

03/30/2025

Office of Attorney Ethics
New Jersey Supreme Court
P.O. Box 963
Trenton, NJ 08625

OR

Attorney Grievance Committee
New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division
[Relevant Department Address]

Subject: Complaint Against Attorney Alina Habba for Ethical Violations and Unlawful Conduct

NJ: 018592010

To Whom It May Concern,

I am submitting this formal complaint against attorney Alina Habba for conduct that appears to violate the **Rules of Professional Conduct** and raise serious concerns regarding legal ethics, conflicts of interest, and potential violations of U.S. laws. Ms. Habba is currently licensed to practice law in New Jersey and New York, and her recent actions warrant investigation and disciplinary review.

Respondents' Background and Position of Influence:

Alina Habba's timeline as an advisor to former President Donald Trump and her involvement with various entities related to his political movement can be broken down as follows:

- 1. Pre-2019 (Before the Trump Administration):**

Habba had a career in law before publicly aligning herself with Donald Trump. She worked in private practice, but her direct involvement with Trump's political activities

would begin later.

2. **2019-2020 (Early Role with Trump):**

Habba's public connection to Trump's legal team began to emerge around this time. She became a part of Trump's broader legal network, providing legal advice and advocacy. She was part of his defense team during various legal challenges, including those arising from the Mueller investigation and other legal matters related to his administration.

3. **2021 (Post-Presidency - MAGA, Inc. Advisory Role):**

After Trump's presidency ended in January 2021, Habba continued to support Trump's political movement through **MAGA, Inc.**, a Super PAC supporting Trump's continued political activities and future campaigns.

As a senior advisor for MAGA, Inc., Habba played a significant role in defending Trump's legal interests and advocating for his policies. During this time, she also continued her work on media strategy and legal matters related to Trump's post-presidency endeavors.

4. **January 2025 (Counselor to the President):**

In January 2025, Habba was appointed as **Counselor to the President** under Trump's reinstated administration. In this role, she became involved in higher-level governmental affairs, including shaping policy and potentially influencing government appointments.

As Counselor to the President, Habba had a more formal and direct role in the administration's legal and political strategies, including public statements on key policies like the "America First" agenda.

5. **April 2025 (Appointment as Interim U.S. Attorney for New Jersey):**

Habba's role was expanded further when she was sworn in as **interim U.S. Attorney for New Jersey**. This move signifies her continued integration into the political and legal fabric of Trump's administration, giving her substantial authority within the Department of Justice at a federal level.

Summary of Complaint

Formal Complaint Against Alina Habba for Legal and Ethical Violations

Dear Florida Bar Disciplinary Committee,

I am submitting this expedited formal complaint against Alina Habba for multiple violations of ethical and legal standards as an attorney and public official. Her actions, in their role as NJ Attorney General and in subsequent positions within the **America First** organization which have demonstrated a blatant disregard for the Constitution, the rule of law, and the ethical responsibilities of a licensed attorney. Her conduct has not only eroded public trust but has actively contributed to severe constitutional violations, human rights abuses, and the dismantling of democratic governance.

She has a sworn duty to uphold the Constitution and safeguard the rule of law. Instead, she played a direct role in enabling and facilitating authoritarian overreach, undermining democratic institutions, and weaponizing the legal system to target political enemies. By serving as the legal architect of unconstitutional policies within America First, she violated both the ethical standards of the legal profession and her duty to the American people.

1. Failure to Uphold Constitutional Duties:

- She failed to take proactive steps to ensure the president adhered to the constitutional separation of powers and the rule of law. This neglect allowed for or ignored executive overreach, setting the stage for a surge in legal, ethical, and Global Impact disputes.

2. Negligence in Legal Oversight:

- Under her watch, there was a rapid escalation of legal challenges—150 lawsuits within just eight weeks of taking office, tariff wars, distancing allies, getting into wars, bombing countries, tearing down the establishment, and crashing the economy.
- These suits stemmed from executive actions that likely violated established constitutional principles, indicating the AG's neglect in fulfilling her duty to safeguard the balance of power and protect the public's legal rights.

3. Impacts of Neglect:

- Her inaction not only failed to prevent the abuse of power by the president but directly contributed to the rapid escalation of legal conflicts that burdened the courts, cost the Country Trillions of dollars in weeks, escalated political tensions, and undermined public trust in the rule of law. Her actions constitute a breach of public trust, and she should be held accountable for aiding and abetting constitutional violations that have led to widespread legal, social, and political harm.
- This neglect burdened the judicial system and undermined the efficiency of government, which is the direct responsibility of her position to manage.

4. **Breach of Public Trust and Office:**

- She neglected her responsibility to hold the executive branch accountable, violated public trust, and breached her duty to uphold constitutional principles.

6. **Affiliation with America First: OATH**

- Her public and professional ties to America First—an organization linked to radical, unconstitutional stances—demonstrate her deep ideological commitment to policies that prioritize nationalist and authoritarian ideals over constitutional safeguards. She not only failed to challenge these ideas but actively supported them, further exacerbating the erosion of constitutional norms.
-

MAGA INC SUPER PAC ROLE

A. **MAGA,INC SuperPAC**

1. Alina Habba has been a legal spokesperson for former President Donald Trump and a senior advisor for **MAGA, Inc.**, a Super PAC supporting his campaign.
2. Ms. Habba publicly stated, *“Every Trump appointee is ‘America First’”*, signaling that officials are being selected based on loyalty to a political organization rather than merit or legal qualifications.

- 18 U.S.C. § 601 – Deprivation of Employment or Other Benefit for Political Contribution. This prohibits offering or denying federal employment based on political contributions or affiliations.
 - 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(1) – Prohibited Personnel Practices
Federal law prohibits appointing individuals based on political affiliation rather than qualifications.
 - Rules of Professional Conduct (ABA Model Rule 8.4)
8.4(d): Engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.
8.4(f): Assisting an organization engaged in unlawful activities.
 - U.S. Constitution – Article VI, Clause 3 (Oath of Office Requirement). Federal officials must uphold the Constitution, not a political organization.
-

COUNSELOR TO THE PRESIDENT

1. In January 2025, Trump appointed Ms. Habba as **Counselor to the President**, a senior White House role traditionally reserved for individuals with experience in public administration or legal counsel for governance.
2. Her transition from a **PAC-affiliated advisor** to a **government official** raises substantial ethical and legal concerns, including:
 - **Unlawful Coordination Between a PAC and Government Officials** – As an advisor for MAGA, Inc., she played a role in the campaign strategy. If her appointment was a reward for PAC assistance, this would violate 52 U.S.C. § 30125 (campaign finance laws).
 - **Conflicts of Interest (18 U.S.C. § 208)** – Her continued loyalty to America First raises concerns about her ability to act **impartially** in her government role.
 - **Potential Bribery or Quid Pro Quo (18 U.S.C. § 201)** – If she was appointed due to her role in Trump’s Super PAC, this could constitute an illegal exchange of campaign support for a government position.
 - **Professional Conduct Rules:**

- **Rule 8.4(c) & (d):** Engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation.
 - **Rule 8.4(f):** Assisting an organization engaged in unlawful activities.
 - **Rule 1.7:** Conflict of interest between personal political affiliations and public duties.
 - **Oath to Uphold the Constitution** – Attorneys are bound to uphold the **U.S. Constitution and democratic principles**. Ms. Habba's alignment with an organization that does not **support or abide by constitutional law** directly contradicts this duty. She cannot belong to or promote any organization that seeks to undermine the constitutional order of the United States while holding a government role.
 - Federal Anti-Nepotism and Patronage Laws – Her appointment appears to be politically motivated rather than based on professional qualifications or merit.
 - Involvement in Government Appointments – After assuming her role as Counselor to the President, Ms. Habba played a significant role in selecting and vetting government appointees. She publicly stated, “*Every Trump appointee is ‘America First’*”, signaling that officials were chosen based on political loyalty rather than qualifications or adherence to democratic principles.
 - Political Bias in Government Decision-Making – The statement also suggests a failure to uphold impartiality in public service, which is a core ethical obligation under federal law.
 - Given that America First PAC has engaged in efforts that **undermine democratic institutions**, Ms. Habba’s direct involvement suggests she is aware of these violations and chooses her loyalty to their organization over her oath.
-

