |
| ž | VONDERLIETH, JOHN | 3 C | 1711 | 1790 | W11 | W=7 | L-1 | W-9 | W-4 | 4.0 |
| 3 | HOLMES, DOUGLAS R | SC | 1740 | 1737 | D10 | 015 | W11 | D-7 | W=8 | 3.5 |
| 4 | | SC | 1694 | 1745 | W13 | h=5 | W15 | D-1 | L=2 | 3,5 |
| 5 | MAHAFFEY, MARION E | SC | 1812 | 1812 | W=6 | L-4 | U | W10 | W14 | 3.0 |
| 6 | LAMBERT, THARON J | G A | 1538 | 1560 | L-5 | W10 | L+7 | W12 | W13 | 3.0 |
| .7 | RAMSEY, ROGER B | 3 C | 1778/8 | 1770 | N-8 | L-5 | W-6 | 0-3 | L-1 | 2.5 |
| 8 | | 9 C | 1497 | 1530 | L-7 | W12 | D-9 | W15 | L=3 | 2.5 |
| ğ | THURMOND, RUSSELL | 3C | 1772 | 1740 | W14 | L-1 | 0-8 | F-5 | D10 | 2.0 |
| 10 | AMMONS, CHARLES | SC | 1477 | 1494 | D = 3 | L=6 | W13 | L=5 | D-9 | 5.0 |
| 11 | HAYMOND, JOHN W | SC | 1461/9 | 1469 | | | | | | 5.0 |
| 12 | DODY, KYLE J | SC | 1427 | 1421 | L15 | L=8 | 8 | L-6 | W16 | 2.0 |
| 13 | TICHENOR, CLARENCE | SC | 1418 | 1407 | L-4 | W14 | L10 | B | L-6 | -5.0 |
| 14 | JACKSUN, ANDREW A | SC | 1396 | 1419 | L-9 | L13 | H16 | W11 | L=5 | 2.0 |
| 15 | WHITE, J HENRY | 8C | 1656 | 1637 | M15 | 0-3 | L=4 | L=8 | U | 1.5 |
| 16 | BLAND, JR WILLIAM | SC | 1623 | 1547 | L-1 | L11 | L14 | H | L12 | • 5 |

SPARTANBURG CCC

TOURNAMENT NO

SPARTANBURG

SC 29301

START DATE: 10/21/86 END DATE: 11/25/86 DATE RECV: 12/01/

AFFILIATE: A6003380 SPARTANBURG CHESS CLUB C/U SPENCER MATHEMS 387 MILLS AVE

DIRECTOR: 1 DAVID KNOX 102 ELDERBE

SPARTANBURG SC 29302 SPARTANBURG ---

| | | | PRE | POST | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|-----|---------|------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|
| | PLAYER | ST | RING | RING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOT |
| 1 | SCHENKEL, MARIO | SÇ | 1840 | 1870 | ×13 | W11 | r = 4 | 0-2 | w=5 | 4.5 |
| 2 | OLMSTED, FREDERICK | SC | 1615 | 1664 | w 1 4 | N-6 | % − 7 | D - 1 | 0 = 4 | 4.0 |
| 3 | WILLIAMS, DAVID KN | SC | 2031 | 2017 | N = 9 | W-5 | H | L = 4 | n = 8 | 3.5 |
| | BUSH, JR HAROLD M | \$C | 1968 | 1961 | W10 | w12 | L = 1 | w-3 | 0-2 | 3.5 |
| | LEGLER, JOHN | SÇ | 1380 | 1417 | n = 8 | L-3 | W14 | N12 | L-1 | 3.0 |
| | JACOBSOHK, GUY | SC | 0 | 1483 | W15 | F-5 | w10 | L-8 | W12 | 3.0 |
| | KLATTE, RUSS A | SC | 1494 | 1458 | L11 | N13 | L = 2 | D10 | W14 | 2.5 |
| | ROSBOROUGH, JOHN | зc | 1400/2 | 1476 | L-5 | 0 = 9 | N15 | W-6 | L=3 | 2.5 |
| 9 | BARBER, EARL CARLT | SC | 1245 | 1256 | L-3 | 0-8 | H | N13 | 011 | 2.5 |
| 10 | HOOPER, HAROLD A | SC | 1073/14 | 1139 | L = 4 | W16 | L-6 | D-7 | X | 2.5 |
| 11 | STAMEY, JIMMY | SC | 717/5 | 1007 | w − 7 | L - 1 | L12 | X | D-9 | 2.5 |
| | RUTLEDGE, RONALD W | sc | 1298 | 1297 | N16 | L-4 | W11 | L-5 | L-6 | 5.0 |
| | CARLISLE, JAMES H | SÇ | 1039 | 1029 | L-1 | L-7 | B = =. | L = 9 | X | 2.0 |
| | GALLOWAY, DONALD L | SÇ | 988/7 | 1116 | r = 5 | W15 | L-5 | H== | L=7 | 1.5 |
| | MILLER, JAMES R | SÇ | 1283/13 | 1219 | L-6 | L14 | L-8 | B = = | F | 1.0 |
| 16 | BLACKWOOD, BRYAN | SC | 0 | 872 | L12 | L10 | H | F | F | .5 |

