| Naval Education and Training Command | NAVEDTRA 130B September 2009 | Support Manual for MIL-HDBK-29612-2A |

# TASK BASED
# CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT MANUAL
## VOLUME III MANGER'S GUIDE

**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**
NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND
250 DALLAS STREET
PENSACOLA, FL 32508

1500
Ser N00/ 337
AUG 13 2009

## LETTER OF PROMULGATION FOR NAVEDTRA 130B

1. This guidance manual has been extensively revised. Most of the revisions are in response to user comments and reflect a continuing effort to increase the manual's utility to the training field. NAVEDTRA 130B, Volumes I-III, supersedes and replaces NAVEDTRA 130A, dated July 1997.

2. The procedures in this manual follow a Task Based Curriculum Development method. This manual is intended for use by military, civil service, and contractor personnel engaged in Navy training materials development and modification.

3. Procedural guidance for development of training materials following a Personnel Performance Profile based method is published in NAVEDTRA 131 (Series).

4. This publication is available electronically at: Navy Knowledge Online (NKO) - NETC N74 Learning Standards Homepage; and Navy Marine Corps Intranet's (NMCI) Total Records and Information Management (TRIM).

5. Corrections and comments concerning this manual are invited and should be addressed to the Naval Education and Training Command, attention: N7.

6. Reviewed and approved.

G. R. JONES

_____
PUBLISHED BY DIRECTION OF COMMANDER NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING

## CHANGE OF RECORD

| Number and Description of Change | Entered By | Date |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

## FOREWORD

### SCOPE

**NAVEDTRA 130B**: TASK BASED CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT MANUAL provides guidance for developing training materials. The processes and illustrations found in NAVEDTRA 130B reflect the experience of subject matter experts, curriculum developers and decision makers who approve Navy training material developed by Navy curriculum developers and civilian contractors. NAVEDTRA 130B describes and illustrates all facets of planning, analysis, design, and development of curricula. NAVEDTRA 130B provides step-by-step guidance to curriculum developers for developing job efficient and effective training material.

*Volume I* of this manual—Developers Guide—contains guidelines for the development of training programs. It is designed for use by the individual actually revising or developing training materials.

*Volume II* of this manual—Sample Products—provides samples of each of the management and curriculum documents in a format that is consistent with the guidelines discussed in Volume I and are actual course examples from AIM II database.

*Volume III* of this manual—Manager's Guide—is designed for the individual charged with the management of a course revision or development. It describes approval points, approval authorities, and responsibilities. The volume addresses the manager's responsibilities in each of the six phases of Task Based Curriculum Development.

### RELATIONSHIP TO DOD STANDARDS/SPECIFICATIONS

Chapter titles in this manual were derived from various Department of Defense (DOD) Standards and Specifications documents, which this manual supports. The name assigned to individual documents developed in accordance with this manual must correspond with the document name used herein. Exceptions to this rule shall not be granted.

### CONTRACTUAL USE OF MANUAL

NAVEDTRA 130B sample documents may also be used as an exhibit in a contract as service-specific guidance for use by civilian contractors developing Navy training material.

HOW TO USE NAVEDTRA 130B

NAVEDTRA 130B provides guidance and illustrations for use in the planning, analysis, design, development, implementation, and evaluation of curricula.  This manual has been designed so you may read the entire chapter or go to any subject area and perform the required task.

**Volume I**

Volume I contains the step-by-step guidance for developing effective training materials.  All chapters in Volume I were written so you can follow along with the corresponding figures, diagrams, and job aids presented in Volume II of this manual. Open Volume I to the subject you wish to learn about.  Open Volume II to the related sample document referenced in Volume I. It is important to go to Volume II when referenced and study the appropriate illustrations.

**Volume II**

When you have located the sample document in Volume II that corresponds to the chapter you have selected in Volume I, follow along in Volume II as you read Volume I.  For example, if you are developing a Course Training Task List (CTTL), turn to the sample course in Volume II.

**Volume III**

Volume III contains management information important to planning, analysis, design, development, implementation, and evaluation of curricula.  The chapters in Volume III establish the requirements for the submission and review of the various products developed during the curriculum development process.

Take a few moments and turn to the different volumes and see how they relate.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Contents                                                              Page

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| AAC | Acquisition Advice Code |
|---|---|
| AG/SAG | Activity Group/Subactivity Group |
| AIM | Authoring Instructional Material |
| AIM I | PPP Based Authoring Tool NAVEDTRA 131 |
| AIM II | Task Based Authoring Tool NAVEDTRA 130 |
| AOB | Average On Board |
| ASVAB | Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery |
| BCA | Business Case Analysis |
| CAGE | Commercial and Government Entity Code |
| CAIMS | Conventional Ammunition Integrated Management System |
| CANTRAC | Catalog of Navy Training Courses |
| CCA | Curriculum Control Authority |
| CCMM | Course Curriculum Model Manager |
| CDP | Course Data Processing |
| CeTARS | Corporate enterprise Training Activity Resource System |
| CARIS | Corporate Automated Resource Information System |
| CIN | Course Identification Number |
| CM | Corrective Maintenance |
| CMS | Course Master Schedule |
| CNP | Chief of Naval Personnel |
| COG/NIIN/SMIC | Cognizance Code/National Item Identification Number/Special Material Identification Code |
| CPATS | CNET Program Automated Tracking System |
| CPM | Content Planning Module |
| CTTL | Course Training Task List |
| DDA | Discussion-Demonstration Activity |
| DOD | Department of Defense |
| DOR | Drop On Request |
| DP | Discussion Point |
| DSOT | Daily System Operating Test |
| EO | Enabling Objective |
| FAL | Fault Applicability List |
| FEA | Front End Analysis |
| ICW | Interactive Courseware |
| IETM | Interactive Electronic Technical Manual |
| ILE | Integrated Learning Environment |
| IMI | Interactive Multimedia Instruction |
| IMM | Instructional Media Material |
| ISD | Instructional Systems Design |
| ITRO | Interservice Training Review Organization |
| JDTA | Job Duty Task Analysis |
| JTI | Job Task Inventory |
| KM | Knowledge Management |
| LC | Learning Center |
| LO | Learning Objective |
| LP | Lesson Plan |
| LS | Learning Site |
| LSAR | Logistics Support Activity Record |
| LSO | Learning Standards Officer |
| MCFR | Master Course Reference File |

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

# INTRODUCTION

# CHAPTER 1

# TRAINING MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

## INTRODUCTION

The procedures for developing training materials following the
Task Based Curriculum Development method are divided into six
interrelated phases - Plan, Analyze, Design, Develop, Implement
and Evaluate or "PADDIE."

- *PLAN PHASE* identifies resource requirements and the
  sequence of events in the development process.
- *ANALYZE PHASE* produces the job tasks, task sequence, level
  of performance, and the skills and knowledges which must be
  taught.
- *DESIGN PHASE* produces the course learning objectives and an
  instructional sequence.
- *DEVELOP PHASE* produces the instructional materials for the
  instructor and the trainee.
- *IMPLEMENT PHASE* begins when the Curriculum Control
  Authority (CCA) has approved a course for use and the
  Learning Center/Functional Commander authorizes the course
  to be taught.
- *EVALUATE PHASE* consists of the evaluation and revision of
  the training materials based on assessment of the training
  materials and the performance of the graduates in the
  Fleet.

This manual covers the Plan, Analyze, Design, and Develop
Phases.  In the volumes comprising this manual the steps
required and approval points for products developed in each of
these phases are discussed.  The Implement Phase is introduced
as part of Chapter 7 of this volume.  Implementation and
Evaluation are also addressed in NAVEDTRA 135(Series):  Navy
School Management Manual.  The overall process is illustrated in
Figure 1-1.

**NAVEDTRA 130B**:  Task Based Curriculum Development Manual  is
designed to guide Navy activity personnel (curriculum
developers) in the development of accurate and effective
training materials.  This manual:

Specifies the tasks necessary to develop and support training
materials.

- Establishes the sequence of task performance.
- Assigns task performance responsibilities.

```
┌──────────────┐
│     Plan     │
└──────────────┘
Training Project Plan

                              ──────────────────────►  CCA/Functional Commander,
                                                       IIETC, or OPNAV Approval

┌──────────────┐
│   Analyze    │
└──────────────┘
Course Training Task List

        ┌──────────────┐
        │    Design    │
        └──────────────┘
        Curriculum Outline of Instruction

        Training Course Control Document
                              ──────────►  CCA Approval

            ┌──────────────┐
            │   Develop    │
            └──────────────┘
            Lesson Plan
            Trainee Guide
        Tests/Administrative Materials
            Support Materials
            Course Pilot

        Pilot Course Monitoring Report
                              ──────────►  CCA Approval

            ┌──────────────┐
            │  Implement   │
            └──────────────┘
            Conduct Instruction

            ┌──────────────┐
            │   Evaluate   │
            └──────────────┘
            Internal Evaluation/Feedback

            External Evaluation/Feedback
```

**FIGURE 1-1:  CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT PROCESS**

**SECTION 1 - TRAINING MATERIALS**

Training materials include management materials, curriculum materials, and support materials.  The training materials produced by Navy in-house developers follow the guidelines of these manuals.

> Recognizing the complexity of training materials development and the external factors which influence curriculum development projects, this manual is **NOT** to be used as a prescriptive document.  Waiver of any phase or procedure within a phase is at the discretion of the Curriculum control Authority.

1.1.  Management materials define training requirements and provide an overall plan for the accomplishment of these requirements.  Management materials for development include:

- Training Project Plan (TPP).
- Course Training Task List (CTTL).
- Training Course Control Document (TCCD).
- Testing Plan.
- Pilot Course Monitoring Report.
- Documentation required or appropriate for audit trail

1.2.  Curriculum materials include all materials required for the presentation of information and the development of skills in formal school training.  Under this definition, curriculum materials include:

- Lesson Plan (LP).
- Trainee Guides (TG) (or instruction sheets).
- Test Materials.
- Other materials used for instruction.

1.3. Support materials are instructional materials and other devices used in support of formal instruction, informal instruction, or for independent study.  Some of the most common support materials are:

- Visual Information (VI) include:

  - Wall Charts.
  - Films.
  - Videotapes/Digital Media.
  - Transparencies.

- Instructional Media Material (IMM) include:

  - On-the-Job (OJT) Training Handbook.

- Training devices.
- Textbooks.
- Technical manuals.
- Other materials helpful in the preparation of lesson topics (Fault Insertion Guide, Instructor Utilization Handbook).

### SECTION 2 - TRAINING MATERIALS SUPPORT

All training materials are maintained current and accurate by surveillance and modification efforts.

2.1.  Surveillance:  Constant surveillance is required to detect changes in documentation, equipment, or procedures that impact training materials.  Procedures for identifying training material deficiencies, for recommending changes, and for coordinating recommended changes are given in Chapter 7 of this volume.

2.2. Training Materials modifications:  There are four categories of training materials modifications:  Interim Change, Change, Technical Change, and Revision.  The definition for each category is found in NAVEDTRA 135 (Series).  Procedures for incorporating training materials modifications are described in the sections for those materials in Volume III, Chapter 7 of this manual.

### SECTION 3 - PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

The following participants have vital roles in the development and support of training materials:

3.1.  Training Agency (TA)

- An office, bureau, command, or headquarters exercising command of and providing support to some major increment of the Department of the Navy's formal training effort.  TAs are:

  - Commander, Naval Education and Training (NETC).
  - Navy Medicine Manpower Personnel Training and Education Command (NAVMED MPTEC).
  - Naval War College (NAVWARCOL).
  - U.S. Naval Academy (USNA).
  - Commander, United States Fleet Forces Command (USFFC).
  - Commander, Naval Reserve Forces (NAVRESFOR).

