Minutes of August 4, 2020

Meeting convened at 7:00 p.m.

Meeting was attended by Tom Finnegan, Bob Bartlett, Harry Nelson, Jason Bafundi, Wes Staples, and Randall Walter.

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss concerns or ideas relative to the preliminary building design which was presented to the committee at the July 28 meeting. As the ideas were briefly expressed, a list seems best for reporting purposes.

- It is a very modern design which may be harder to sell to the public.
- The budget is higher if a higher gable is used.
- 90% of the committee concerns may be brought about by the computer rendition of the design.
- Siding treatments would make the exterior appear less sleek.
- The building may be too short as drawn. It appears there may be concern regarding door height clearance.
- As windows are added, the cost increases.
- A cost analysis addressing the committee's concerns would be helpful.
- Concern was expressed regarding water on the non-equipment area of the building.
- The two-part roof addresses the available sun, the land available, and the height for equipment.
- The flat roof (over the offices/meeting room/lockers) is the best choice for its stability and structural strength.
- There were questions regarding the esthetics vs the structure of the roof.
- There will be two posts in the engine bay area, in line with the doors.
- The proposed building carries an approximate cost that is higher than the committee was anticipating. Although the figure or 1.5 M was mentioned by the BOS at two town meetings, the committee was trying to stay closer to one million. The explanation given for this was that the building site work will involve high expenses.
- Regarding finances the roof line, siding, and details all depend on using the right materials.
- Solar panels on the roof (the shed roof faces south) or remote with a conduit?
- Will there be sufficient overhang on the roof over the equipment doors in regard to plowing/snow removal?

Concerns were also expressed and discussed regarding light pollution, noise pollution, and drainage. Each topic was considered valid and will be addressed as the planning moves forward.

Meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Rachel Bartlett