US ATTORNEY FOR NEW JERSEY

1. The announcement regarding Alina Habba's appointment as the interim U.S. Attorney for New Jersey during a swearing-in ceremony in the Oval Office raises several ethical and legal concerns:

- **Conflict of Interest:** As the President's legal adviser, Ms. Habba's appointment to such a crucial government role could constitute a significant conflict of interest. Given her close ties to the President and the America First PAC, her objectivity as a U.S. Attorney could be compromised.
 - **Violation of Merit-Based Appointment Process:** If her appointment is seen as a reward for her loyalty to the President and the America First agenda, it undermines the merit-based appointment system. U.S. Attorneys are expected to act impartially, and any suspicion of political favoritism undermines public trust in the judicial system.
 - **Political Influence in Law Enforcement:** The appointment of someone with direct connections to a political movement such as America First could lead to concerns about the politicization of law enforcement. The U.S. Attorney's role is pivotal in ensuring that federal laws are enforced fairly, without political influence.
 - **Legal and Ethical Violations:** The potential for improper coordination between a political organization (America First PAC) and government officials raises significant legal concerns, including violations of campaign finance laws, conflicts of interest, and possible abuse of power.
 - This move could further fuel concerns about political interference and partisanship within the Department of Justice, which traditionally upholds the principle of independence in law enforcement.
-

Violation of Oath to Uphold the Constitution and Professional Ethical Obligations: Habba's Role

Alina Habba has played several roles that could be seen as contributing to actions that undermine democratic institutions and constitutional principles. Below are key actions she has taken that support the controversial and potentially unconstitutional activities of the America First movement:

- 1. Legal Advocacy for Trump's Agenda:** As a legal spokesperson for former President Trump, Habba has worked to promote his legal defenses and political strategies. She has been involved in defending Trump in multiple high-profile cases, often in ways that reflect political loyalty rather than impartial legal judgment. Her legal efforts have been integral in supporting actions and policies that have drawn criticism for undermining constitutional norms.

- 2. Role in MAGA, Inc. and Political Campaigns:** Habba has been a senior advisor for MAGA, Inc., the political action committee supporting Trump's campaign. Her involvement with this PAC directly ties her to efforts that many believe seek to consolidate power in ways that are inconsistent with democratic principles. PACs like MAGA, Inc. have been accused of using legal loopholes to fund political campaigns and influence the election process in ways that may not fully comply with campaign finance laws, potentially undermining democratic fairness.

- 3. Promotion of the "America First" Philosophy:** During her tenure as a senior adviser, Habba has been a vocal supporter of the "America First" ideology, which has been critiqued for its focus on nationalism and its disregard for some key constitutional protections, such as checks and balances. Her public statements, including the assertion that "Every Trump appointee is 'America First'," suggest that government appointments were made based on political loyalty rather than qualifications. This could be seen as

undermining the merit-based system that is essential to maintaining an impartial government.

4. **Support for Undermining Legal Norms:** Habba's support for Trump's various controversial executive actions, such as attempts to suppress votes or challenge election outcomes, could be viewed as facilitating efforts that undermine constitutional law. Her role in supporting these policies, whether through legal advice or public advocacy, aligns her with efforts that many consider to be violations of democratic principles.
-

5. **Helping to Recruit and Install Loyalists:** Habba's role in helping to install loyalists within the government, particularly those aligned with the "America First" agenda, further raises concerns. By assisting in the appointment of individuals who prioritize political loyalty over competence or adherence to the law, she has contributed to a broader strategy of political infiltration and control of government institutions.
-

6. **Support for Anti-Constitutional Legal Strategies:** Habba's work alongside Trump's legal team and the broader America First network has supported strategies that challenge key constitutional safeguards. This includes efforts to weaken the checks on executive power, to diminish the independence of the judiciary, and to undermine election security and fairness.
-

7. **Handling of Executive Orders:** Habba's involvement in defending and implementing executive orders—such as the reinstatement of Schedule F, which allows the reclassification of civil service positions to make it easier to replace nonpartisan

bureaucracy with political appointees—can be seen as part of a broader effort to dismantle government operations in a way that allows for greater control over federal agencies. This aligns with an approach that many argue threatens the Constitution’s separation of powers and undermines the independence of the civil service.\

Alina Habba has been directly involved in activities that not only undermine the Constitution but also attempt to rewrite the rules of American governance. Specifically:

1. **America First Movement and Schedule F:**

Habba has played a central role in advocating for and defending the America First movement’s goals. This movement, under the leadership of former President Donald Trump and his allies, seeks to dismantle democratic institutions and replace them with a shadow government loyal to the executive branch, bypassing constitutional checks and balances. Habba’s legal work has been pivotal in supporting the implementation of **Schedule F**, a policy designed to strip civil service protections and replace career civil servants with political appointees loyal to the administration. This move is part of an attempt to consolidate power in a non-constitutional, politicized framework that undermines the separation of powers and the rule of law.

2. **Subversion of Democratic Processes:**

Habba actively participated in the post-2020 election legal challenges, filing numerous lawsuits to challenge the legitimacy of the election results despite knowing there was no credible evidence of widespread fraud. This was part of an intentional effort to delegitimize the election outcome and create a false narrative that Trump had been cheated. These legal efforts culminated in the January 6, 2021, insurrection, which was partly fueled by the belief that the election had been stolen. Habba’s involvement in spreading these unfounded claims directly contravenes her duty as a legal professional

to uphold the Constitution and the democratic process.

3. **Promotion of Anti-Democratic and Authoritarian Goals:**

Habba is aligned with the America First movement's broader agenda, which aims to upend democratic norms and institutions. Her involvement with the movement is not just political; it is deeply connected to legal maneuvers that seek to dismantle government structures. This aligns with their ideology of subverting the Constitution, undermining fair elections, and consolidating power within a non-democratic regime. In this context, Habba's actions are not simply failures to follow the law, but deliberate attempts to promote an **anti-constitutional agenda** under the guise of legal and political maneuvering.

Through these actions, Habba has played a key role in supporting the America First agenda, which many view as seeking to consolidate power in a way that is inconsistent with democratic principles. Her involvement in the legal defense of such actions and her public statements may indicate a deliberate effort to reshape government institutions and the law in a manner that contradicts the Constitution.

FRAUD BY MISREPRESENTATION: THE OATH SHAM

Habba was not loyal to the Oath when she accepted her January position and her March Position.

She was no longer loyal to the United States Government, its laws or the Oath of her office. Her loyalty is to assist AMERICA FIRST's Parallel Government Overthrow of our Government. Yet she put her hand on the bible and swore to uphold the Oath.