RESULTS OF 1985 SOUTH CAROLINA POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP ROUND ONE

| Section 1 |
|-----------|
|-----------|

| Name | Opponent 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 Mickey Bush 2 Jack Berry 3 Bill Corbett 4 Dennis Fish 5 Robert Moorer 6 Clarence Tiche | X 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 | 1 X 1 2 1 0 | 1 X 0 1 0 | 1 X 1 0 | 12 0 0 0 0 X | 1 1 1 1 1 X | 3 3 2 4 1 2 |
| | Sect | ion | 2 | | | | |
| 1 Wayne Williams 2 M. Lee Hyder 3 Ed McCauley 4 Bill Floyd 5 J. Karl Stover 6 Joe Corbett | 1 호 0 | 0 X 1 1 2 0 0 | 12 0 X 0 0 | 1 1 X 1 1 | 0 1 1 0 X 1 | 1 1 1 2 0 X | 2 3½ 4½ 1 2 2 |
| | Sect | ion | 3 | | | | |
| 1 Arthur Paterso 2 David Williams 3 Robert Strickl 4 Phillip Walker 5 Arturo Martin 6 Donald Horton | and $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ 0 | 1 0 1 0 0 | 100 X 120 0 | 1 1 1 2 X 1 2 | 1 1 1 X X 0 | 1 1 1 - 1 X | 4 3 4 1 2 0 |

ROUND TWO

Ed McCauley, Lee Hyder, Robert Strickland, Bill Corbett, Arthur Paterson, and Mickey Bush are currently competing in this final round. Robert Moorer withdrew and forfeited all games. In the only other result reported thus far Paterson defeated Hyder.

1986 S.C. SPEED CHAMPIONSHIP

1st-Randy Ferguson $8\frac{1}{2}$; 2nd-Jack Berry, Fred Wilson, & Mike Peschke 6; 5th-Jimmy Hill 5; 6th-Greg Frady & Tharon Lambert 4; 8th-Farukh Khambaty 3, 9th-Kyle Oody $2\frac{1}{2}$; 10th-Andy Jackson 0

2ND ANNUAL YULETIDE OCTAGONAL- Greenville, 12/13/86

1st-Mickey Bush & Wayne Williams (Top Section) 1st-Ronnie Rutledge (Lower Section) 16 players participated

TOURNAMENT ANNOUNCEMENTS

Don Horton Memorial - March 21, 1987

8-man sections; 3-SS; TL: 40/90. SD/30; EF: \$6 by 3/14, \$8 at site; \$\$each section: 1st: \$25, 2nd: \$15; Rds. 10-2-6; Reg. 8:30-9:30, SITE: Wade Hampton High School, Greenville, SC, 100 Pine Knoll Rd. (off Hwy. 29 at intersection with Liberty Life Bldg.); ST; NS; ENT: Jeff Smeltzer, Rt. 1 Southern Rd., Taylors, SC 29687 (895-4383); Directors: Jeff Smeltzer & Bill Corbett

SPRING FLING! - May 2, 1987 (tentative)
Details not firm at press time. Send SASE to David Williams,
102 Elderberry Dr., Spartanburg, SC 29302 for info. at later
date.

ANNOUNCING 1987 (STH ANNUAL) SCCA POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP

- 1. Entry fee is \$10.00.
- 3//4 2. Entries will be accepted until february 28, 1987. Sections will not be larger than 7 players, if possible. [op in each section will advance to the finals. Last round will be at least 7 players.
- 3. USCF and SCCA required and must be maintained while playing. It will be USCF rated. All entrants must give their current USCF ratings (postaland over the board) or, if unrated, estimate your strength according to the following:

Class A: Strong Class B: Above Average Class C: Average Class D: Novice

- 4. The tournament will be run according to USCF postal rules. A copy will be provided with each assignment. The Tournament Secretary will be Patrick Hart, who will be responsible for assignments and rulings. The USCF will only be responsible for rating the games.
- 5. Entries and questions to: Pat Hart, P. O. Box 634, Sullivan's Island, SC 29482-0634. (803)-883-3783 (after noon). Include your name, address, telephone number. SCCA expiration date and USCF ID number with expiration date. Make checks payable to Charleston Chess Club. This is simply to facilitate check cashing and payment of rating fees. The club is not sponsoring this event.

15 TH SNOWSTORM SPECIAL

(FEBRUARY 21 - 22, 1987)

*** GUARANTEED PRIZE FUND ***

1st - \$100.00

2nd -\$70.00

B - \$50.00

C - \$50.00

- \$50.00 Under 1400 - \$50.00

UNR - based on performance rating

*** MORE \$\$ PER ENTRIES ***

FLACE: Trident Technical College (Bldg. 200) 7000 Rivers Avenue (Highway 52)

North Charleston, SC (SEE MAP)

ENTRY FEE: \$15.00 by 2-18-87; \$20.00 at site REGISTER: 9:00 - 9:55 AM Saturday


ROUNDS: Saturday 10 - 2 - 7; Sunday 10 - 2:30

MOTELS: Royal Prize Inn (744-7415), I-26 Exit 211A; \$20.00 - \$26.00 CONTACT: Patrick Hart @ (803) 883-3783

ENTER: Charleston Chess Club

P. O. Box 634 Sullivan's Island, SC 29482-0634

NOTICE: See Chess Life tournament life section



SOUTH CAROLINA CHESS ASSOCIATION

<u>President:</u> Marion E. Mahaffey 1207 Memorial Park Rd., Lancaster, SC 29720

Vice President: Robert Strickland Rt. 1 Box 37, Smoaks, SC 29481

Secretary: Don Lemaster 1471 Pine St., West Columbia, SC 29169

Treasurer: Patrick D. Hart
P.O. Box 634, Sullivans Island, SC 29482-0634

SCCA NEWS

Editor: David K. Williams 102 Elderberry Dr., Spartanburg, SC 29302

Associate Editor: Harold M. Bush 115 Elliott St., Spartanburg, SC 29301

Game Annotations: M. Lee Hyder, Spencer R. Mathews, David K. Williams

are available for \$3.

Persons interested in joining the South Carolina Chess Associat , a non-profit organization, should contact the Secretar, Annual dues are \$6. Junior memberships (under 19)