

Founded in 1973 by Ronald Sauey, Ph.D. (1948-1987) and George Archibald, Ph.D.

**Board of Directors** Richard Beilfuss, Ph.D. (President & CEO) Urban Lehner (Chair) Eleanor Hoagland (Vice Chair) Roberta Asher (Treasurer) Robert Dohmen (Secretary) George Archibald, Ph.D. Steve Becker Susan Carnahan Steve Euller Heather Henson Robert Hoguet Michael Horn Paula John Heidi Kiesler Paul King Tom Leiden Micaela Levine Patti Brash McKeithan Nancy Merrill Jeanne Prochnow Paul Robbins, Ph.D. Jason Sauey Jennifer Perkins Speers Jeffrey Sundberg, Ph.D.

#### Senior Advisors

Barirega Akankwasah; Ph.D. (Uganda) Vladimir Andronov, Ph.D. (Russia) Jim Blackburn (USA) Nick Davidson, Ph.D. (UK) Patrick Dugan, Ph.D. (Malaysia) Sharon Dunwoody, Ph.D. (USA) Lee Ehmke, Ph.D. (USA) Max Finlayson, Ph.D. (Australia) Noritaka Ichida, Ph.D. (Japan) Arlyne Johnson, Ph.D. (USA) Jackie King, Ph.D. (South Africa) Le Cong Kiet, Ph.D. (Vietnam) Lei Guangchun, Ph.D. (China) Paul Mafabi, Ph.D. (Uganda) Phil Miller, Ph.D. (USA) Myin Zu Minn, Ph.D. (Myanmar) Abdoulaye Ndiaye, Ph.D. (Senegal) Nandhakumar (India) Mark Penning, Ph.D. (USA) Nick Salafsky, Ph.D. (USA) Lee Simmons, Ph.D. (USA) Jonathan Sleeman, Ph.D. (USA) Srey Sunleang (Cambodia) Tom Stehn (USA) Stuart Strahl, Ph.D. (USA) Vo Tong Xuan, Ph.D. (Vietnam) Brian Yandell, Ph.D. (USA) Joy Zedler, Ph.D. (USA) Zheng Guangmei, Ph.D. (China)

# International Crane Foundation

Headquarters E-11376 Shady Lane Road P.O. Box 447 Baraboo, WI 53913-0447, USA 608-356-9462 608-356-9465 fax info@savingcranes.org www.savingcranes.org

#### Regional Offices

Phnom Penh, Cambodia Beijing, China Johannesburg, South Africa Kampala, Uganda Lusaka, Zambia Rockport, Texas, USA

April 9, 2020

Governor Greg Abbott 1100 San Jacinto Blvd Austin, TX 78701

Re: Potential Jeopardy and Potential Harm to the Aransas Wood Buffalo Wild Whooping Crane Flock.

Dear Governor Abbott,

There are at least three major activities that are being pursued in and around Harbor Island and Port Aransas that raise the specter of harm in violation of Section 9 of the Endangered Species Act and jeopardize the continued viability of the Aransas Wood Buffalo (AWB) Wild Whooping Crane flock in violation of Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. These activities are described below and pose a direct risk to the cranes. We oppose these activities as proposed and ask your assistance in ensuring that they are abandoned or restructured to eliminate the potential of harm to these wonderful endangered birds.

ICF is a 501(c)(3) non-governmental organization with headquarters in Baraboo, Wisconsin. We are dedicated to understanding the habits, patterns and threats to cranes around the world with programs in Asia and Africa as well as the United States. We have been active in the recovery of the wild whooping crane flock from the inception of ICF in 1973 and currently have a Texas office in Rockport and support research and programs to expand our knowledge and protection of the AWB wild flock.

In the following paragraphs, we will first describe the usage pattern of whooping cranes near the Harbor Island area and then will describe these projects that are generating concern and the reasons why they should be either abandoned or modified. We thank you in advance for taking the time to read and consider this request for action from your office.

1. Whooping Cranes Winter Near Harbor Island

The whooping crane flock is growing and expanding beyond traditional territories within or even near Aransas National Wildlife Refuge. The birds are moving both northward into the Matagorda Bay system, westward along Copano Bay and south down San Jose Island and across the Corpus Christi Ship Channel to Mustang Island on the backside of Port Aransas. As can be seen from Figure 1 (on the next page), these birds are proximate to the Corpus Christi Ship Channel, an important fact that is discussed in later sections.





Figure 1. Whooping crane pair adjacent to the Corpus Christi Ship Channel. Photo by John Morris.

The annual surveys conducted by the US Fish and Wildlife Service do not include Mustang and Harbor Islands; therefore, the continued presence of Whooping Crane is not documented. ICF initiated a Citizen Science Project last February-March 2019 using a video monitoring approach and continued the study this winter season Nov 2019- Mar 2020. The objective of the study includes documenting crane presence on northern Mustang Island when visible and evaluating habitat quality using crane behavior (e.g., foraging, resting, etc.). This study will compare this site to areas cranes use in the established wintering habitat sites within Aransas National Wildlife Refuge.

Our preliminary results indicate consistent use of Mustang Island habitat by a pair of Whooping Cranes in late February-March 2019, with 9 days covered over a 23-day period. Citizen scientists recorded 322 minutes of video and 16, 20-minute videos for analyses. The study continued from November 2019-March 2020 documenting one pair and a single Whooping Crane, with 58 days covered over 89-day period; 2,636 minutes of video, including 90 20-minute videos will be used to evaluate crane use of habitat.