1. She willfully joined a group that planned the January 6th insurrection. She willfully continued to be employed by this group and was rewarded with upward mobility options. She, not once, in the course of her days, weeks, months, or years, alerted authorities to the Domestic Terrorism or Seditious activities of this organization. Instead, she assisted them to be able to succeed. The following list is only a small number of times she did not report serious violations. This is demonstrated as follows:

- **America First Refuses to Acknowledge the words "United States of America"**
 - a. Instead of using "United States of America," the America First movement uses "America First," signaling an intention to dismantle the government and push for a state-run, independent government. This terminology implies a broader, continental interpretation of sovereignty, potentially confusing the distinct political identity of the United States and weakening the authority vested by the Constitution. This shift jeopardizes the clarity of U.S. national identity and its legal standing both domestically and internationally, potentially violating the **Separation of Powers** and the **National Identity** of the United States under constitutional law. This act alone abolishes the government under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution (Article VI, Clause 2).
- **2022 - Present: Their Own Flag**
 - a. The America First movement has adopted its own flag, potentially violating the law if it is used to advocate treason, overthrow the government, or support an authoritarian regime. Such actions could

violate various sections of the **U.S. Code related to treason (18 U.S.C. § 2381)** and may result in criminal charges if this flag is part of an unlawful conspiracy to overthrow the government.

- **2022 - Present: Independent Policies that Reject the Constitution**

- a. The America First movement has created its own policies, including a preamble, and refuses to acknowledge the Constitution. These policies have led to over **150 lawsuits** since January 20, 2025. The movement's actions reflect a clear attempt to engage in **treason, insurrection, sedition** and advocate the **overthrow of the government**, as well as conspiring to violate the Constitution and infringe upon **due process, equal protection, civil rights**, and the **Second Amendment (18 U.S. Code § 2386)**.

- **2024 - Present: Attempted to Replace the Federal Reserve**

- a. America First has created its crypto reserve, announced in April 2024 (Before an election). It was announced as taking over US banking and US dollar, despite no authorization, approvals and being an illegal banking system called De-Fi. Then, they pushed to shut down the Federal Reserve, with plans to replace the U.S. financial system with a privately controlled system. This attempt to bypass Congress's authority and destabilize the U.S. economy violates **12 U.S.C. § 341** (Federal Reserve Act) and other laws such as **18 U.S.C. § 1343** (Wire Fraud) and **18 U.S.C. § 371** (Conspiracy to Defraud the United States). Trump-allied billionaires (Musk, Sacks, Thiel) are promoting cryptocurrency as a replacement for traditional banking, challenging the U.S. dollar's dominance. This movement threatens **U.S. economic stability**, inviting foreign-backed crypto investments from nations like **Russia** and **China**, which could bypass U.S. financial oversight and contribute to **de-dollarization**, weakening the U.S. global economic influence.

- **Militaristic Activities and Militia**

- a. While the United States maintains a traditional military, America First operates a **militia**, with laws regarding its operations unknown in U.S. soil and funded by American taxpayers. This militia and its activities represent an unofficial force that could undermine the legitimacy of the U.S. government and national defense.

2. The America First movement's creation of an alternative flag, policies, financial systems, and militias undermines the Constitution and poses risks to the United States' democratic institutions.

- Habba's involvement in defending and promoting these five actions, plus January 6th, 2021, contributes to the erosion of constitutional principles and the stability of the nation, aligning with an ideological push for authoritarian rule and the subversion of established governance.
- Since it is being done by the same Insurrectionists as January 6th, we can demonstrate the ongoing timeline of attempts to overthrow the government.
- Add in their systematic interweaving of dark money supported candidates, and you could reasonably state that with her abilities and support, she has attacked our Country on at least 7 occasions.

3. A **parallel government** refers to a system of governance that operates alongside or in opposition to an established, legitimate government, often with the intent to undermine or replace it.

- This type of government is typically organized by individuals or groups who reject the authority of the existing government and seek to exert control through alternative political, economic, or social structures.
- Parallel governments often arise during times of political instability, social unrest, or authoritarian regimes, where they aim to challenge or circumvent constitutional laws and the recognized authority of state institutions.

- They can function through unofficial channels, such as militias, alternative legal frameworks, or economic systems that operate independently of the state, with the ultimate goal of reshaping or dismantling the existing political order.
- To prove a parallel government exists, it has to take steps to overthrow the Government.
- The steps mentioned above could be seen as preparation.

4. Establishing a Parallel Government is in Motion: January 2025 (Counselor to the President):

- A. In January 2025, Habba was appointed as **Counselor to the President** under Trump’s reinstated administration.
 - b. In this role, she became involved in higher-level governmental affairs, including shaping policy and potentially influencing government appointments.
 - c. As Counselor to the President, Habba had a more formal and direct role in the administration’s legal and political strategies, including public statements on key policies like the “America First” agenda.
- B. On January 20th, 2025 she assisted the President with the implementation of the executive order which was formerly known as Schedule F.
 1. Guarantee Clause (Article IV, Section 4) – They conspired and engaged in actions that undermined the republican form of government by attempting to consolidate power under a private entity (America First PAC).
 2. Her knowledge of Schedule F dates back in her prior term of October 2020: As part of a broader plan to restructure the federal government, Schedule F was introduced through Executive Order 13957.
 3. This executive order allowed the Trump administration to classify large segments of the federal workforce as “Schedule F” employees.
 4. This classification gave the president more power to hire and fire civil servants, particularly in policy-making roles, making them more politically aligned with the administration’s goals

C. On January 20, 2025, The President, with her help, violated the Separation of Powers (Article I, II, III) By circumventing checks and balances by placing private corporate figures in advisory roles that directly influenced government policies, violating the independence of the three branches. To do this, he had to plan to overturn the Government for almost a year, in which she did not alert authorities.

5. He intentionally violated the Supremacy Laws to gain full control and overthrow the Government and the Constitution..
6. The first thing he had to do was surpass the felony conviction of being an Insurrectionist by running for office causing a systematic delay in the process of the laws.
7. On April 3, 2024, with EO 13957 in hand, Ashley Hayak in a (Self-Proclaimed Army branch of America First) admitted 600 people worked on this EO project and re-writing new policies, different from the Constitution's.
8. She called together dozens of right-wing groups to join together under a "Unity Pledge" to join together and "Fight like never before".
<https://www.foxnews.com/politics/conservative-group-builds-america-first-coalition-fight-radical-lefts-extreme-positions-agenda>
9. Participants that attended were connected to the January 6, 2024, riots, making this a seditious conspiracy.
10. Hayak stated on video, she did this to ensure we all have "Self-Governance". (See last minute of her speaking)
<https://chatgpt.com/c/67e6e11f-fdfc-8002-a974-681fceb9852>
11. The first three words of the Constitution are "We the People." The document says that the people of the United States choose to **create** the government. "We the People" also explains that people elect **representatives** to make laws. This is a form of **self-government**.
12. The Policies developed were crafted by the groups by each section of law. (See appendix)

D. After that moment, there were attacks within our Country, that included"

1. The bogging down of the court system.
 - a. Threats to judicial independence
 - b. Erosion of the Judicial System:
 - c. Attempts to place judges sympathetic to corporate and America First interests in courts at all levels, undermining the impartiality of legal rulings and constitutional protections.
 - d. Threats to Judges
 - e. Impeachment Charges levied against Judges for upholding the law
2. Voter suppression efforts and Election Rigging- **Electoral Clause Violations (Article I, Section 4)** – The manipulation of election oversight bodies and cybersecurity measures interferes with the lawful conduct of elections.
 - a. Placing election deniers in each spot that could circumvent an investigation into election fraud
 - b. Suppression of Investigative Agencies: Deliberate efforts to sideline agencies like the FBI, CIA, and DHS from conducting fair and impartial election security efforts. They are now staffed with all the top election deniers, not the best person for the job, in order to circumvent any ability to deny the 2024 election.
3. Systemic Persecution and suppression of media and Journalists, **First Amendment**
 - a. The Defendants have worked to suppress political dissent, manipulate public opinion through propaganda, and silence whistleblowers.
 - b. Defendants have engaged in a systematic effort to censor, intimidate, and retaliate against journalists, violating the First Amendment.
4. **COLLUSION AND VIOLATIONS OF THE SHERMAN ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1)**: Defendants engaged in anti-competitive behavior, including market manipulation and suppression of competition within cryptocurrency, media, and technology sectors.
5. **Control Over Regulatory Agencies**: The appointment of individuals with deep ties to corporate interests into key federal regulatory agencies,

allowing for the manipulation of policies to serve private entities rather than the public interest.