3.2.  Training Support Agency (TSA)

- An office, command, or headquarters responsible for providing material and other forms of support to the TA.

- The TSA is normally a SYSCOM responsible for providing training support to the TA for a piece of equipment, a subsystem, or a system.

> EXAMPLES: Initial (factory) training, curriculum development, instructional media materials, training equipment, pre-faulted modules, training equipment life-cycle maintenance support, and curriculum surveillance services.

- Whether involved in a training development project, or in training support, a TSA is usually appointed directly or indirectly by CNO.
- The TSA liaisons with the TA, or a TA-appointed Curriculum Control Authority, to assure products or services meet training command standards and Fleet requirements.

3.3. Functional Commander: NETC has designated Learning Centers and Functional Commanders to plan, manage, and budget for training courses across broad functional areas.

3.4 Curriculum Control Authority (CCA): To support NETCs functions as a TA, NETC designates a Learning Center/Functional Commander to have curriculum control of specific courses/training programs.

- The CCA functions identified in this manual are NETC's TA responsibilities which are delegated to the Learning Center/Functional Commander having curriculum control authority.
- CCA approves instructional methods and materials.
- A single alphabetic character is used in the first position of the Course Identification Number (CIN) to identify the command which has Curriculum Control Authority. Volume I of NAVEDTRA 10500 (CANTRAC) identifies the command having curriculum control for existing courses.

3.5 Learning Site (LS): A Navy command which has a primary mission of conducting or supporting training. A school or institution at which courses are offered. The LS has responsibility for maintaining selected audit trail documents, annually reviewing training materials in the form of a Formal Course review, making recommendations to CCMM for changes/revisions, and maintaining training equipment and facilities.

**3.6 Course Curriculum Model Manager (CCMM):**  A CCMM is assigned by the CCA with the responsibility for conducting and maintaining a specific course.  The CCMM initiates curriculum development and training materials modification, conducts curriculum reviews and analysis of feedback, maintains course audit trail documentation, and develops and approves changes. The CCMM normally functions as the developer for Navy in-house-developed courses.  However, the CCA can also designate personnel, other than the assigned CCMM as required, to perform these functions.  CCMM functions as the developer and 1st line of approval authority for in-house and contract developed curriculum.

## SECTION 4 - APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The documents listed below are the primary resources to be used by activity developers in the design and development of training materials.  Use of documents and manuals in effect on the training materials commencement date stated in the project plan is assumed.  Later issues of these specifications, standards, documents, and publications, or new specifications, standards, documents, and publications, may be used subject to joint agreement of the CCA and activity curriculum developers.

4.1 Standards, General:  In June 1994, the Secretary of Defense directed that "Performance specifications shall be used when purchasing new systems, major modifications, upgrades to current systems, and non-developmental and commercial items for programs in any acquisition category (in lieu of Military Specifications and Standards)."  Source:  SECDEF memo, Subject:  Specifications and standards - A New Way of Doing Business, dated 29 June 1994. Consequently, references to MIL-STDS have been deleted.

4.2 Publications

- Chief of Naval Operations

  - OPNAVINST 1500.2 Responsibilities and Procedures for Establishment and Coordination of Contractor Developed Training for Military and Civilian Personnel
  - OPNAVINST 1500.76(Series) Naval Training System Requirement, Acquisition, and Management
  - SECNAVINST 5870.4(Series) Permission to Copy Material Subject to Copy write

- SECNAVINST 5510.30(Series) Department of the Navy Personnel Security Program Instruction
- OPNAVINST 1500.27(Series) Interservice Training
- OPNAVINST 1500.47(Series) Navy Training Quota Management
- OPNAVINST 1500.74(Series) Utilization of Enlisted Occupational Standards for Training and Career Development
- OPNAVINST 1500.75(Series) Safety Policy and Procedures for Conducting High Risk Training
- OPNAVINST 3500.34(Series) Personnel Qualification Standards (PQS) Program
- OPNAVINST 3500.39(Series) Operational Risk Management
- OPNAVINST 5100.19(Series) Navy Safety and Occupational Health (SOH) Program Manual for Forces Afloat
- OPNAVINST 5100.23(Series) Navy Safety and Occupational Health (SOH) Program Manual
- OPNAVINST 3104.1(Series) Navy Visual Information (VI) Production, Replication, Distribution and Management Information System Policy, Responsibilities, and Procedures
- OPNAVINST 5513.1(Series) Department of the Navy Security Classification Guide
- OPNAVINST 5510.10(Series) Corporate enterprise Training Activity Resource System (CeTARS) Catalog of Navy Training Courses and Training Reporting Requirements
- OPNAVINST 11102.2(Series) Training System Installation and Transfer
- NAVPERS 18068(Series) Vol I and Vol II Manual of Navy Enlisted Manpower and Personnel Classifications and Occupational Standards (NEOCS)
- NAVEDTRA 130 (Series) Task Based Curriculum Development Manual
- NAVEDTRA 131(Series) Personnel Performance Profile Based Curriculum Development Manual
- NAVEDTRA 134(Series) Navy Instructor Manual
- NAVEDTRA 135(Series) Navy School Management Manual
- NAVEDTRA 10052-AJ Bibliography for Advancement Study
- NAVEDTRA 10500 Catalog of Navy Courses (CANTRAC)
- NAVTRASYSCEN P-530 Naval Training Systems Center Guide
- NETCINST 1500.1 Catalog of Navy Training Courses (CANTRAC) (NAVEDTRA 10500)
- NETCINST 1500.3 Institutional Accreditation
- NETCINST 1500.4 Interservice Training Review Organization (ITRO)
- NETCINST 1510.1 Navy Training Management

- NETCINST 1543.4 Technical Training Equipment (TTE)
- NETCINST 3104.1 Visual Information (VI) Program Management
- NETCINST 5100.1 Safety and Occupational Health and High Risk Training Safety Program
- NETCINST 7500.2 Technical Training Audit Program (TTAP)
- Training Requirements Data Base Annual Report - Naval Education and Training Program Management Support Activity (NETPDTC)
- MPT&E CIOSWIT-ILE-STD-1B Navy ILE Presentation Standards
- MPT&E CIOSWIT-ILE-GUIDE-3B Navy ILE Instructional Content Style guide, Interactive Multimedia Instruction & Instructor Led Training
- DOD MILHDBK 29612.3A DOD HDBK Development of Interactive Multimedia Instruction (IMI) (Part 3 of 5)
- MIL-PRF-29612B Training Data Products
- MIL-HDBK 29612-1A Guidance for Acquisition of Training Data Products and Services (Part 1 of 5)
- MIL-HDBK 29612-2A Instructional Systems Development/Systems Approach to Training and Education (Part 5 of 5)
- MIL-HDBK 29612-4 Glossaries for Training (Part 4 of 5)
- MIL-HDBK 29612-5 Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Products and Systems (Part 5 of 5)
- NAVSEAINST 4790.8 (Series) Ships Maintenance and Material Management (3-M) Manual (Vol 1-3)

## SECTION 5 - SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

Classified information shall be handled in accordance with the Department of the Navy Supplement to the DOD Information Security Program Regulation (SECNAVINST 5510).

## SECTION 6 - SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Safety, occupational health, and hazard awareness information must be incorporated into the curricula of all appropriate training courses, as prescribed by NETCINST 5100.1 series as per NAVEDTRA 135 (Series).

## SECTION 7 - SUMMARY

This chapter has provided an overview of the training materials process management.  The individuals assigned the responsibility of managing the development or revision of training materials

should become familiar with the guidelines for the management, curriculum, and support materials discussed in the three volumes of this manual as well as the applicable documents listed in this chapter.

# PLAN PHASE

# CHAPTER 2

# TRAINING PROJECT PLAN

**INTRODUCTION**

A curriculum development project is a complex undertaking, bringing together a wide range of human and material resources for the goal of creating quality training.  Curriculum development consists of six phases, beginning with the Plan Phase.  This phase consists of gathering information and building a curriculum development plan.  The output product of this phase is the Training Project Plan (TPP).  When approved, the TPP becomes the authorization to undertake a course revision or a new course development project through the Pilot and Implementation Phases, and the initiation of resource requisitions.  A TPP is also required to cancel a course.  This chapter provides amplifying information, sources of data, and a structure for developing and assembling a TPP.

## GOVERNING INSTRUCTIONS AND DIRECTIVES

Throughout this chapter, numerous instructions are cited.  This ensures that actions governed by instructions are carried out in accordance with the latest directives.  Accordingly, instructions cited are assumed to be the most current, and series suffixes are not used.  A manager should review the instructions listed in Chapter 1 to ensure that applicable requirements are considered throughout the curriculum development process.

NETCINST 1510.1, NAVEDTRA 135 (Series) and amplified by OPNAV Memorandum for Distribution 7000 N1 127189 of 15 September 2008 are the primary governing requirements for a TPP, and its approval.  The information in this chapter must be applied in accordance with the current issue of these references.

## SECTION 1 - PLANNING FOR COURSE REVISION, NEW COURSE DEVELOPMENT OR COURSE DEACTIVATION

Most TPPs shall be for revisions to existing courses – reflecting the constant introduction of new equipments, processes, and technologies into the Fleet.  Although fewer in number, new course development projects respond to new requirements that cannot be met by revising an existing course.  Courses are canceled when they become obsolete, or the training they provided is absorbed by other courses.

- The Plan Phase is the first of the six phases in the training materials development process. The output, the TPP, provides the blueprint and justification for the revision of an existing course, development of a new course, or course deactivations. "Revision," for our use, is defined in NAVEDTRA 135(Series). In general, a revision means that the course mission has changed, course length is increased, or additional resources are required. A decrease in course length may also fall under the definition of a revision; the CCA will direct submittal of a TPP.

> COURSE REVISION: A TPP shall be developed and approved in accordance with NAVEDTRAs 135, 130 and 131 as well as supplemental guidance provided by as specified in NETCNOTE 1500/N7 dated 23 Mar.

> NEW COURSE DEVELOPMENT: Completing a TPP for new course development requires establishing a Course Identification Number (CIN), CDP, initiating entries for the CANTRAC and CeTARS, identifying preliminary resource requirements, and possibly planning for facilities requirements. This entails careful research and documentation. See NAVEDTRA 135(Series) for specific guidance of establishing a new course.

> COURSE DEACTIVATION: NETCINST 1510.1 (Series), NECTNOTE 1500/N7 Dated March 2009, and NAVEDTRA 135B contain procedures for initiating and documenting the deactivation of an existing course or training program. A TPP is required.

## SECTION 2 - JUSTIFICATION FOR COURSE DEVELOPMENT, REVISION, AND DEACTIVATION

There has to be a reason (or reasons) to undertake the development of a new course, the revision of an existing course, or to cancel a course. The justification for initiating these actions may come from:

- Navy Training System Requirements, Acquisition, and Management Plans (NTSPs), OPNAVINST 1500.76(Series):

  - Introduction of new weapons systems or engineering, or changes/modifications to existing systems.
  - "Life-cycle" documents reviewed and updated annually.

- Tasking by higher authority:

  - OPNAV. Introduction of new technologies, techniques, or equipment not supported by an NTSP which can replace existing subjects, be added to an existing course, or require a new course.
  - OPNAV. Fleet manning requirements may dictate an increase (or decrease) in student throughput, which requires an adjustment in resources.
  - NETC. Addition of "by direction" topics or courses, or mandated course reductions.

- Internal course reviews and local command initiatives:

  - Course reviews or data analysis determine students are not meeting course objectives and need additional "hands on" time that can only come from extending the course length.
  - Combining, re-sequencing or deleting subjects permits objectives to be met in less time and the decrease in instructional periods impacts instructor manning.
  - Data analysis or studies may show that a new course can "common core" subjects which are now taught in several separate courses.