This project has been accepted as the focus of a master's thesis project to characterize how Whooping Cranes use the habitats on northern Mustang Island with other sites within the traditional wintering range. It is expected that the findings will provide the scientific evidence of the importance of this area to the expansion of the Whooping Crane wintering range.

## 2. The Projects of Concern

There are three major new activities proposed in the immediate vicinity of the whoopers shown above. First, the Port of Corpus Christi is proposing to deepen the Corpus Christi Ship Channel from about 50 feet to about 80 feet. This will allow supertankers to come in through the jetties at Port Aransas to Harbor Island. Additionally, two major terminal development projects have been proposed on Harbor Island that would serve these supertankers and load them with oil to be exported to overseas markets. Further, there is a desalination plant proposed for Harbor Island that would discharge its reject brine directly into the ship channel. Together, these three projects represent a bona fide threat to the wintering whooping cranes. The location of the three proposed projects are shown in Figure 2 (on the next page).





Figure 2. The proposed Harbor Island developments are show including the dredging project to deepen the Corpus Christi Ship Channel (blue), the two tanker terminal projects (4 berths total) shown in pink and purple and the route of the desalination discharge pipe in yellow. The ferry crossing into Port Aransas is shown as the black dotted line.

The problems here are severalfold and involve both a risk of direct harm to the whooping cranes using Port Aransas and San Jose Island and indirect harm due to impact to blue crabs, the major food source of the whooping crane flock. We believe that this risk is sufficient to raise the potential of jeopardy to the cranes under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act as discussed below and likely will result in harm to the wild flock in violation of Section 9 of the ESA.

# A. The Proposed Deepening of the Channel and Berths for Supertankers on Harbor Island

The potential deepening of the ship channel will allow larger crude carriers to come in through the pass and load on Harbor Island. Here, the key issue is the risk of an oil spill from a collision between a tanker maneuvering full of crude and other traffic is increased. Not only is this the Corpus Christi Ship Channel, but it is also the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW) which continues north along the San Jose shoreline into Aransas Bay and points north. The GIWW has significant tug and barge traffic with petrochemicals and aggregate, and the ferry crossing is right in the middle of this situation. If such a spill were to occur during the wintering season, multiple birds would be in the pathway of harm as the oil would move with the tide which is very strong coming in through the jetties and dispersing the oil northward as well as onto the marshland behind Port Aransas where the cranes in Figure 1 winter.

There is no doubt that the risk of an oil spill will be greatly increased by bringing supertankers into Harbor Island. Common sense tells us that. Common sense also suggests that if there are viable alternatives that do not raise such risks, they should be pursued. And as is discussed in Section C below, such alternatives exist.

# B. The Impact of Brine Discharge Into the Pass Between Mustang and St. Josephs's Islands

Second, consider the impact of discharge of brine material into the channel adjacent to the pass into the Gulf of Mexico. This brine is "reject water" from the desalination process that is proposed to be constructed on Harbor Island. ICF is not opposed to the desal facility but to the location of the discharge. Brine concentrations reported in the literature vary from 50 to 75 g/L and have a much higher density than seawater and therefore tend to fall on the sea floor near the brine outfall outlet (plume effect), creating a very salty layer which can have negative impacts on the flora and the marine life and any related human activities. This level of brine salinity is toxic to juvenile marine life such as blue crabs.

Blue crabs are the principal food for the wintering whooping cranes. Blue crabs migrate into the Gulf to lay their eggs, and their larvae come back into the bay to mature. In their early stages, these larvae are planktonic and must depend upon the tide to move them into the bay. When the tide goes out, they will migrate vertically in the water column and drop to the floor of the channel and secure themselves until the tide reverses. Further, the more advanced larval stage – the megalops – tend to stay near the bottom. In this way, these larval blue crabs will enter the toxic mixing zone, and the food supply of the cranes, and therefore the cranes, will be harmed. As this discharge will be continuing, essentially a kill zone will be created to the detriment of larval blue crab and other migrants that are planktonic or stay near the bottom.

There is no question of the risk of this concentrated brine discharge to these larval crabs. Once concentrations exceed sea water, the survival rate declines precipitously in most marine organisms. Larval forms are particularly sensitive, and most of the crabs found in the lower portion of the whooping crane habitat are tied to the pass at Port Aransas. By killing these larval crabs, this discharge poses a direct threat to the survival of the whooping cranes using the habitat adjacent to Aransas Bay as well as Copano, St. Charles and Mesquite Bays.

### C. Potential Solutions

There are solutions to each of these problems. First, the brine discharge can be routed out several miles into the Gulf, away from the fish pass. Here, the mixing zone issue is much less focused than it is in the pass which is the corridor for all marine organisms moving to and from the bay. Second, an alternative exists to the deep channel in the form of an offshore monobuoy terminal. Such monobuoys have been operated safely around the world and would provide a least damaging alternative to the destruction and risk associated with dredging a deeper channel and building terminals onshore. Given the current economic situation, the need for multiple export facilities is highly unlikely. By pursing the least damaging alternative, the potential harm of the deep channel generally and specifically to the whoopers is significantly reduced.

### 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, the International Crane Foundation hereby expresses its opposition to the deepening of the Corpus Christi Ship Channel, is opposed to the two terminal projects and is opposed to the discharge of brine into the Corpus Christi Ship Channel. There is no need to risk jeopardy to the cranes in violation of Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act or the possibility of a take in violation of Section 9 of the Endangered Species Act. There are excellent alternatives available that would avoid jeopardy and harm and allow the economy to be benefitted as well.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Beilfuss, President & CEO, Licensed Professional Hydrologist International Crane Foundation

cc: Jim Blackburn, BlackburnCarter Law Firm