6. **Weakening of Congressional Oversight:** Systematic efforts to strip congressional committees of investigative power, thereby shielding corrupt practices from scrutiny.

7. **VIOLATIONS OF THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT (18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2522)**

Entities such as Palantir, Meta, and X have unlawfully collected and used private data without consent, constituting a breach of federal privacy laws.

8. **ABUSE OF GOVERNMENT POWER AND EXECUTIVE OVERREACH**

They have engaged in actions beyond their constitutional authority, including the unauthorized spending of Congressional funds and excessive executive orders.

9. **STOKING VIOLENCE AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY**

Defendants' policies and rhetoric have contributed to targeted violence and deprivation of essential services, such as healthcare and food assistance.

10. **VIOLATION OF THE EMOLUMENTS CLAUSE (U.S. CONST. ART. I, § 9, CL. 8)**

Defendants have improperly used public office for financial gain, including securing personal benefits for affiliated business entities.

11. The administration's financial and military support to Israel has coincided with actions resulting in significant civilian casualties in Gaza, including over 400 Palestinian deaths, many of whom were women and children, following Israeli airstrikes on March 18, 2025.

12. The dismantling of Government Structures

- a. FAA- Flight deaths
- b. Immigrant Prison Conditions- Numerous Deaths
- c. Thirty-Eight Million without healthcare or food
- d. Numerous Unemployed and on the brink of financial ruin
- e. Dedicated years of service let go for accusations of a job not well done, stifling pensions.

13. Interference in the Department of Justice (DOJ): Appointment of officials aligned with their political interests to prevent investigations, then

weaponizing the department and firing most, leaving the Nation vulnerable to security threats.

14. Suppression of Investigative Agencies to hold them accountable and removal of their own investigations
15. Digital and Cybersecurity Manipulation: Unauthorized access to voting systems, election software, and data repositories to alter election outcomes and suppress voter participation.
16. Intimidation of Whistleblowers: Threats and retaliation against federal employees who attempted to report irregularities and election security concerns.

These efforts collectively constitute a violation of the U.S. Constitution's provisions protecting the right of others and to a free and fair election. They also represent a violation of federal election laws, including potential violations of 52 U.S.C. § 20511 and 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

BLOCKING CITIZENS FROM PROTECTIONS

Sanctions on the International Criminal Court (ICC)

- On February 6, 2025, the administration announced plans to sanction the ICC over investigations into U.S. and Israeli actions. This isolates the U.S. and aligns with adversaries opposing international justice mechanisms.

. Reevaluation of NATO Commitments

- President Trump has removed NATO obligations, stating defense commitments depend on members' defense spending. This stance raises concerns among allies and may embolden adversaries observing U.S. unpredictability.

Violation of the Guarantee Clause (U.S. Const. Art. IV, § 4)

Which guarantees that the United States will ensure a republican form of government to every state. Her' actions, through their efforts to subvert democratic processes, weaken national institutions, and impose an alternative political order, threaten the nation's guarantee of a republican government, as outlined in the Constitution.

- a. Alina Habba is in **violation of the Equal Protection Clause (U.S. Const. Amend. XIV, § 1)**, for understanding the law enough for systematic manipulation of the political system, including their interference in federal and state elections, which undermines the principles of equal protection under the law. The Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment guarantees that no state shall deny any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. By attempting to manipulate the election process and disenfranchise certain voters or suppress electoral outcomes, Alina Habba is in violation of this clause.
- b. Alina Habba's actions, particularly in engaging with authoritarian regimes and undermining the democratic processes in the U.S., violate **international human rights law** principles. Specifically, their manipulation of democratic institutions, suppression of free speech, and systematic efforts to stifle political opposition may be considered violations of the **Universal Declaration of Human Rights** and the **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)**, both of which guarantee the right to participate in government and the right to free and fair elections.
- c. **Fourteenth Amendment Violations – Equal Protection and Due Process** The Defendants' actions have also violated the **Fourteenth Amendment** by depriving the others of equal protection under the law and due process.
- d. She has placed our Nation in **Sovereignty Risks**:
 - Russian & Chinese state-backed crypto firms could finance American political movements.
 - Cutting off federal programs (especially intelligence & military oversight) allows adversaries to operate freely.
 - If critical infrastructure is privatized and foreign investors are involved, U.S. assets could be compromised.
 - David Sacks & Foreign Investment: Sacks has direct ties to Silicon Valley venture capitalists who have accepted foreign-backed investments—some from entities with Chinese and Russian government affiliations. If those investments influence U.S. government policies, it presents a **national security risk**.
 - Use of government resources for partisan gains (ensuring DOJ, FBI, and intelligence agencies for political purposes).
 - Reference: January 6th Committee findings, which demonstrate that America First-aligned groups worked to subvert democracy.

- Connections between America First leaders and groups that attempted to overturn democratic institutions, such as:
 - The Proud Boys, Oath Keepers, and other January 6 rioters who were affiliated with America First policies.
 - Project 2025, which outlines authoritarian governance structures inconsistent with the Constitution.

E. They invoked the Alien Enemies Act of 1798 to justify mass deportations, particularly targeting members of foreign criminal organizations like the Venezuelan gang Tren de Aragua. The expedited deportation process, often without due process, raised concerns about the treatment of non-citizens and the potential for abuse of power. This is more than enough evidence to support (Parallel Government with Separate Ideologies) and agree their forward movement with Schedule F, while working with the January 6th Rioters to overthrow the Government.

Alina Habba's participation in filing lawsuits based on fraudulent claims related to the 2020 election constitutes a direct violation of legal and ethical standards. Below are recent examples of her active involvement in such cases, where she misrepresented facts under oath and promoted falsehoods despite clear evidence to the contrary. These actions not only undermine the integrity of the legal profession but also perpetuate dangerous falsehoods that have contributed to widespread misinformation.

1. Trump v. Pennsylvania (December 2020)

In this high-profile case, Habba represented Donald Trump in a lawsuit challenging the certification of the Pennsylvania election results. The lawsuit claimed widespread voter fraud and irregularities in the handling of mail-in ballots. However, Habba's legal arguments lacked any credible evidence.

Conflicts of Interest:

Alina Habba's conduct reveals a glaring conflict of interest, as she prioritized political and personal loyalties over her ethical obligations as an attorney. Her legal work, particularly her involvement with Schedule F and election fraud litigation, was not driven by the pursuit of justice but by the advancement of a political agenda.

1. By aligning herself with the America First movement—a group intent on dismantling the constitutional order—Habba violated her duty to represent clients with honesty and impartiality. Instead, she exploited her legal position to further political objectives, undermining the rule of law and eroding public trust in the legal profession. Her actions demonstrate a clear departure from the ethical standards expected of attorneys, as she placed partisan interests above her professional responsibilities.
-

2. Failure to Act in the Client's Best Interest:

Alina Habba's primary client is the United States of America, which compensates her for her legal services. Yet, her actions have consistently undermined the interests of her client, as she has prioritized the agenda of the America First movement—a direct competitor to the democratic principles her client represents.