- External course reviews:

  - Indicates problems with course content (obsolete objectives) or structure in terms of graduates not being able to perform on the job.

- Surveillance and external feedback:

  - The Navy Training Feedback System (NTFS) provide input mechanisms, data analysis, and feedback to ensure that training ashore meets Fleet requirements.
  - Job Duty Task Analysis (JDTA) is the process that NETC is maturing to list the jobs performed by an occupational field, who performs them, and the frequency of performance. A survey of jobs performed within a rating may indicate a need to revise training.
  - Human Performance Requirement Review (HPRR) consists of course reviews by Fleet, Learning Centers, and Systems Command representatives to assess existing training and to identify inefficiencies, redundant or unnecessary material.

2-4

- Training Appraisal.
- Updated Occupational Standards.
- Enlisted Rating Mergers.

## SECTION 3 - TRAINING PROJECT PLAN (TPP)

The TPP presents a blueprint for curriculum development which contains course data, justifications for the course revision, new course development, or course deactivation.  It includes impact statements, milestones, and resource requirements. Supportive information in developing the TPP can be found during the decision process of the project with Front End Analysis (FEA) and Business Case Analysis (BCA).

- The following paragraphs provide some general information on Training Project Plans.

Each project plan will be as unique as the project it describes. Your project may not require every item of information included in this chapter or shown in the Volume II TPP sample.  Alternatively, our project plan may benefit from additional items and enclosures.  The CCA and Functional Commander, working with the TPP developer, shall designate mandatory TPP elements, and possible call for additional data which will reinforce the project plan.  A sample package may be provided to guide developers, or additional requirements may be levied b command instructions.  All data should be researched, referenced, and be as accurate as possible.  However the TPP is recognized as a planning document, subject to revisions.

## 3.1.  Purpose and Use of a TPP

The TPP describes all training and training support elements required to provide trained personnel to operate and maintain systems or equipments, or perform tasks and functions.  It provides a Plan of Actions and Milestones (POA&M) to achieve a predetermined implementation date.  A TPP describes all the factors necessary to prepare and conduct a successful training program and attain optimum use of personnel, hardware, and funds.  The course revision or development described in the TPP should meet, and not exceed, the training requirement.
In the case of a course deactivation, the TPP provides justification for the action and a blueprint for reallocation of resources.

3.2.  Categories of Resources

- Course development and, often, course revisions require resources to develop or implement the proposed course. Course deactivations may also require resources for such things as the removal and redistribution of equipment. Resources fall into four broad categories: (1) facilities, (2) funding, (3) personnel, and (4) equipment. All four categories require long lead-time planning. An approved TPP is the authority to submit requests for resources. Whenever resources are affected by unfunded requirements, OPNAV requirement as per Memorandum for Distribution 7000 N1 127189 of 15 September 2008 requires that a TPP is routed to OPNAV N15 via NETC N7 for approval and resource allocation.

  - Facilities include new construction, and modification of existing structures such as interior arrangement, power requirements, and air conditioning. Basic categories are MILCON and Special Projects, with the difference being cost, approval authority, and lead time.

- MILCON projects should be identified six (6) years prior to the ready for training date to ensure availability of permanent facilities. Re-locatable facilities can be used as interim workaround solution, but require CNIC approval. Contact NETC N4 for assistance.
- Special projects needed to repair/renovate existing facilities or install training equipment should be identified three (3) years prior to the ready for training date. Contact NETC N4 for assistance.

  - Funding includes all developmental and material costs anticipated for the project through the pilot convening.
  - Personnel includes instructional and support personnel to conduct the course. Any increase in personnel must be identified and justified. A decrease in course length may also require a manpower adjustment.
  - Equipment includes specialized items, systems, tools, or equipments required to support and conduct training.

### SECTION 4 -INITIATING A TPP

The decision to prepare a TPP can come from the Learning Center, Learning Site's Commanding Officer or Officer in Charge, or from higher authority.

- The Course Curriculum Model Manager (CCMM) will develop and submit the TPP for a course revision or deactivation.
- The CCA can designate an activity to be the CCMM for a new course and direct them to develop the TPP, or it may be developed by the CCA.
- When Functional Commanders in addition to the CCA are involved in teaching a course, preparation of a TPP should be coordinated with these functional commanders.

### SECTION 5 – LOCATING DATA FOR COMPLETING A TPP

Any source which can be used to justify the project and identify the costs can be used in completing a TPP.  Examples of some sources are:

- Technical manuals.  Manuals should be used to the maximum extent possible as the basis for course content, equipment, and related material.
- Logistic Support Analysis Report (LSAR).  A listing of jobs, and the detailed tasks to accomplish each job.
- Navy Training System Plans (NTSP):
- Part II Billet Requirements.
- Part III Personnel and Training Requirements.
- Part IV Training Logistic Support Requirements.
- CeTARS data.  Master Course Reference File (MCRF) displays outyear student loading.
- Resource Requirements.  A composite listing of material necessary to implement the course at each site.

### SECTION 6 – SELECTING CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT METHOD

The Navy uses several different methodologies, or systems, for developing training programs.  The Task Based method and the Personnel Performance Profile/Training Path System method account for most training program development.  Either system is equally capable of being used to develop all varieties of training programs.  Each has characteristics and unique features that make it better suited for developing certain training programs.  NAVEDTRA 130/131 do not address the Navy's Integrated Learning Environment (ILE) however, the content development guidance serves as a development standard and the foundation for development in ILE.

6.1.  The Task Based method was designed for developing training programs that teach performance of a job or  function in which operation or maintenance of the hardware is usually incidental or secondary to actual performance of the job.  This manual – NAVEDTRA 130(Series):  Task Based Curriculum Development Manual – provides details on this method.

6.2.  The Personnel Performance Profile/Training Path System (PPP/TPS) system was originally designed for developing training programs that teach operation and maintenance of "hardware," such as equipments, subsystems, or a system.  The PPP/TPS system is advantageous where equipment or procedures are subject to frequent updating or change. NAVEDTRA 131(Series):  Personnel Performance Profile Based    Curriculum Development Manual provides details on this method.

6.3.  NETCINST 1510.1 and NAVEDTRA 135(Series) contains guidelines for determining the system for development of training materials.

- Which system is selected should largely be determined by the needs, desires, and experience of those training activities which will implement and conduct the training program.  It is the training activities receptiveness to the delivered training program which will largely determine whether the training program succeeds or fails.

### SECTION 7 - TPP OUTLINE

The TPP shall contain all the data and information necessary to identify and justify the course revision or development and the resources required for the training course under consideration. Data for course deactivations is also provided.  Specific elements of data and information shall include the following items where applicable.  A sample TPP is provided in Volume II, page A-1-1 of this manual.

7.1.  Cover Page, to include:

- The phase "Training Project Plan for."
- Complete course title (actual or proposed), with no abbreviations.
- Course Identification Number (CIN), if known.  A new course development may not have a CIN assigned at the point the TPP is developed.  CINs are assigned by the CCA as per NETC N73 guidance.

- The activity or organization for which the TPP is prepared. This is the sponsoring or tasking agency, usually the CCA.
- Name and address of the entity preparing the TPP.
- Month and year that the TPP is prepared.  This is a publication date and may differ from the transmittal or approval letter date.  For a revision, the date is shown in parentheses under the original publication date.
- Security classification (if required).  TPPs should be unclassified if possible.  See SECNAVINST 5510.36 for additional guidance on security classification.

7.2.  Table of Contents.  The table of contents shall be page 2, immediately after the cover page.

7.3.  Course Data Pages, to include:

- The phrase "Course Data."
- Course title, with no abbreviations.
- Course Identification Number (CIN), if assigned.
- Course Data Processing code (CDP).  This is a CeTARS identifier which shall be different for each training site.
- Course Status.  Identify whether new start, revision, or deactivation of training.
- Course Mission Statement.  This is the purpose of the course, and responds to each of the questions below. Indicate if the course mission statement will change as a result of the course revision.  The examples below illustrate the types of statements used to answer each
- question:

  WHO is to be trained? "....technicians in the IC rating (E-5 through E-7)....", "....entry level enlisted Operations Specialist....,""....Aviation Electronics Technicians, Aviation Antisubmarine Warfare Operator, and Aviation Electrician's Mate's...."

  WHAT job will the person be trained to perform? "....operation and maintenance of the Inertial Navigation System....", "....instruction and practical application in security fundamentals, basic message format, teletype typing proficiency, message tape preparation, teletypewriter circuit operating procedures, and basic safety precautions....","...._AN/USM-484 Hybrid Test Station operational procedures, test procedures, emergency procedures, and scheduled maintenance procedures...."

DEGREE OF QUALIFICATION or how well the person shall be able to perform the job? "....to perform tasks at the apprentice (journeymen, master) level....", "....to the accuracy specified in supporting documentation...."

WHERE will the person utilize the training? "....ashore and onboard amphibious assault (LHD-and LHA-1) class ships, in port and underway....", "....in afloat and shore communication installations....", "....in the AIMD working environment...."

CONDITIONS under which the graduate will perform on the job. "....under supervision and using technical references....", "....in both field and shop conditions....", "....under all conditions of ship readiness...."

- Occupational classification. Applicable rate, rank designator, NEC or NOBC of the intended input population, and the NEC, NOBC, or MOS earned by course graduates. If it is proposed that an NEC shall be issued or changed as a result of the revised course, consult NAVPERS 18068(Series) for guidance.
- Prerequisites. List the prerequisites required of the trainees that are scheduled to attend the course. Prerequisites may be equipment, rate or rating specific,
- basic skills, or course specific. Prerequisites normally relate to prior training or skills, not ASVAB scores.
- Course overview. A listing of course subjects. Note any changes from the previous project plan. For a new course this shall be a description of the skills and knowledge to be attained. This is not intended to be the equivalent of a curriculum outline, or to contain objectives. The overview helps the Training Agency see what the course will actually contain. A proposed Course Master Schedule prepared in accordance with CNETINST 1540.13 can serve this purpose.
- Course length. Both current and planned course lengths in calendar days should be given.
- Training sites. Commands or activities where the course shall be taught. This information can be combined with the CDP codes, if known. For multi-site training, an asterisk (*) may be used to indicate the CCMM.
- Number of convenings. Number of classes per year for each site, both current and planned.

- Class capacity.  Specify the current and planned minimum
  and maximum class capacity, and if the class capacity will
  vary between teaching sites.
- Planned Average on Board (AOB), current and planned.  This
  is:

$$\frac{\text{Course length in calendar days X Planned input X \# of Convenings}}{365}$$

- Planned input should include:

  - USN.
  - Reserves of all categories.
  - Other Services.
  - International training students.

- Annual student throughput, current and planned.
- Estimated instructor and support requirements.
- Provide the total number of instructor and support
  personnel required, current and/or planned.  NAVEDTRA 135
  (Series) describes the factors required for standard
  instructor computation.  Many of the factors listed, such
  as classroom and laboratory ratios and instructional
  periods, may not be known at this point.  If the standard
  computations cannot be applied, provide the rationale for
  the instructor and support manning figure used.

7.4.  Justification.  Cite specific references, correspondence,
results of conferences, NTSP, FEA, BCA data, etc., where
available.

- Reasons for and anticipated benefits of the proposed
  project:

  - Provides required training.
  - Reduced course length.
  - Increased student throughput.
  - Impact of skill training requirements on the occupational
    classification system.  A new course in "pipeline"
    training may provide an entrance or exit point to put
    graduates into the Fleet earlier.
  - Reduced attrition and attendant costs by providing
    "common core" training.
  - Deactivation of obsolete or redundant training.