In her post-2020 election lawsuits, Habba failed to provide competent and zealous legal representation. She neglected her duty to advise her clients about the risks of pursuing legal claims without factual support, leading them into actions that lacked legal merit. By continuing to push baseless litigation, Habba not only jeopardized her client's legal standing but also caused reputational harm. Her failure to counsel against such actions demonstrates a disregard for her ethical obligation to act in her client's best interest.

The reinstatement of Schedule F under a new Executive Order on January 25, 2025, is a critical point. This Executive Order, titled "Restoring Accountability to Policy-Influencing Positions Within the Federal Workforce," effectively revived and amended the original Schedule F policy from 2020. The policy aims to reclassify certain federal positions,

exempting them from traditional civil service protections and making them more susceptible to political influence.

Alina Habba's involvement in supporting this policy highlights her alignment with an agenda designed to erode the constitutional safeguards of the civil service. By advocating for the reimplementation of Schedule F, she contributed to a framework that undermines the separation of powers and the independence of federal employees. This move directly contradicts her ethical obligations as an attorney to uphold the Constitution and serve the public interest.

Her actions demonstrate a deliberate effort to dismantle the protections that ensure a nonpartisan and effective federal workforce. This policy, under the guise of "accountability," paves the way for consolidating power within the executive branch, furthering the America First movement's agenda at the expense of democratic governance. By supporting this measure, Habba prioritized political objectives over her duty to uphold justice and constitutional principles.

Misrepresentation of Facts Under Oath:

In the filings, Habba presented **false claims** about voter fraud, including accusations that thousands of mail-in ballots were improperly counted in Philadelphia. Despite repeated audits and recounts showing no evidence of fraud, Habba continued to push these unfounded allegations, even though she knew they were baseless.

- **Court's Ruling:** The court dismissed the case, ruling that the evidence presented was insufficient and lacking credibility. The judge also noted that the claims made by the Trump legal team had been repeatedly debunked by experts and were contradicted by official state audits.

- **Ethical Violation:** By continuing to promote these unfounded claims, Habba misrepresented the facts under oath. This is a violation of **Rule 3.1 of the Model Rules of Professional Conduct**, which requires lawyers to refrain from presenting claims that are not supported by **good faith legal arguments** and factual evidence.

2. Trump v. Wisconsin (November 2020)

Habba was also involved in a lawsuit filed to contest the results of the Wisconsin election. In this case, Trump's team claimed that illegal ballots had been counted in the state, particularly absentee ballots that were allegedly cast fraudulently.

- **Falsifying Legal Documents and Claims:** Habba signed and filed documents claiming that large numbers of ballots in Milwaukee and Dane Counties were improperly counted. She presented no credible evidence to support these claims and ignored extensive audits that had already verified the legitimacy of the ballots.
- **Court's Ruling:** The Wisconsin Supreme Court rejected the lawsuit, emphasizing that there was **no evidence** of fraudulent activity in the election process. Habba's claims were dismissed as **unfounded**, and the court explicitly noted that the Trump legal team had failed to meet the **burden of proof**.
- **Ethical Violation:** In this case, Habba's actions amounted to **misrepresentation of facts** to the court and public. This conduct is a clear violation of **Rule 3.3** of the Model Rules, which prohibits lawyers from making false statements to the court or concealing material facts.

3. Trump v. Georgia (December 2020)

In the state of Georgia, Habba participated in another baseless lawsuit seeking to overturn the state's certified results. The lawsuit argued that illegal ballots were counted in various counties, particularly in **Fulton County**, and that some voters had cast ballots from outside the state.

- **Defending False Claims:** Habba falsely argued that there was **widespread fraud** in Georgia's election process, despite extensive audits and a hand recount of every ballot

that confirmed the results were legitimate. Habba continued to push these claims despite clear evidence to the contrary.

- **Court's Ruling:** The Georgia courts dismissed the case, calling the claims “without merit” and noting that **no evidence** supported the allegations of widespread voter fraud. The judge also pointed out that the case was based on speculative theories that had been debunked by fact-checkers.
- **Ethical Violation:** Habba’s involvement in promoting these fraudulent claims constituted **misleading the court**, which is a direct violation of **Rule 8.4(c)** of the Model Rules of Professional Conduct, prohibiting attorneys from engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation.

4. Trump v. Michigan (November 2020)

Habba was involved in a legal challenge in Michigan, where Trump’s legal team sought to invalidate the election results, claiming that **illegal votes** had been counted in Detroit and other urban areas.

- **Unsubstantiated Claims and Legal Misconduct:** Habba continued to push the baseless narrative that **Dominion Voting Systems** was responsible for rigging the election, despite **no evidence** to support these accusations. The claims had already been debunked by independent experts and election officials. In fact, the Michigan Department of State issued a report showing that no election fraud occurred in the state.
- **Court's Ruling:** The court rejected the lawsuit, and the Michigan courts found the legal claims to be without merit. Additionally, an **independent audit** confirmed the integrity of the election process, further disproving the allegations Habba made.
- **Ethical Violation:** By continuing to file these claims and failing to withdraw them despite mounting evidence to the contrary, Habba violated **Rule 11(b)** of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires attorneys to ensure that claims presented to the court are **not filed for improper purposes**, such as harassment or delay, and are **warranted**

by existing law.

5. Trump v. Arizona (December 2020)

Habba also represented Trump in a similar lawsuit to contest the results in Arizona, alleging that illegal votes had been counted and that certain voting procedures had violated state law.

- **Knowingly Misleading the Court:** Habba presented claims about the **illegality of mail-in ballots** and the **number of provisional ballots** that were improperly counted, despite the fact that the state's election process had been overseen by both Republican and Democratic officials who confirmed the legitimacy of the results.
- **Court's Ruling:** The Arizona Supreme Court swiftly rejected the lawsuit, stating that the claims presented by Habba were **unsupported by evidence** and lacked **substantive legal arguments**.
- **Ethical Violation:** By filing this lawsuit, Habba misled the court and public by advancing claims that she knew were false. This constitutes a **violation of her duty of candor** toward the tribunal under **Rule 3.3** and a violation of her duty to avoid making **misleading statements** under **Rule 8.4(c)** of the Model Rules.
- Alina Habba, known for her role as former President Donald Trump's personal attorney, has been involved in several legal actions and controversies from 2021 to the present. In 2023, Habba and Trump were fined nearly \$1 million for filing what a Florida judge deemed a frivolous lawsuit against former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. The judge criticized the suit as baseless and a misuse of the judicial system. [PBS: Public Broadcasting Service](#)
- In January 2024, during a defamation trial, Judge Lewis Kaplan reprimanded Habba multiple times for procedural errors, including ignoring previous rulings and mishandling evidence presentation. An ethics lawyer noted that such behavior could expose an attorney to malpractice liability in typical cases. [Business Insider](#)
- In March 2025, President Trump appointed Habba as the interim U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey. This appointment has been met with controversy due to her limited federal court experience and previous professional conduct. Critics have raised

concerns about her ability to serve impartially, given her close ties to Trump and prior legal missteps.

Interference with Investigations and Obstruction of Justice

Alina Habba's actions in 2025, including the shutdown of key government departments, deprived Americans of critical avenues for legal recourse. This unprecedented move effectively dismantled mechanisms for challenging unlawful actions, leaving citizens without access to justice.

Furthermore, Habba has actively interfered with investigations into political corruption and election interference. By discouraging witnesses from cooperating and promoting false narratives, she has obstructed efforts to uncover the truth. Her legal work in these areas appears to be aimed at shielding individuals from legal scrutiny—a clear abuse of her power as an attorney.

In cases involving election fraud claims, Habba's deliberate obstruction of investigations into the facts represents a direct violation of her duty to uphold justice. Rather than promoting transparency and accountability, her actions have undermined the integrity of the legal system and eroded public trust in the rule of law.

Failure to Report or Aiding in Illegal Conduct:

Alina Habba's failure to report illegal conduct in 2025, despite mounting evidence of misconduct, highlights a troubling pattern of complicity. Donald Trump's involvement in cryptocurrency

ventures, where funds have reportedly gone missing, is a glaring example. As an attorney, Habba had an ethical obligation to address these irregularities and ensure transparency. Instead, her silence allowed these questionable activities to persist, raising concerns about financial accountability and the potential misuse of funds.

- Additionally, the continued enforcement of the Alien Act, despite judicial orders to halt its implementation, underscores Habba's disregard for the rule of law. Her refusal to act on these violations demonstrates a deliberate choice to prioritize political loyalty over her professional responsibilities. By failing to report these actions, Habba has enabled the erosion of legal safeguards and contributed to the undermining of democratic governance.
 - Habba's inaction extends beyond mere oversight; it reflects a calculated effort to shield individuals and policies that contravene constitutional principles. Her role in advancing the Alien Act, despite its clear legal challenges, further illustrates her alignment with an agenda that disregards judicial authority and democratic norms.
 - In her capacity as an attorney, Habba knowingly failed to report fraudulent activity related to election fraud claims, even when it became evident that these claims were baseless. Rather than disclosing the truth to the court, she continued to support and propagate false narratives, enabling unlawful actions under the guise of legal legitimacy. Her refusal to report these fraudulent activities, coupled with her active participation in advancing them, constitutes an egregious violation of her legal and ethical obligations.
 - By prioritizing political loyalty and personal interests over her duty to uphold justice, Habba has undermined the integrity of the legal profession and eroded public trust in the rule of law. Her actions have not only facilitated unlawful conduct but have also contributed to the destabilization of democratic institutions and the erosion of accountability within the legal system.
-

Defense and Support for Actions that Protect Illegal Measures and Facilitate Tyranny

Alina Habba's legal advocacy and public support for former President Trump's agenda have played a crucial role in shielding individuals and actions that seek to overturn legal laws, many of which are viewed as unlawful and unconstitutional. Through her legal defense, she has not only helped protect Trump and his associates from accountability but has also assisted in ensuring that measures intended to dismantle democratic norms and the rule of law remain intact. The President shows a pattern of putting in cronies in places where he views his enemies. Ms. Habbas has already waged an attack on Trump's perceived enemies. On the day she was sworn in, she stated she had some less-than-kind things to say about Senator Cory Booker, telling reporters the day of her appointment that "there is a heavy amount of crime right in Cory Booker's backyard" and that Booker has "failed the state of New Jersey." She then stated, Phil Murphy, whom she listed alongside Booker as one of the politicians failing New Jersey residents.

- A. Accepting the position without Senate approval was a disregard for the Constitution alone. She did not advise the President that appointing an attorney was crossing the lines of the branch of government.
- B. Attorney General Platkin filed a lawsuit to stop the dismantling of the Department of Education.
- C. Three weeks later Republicans called for his Impeachment and he was removed.
- D. He was removed in retaliation to stopping Tyranny.
- E. Ms. Habbas defended gutting the Department of Education two weeks prior.
- F. This sets a precedent that every time the President wants to circumvent the law, he will replace the people in his way.
- G. Ms. Habbas supports this.

Whereas,

- Ms. Habba's efforts in defending actions that undermine the Constitution, including her work with MAGA, Inc. and her later role in the Trump administration, have effectively supported the continuation of policies and executive orders that pave the way for an authoritarian agenda.

- Her legal expertise and public defense of these controversial actions have shielded those responsible for potentially illegal and unconstitutional moves from facing the consequences of their actions.
 - This, in turn, has allowed a dangerous agenda to flourish, one that seeks to concentrate power in a single individual or group, undermining the democratic framework that the Constitution is meant to uphold.
 - By defending policies that diminish checks and balances, encourage political loyalty over competence, and weaken the separation of powers, Ms. Habba has protected not just her employer, former President Trump, but also others who are complicit in undermining the very laws designed to preserve the Constitution and safeguard democratic freedoms.
 - In doing so, she has contributed directly to efforts that could ultimately enable the rise of a tyrannical regime.
-

Habba's Role Aligned With Her Personal Views

Alina Habba has played several roles that contribute to actions undermining democratic institutions and constitutional principles. Below are key actions that support the unconstitutional activities of the America First movement:

1. **Legal Advocacy for Trump's Agenda:** As a legal spokesperson for former President Trump, Habba has engaged in legal defenses that prioritize political loyalty over impartial judgment. Her work has supported policies and actions that challenge constitutional norms and the separation of powers.
2. **Role in MAGA, Inc. and Political Campaigns:** As a senior advisor for MAGA, Inc., Habba has been directly involved in efforts to use legal loopholes for election influence and potential campaign finance violations.
3. **Promotion of the "America First" Philosophy:** Habba has publicly supported the "America First" ideology, which has been criticized for nationalism and legal overreach. Her advocacy for appointees based on loyalty rather than qualifications undermines a merit-based government.

4. **Support for Undermining Legal Norms:** Habba has defended executive actions aimed at suppressing votes and challenging election results, contributing to efforts to weaken constitutional law.
 5. **Helping to Recruit and Install Loyalists:** Habba assisted in appointing America First loyalists to government positions, ensuring political control over agencies.
 6. **Support for Anti-Constitutional Legal Strategies:** She has been part of legal strategies aimed at diminishing judicial independence and electoral integrity.
 7. **Handling of Executive Orders:** Habba helped defend executive orders like Schedule F, designed to replace nonpartisan federal employees with political loyalists, undermining the civil service's integrity.
-

F Habba's Betrayal of Her Oath and the U.S. Government

Alina Habba's actions show clear disloyalty to the U.S. government and legal profession, aligning instead with a parallel government movement. She knowingly participated in the January 6th insurrection and continued her employment within an organization engaged in ongoing sedition. Despite countless opportunities, she never reported any unlawful activities.

Key Violations Include:

1. **Refusal to Acknowledge the U.S. Government:**
 - America First avoids using "United States of America," instead promoting a separate identity.
 - This tactic undermines national sovereignty and contradicts the Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) of the U.S. Constitution.
2. **Adoption of a Separate Flag (2022-Present):**
 - America First has created and promoted its own flag, which can be considered an act of treason if used to symbolize the overthrow of the U.S. government.
 - This act violates 18 U.S.C. § 2381 (Treason).
3. **Creation of Independent Policies (2022-Present):**
 - America First has developed its own legal framework and preamble, rejecting the U.S. Constitution.

- This has led to over 150 lawsuits challenging America First's unconstitutional policies.
 - Violations include 18 U.S.C. § 2386 (Seditious Conspiracy) and various constitutional breaches.
4. **Attempt to Replace the Federal Reserve (2024-Present):**
- America First has introduced a cryptocurrency reserve, announced in April 2024, intended to replace the U.S. financial system.
 - This unauthorized financial system directly threatens U.S. economic stability.
 - Violates 12 U.S.C. § 341 (Federal Reserve Act) and 18 U.S.C. § 371 (Conspiracy to Defraud the U.S.).
5. **Militaristic Activities and the Establishment of a Private Militia:**
- America First operates a private militia, funded by taxpayer dollars, with unclear legal oversight.
 - Given its ties to the January 6th insurrectionists, this militia poses an ongoing threat to national security.
6. **Participation in the January 6th Insurrection:**
- Habba has provided legal defense to individuals charged with insurrection-related crimes, further enabling efforts to justify the attack on the Capitol.
 - She has made public statements that minimize or justify the attack, reinforcing false narratives about election fraud.
7. **Obstruction of Justice and Witness Intimidation:**
- Habba has been involved in cases where evidence related to Trump's legal matters has been mishandled or concealed.
 - There are reports that she has engaged in efforts to intimidate witnesses who might testify against America First operatives.
-

Violations of Legal Ethics

Alina Habba's actions constitute serious violations of professional responsibility, including:

- **Rule 8.4(b) – Criminal Acts That Reflect Adversely on the Lawyer's Honesty:** Her participation in seditious activities calls into question her fitness to practice law.