- Sources of information or data:

  - Tasking by higher authority.  Cite specific correspondence.
  - Internal review has indicated a need for training best met by a new course or a revision to an existing course.
  - External feedback/review.  Current graduates are not able to perform on the job, or lack specific skills.
  - Job Duty Task Analysis (JDTA) data.  JDTAs are normally accomplished as part of the curriculum development Analyze phase, but existing JDTA data should be used, if available.
  - Impact if the course development or revision is not
  - undertaken.
  - Clearly describe the impact on Fleet requirements and capabilities if the proposal is not undertaken.  Note that this is NOT the same as "Justification." "Justification" is the authority behind the proposed revision.  "Impact" refers to the consequences to the Navy of maintaining training in the current mode.

    EXAMPLES:

      Shortfall in numbers of trained personnel.

      Inability to operate or maintain updated Fleet equipment.

      Dollars not saved by deleting obsolete objectives and consolidating remaining objectives into a shorter course.

7.5.  Safety Risks and Hazardous Materials exposure:  Describe anticipated safety risks and exposure to hazardous materials which are absolutely necessary for training realism. Indicate if the proposed training shall be designated "high risk" and fall under the purview of OPNAVINST 1500.75 and NETCINST 5100.1.  The incorporation of occupational safety and health considerations into training are defined in OPNAVINST 5100.23(Series), and NETCINST 5100.1.

7.6.  Curriculum development method recommended

- Curriculum development follows either task-based procedures (NAVEDTRA 130(Series) Task-Based Curriculum Development Manual),or PPP/TPS based procedures (NAVEDTRA 131(Series): Personnel Performance Profile Based Curriculum Development

Manual).  Some of the considerations used to determine the
most appropriate curriculum development method may be found
in NAVEDTRA 135(Series).  Training and Course Supervisors
will provide valuable assistance in determining the skills
and knowledge, which will become the foundation for the
training development or revision.  Specify the development
method recommended for use and the rationale for its
selection.

- When preparing a TPP developed under ILE instructional
  development, this is not applicable:
- List training materials to be produced under the
- curriculum development procedure selected.

7.7.  Compensation:  Provide recommended sources of compensation
for both manpower and funding.  Identify possible course
deactivations/reductions, cross utilization of instructors, etc.

7.8.  Milestones:  A time-phased narrative or graphic
representation commencing with TPP approval, milestones shall
include identification of major developmental products or events
relating to the training materials development method selected,
and end with implementation.  Projected completion dates for
each key event shall be indicated.

7.9.  Resource requirements:  Provide for each site a best
estimate of the known and anticipated resources necessary to
implement the training.  For a revision, this shall be the
additional resources required.  For a new development, this
shall be all resources needed to conduct the course.
Identification of these resources does not constitute approval
of the resources; Chief of Naval Personnel (CNP) Corporate
Automated Resource Information System (CARIS) document numbers,
cost account codes, and Program Objective Memorandum (POM)
documentation must be forwarded.

---

It is recognized that not all resources requirements may be known when
the TPP is submitted.  This is an initial submission and subject to
revisions.

---

- Manpower.  For new courses or revisions, identify officer,
  enlisted and civilian billets required, the number of
  billets authorized, and the number of compensated billets
  that can be provided, and the difference (if any).  For
  deactivations, identify all billets that shall be offered
  up.  Specify differences (if any).  For questions on multi-
  service manpower issues, contact the NETC N5 Inter-service
  Training Review Organization (ITRO).

- Funding.  Identify by appropriation, such as, Operation and Maintenance Navy (O&MN), other procurement, Navy
- (OPN), and Activity Group/Subactivity Group (AG/SAG) the one-time (initial) or recurring costs.  For existing courses identify only the additional costs required to implement training.
- Specific expense items should be identified and include the following: Curriculum development, supplies, travel, equipment, publications, and printing.
- Contractor costs should be identified, including curricula development, instructors, and the operation and maintenance of training equipment.
- Equipment.  Related end-item equipment.  "Related" means those specialized items, systems, or equipments required to support and conduct training.  For deactivations, identify the disposition/ reassignment of equipment.
- List items, providing as much information as necessary to describe the item, such as:

  - Item name or official nomenclature.
  - Part number.
  - Cognizance Code/National Item Identification Number/Special Material Identification Code (COG/NIIN/SMIC) (Formerly National Stock Number)
  - Any other identifying codes:
  - Acquisition Advice Code (AAC)
  - Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code Source, Maintenance, and Recoverability (SM&R) code

  NOTE:  When identifying your items, use only the categories and codes that apply to your project.  Use your command's logistic resource manager for assistance.

  Indicate the number of items needed to support the course. Multiple training sites may require a further breakdown by site.  In cases where some items are currently on hand, list the additional items needed.

  Provide unit of issue and unit costs Technical Reference: Use when a technical reference provides source data, amplifying information, or justification for an item.
  _____
  EXAMPLE: Maintenance Trainers.  Normally, weapons system trainers designed to support on-equipment training, specially developed maintenance trainers, simulators/ simulated trainer panels, and other simulator panels/    -

---

EXAMPLE: Technical Training Equipment (TTE).Operational equipment used for training purposes.  Actual Weapon Replaceable Assemblies, Line Replaceable Units, Subsystem Replaceable Assemblies, Shop Replaceable Units, Circuit Card Assemblies, weapons pylons, engines or equipment normally a part of <u>a weapon system.</u>

- Test Equipment:

  - Special Purpose Electronic Test Equipment (SPETE).  Test equipment designed to generate, modify or measure a range of functional parameters for a single electronic system or equipment.  For example, test equipments which perform diagnostics and troubleshooting on specific aircraft; normally provided by the SYSCOM.
  - General Purpose Electronic Test Equipment (GPETE). Electronic test equipment which may be used to test two or more equipments or systems, of basically different design, by generating, modifying, or measuring a range of electronic functions.

    ---

    EXAMPLE: Oscilloscopes, multimeters

    Note: Where GPETE is not being provided by a SYSCOM or other sponsor, the Learning Center requests the equipment.  Refer to OPNAVINST 11102.2 and Integrated Learning Environment website, https://www.netc.navy.mil/ile/index.aspx.  GPETE is normally a long lead-time item.

- Visual Information (VI) devices such as projectors, video playback equipment, overhead projectors, projector screens, movie projectors, television monitors, etc.
- Visual Information (VI) aids.  Provide a summary listing containing an estimate of the VI aids required to conduct the proposed training course.  OPNAVINST 3104 is the basic reference for these items.
- Special-purpose tools, alignment jigs, and fixtures. GO/NO-GO gauges, adapters, and other tools especially designed for maintenance of weapon systems and normally
- listed in the technical manual.

- Common hand tools. Tools required performing the training which is not unique to the equipment.
- Consumables. Items that are required for the course, such as magnetic computer disks, special printing paper, plating materials, connector parts, rags, cotton swabs, etc. List quantity required per class. Do not include items that are provided to the students and then retrieved after class.
- Training Devices. Engine cutaways, models, inert bombs/weapons, and other devices especially prepared for demonstration and handling safety. Unless provided by an OPNAV sponsor, these items can have exceptionally long development and procurement lead-times. NAVTRASYSCEN P-530 Navy Training Systems Center Guide refers.
- Specialized maintenance trainers and operator training devices (support training but cannot be substituted for operational equipment).
- Operational and training software, if not included with the hardware. Also, if the software must be modified, the scope of the modifications shall be included. This category also includes Interactive Courseware (ICW).
- General purpose equipment dedicated to a specialized task. For example, general purpose computers "wired in" and used to control training devices.
- Support equipment (Non-Avionic). Maintenance stands, bomb skids, engine stands, mobile hydraulic and electrical power units, mobile air conditioning units, engine removal trailers, and similar materials. NOTE: This category does not include line maintenance test sets.
- Calibration standards. Calibration standard test equipment used in the calibration of electronics equipment and test sets. These items are identified by a "-CS" at the end of the part number.
- Faultable/Prefaulted modules. Modified modules, or modules that shall be modified with insertible faults or malfunctions, for use in troubleshooting and performance testing.
- Trainer-peculiar materials. Items that are used in direct support of the trainer, such as trainer-peculiar special tools or special support equipment.
- Miscellaneous materials. Special clothing, goggles, standard work benches, special furniture, equipments and items which do not fall under any category identified above.

- Ordnance/Ammunition/Pyrotechnics. Live, dummy, or inert. List by description and identifying numbers. Per the Conventional Ammunition Integrated Management System (CAIMS), SPCCINST 8010.12, include the Navy Ammunition Logistics Code (NALC) for each item. The NALC can be appended to the NSN for each item. Specify requirement per student and per class.

- Stand-alone computer systems and peripherals. For example, desktop computers and printers used to deliver instruction. Not administrative or office support equipment.

- Equipment refurbishment. Available equipment which can be used to support training after repair, overhaul, or modernization.

- Publications. Commercial, DOD, and military service publications or technical manuals required to conduct training. List by title, identification number, quantity required, and supplier.

- Training material. The type and estimated quantity of training materials needed to conduct training. This includes instructor guides, trainee guides, instruction sheets, etc. Quantities and costs should be estimated through course pilot, or until training activity funding support can be established.

- Facilities. Identify requirements for MILCON or special projects for facilities modification. These requirements are highly situation-specific. See OPNAVINST 11102.2 for detailed facilities documentation requirements.

---

EXAMPLE: A major training device needs to be relocated by the command as part of a course development or revision project. Or, additional electrical power and cooling are needed to support new equipment being installed in an existing space. This can also include accommodations and adaptations for safety, such as vapor/gas eductors, filtration, incineration, hazardous materials storage, handling, and disposal facilities.

---

- Early consultation with the training activity facilities manager is essential to determine the scope of the modification or construction, the level of approval and funding required.

- Funding thresholds are:

  - Repairs and Construction Less than 500K = Host Installation/ Region Funding.

- Repairs Greater than 500K = CNIC Special Projects Funding.
- Construction Greater than 500K and Less than 750K = CNIC Special Projects Funding.
- Construction Greater than 750K = Military Construction (MILCON) = CNIC MILCON Program.

### SECTION 8 - TPP APPROVAL

A TPP is submitted via the chain of command for approval at the appropriate level as specified in NETCNOTE 1500 dated 23 MAR 09, NAVEDTRA 135 (Series), and OPNAV Memorandum for Distribution 7000 N1 127189 of 15 September 2008.

Approval of the TPP may be used as authorization for submission of CPATS, POM and procurement of long lead-time items such as major training devices.

# ANALYZE PHASE

# CHAPTER 3

# COURSE TRAINING TASK LIST

**INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of the Analyze Phase is to determine what shall be taught in the new or revised course.  The analysis conducted is a continuation of the preliminary analysis completed during the Plan Phase.  All available documents/data are examined and analyzed to determine what is necessary to do a job.  The product of this phase is the Course Training Task List (CTTL) which provides a list of the duties, tasks, and/or skills that are selected for training.

**SECTION 1 - COURSE TRAINING TASK LIST (CTTL)**

The CTTL serves as the foundation for writing the terminal and enabling objectives which comprise a course of instruction and carry out the course mission statement.

A CTTL will most commonly be developed to support new course development projects.  A CTTL may be used for course revisions, depending on the scope of the revisions and the availability of front end analysis data.

The CCMM will review all Navy developed CTTLs for completeness and compliance with NAVEDTRA 130(Series) guidelines and approve their use in support of continued curriculum development.  The CTTL is considered a working document; finalized CTTLs are not normally forwarded for CCA approval.  However, the CCA has the option of calling for a review and approval of developmental products at any time.

1.1 CTTL Development:  The CTTL represents the foundation of knowledge and skills upon which a course is developed.  The CTTL shall be developed in accordance with guidance contained in Volume I, Chapter 3, page 3-1, of this manual.