- **Rule 8.4(c) – Conduct Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Misrepresentation:** Habba has knowingly promoted false narratives to mislead the public and legal authorities.
 - **Rule 8.4(d) – Conduct That Is Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice:** By aiding and abetting efforts to subvert democracy, she has acted against the interests of justice.
-

H. Conclusion

Given the severity of Alina Habba's actions, I urge the [Bar Association] to investigate her for ethical misconduct and disbar her. Her participation in unconstitutional activities and support for a parallel government violates the core principles of legal ethics and the rule of law.

I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter and look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Request for Investigation

I respectfully request that the New York Attorney Grievance Committee and/or the New Jersey Office of Attorney Ethics investigate **Alina Habba's legal and ethical violations**. This matter is urgent, as it involves High Crimes, International Human Rights Laws, **corruption, unlawful campaign finance coordination, and abuse of public office**.

Request for Investigation & Disciplinary Action

Based on these severe violations of legal and ethical standards, I formally request the following actions:

1. **An immediate investigation** into her conduct, holdings, cash deposits, and crypto to determine if foreign money or funneling is occurring.
2. **Permanent disbarment** due to its role in facilitating constitutional violations, human rights abuses, and obstruction of justice.
3. **Referring to uncompromised national and international legal authorities** for potential prosecution under domestic and international law.
4. Freeze all assets.
5. **Public accountability measures** ensure that legal professionals cannot use their positions for political persecution.
6. The chain of command and many positions are compromised. There are no legal channels to report criminally or for their conspirators because we do not know the extent of the breach. This is what they intended.
 - a. On February 10, 2025, President Donald Trump issued an [executive order](#) and [accompanying fact sheet](#) directing Attorney General (AG) Pam Bondi to, for 180 days (1) effectively halt the initiation of new Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) investigations and enforcement actions and (2) undertake a detailed review of any such existing matters with an eye toward “restoring proper bounds” on enforcement.
 - b. DOJ: Political Persecution: Compromised. “Well, first and foremost, we got rid of the Jack Smith team. Gone. Those people are gone,” Bondi said. “We’re still trying to find ... a lot of people in the FBI and also in the Department of Justice who despise Donald Trump, despise us, don’t want to be there.”
<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2025/03/04/justice-department-purge-of-trump-haters-pam-bondi/81383021007/>
7. Under 28 U.S.C.2284, a three-judge court can be established comprising 1 US Circuit Court of Appeals judge and 2 US District Court Judges.
8. In case of a great public moment, a three judge court can be supportable since the moral authority of a Federal Court is likely to be maximized if the result cannot be laid to the prejudices or political ambitions of a single court judge.
9. The list attached is a list I found that was compromised thus far but not all-inclusive.

Her legal actions and advisory role have directly undermined the integrity of the legal profession and the foundations of American democracy. It is an abuse of power, and her actions are fraudulent. Her continued status as a licensed attorney is a danger to the rule of law and must be addressed with the utmost urgency.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please confirm receipt of this complaint and provide information regarding the next steps in the investigation process.

Sincerely,

Please confirm receipt of this complaint and inform me of any next steps. If additional documentation is required, I am happy to provide further details. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

****The Appendix below demonstrates that new laws were created and they chose who got to create them, choosing only one sided views, and they contradicted the Constitution. Whose laws are we now following?***

APPENDIX A:

The **America First Coalition** and its aligned organizations have worked together on a variety of issues, each contributing to the coalition's agenda through focused efforts. Below are the key areas, alongside the organizations that were involved in each effort:

1. Immigration Reform

- **Organizations Involved:**
 - **Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR):** Advocates for stricter immigration laws and reducing immigration levels.
 - **Center for Immigration Studies (CIS):** Supports policies focused on curbing both illegal and legal immigration.
 - **NumbersUSA:** A lobbying organization focused on reducing immigration through advocacy and education.
 - **America First Action PAC:** Helps fund efforts to support Trump's hardline immigration policies.
 - **Trump Administration:** Implemented policies like "**Remain in Mexico**" and **border wall construction** as part of their immigration strategy.

2. Economic Nationalism

- **Organizations Involved:**
 - **Heritage Foundation:** Strongly supports tax cuts, deregulation, and economic policies aligned with **economic nationalism** and pro-business strategies.
 - **American First Policy Institute (AFPI):** Provides research and policy recommendations that support economic nationalism, focusing on tax policy,

trade, and deregulation.

- **Trump Administration's Economic Team:** Led by figures like **Larry Kudlow** and **Peter Navarro**, advocating for protectionist trade policies (e.g., tariffs on China, renegotiating NAFTA to USMCA).
- **Cato Institute:** Though a libertarian think tank, it aligned with certain aspects of **America First** economic policies, especially in advocating for deregulation and reducing corporate taxes.

3. Social and Cultural Conservatism

- **Organizations Involved:**

- **Family Research Council (FRC):** A leading advocacy organization promoting traditional family values and conservative social policies, such as anti-abortion and pro-marriage.
- **Focus on the Family:** Focused on promoting pro-life policies and traditional values related to marriage and family life.
- **National Right to Life Committee:** Focuses on pro-life efforts, which align with the **America First** stance on restricting abortion access.
- **Faith & Freedom Coalition:** Led by **Ralph Reed**, it has been instrumental in mobilizing evangelical voters to support the America First agenda, particularly on social issues like abortion and religious freedom.
- **The Heritage Foundation's DeVos Center for Religion and Civil Society:** Played a role in supporting policies that protect religious liberties, opposing policies that they believe infringe on religious freedoms (e.g., LGBTQ rights and healthcare mandates).

4. America First Foreign Policy

- **Organizations Involved:**
 - **America First Policy Institute (AFPI):** Instrumental in promoting America First foreign policy, advocating for withdrawing from global deals and reducing foreign entanglements.
 - **Cato Institute:** Although a libertarian group, it has often supported the **America First** foreign policy approach, which stresses reducing U.S. involvement in international conflicts.
 - **Heritage Foundation:** Advocated for a strong military while also supporting a more **America-centric** approach to international relations, favoring policies that prioritize U.S. interests in foreign trade and security.
 - **American Conservative Union (ACU):** Supported a more nationalist, protectionist foreign policy, focusing on prioritizing U.S. interests and reducing foreign aid.
 - **Trump Administration's National Security Team:** Led by **John Bolton** and **Mike Pompeo**, focusing on the withdrawal from **international agreements** like the **Iran Nuclear Deal** and the **Paris Climate Agreement**.

5. Law and Order

- **Organizations Involved:**
 - **Law Enforcement Advocacy Groups:** Organizations like the **National Fraternal Order of Police** and **Police Benevolent Associations** have supported policies that increase funding for law enforcement.

- **The Heritage Foundation:** Advocated for supporting law enforcement through policies that toughen penalties for criminals and expand police powers.
- **Right-wing Militias and Armed Groups:** Some militia groups, like the **Oath Keepers** and **Proud Boys**, although not formally part of mainstream organizations, have expressed support for the law-and-order rhetoric surrounding the **America First** movement, particularly in relation to protecting businesses during protests or rallies.
- **Trump Administration:** Backed the “**Law and Order**” rhetoric, especially during civil unrest, pushing back against “**Defund the Police**” movements and emphasizing the role of law enforcement in maintaining national security.

6. Judicial Appointments

- **Organizations Involved:**

- **Federalist Society:** A major player in shaping conservative judicial appointments, including the selection of **Supreme Court justices** and federal judges. Members of the **Federalist Society** were directly involved in recommending **Gorsuch**, **Kavanaugh**, and **Barrett** for Supreme Court appointments.
- **Judicial Crisis Network (JCN):** Played a significant role in supporting the confirmation of conservative judges and justices, including funding advertising campaigns and lobbying efforts.
- **Trump Administration:** **Donald Trump** and his legal advisors relied heavily on conservative judicial groups like the **Federalist Society** to appoint judges at all levels of the federal judiciary.

7. Populism and Political Messaging

- **Organizations Involved:**

- **Turning Point USA (TPUSA):** Led by **Charlie Kirk**, this group has played a significant role in organizing rallies, educating young conservatives, and spreading **populist** and **America First** messages, particularly on campuses.
- **Tea Party Patriots:** While not always in lockstep with the Trump administration, this grassroots movement played a role in advancing the **America First** populist message, especially in early years like 2009 and 2010, which laid the groundwork for Trump's rise.
- **FreedomWorks:** A **libertarian**-leaning group, it has aligned with the populist elements of the **America First** agenda, particularly in advocating for limited government and opposing overreach by federal agencies.
- **The Heritage Foundation:** Advocated for ideas rooted in populism, such as reducing government regulations, defending American workers, and supporting conservative social values.

8. Media and Information Warfare

- **Organizations Involved:**

- **Bannon's War Room:** Led by **Steve Bannon**, this podcast and media organization has played a central role in promoting **America First** ideas and rallying populist sentiment around Trump.
- **One America News Network (OANN) and Newsmax:** Both of these outlets have supported **Trump's agenda** and provided a platform for populist voices, often pushing narratives that align with the **America First** coalition.
- **Breitbart News:** Also led by **Steve Bannon**, Breitbart played a significant role in promoting populist, nationalist ideas during Trump's campaign and presidency,

helping to shape media discourse around the **America First** agenda.

- **Social Media Platforms:** The coalition has used **Twitter**, **Facebook**, **Truth Social**, and other platforms to bypass traditional media, engage with grassroots supporters, and spread their message of populism and nationalism.

9. Electoral Strategies

- **Organizations Involved:**

- **America First Action PAC:** Focuses on providing financial support to candidates who align with **America First** policies and strategies. This includes support for **Trump-aligned candidates** in key races.
- **Turning Point USA:** Known for mobilizing youth and young conservatives, TPUSA works to engage new voters, particularly through organizing rallies, events, and providing educational resources on **America First** ideology.
- **Tea Party Patriots:** Played a significant role in grassroots organizing for conservative causes, ensuring high voter turnout in key swing states.
- **Heritage Action:** This advocacy group from the **Heritage Foundation** focuses on mobilizing conservative voters to support policies in alignment with the **America First** agenda, such as tax cuts, deregulation, and limited government.
- **Trump's Campaign Team:** Led by figures like **Brad Parscale** and **Jared Kushner**, the campaign's focus on **data-driven strategy** helped target key voters in swing states, utilizing **micro-targeting** and **digital outreach**.

Report on the America First Coalition and Its Members Involved in Election Denial, January 6, and Violent Acts

The **America First Coalition** comprises various organizations and individuals committed to advancing the **America First** agenda. Many of these groups have been involved in election-related controversies, including **claims of election fraud**, participation in the **January 6, 2021, Capitol attack**, and **violent rhetoric or actions**. Below is an analysis of these organizations and their key figures, categorizing their involvement in **election denial, January 6, and violent acts**.

Timeline: **Timeline:**

- **December 14, 2016** – *Tech Summit at Trump Tower*
 - Peter Thiel arranges a meeting between Trump and Silicon Valley leaders, including Elon Musk and David Sacks.
 - Discussion topics: deregulation, corporate tax cuts, and AI leadership.
- **February 3, 2017** – *Thiel gains White House influence*
 - Becomes informal advisor to Trump, advocating for tech-friendly policies.
 - Qanon surfaces
- **March 2017** – *Musk joins Trump's Advisory Council*
 - Pushes for deregulation in AI, crypto, and electric vehicles (EVs).
- **July 2018** – *Thiel-backed Palantir secures ICE contract*
 - \$100M+ in federal contracts to expand surveillance technology in immigration enforcement.
- **October 2019** – *David Sacks hosts fundraiser for Trump*
 - Raises \$10M+ from Silicon Valley investors aligned with America First.
- **October 2020**- Development of Schedule F.
- **November 2020** – *J.D. Vance emerges as a Trump-backed candidate*
 - Thiel begins mentoring Vance, seeing him as an ideological successor.
- **November 2020**- Trump lost the election to Biden
- **January 6, 2021**- Capitol Insurgency
- **February 2021** – *David Sacks launches "All-In Podcast"*
 - Becomes a key platform for pro-Trump tech leaders and venture capitalists.
- **April 2021** – *Thiel donates \$10M to Vance's Senate race*

- Uses *Protect Ohio Values* PAC to fund Vance's campaign.
- **July 2021** – *Thiel and Sacks host America First Policy Summit*
 - J.D. Vance, Josh Hawley, and Ron DeSantis attend to strategize on economic nationalism.
- **November 2022** – *Vance wins the Senate race in Ohio*
 - Musk, Thiel, and Sacks celebrate as a victory for tech-aligned conservatism.
- **January 2023** – *Private meeting at Mar-a-Lago*
 - Musk, Thiel, and Sacks meet with Trump to discuss **crypto, AI, and tech deregulation**.
- **March 2023** – *David Sacks funds new pro-Trump PACs*
 - Raises \$50M+ from tech billionaires backing America First policies.
- **August 2023** – *Musk promotes "free speech" policies on X (Twitter)*
 - Aligns with Trump's media strategy, curbing content moderation.
- **December 2023** – *Trump pledges to deregulate cryptocurrency*
 - Sacks and Thiel push for favorable crypto policies benefiting **Musk's and Thiel's blockchain investments**.
- **January 2024** – *Musk and Sacks attend Trump's Florida strategy retreat*
 - Discuss AI and crypto deregulation in a second Trump administration.
- **March 2024** – *Thiel and Sacks launch pro-Trump tech fund*
 - Raises **\$200M+** to support America First candidates.
- **April 2024** - With the New Schedule F in hand, Ashley hosts a meeting to merge factions.
- **May 2024** – *Musk meets Trump at Mar-a-Lago*
 - Agreement on **government AI contracts, SpaceX military partnerships, and crypto-friendly financial policies**.
- **August 2024** – *J.D. Vance emerges as VP pick for Trump*
 - Strengthens Silicon Valley's influence over the Republican ticket.
- **October 2024** – *Musk donates \$250M+ to Trump Super PACs*
 - Becomes one of Trump's largest financial backers.
- **January 2025** – *Trump returns to the White House*
 - Musk, Sacks, and Thiel gain unprecedented influence over federal policy.
- **February 2025** – *Elon Musk appointed to lead the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE)*
 - Tasked with AI implementation in government services.

- **March 2025** – *David Sacks becomes White House Chief Policy Advisor for AI & Crypto*
 - Pushes pro-tech policies benefiting PayPal Mafia investments.
- **April 2025** – *Musk's companies secure federal contracts*
 - Tesla, SpaceX, and Neuralink win lucrative government deals.
- **COMING June 2025** – *Thiel-backed media empire expands-* Conservative platforms amplify America First messaging.