- Development of a new CTTL will generally be accomplished by the developer assigned by the CCA.
- CTTL development should meet the timeline established by the Training Project Plan Milestones.  Often, during the Curriculum development process, the original milestone dates are impacted by such things as delays in facilities, unavailability of necessary equipment, or lack of subject matter expert support.  When this occurs, the CCA should be notified and a revision to the milestones proposed for approval.

- Forward advance copies of the CTTL to the CCA and other LS, as required.  Review comments directed to the CCMM.
- CCMM reviews CTTL for adequacy and compliance with NAVEDTRA 130B.  Approve CTTLs for use in development of course objectives.

## SECTION 2- SUMMARY

As a working document, routine surveillance of the CTTL for a course is not required.

# DESIGN PHASE

# CHAPTER 4

# TRAINING COURSE CONTROL DOCUMENT

## SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

The Training Course Control Document (TCCD) is the primary developmental and management document for a course.  The approved TCCD serves as the authorization for further development and provides the information needed by curriculum developers to create the training materials for a course.  Thus, careful attention must be paid to the detail, content, and structure of the TCCD.  Volume I, Chapter 5 of this manual provides guidance on compiling the TCCD.

1.1  Description and Application of the TCCD;  The TCCD is a collection of products which expresses in summary form, the content, structure, and essential management information for a course.  Most of the information has already been developed; in the TCCD it is placed in a standard format for submittal.  The TCCD consists of the following items:

- Front Matter.
- Curriculum Outline of Instruction.
- Annexes.

> The content, structure and essential management information contained in the TCCD is used to implement and manage the course.  For this reason it must accurately reflect the final course and must be kept update.

1.2.  TCCD Components:  The following is a description of each TCCD deliverable:

- Front Matter:

  - Cover page.
  - Letter of promulgation.
  - Table of contents.
  - Foreword (if required).
  - Course data.
  - Trainee data. Consists of the following:
  - Personnel physical requirements.
  - Security clearance.
  - Prerequisites.
  - Obligated service.
  - NOBC/NEC/MOS earned.

- Curriculum Outline of Instruction:

  - In the Curriculum Outline of Instruction, Units and Lesson Topics consisting of terminal and enabling objectives are displayed in the order they shall be taught.
  - Volume I, Chapter 4 of this manual describes the development of the Curriculum Outline of Instruction.

- Annexes:

  - Training course control document annexes provide the resource requirements and time allocations for the training course.
  - Resource Requirements List (RRL).  It lists all the resources required to conduct the course. See Volume I, Chapter 5 Section 2.3 of this manual for more details on the development of the RRL.

- Course Master Schedule (CMS).  The CMS and CMS Summary shall be developed in accordance with Volume I and Volume II of this NAVEDTRA, NAVEDTRA 135(Series), and CeTARS.

1.3  Review and Approval:  The CCA will review and approve all TCCD deliverables.

## SECTION 2 - LETTER OF PROMULGATION

Upon completion of the Pilot Course, the CCA will authorize the use of the curriculum through a Letter of Promulgation.  This authorization is a permanent part of the course audit trail.  It is placed in the TCCD front matter immediately following the cover page.  When the TCCD is submitted, a page marker is inserted where the Letter of Promulgation will later be placed.

Authorization to implement the course after the curriculum has been approved and all required resources are in place is the responsibility of the Learning Center or Functional Commander. See Chapter 6 of this volume and NAVEDTRA 135(Series) for additional information.

## SECTION 3 - SURVEILLANCE

Each CCMM, for courses under their cognizance, will:

- Review TCCD for currency, adequacy, and accuracy whenever a course change or revision is undertaken.
- Review technical changes to hardware or documentation and evaluate them for impact on existing TCCD and curricula.
- Make recommendations and provide impact comments and/or draft TCCD for the CCA when appropriate changes are indicated for their courses.

> Using AIM and linking resources, especially technical data to content can drastically reduce curriculum surveillance time required when a resource is updated.  AIM will flag very specific content items based on changes to linked resources such as technical documentation, learning objectives.  CTTL items, Lesson Plans, and Trainee Guides.

# DEVELOP PHASE

# CHAPTER 5

# CURRICULUM AND SUPPORT MATERIALS

**INTRODUCTION**

Curriculum Materials include all materials required for the presentation of information and the development of skill. Support materials are instructional materials and other devices used to support instruction.

**SECTION 1- CURRICULUM CONTROL, DEVELOPMENT AND COORDINATION**

1.1.  Control of Curricula:  Control of curricula shall be accomplished by the Curriculum Control Authority (CCA) who assigns coordination, development, and support responsibilities to participants.  This is to ensure that:

- Curriculum materials are analyzed for accuracy and effectiveness.
- The need for course revisions or development of new curriculum materials is evaluated.
- Schedules for the development of curriculum materials reflect new equipment deliveries and Fleet training requirements.

1.2.  Development of Curriculum:  The developer will usually be in the Learning Center (LC) and in some cases delegated to the Learning Site (LS) designated as Course Curriculum Model Manager (CCMM) for the course to be developed or revised:

- For multi-sited courses, the CCMM has a responsibility to liaison with each teaching site to determine site-unique requirements and to solicit review of materials.
- Coincident with the development of Lesson Plan, Trainee Guide, and Test Package, is the procurement of Resource Requirements List items which are identified as part of the TCCD.
- The CCMM is the interim review and approval agent for the development of training materials, up to the pilot convening of the course.
- The CCMM is ultimately responsible to the CCA for the development of all curriculum materials.

  - NAVEDTRA 135(Series) discusses the CCMM's roles and responsibilities in greater detail and should be reviewed before revising or developing instructional materials.

- The developer is responsible for incorporating into the curriculum all requirements residing in current instructions, such as incorporating safety details and developing a Testing Plan.

  - NAVEDTRA 135(Series) should be consulted to ensure all requirements are addressed.

- The developer will work with numerous entities both inside and outside the Navy to ensure that training materials are developed or acquired which meet accepted instructional standards and meet the development schedule.

  - Assistance in meeting these requirements and professional guidance in the development of effective training materials may be obtained from the Curriculum and Instructional Standards functional area or the Learning Standards Officer (LSO), where available.  The role and responsibility of the curriculum and instructional standard functions are discussed in NAVEDTRA 135(Series).

- Surveillance of approved, on-line courses is the subject of Chapter 7 of this volume.

1.3.  Coordination with Learning Site (LS):  Curriculum development for courses which are multi-sited and/or developed by agents other than the LS should involve all LSs at a minimum in the review of the curriculum materials:

- The degree of LS involvement shall be influenced by the approved TPP milestones and CCA directions.
- The developer should forward for review and comment major segments of the course as soon as they are available rather than leaving the review until the total course is developed.
- The LS should review the material for technical accuracy and any problems they might have in implementing the material as written.  Review of material should be expedited and comments should be specific and include suggestions for correcting any errors or problems identified.
- LSs may be called upon to pilot the material developed, provide instructors to participate at other sites in piloting the material, and/or provide pilot monitors.  (See Chapter 6 of this volume for more information on pilots.)

- If multiple Functional Commanders are involved, resource requirements and other factors which impact on the implementation of the final course should be coordinated with each Functional Commander as soon as requirements are identified.

### SECTION 2 - CURRICULUM MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

Development and approval of the curriculum materials will follow the events listed unless specifically waived by the CCA.

2.1.  Review management materials:

- Training Project Plan.  As soon as a firm requirement exists, a Training Project Plan (TPP) shall be submitted in accordance with NETCINST 1510.1 and NAVEDTRA 135(Series). Development of the course described in the TPP can proceed while awaiting TPP approval if authorized by the CCA.
- Course Training Task List.  The CTTL forms the foundation for the objectives and the core for the Lesson Topics.
- Training Course Control Document.  The approved TCCD will provide the Terminal Objectives and Enabling Objectives, course sequence by Unit and Lesson Topic, proposed test points, and resource requirements.

2.2.  Establish a development schedule which meets the Milestones approved in the TPP:

- The sequence in which the material is developed must be dictated by each course's individual requirements, including such factors as lead time for VI/IMM or training device development; availability of technical documentation; appropriateness of existing materials, and the number and experience of developers assigned to the effort.
- The preferred sequence of training materials development is:

  - Lesson Plan.
  - Trainee Guide.
  - Test Package.
  - Support Material/Instructional Media Materials.

- The schedule is an internal control document which should be monitored by the developer and the Learning Standards functional lead.
- Monitoring the schedule will lead to early identification of possible changes in the TPP Milestones.  Changes in the TPP Milestones must be coordinated and approved by the CCA.

2.2.  Review content and/or format requirements levied by the CCA/CCMM in addition to those specified in this manual.

- If the developer is not experienced in application of the NAVEDTRA 130(Series) process, the CCA may require the developer to submit a sample of each type of curriculum material to be developed.  This is referred to as a "Cross Section."
- The Cross Section and its contents shall be specified by the CCA, if required.

2.3  Complete development of draft curriculum and support materials.

- The Lesson Plan places the instructional process in the sequence established by the TCCD.  In the Lesson Plan, the enabling objectives become discussion points, amplified as necessary to support the terminal objectives, which in turn comprise Lesson Topics.

  - Methods and procedures for Lesson Plan development are contained in Volume I Chapter 6 of this manual.

- Multiple Lesson Topics will normally be under development at one time. It is recommended that a single individual or team be given responsibility for developing a group of related Lesson Topics or Units.
- All Lesson Topic development should be a coordinated effort to ensure a smooth transition from Lesson Topic to Lesson Topic and Unit to Unit.
- The Trainee Guide is designed to support instruction.  Most essential are Job Sheets to carry out skill objectives in both practice and test situations.

  - Directions for developing effective instruction sheets are found in Volume I Chapter 7 of this manual.

- Tests measure the trainee's attainment of stated knowledge and skill objectives.  Thus, tests are closely related to both the Lesson Plan and the supporting Trainee Guide Instruction Sheets.

  - Procedures for developing knowledge and skill tests are contained in Volume I, Chapter 8 of this manual and additional guidance on the administration of a testing program is provided in NAVEDTRA 135 (Series).

- Support material including VI aids and IMM may actually be developed by personnel not part of the developer's command. This situation may increase the amount of coordination or require longer lead time.

  - Volume I, Chapter 9 discusses the coordination required to develop VI aids and IMM.
  - Other support materials, such as training devices, are governed by their own instructions and shall be coordinated with the CCA.
  - Procurement of technical manuals, textbooks, and government publications is governed by Supply System directives.

- All material should be reviewed by at least one Subject Matter Expert or other designated reviewer beside the developer.

2.4.  Reproduce copies of all curriculum materials (including paper copies of VI aids and IMM materials as practical) and forward to designated LSs for review and comment, as directed.

- Review shall be completed within the guidelines listed below, plus 14 days mailing time, unless otherwise directed by the CCA. (See Figure 5-1.)
- Reviews of pipeline courses shall be completed on each segment, with comment time periods based upon segment course length.

| EXPECTED COURSE LENGTH | REVIEW TIME |
|---|---|
| Less than 3 weeks | 30 Days |
| 3 weeks to 8 weeks | 60 Days |
| Greater than 8 weeks | 90 Days |

**FIGURE 5-1:  GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF CURRICULUM MATERIALS**

2.5.  Modify curriculum materials to reflect the changes identified during review.

- Recommend pilot date to CCA:

  - Advise the CCA of readiness to pilot 90 days in advance. (See Chapter 6 of this Volume for additional guidance on pilot responsibilities.)

- CCA should not authorize a pilot until sufficient VI aids and IMM are on hand to evaluate their integration into the course.

2.6.  CCMM/LS will monitor the pilot course as assigned by the CCA.

- Forward pilot course progress reports in accordance with Chapter 6 of this volume.
- The LSO (or Quality Control Officer) at the pilot site should monitor the pilot.
- Red-line curriculum to incorporate proposed changes in the curriculum/support materials.

2.7.  CCA signifies approval of the curriculum or red-lined curriculum identified during the pilot by issuing a Letter of Promulgation.

- Authorize LSs (as appropriate) to use approved red-lined pilot curriculum prior to final curriculum.
- Actual implementation of the course or use of the red-lined curriculum if resources are affected must be coordinated with NETC and the LC's Functional Commander.

2.8.  Incorporate curriculum material comments in accordance
with approved recommendations of the Pilot Course Report.

- Duplicate and distribute masters of the curriculum
  materials to assigned LSs along with sufficient VI aids and
  IMM to implement the course at each site.
- Duplicate and distribute curriculum materials to LSs with
  receipt card (OPNAV 5511/10).  Track receipt cards.

2.9.  CCMM and LS perform surveillance on the final curriculum
materials as described in Chapter 7 of this volume.

**SECTION 3 - URRICULUM AND SUPPORT MATERIAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

Curriculum and Support materials may be reviewed by the CCA.

- Usually the CCA review will occur at the end of the
  development process, but the CCA can require additional In-
  process Reviews (IPR) in which CCA, CCMM, LSO, LSs, or
  others as designated by the CCA participate.
- IPRs should be required for new course development and for
  revision of lengthy courses and pipeline courses.
- Review authorities will ensure that developed curriculum
  materials comply with the management materials, are
  technically accurate, and meet the guidelines of NAVEDTRA
  130(Series) and other requirements specified for the
  course.

**SECTION 4 - SUMMARY**

Each document produced during the curriculum development process
should build and support all others.  It is rare that only one
part of the curriculum materials is being worked on at a time.
It is therefore important that all personnel actively engaged in
developing the training materials communicate and exchange
material.  Not only is the developer able to see how material
supporting or building on his/her topic is being developed, but
it serves as a review for content and accuracy.

# PILOT COURSE DEVELOP PHASE

# CHAPTER 6

# PILOT APPROVAL

**INTRODUCTION**

A pilot course is defined as the first full length course conducted at a Navy school by Navy instructors using the curriculum and supporting training materials prepared specifically for that course. The purpose is to validate the curriculum and materials, and to determine their effectiveness and course length. The CCA will determine those course(s) designated as pilot convening's.

- The pilot course process consists of the following elements:

  - Preparation for pilot course convening.
  - Pre-pilot conference.
  - Pilot course convening and course monitoring.
  - Post-pilot conference.
  - Report of pilot course assessment.

**SECTION 1 - PREPARATION FOR PILOT COURSE CONVENING**

1. The structure and conduct of a pilot course will depend to a great extent on the length of the course, class convening schedule, and the extent of approved curriculum materials and support materials available.

- A short course with infrequent class convening does will permit the conduct of a pilot and assessment of results, and incorporation of review comments prior to the next convening.
- A complex, lengthy course, or the necessity to accommodate class schedules, may dictate the use of a "rolling pilot," where data is gathered and fed back to the developer for incorporation, while the pilot continues for later sections or convening's.

  - Segments of the piloted materials must integrate into the rest of the course. That is, previous training must support the materials being piloted.
  - Temporary duty considerations preclude lengthy participation by support personnel outside the host LS. Use senior, qualified LS personnel as available, preferably personnel NOT directly involved in writing the piloted course materials.

- Have options available to utilize previously approved course materials if piloted segment produces abnormally high test failure rates by students in the pilot class.
- If the piloted segment of a course is acceptable, it should be left in place after pilot.  However, final approval of course materials by the CCA should be reserved until all revised materials have been piloted and reported upon.  Suggestions regarding the use of this training material include:

  -If corrections are relatively minor, continue to instruct from the red-line materials while corrections are being incorporated into a smooth copy.
  -If corrections result in re-writing or re-sequencing materials within Lesson Topics, return to the use of previously approved materials until corrections are completed.
  -If time and resources permit, pilot the revised materials a second time.

1.1.  Preparation for Pilot of New Courses:  The following procedures apply to preparation for pilot of new courses:

- Determination of Pilot Course Convening Date.  The CCMM will submit a proposed pilot course convening date to the CCA with copies sent to all other participating learning site (LS) as soon as a projected completion date for training materials development is available.
- Readiness to Conduct Pilot Course.  Not later than 90 days prior to the designated pilot course convening date, the LS scheduled to conduct the pilot is requested to assess and certify its readiness to conduct the pilot course.  This readiness notification should be addressed to and developed in conjunction with the CCA.  Copies should be transmitted to any other participating LS or other activities, and include the following elements:

  - A listing of present training material shortages and deficiencies which are projected to be corrected prior to the pilot course convening date.
  - The state of completion, installation, and operability of training devices and laboratories which support the pilot course should also be considered.

- A listing of training material shortages and deficiencies, if any, which are not expected to be corrected by the convening date, or for which delivery/correction dates cannot be determined. Include cognizant activity and estimated delivery/correction dates if known.
- A listing and assessment of any other factors which, in the judgment of the Commanding Officer, could adversely affect the validity of the pilot course as a comprehensive evaluation of all instructional elements. Instructor preparation time and the availability of students with the required prerequisites are among factors to be considered.
- An overall assessment of readiness to conduct the pilot course as scheduled. (Include status and completeness of the curriculum and supporting training materials, technical training equipment, GPETE, training devices, laboratories, COSBAL supply support onboard, etc.)
- If the scheduled date is not recommended, an alternate date should be proposed.

- Pilot Course Convening Approval. The CCA will evaluate the recommendations in the readiness report, approve a pilot course convening date, designate monitoring team members, and specify a due date for submittal of the Final (End of Course) Monitoring Progress Report.

  - This date will normally be 30 days after the estimated course completion date for courses less than 30 days in length, and 30 days after the estimated course completion date for courses 30 days or more in length.
  - The convening date approval letter distribution will include all addressees of the readiness report.

- Pre-Pilot Surveillance. After convening date approval and not later than 14 days prior to the approved pilot course convening date, the LS scheduled to conduct the pilot is requested to submit a message report if the pilot course should NOT be conducted on the approved date.

  - This is an exception report which can be made after pilot convening date approval if the facts and assumptions contained in the original readiness report have significantly changed. Its purpose is to stimulate timely recovery action if possible, and to notify pilot course support activities before attendance plans are finalized.

- Significant changes occurring in the two weeks immediately preceding the pilot course convening date should be reported to the CCA by telephone/electronic mail.

1.2.  Pilot of Revised Courses Developed from Existing Curricula:  For curriculum developed solely from previously piloted, approved, and promulgated curricula, the following procedures shall be used to expedite curriculum development while retaining an option to pilot when the conditions warrant:

- The first convening of the course shall be conducted using draft curriculum materials.  The LS's course supervisor will provide monitoring support for this class.
- Within 30 days after course completion, the LS will provide a synopsis of course supervisor and student critique comments with a recommendation to either continue development to final products, or conduct a formal pilot.
- The CCA will select one of four options for the curriculum materials:

  - Approve as a final curriculum.
  - Approve curriculum for pilot, subject to incorporation of designated comments.
  - Continue to use for training, no pilot required.
  - Require a formal pilot.

- If a formal pilot is required, the LS comments shall be considered a draft curriculum review, and the normal pilot process shall be followed.

## SECTION 2 - PRE-PILOT CONFERENCE

Shortly before the pilot course convening date, the monitoring team chairman will convene the pre-pilot conference.  Its purpose is to plan the validation process, assign monitoring team responsibilities consistent with the levels of representation available, and discuss/resolve any outstanding issues impacting the conduct of the pilot.  The following should be addressed:

- Assignment of monitors and respective responsibilities:

  - Status of management materials.
  - Status of curriculum materials.

- Status of support materials.
- Status of applicable change recommendations.
- Identification of instructors.
- Status of pilot instructor's Lesson Plan personalization.
- Review of the Readiness to Pilot report or letter.
- Specification of Monitoring Report frequency and due dates.
- A tentative date for the post-pilot conference.

- All problems and discrepancies should be identified and resolved so that a final determination can be made as to the suitability of conducting the pilot course.

  - The chairman will distribute a summary of the agreements reached and responsibilities assigned during the pre-pilot conference.

2.1.  Responsibilities and Functions of the Pilot Monitoring Team:

- The pilot monitoring process is an evaluation of all training materials, both knowledge and performance, and it faithfully records in real-time all instructional presentations.

  - It is NOT the responsibility of the monitoring team to develop or revise curriculum material during the classroom/laboratory presentation.
  - If the monitoring team determines that the LOs are not satisfied, recommendations shall be made to the CCA at the post-pilot conference and in the final report.
  - It is the responsibility of the CCA or Training Support Agent (TSA) to determine what action is necessary to accommodate the recommendations.

- The CCMM or LS conducting the pilot course will generally provide most of the monitoring team members from the instructional staff.

  - It is evident that the greatest range of tasks are the responsibility of the course personnel at the host Learning Site conducting the pilot course, with support from within by the Learning Site course supervisor.

- To the maximum feasible extent, other LSs that will teach the course, or the developer if the material was not developed by the host LS, should provide assistance to the host command in the course monitoring effort.

- The pilot course monitor(s) should be:

  - Technically competent to provide the instructor technical assistance as required or capable of accessing a point of contact for technical assistance.
  - Familiar with the development guidelines of NAVEDTRA 130(Series) and the management requirements established in NAVEDTRA 135(Series).
  - Aware of the status and availability of all training materials associated with the particular curriculum.
  - Familiar with approved and pending change recommendations to any training materials which could have an impact on the pilot course.
  - Familiar with the objectives of the preliminary curriculum and approved training.

- The purpose of conducting a pilot course is to validate the curriculum and support materials, and to determine their effectiveness in attaining the course objectives.

  - The role of the chairman is to coordinate and manage the project, and summarize the results in the final course monitoring report.
  - The pilot course monitors serve as the primary judge of the adequacy of a new or revised course. In this role, notes and comments regarding observed problems are later amplified to provide the basis for recommending changes, completing Intermediate and Final Course Monitoring Reports, and, ultimately, in assessing the success or failure of the piloted course.
  - The course monitor is provided with all curriculum materials and references while observing instruction. Addendum A, the Course Monitor Outline, can be used to note problem areas. A summary of all Course Monitor Outlines completed can thus provide a reference for daily and end of course critiques.
  - Addendum B, the Course Monitor Time Log, is used to record the actual time spent on each lesson topic, and, in summary, provides the best estimate of total time required for the course.

- The Chairman shall:

  - Maintain physical custody of the master red-lined curriculum and support materials, ensuring all consensus/ comments/ recommendations of the course monitors are properly and accurately annotated.
  - Chair and conduct critique sessions daily with the course monitors and incorporate comments into the master red-lined curriculum materials.  Make the master red-line materials available to course monitors.
  - Inform course monitors of the time and location for critiques.
  - Conduct pre-presentation reviews of curriculum materials.
  - Provide course monitors with presentation material that has been restructured by instructors in advance of presentation.
  - Conduct and chair the scheduled post-pilot conference.
  - Originate all Intermediate Pilot Course Monitoring Reports and the Final Pilot Course Monitoring Report.

- Course Monitors shall:

  - Attend pre-pilot conference.
  - Attend post-pilot conference.
  - Be present for ALL classroom and laboratory sessions.
  - Comment as appropriate on the administrative aspects of the pilot course conduct, using the Learning Site Administrative Review as a guideline (Addendum C).
  - Comment as appropriate on curriculum, using the Course Monitor Outline as necessary.
  - Maintain personal red-line of curriculum materials for use during critiques.
  - Attend ALL critique sessions held to review presentations and resolve comments for incorporation into the master red-line.
  - Attend ALL pre-presentation reviews of curriculum materials requested by the chairman.
  - Accept and use for monitoring the modified curriculum materials supplied by the chairman.
  - Participate in the development of Pilot Course Monitoring Reports.

2.2.  The Course Monitoring Outline Sheets, Addendum 6-A, are designed for use by course monitors and to serve as guides for noting subjects or items observed during the course monitoring process that require comment.  Typically, one sheet would be completed by each course monitor for each lesson topic, but this is flexible and should be amenable to the structure of the course.

### SECTION 3 - PILOT COURSE CONVENING AND COURSE MONITORING

The course shall be conducted and managed in accordance with the Lesson Plan and the management guidelines established in NAVEDTRA 135(Series).

- It is strongly recommended that the instructors not be the individuals who developed the material.  The material should stand on its own.  Often, when the writer is also the presenter, he will teach what he intended to have in the lesson topic and not necessarily the material which was actually written.
- Often the CCA or the CCMM will establish as a policy that any student recommended for dis-enrollment from a pilot course shall be reassigned to another course teaching the old curriculum.  This procedure eliminates the perception that the trainee is being penalized by problems which may be inherent in the material being piloted.  NAVEDTRA 135(Series) provides additional information on student management.  It and CCA/CCMM policies should be reviewed.
- Pilot monitors shall:

  - Attend critique sessions held at the completion of each instructional day to review presentations and resolve comments for incorporation into the master red-line.
  - Unless otherwise directed by the chairman, assemble in assigned classroom 15 minutes prior to the start of scheduled instruction.  Course monitors will return to the classroom or laboratory in sufficient time to ensure they are in place when class breaks are over.
  - Not participate in classroom/laboratory activities or aid the instructors in any way, nor will they discuss their comments or recommendations with the instructors during classroom/laboratory presentations.  In no case shall course monitors conduct business with trainees present.

## SECTION 4 - POST-PILOT CONFERENCE

At the completion of the pilot, the pilot monitors, CCA, and
representatives of the activity which developed the material
will meet to discuss their observations and comments on all
instructional material, the course management procedures, and
the facilities.

---

Courses Monitoring Outline Sheets are usually prepared for each Lesson
Topic, but the frequency of preparation is base on whatever is
appropriate to have meaningful data to discuss at the end-of-day
critique and for input to the master red-line Lesson Plan, Trainee
Guide, support material, and tests.

---

- The Course Monitoring Outline Sheets, Time Log, and the
  Facilities Administrative Review Checklist shall be
  reviewed to ensure all issues are addressed.  Appropriate
  corrective action shall be recommended.

## SECTION 5 - REPORT OF PILOT COURSE ASSESSMENT

The chairman, unless otherwise designated, will prepare the
Monitoring Report.

- The report shall be divided into the following sections:

  - Course Identification.
  - Course Administration.
  - Course Validation.
  - Instructional Accuracy/Adequacy.
  - Minority Report (If none, so state).
  - Other (Optional).

- Long courses may require interim pilot course monitoring
  reports.  The final course monitoring report should contain
  all interim reports, as applicable.
- If the course is to be multi-sited, any problem at these
  sites which will impair the implementation of the course
  shall be discussed under the appropriate heading in the
  report.  The site should be clearly identified to
  distinguish it from the pilot site.

5.1.  Course Identification.  The course identification section will contain the following data on the pilot course:

- Title of the command conducting the pilot.
- Course Title without abbreviations.
- Course CIN if assigned.
- Inclusive dates of the pilot.
- Name, rate, and rank of all monitors/representatives and the commands or activities they represent.

5.2.  Course Administration.  The course administration section will contain the following data on the pilot course:

- Facilities.  Major deficiencies impairing training and recommended for corrections.  If corrective action requires additional resources it should be noted.  The LS should prepare separate documentation to their Functional Commander for resources in accordance with NAVEDTRA 135(Series).
- Safety.  Personnel and equipment deficiencies impairing training and recommended corrective action.  Any safety problems which occur during the pilot shall be reported in accordance with NAVEDTRA 135(Series) and NETCINST 5100.1 as well as noted in the monitoring report.
- Security.  Any deficiency impairing training, such as inadequate stowage for classified materials, or affecting the trainees assigned to the course, such as delays in obtaining necessary clearances.
- Allocation.  Course and/or topic time, student-to-instructor ratios, and effectiveness of classroom-to-laboratory time allocations with recommendations when times deviate more than 10 percent.
- Critique Sheets.  Summarize comments from the outline sheets.

5.3.  Curriculum Validation.  The curriculum validation section will contain the following information on the pilot course:

- Lesson Plan.  Statements as to attainment of objectives, recommendations, instructor/trainee preparation, major deficiencies, etc.
- Trainee Guide.  Statements as to the adequacy and organization of all instruction sheets.

- Equipment/Tools.  Comments on the quantity/quality of equipment and tools, their adequacy in support of objectives, and trainee's ability to use.
- Support Materials.  Comments on the type, quality, quantity, and adequacy to support objectives.
- Instruction.  Comments on the quality of instruction in the attainment or lack of attainment of objectives.
- Testing.  Comments on the testing strategy, test design, test items, and quantity to support uninterrupted training.

5.4.  Instructional Accuracy/Adequacy.  This section will address the accuracy, adequacy, sequencing, and overall effectiveness of the training in attaining the stated learning objectives.

5.5.  Minority Report.  This section provides an opportunity for monitors to provide any alternatives to the recommendations presented in the previous sections.  If no minority comments are put forth, it should be noted.

5.6.  Other.  If any other items should be brought to the CCA's attention but do not fit under any of the other sections, they would be addressed here.

### SECTION 6 - PILOT COURSE CORRECTIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS

Based on the findings and comments recorded during the pilot course, it is usually necessary to make corrections and adjustments to the training materials prior to approval and implementation.

- Detailed direction is provided to the developer on what corrections and adjustments are to be made.
- Limitations:

  - Any modification to training materials which does not affect the course mission statement or require additional resources may be corrected as a result of the pilot.  The following are examples of such corrections:

    -Revise objectives as necessary to support the course mission.
    -Add, delete, or re-sequence lesson topics.

-Adjust lesson topic periods and ratios.
-Add or delete support material such as transparencies, wall
 charts, and instruction sheets.

- Any modification to training materials which does affect the course mission statement or require additional resources may not be corrected without modification and approval of the TPP.  The following are examples of such corrections:

  -Work outside the course mission statement (expand or
   reduce scope).
  -Change in minimum/maximum class size, established course
   length, Average on Board (AOB).
  -Require additional resources:

     Equipment
     Facilities
     Personnel
     Funding

### SECTION 7 - IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

Implementation takes place after the pilot course has been conducted and the corrections and adjustments to the training materials indicated by the pilot course have been accomplished.

- CCA Approval:

  - Authorization to use curriculum materials is granted by the CCA through a Letter of Promulgation.  This approves the curriculum for use in support of training.

- Functional Commander Approval:

  - Where the CCA and the Functional Commander are different, the Functional Commander authorizes implementation of the course when the material has been approved by the CCA and all required resources   are in place.

- CCMM Responsibilities:

  - Ensure all sites are ready to train.
  - Accommodate site-unique training considerations.

- Distribute all curriculum material masters to all LSs.
- Distribute support materials consistent with the TPP or as directed by the CCA/Functional Commander.
- Submit initial CeTARS and CANTRAC data for new or revised courses.

- CCMM and LS(s) Responsibilities:

  - Certify instructors to teach the course and supervise personalization of Lesson Plans.

- Establish administrative and support functions with:

  - Learning Standards Office (LSO).
  - Training Support Center (TSC)/Training Support Detachment (TSD).
  - Medical (if appropriate).
  - PSA/PSD (if appropriate).

- Distribute training materials.
- Update CeTARS and CANTRAC if necessary.
- Order consumables and other support materials. This should be coordinated with CCA and Functional Commander to avoid duplication of effort or funding conflicts.
- Follow special procedures established for certification of instruction of high risk courses.

### SECTION 8 - SUMMARY

After implementation, responsibility for curriculum maintenance is assigned to the CCMM and course evaluation begins. All future modifications to course materials fall under the guidance of Volume III, Chapter 7 of this manual. Course management is carried out by all sites in accordance with NAVEDTRA 135 series.

EVALUATE PHASE

CHAPTER 7

SURVEILLANCE AND TRAINING MATERIALS
MODIFICATION

### SECTION 1 - IMPLEMENTATION

The training materials shall be implemented by the CCMM with the cooperation of the LSs teaching the course.  NAVEDTRA 135(Series) should be used as a guide for the management of the course.  It specifies the audit trail to be maintained for each curriculum development/revision and what records are to be maintained on all courses.

### SECTION 2 - EVALUATION

- The central concept behind evaluation is the constant improvement of training materials through a process that:

  - Provides a means of keeping training materials current and accurate.
  - Is responsive to changing training requirements and equipment/documentation alterations.
  - Is open to innovation.

- Evaluation consists of a number of programs which either individually or collectively evaluate the instructional materials, the instruction, the instructors, and the trainees.  NAVEDTRA 135(Series) describes the various programs used to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of the total training program.  The portion of the evaluation program which concentrates on the curriculum is organized around two major functions, surveillance and training materials modification.

### SECTION 3 - SURVEILLANCE

Every LS is responsible for monitoring each course it instructs and proposing changes to the CCMM as needed.  NAVEDTRA 135(Series) describes in greater detail the responsibilities of LSs and CCMMs.

- Surveillance involves:

  - Monitoring hardware documentation and changes for impact on existing training materials.

- Detecting errors or deficiencies in existing training materials and initiating the necessary corrective action.Training materials modification is the result of surveillance and involves actual alterations to training materials.  These alterations range from Interim Changes, such as the correction of clerical errors and insertion of titles, to revisions in course length, the course mission statement, or a shift from one instructional strategy to another.

## SECTION 4 - SUPPORT COORDINATION AND CONTROL

- For courses supported by a TSA, both the CCMM and TSA shall be responsible for the surveillance of, and the development of, modification to assigned training materials.
- For courses life-cycle supported by a TSA, the TSA shall introduce Technical Changes to curriculum necessitated by changes in tactical equipment, documentation, maintenance policy, or training-unique equipment.
- For all courses not life-cycle supported by a TSA, the CCMM will perform surveillance and introduce other modifications to curricula.

## SECTION 5 - CATEGORIES OF MODIFICATIONS TO TRAINING MATERIAL

5.1.  Interim Change.  A minor modification to training materials correcting editorial, typographical or technical errors, teach ability, safety or urgent Type Commander promulgated subjects.  An Interim Change does NOT require a TPP.

- An Interim Change will NOT alter the course mission statement, terminal/enabling objectives, change the length of the course, or require additional resources.
- The Commanding Officer/Officer in Charge of each LS teaching a course may approve Interim Changes made by the LS for the curriculum it teaches.  Interim Changes related to safety shall be implemented and reported to the CCMM immediately.
- Interim Changes not related to safety shall be reported to the CCMM within five working days.
- The CCMM will incorporate Interim Changes in the next promulgated change to the curricula.

- If the Interim Change was generated due to site-unique circumstances, the CCMM will evaluate the Interim Change and upon concurrence will issue an approval letter.  CCMM approval shall specify that the change is unique to the submitting site and will not be included in future changes promulgated by the CCMM.
- If the CCMM does not concur with an Interim Change as submitted, the issue shall be forwarded to the CCA for resolution.
- Copies of the Interim Change shall be forwarded to the CCA and TSA as appropriate.  Figure 7-1 is a sample letter for forwarding an Interim Change.

```
From:  Commanding Officer, Learning Site
To:    Commanding Officer, Course Curriculum Model Manager

Subj:  INTERIM CHANGE TO COURSE A-234-5678 COMMERCIAL UTILITY CARGO
       VEHICLE (TYPE A) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Ref:   (a) NAVEDTRA 130 (Series)

1.  Discrepancies and/or errors have been encountered in the Lesson
Plan, and the following pen and ink Interim changes have been made:

    a.  In volume 1, on page 4-4-5, change the part of Item 3.a.
which reads:

        (5) Steering/Wheels/Tires
        (6) Brakes

        to read

        (5) Steering/Wheels/Tires/Tubes/Rims
        (6) Brakes/Shoes

2.  This interim Change is in accordance with reference (a) and has
been implemented at this command; request dissemination to other LSs
teaching this course.




                          (LS COMMANDING OFFICER

Distribution:
Center LSs
```

**FIGURE 7-1:  INTERIM CHANGE LETTER**

5.2.   Change.   A modification to training materials that does NOT affect the course mission, does NOT increase course length, and does NOT require additional resources.   A Training Project Plan is NOT required.

- The need for a change may be identified by either the training activity or the CCMM.   Changes shall be approved and promulgated by the CCMM.
- Each Change will incorporate all outstanding interim changes.
- If a conflict exists between a CCMM and another LS over a
- Change, the matter shall be referred to the CCA for resolution.
- For TSA-monitored courses, the TSA will monitor Changes to ensure technical adequacy and accuracy.
- Formatting, production, and distribution of CCMM-originated Changes shall be accomplished by the CCMM.
- Copies of all Changes shall be distributed to each LS instructing the course, the CCA, and TSA (for TSA-supported courses).
- Changes shall be issued by letter as shown in Figure 7-2.

```
From:   Commanding Officer, Course Curriculum Model Manager
To:     Commanding Officer, Learning Site

Subj:   CHANGE 2 TO COURSE A-234-5678, COMMERCIAL UTILITY CARGO
        VEHICLE (TYPE A) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Ref:    (a) NAVEDTRA 130 (Series)

Encl:   (1) Lesson Plan Change Pages
        (2) Trainee Guide Change pages

1.  Incorporate enclosure (1) into the Lesson Plan for subject
course.  Incorporate enclosure (2) into the subject course Trainee
Guide.  This Change is in accordance with reference (a) and
incorporates Interim Changes 2-1 through 2-16 and is approved for
use.  Subsequent Interim Changes will be reflected in Change 3.

                         (CCMM COMMANDING OFFICER)

Distribution:
Learning Center
Learning Sites
```

**FIGURE 7-2:   CHANGE APPROVAL LETTER**

5.3.  Technical Change.  A Technical Change addresses any change to tactical or training-unique equipment or documentation originating in the TSA's parent material agency and affecting promulgated curricula.  A Technical Change does NOT require a TPP.


- A Technical Change may or may not affect learning objectives.  It does NOT affect course mission, course length, or resources.  The TSA develops and forwards a Technical Change to the CCMM.

- The Technical Change will consist of smooth change pages to the curricula, with sufficient copies to distribute to all activities teaching the affected course.

5.4.  Revision.  A modification to the course mission statement, an increase in course length, or training material modification that requires additional resources.  A Revision ALWAYS requires a TPP.

- A Revision incorporates previous modifications and supersedes preceding editions of the training materials.
- Revisions require the development and submission of a Training Project Plan for approval.  The level of approval for a TPP for revisions will vary based on the project.  Refer to NETCINST 1510.1 and NAVEDTRA 135(Series) and OPNAV Memorandum for Distribution 7000 N1 127189 of 15 September 2008 for information on the approval of TPPs.  Volume I, Chapter 2 of this manual provides guidance for developing a Training Project Plan.
- If the revision requires additional resources, a CNET Program Automated Tracking System (CPATS) shall be submitted after the TPP has been approved.

  - Revisions shall be prepared by a developer and approved by the CCA.
  - The amount of change to the curriculum will    vary between revisions.  Revisions may consist of partial replacements of curriculum and thus, may not require a reprint of the entire curriculum; or the revision may be so extensive that the complete curriculum must be reprinted.

- Revisions to be developed by a TSA to TSA- monitored courses shall be undertaken only with TSA concurrence and acceptance of funding responsibility for development and review of the Revision.
- A developer (LS or TSA) shall be assigned for an approved Revision effort for in-house projects.  This
- is usually the CCMM. The development process described in Volume I, appropriately modified by CCA and TSA concurrence, shall be applied to Revisions.

- The intent of training materials modifications is to allow expedient updating of curricula while still maintaining consistent instructional standards throughout the NAVEDTRACOM.  Modifications to courses will not be undertaken solely to change format.
- Figure 7-3 describes the originator, promulgation authority, reproduction and distribution activity, and reviewing authority for Interim Changes, Changes, Technical Changes, and Revisions to curricula.

| Type of Modification | INTERIM CHANGE | CHANGE | TECHNICAL CHANGE | REVISION |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Originator | LS | CCMM | TSA | Per TPP |
| Pre Promulgation Review | None | CCMM | None | Per TPP |
| Promulgation Authority | CCMM | CCMM | CCMM | CCA |
| Reproduction/ Distribution | CCMM/LS | CCMM/LS | Repro: TSA Dist: CCMM | Per TPP |

**FIGURE 7-3:  MODIFICATION APPROVAL/REVIEW MATRIX**

# ADDENDUM A

# COURSE MONITORING OUTLINE SHEET

**COURSE MONITORING OUTLINE SHEET MONITOR**

NAME_____REPRESENTING_____

DATE_____ UNIT/LESSON TOPIC NUMBER_____

LESSON TOPIC_____

CLASSROOM/LAB ROOM NUMBER OR LOCATION_____

1.  Were LESSON PLAN components accurate and in correct format?

     a.   Front Matter

     b.   Learning Objectives

     c.   Discussion Points

     d.   Related Instructor Activity

     e.   Instructor/Trainee Preparation

     f.   Other

2.  Were TRAINEE GUIDE components accurate and in correct format?

     a.   Front Matter

     b.   Outline Sheet

     c.   Information Sheets

     d.   Assignment Sheets

     e.   Job Sheets

     f.   Diagram Sheets

     g.   Problem Sheets

3.  Equipment/Tools:

    a.  Was equipment correct and available in sufficient quantity?

b.    Were tools correct and available in sufficient quantity?

4.    SUPPORT MATERIALS/INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA MATERIAL:

a.    Was support material relevant to the lesson topic?

b.    Is the special emphasis provided by support material necessary?

c.    Are IMM clear and legible?

5.    INSTRUCTIONAL ACCURACY/ADEQUACY:

a.    Is the content accurate?

b.    Is the material presented in a logical sequence?

c.    Does the lead-in information motivate the student to pursue the material?

d.    Do the teaching-learning activities encourage productive learning?

e.    Is the material written in a manner to allow maximum student participation?

f.    Is there opportunity for review and practice?

g.    Does the material effectively teach the behaviors specified in the Learning Objectives?

h.    General Information Accuracy:

(1)  Are abbreviations, terms, and symbols accurate?

(2)  Are operational characteristics, capabilities, and limitations accurate?

(3)  Is documentation accurate?

i.    Physical information accuracy:

(1)  Is information on major and associated components accurate?

(2) Is information on displays, controls, and indicators accurate?

j. Functional Information accuracy:

(1) Is information on functional operation accurate?

(2) Is information of controls and indicators accurate?

(3) Is information on computer software, operational, and maintenance programs accurate?

k. Interface Information accuracy:

(1) Is information on physical interface accurate?

(2) Is information on functional interface accurate?

l. Operational Information:

(1) Is information on initialization accurate?

(2) Is information on normal operational tasks accurate?

(3) Is information on casualty/degraded modes accurate?

(4) Is information on securing/shutdown accurate?

(5) Is information on personnel and equipment safety accurate?

m. Maintenance Information:

(1) Is information on preventive maintenance procedures accurate?

(2) Is information on operational tests and diagnostic programs accurate?

(3) Is information on malfunction indicators accurate?

(4)  Is information on fault isolation procedures accurate?

(5)  Is information on alignment, calibration, and adjustment accurate?

(6)  Is information on disassembly, repair, and reassembly accurate?

(7)  Is information on tools and test equipment accurate?

(8)  Is information on post-repair procedures accurate?

(9)  Is information on personnel and equipment safety accurate?

(10) Is information on maintenance policy accurate?

6.   INSTRUCTION:

a.   Did the instructor(s) demonstrate adequate preparation?

b.   Did the instructor(s) demonstrate appropriate instructional methods and techniques?

c.   Depth of coverage:

(1)  Was the depth of coverage appropriate in relation to the objectives?

(2)  Was the depth of coverage appropriate in relation to the experience level of the trainees?

d.   Did the instructor(s) demonstrate appropriate questioning techniques?

e.   Was the instructor(s) presentation pertinent to DPs?

7.   TESTING:

a.   Are tests given which cover too much or too little material?

b.    Do tests adequately measure trainee comprehension of learning objectives?

c.    Are performance tests indicative of actions performed on the job?

d.    Are sufficient test items and alternative forms of tests available?

e.    Are all trainees tested under the same conditions?

f.    Are performance tests similar to, but not the same as, job assignments?

g.    Is test security maintained?

h.    Test Data:

(1)    Number taking test_____

(2)    Number passing test_____

(3)    High score_____

(4)    Low score_____

(5)    Median score_____

(6)    Minimum passing score_____

(7)    What remedial options (if any) were utilized?

# ADDENDUM B

# COURSE MONITORING TIME LOG

COURSE TITLE: _____  CIN: _____

CLASSROOM/LAB NUMBER OR LOCATION _____

MONITOR NAME _____ REPRESENTING _____

| DATE | PART/SECT TOPIC | CLASSROOM | | LABORATORY | | NOTES |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | HR SCHOOL | ACTUAL | HR SCHOOL | ACTUAL | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Comment required if actual time varies by +/- 10% from scheduled
time.

# ADDENDUM C

# LEARNING SITE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW CHECKLIST

LEARNING SITE
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW
CHECKLIST

MONITOR NAME_____REPRESENTING_____

DATE_____ UNIT/LESSON TOPIC NUMBER_____ LESSON

TOPIC_____

CLASSROOM/LAB ROOM NUMBER OR LOCATION_____

1.   FACILITIES:                                Yes/No Comments

     a.   Is the learning process aided
          by environmental conditions
          with respect to:

          (1) Temperature?

          (2)  Lighting?

          (3)  Space?

          (4)  Absence of distractions?

     b.   Are the laboratory facilities:    Yes/No Comments

          (1)  Properly arranged?

          (2)  Supportive of skill
               objective accomplishment ?

     c.   Are Electronic classrooms operational?

          (1)  Daily Percentage availability/reliability

2.   PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT SAFETY:        Yes/No Comments

     a.   Are safety precautions:

          (1)  Adequately identified?

          (2)  Prominently displayed?

Yes/No Comments

      (3)  Stressed in instructional
           presentations?

      (4)  Enforced when performing
           tasks?

  b.   Are existing hazards adequately
      identified?

  c.   Is standard safety equipment
      available for use?

3.   SECURITY:

  a.   Are trainees advised of proper
      security measures?

  b.   Is the dissemination of
      classified material or information
      on a strict "need to know" basis?

  c.   Is the use of classified material
      confined to classroom or laboratory?

  d.   Is classified material accurately
      and prominently marked?

  e.   Is access to classroom or
      laboratory controlled during
      classified presentations or
      discussions?

4.  ALLOCATIONS:

  a.   Are trainee-to-instructor ratios considered
  optimum within:

      (1)  Classroom?

      (2)  Laboratory?

  b.   Is classroom-to-laboratory time allocation
      effective?

5.   CRITIQUE SHEETS:

    a.   Are critique sheets used?

    b.   Do responses on critique sheets indicate the trainees have achieved knowledge and skill requirements?