

Pamphlets No.30 Constitutional Amendments

[Algernon Sidney wrote in Discourses Concerning Government, Sect. II, Par 13,] 'All human constitutions are subject to corruption and must perish unless they are timely renewed and reduced to their first principles.' Thomas Jefferson: copied into his Commonplace Book

None seem to notice the contradictions inherent in “progressive” logic dating to Woodrow Wilson and his low estimation of a Constitution he believed incapable of keeping pace with the complexities of the modern world; theirs is a “living constitution” capable of rationalizing the murder of innocents in the womb and sexual preferences as civil rights but unwilling to concede the founders could not have anticipated a shadow constituency of 60 million illegal migrants.

Two issues impervious to reason violating the Laws of Nature and a third heretofore without a solution even though reasoned common sense screams out illegal migrants should not have more seats in the House of Representatives than 22 states combined. This writing is meant to take the matter of them and give the matter back to the American people; the salvos from the heavy guns of reason and common sense will effectively clear the ground so as to allow for the foundation necessary to build the bulwarks designed to forever take the matter of them...laying the foundation for the Republic envisioned by Jefferson, Adams and the founders.

Just as congregants can stay in the denominations they are most familiar with while at the same time embracing the overarching Judeo-Christianity HaShem demands, so too Greg Abbott, Charles Murray and Mark Levin should remain Republicans as Democratic-Republicans; Americans to whom I would ask forbearance as their efforts are expanded upon but with an essential difference; rational appeals to reason are appropriate leading up to war but now we are engaged in a holy war, testing whether this nation can long endure without a willingness to give the last full measure of devotion.

The words of Lincoln’s immortal Gettysburg Address are those of a man who could see all the way to singularity and it might be said the words are as profound for what they do not say as they are for they do say; not once is the union mentioned when “...a portion of that field, as a final resting place for those who here gave their lives that that nation might live” was dedicated. Lincoln instead spoke of a great battlefield where “... in a larger sense, we cannot dedicate, we cannot consecrate, we cannot hallow-this ground. The brave men, living and dead, who struggled here, have consecrated it, far above our poor power to add or detract”; brave men on both sides.

Professor of History Wilfred McClay in his essay *'Rediscovering the Wisdom in American History'* captured a moment at the end of the war at Appomattox when memorable words would have gained a tinge of immortality with a touch more introspective humility:

"General Joshua L. Chamberlain of Maine, a hero of Gettysburg, was present at the ceremony. He later wrote of his observations that day, reflecting upon his soldierly respect for the men before him, each passing by and stacking his arms, men who only days before had been his mortal foes: "Before us in proud humiliation stood the embodiment of manhood: men whom neither toils and sufferings, nor the fact of death, nor disaster, nor hopelessness could bend from their resolve; standing before us now, thin, worn, and famished, but erect, and with eyes looking level into ours, waking memories that bound us together as no other bond;—was not such manhood to be welcomed back into a Union so tested and assured? . . . On our part not a sound of trumpet more, nor roll of drum; not a cheer, nor word nor whisper of vain-glorying, nor motion of man standing again at the order, but an awed stillness rather, and breath-holding, as if it were the passing of the dead (*both blue and gray from every battle of this senseless war*)!" (Words in italics added)

Lincoln more than anybody understood the complex nature of a Civil War with no clear lines as to who was right and wrong, what side was good and what side was evil; the Civil War was a failure of reason pitting powerful northerners against powerful southerners for their own reasons and as it is with all wars average Americans paid the price after being enlisted in a struggle for which few had a vested interest. But the Union was saved thanks to Lincoln and those willing to give the last full measure of devotion.

The 1st Civil War is worthy of deep contemplation as this civil war of ideas evolves; this is a war to save the Union and Constitution and in the process Democratic-Republicans will remove the chains willingly donned by far too many; a war requiring a measure of devotion by average Americans unseen since the second world war so "...that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom".

History does indeed rhyme and two pieces of poetry hand written on parchment are the opening words of the final act of a play that has spanned 6000 years; Jefferson's Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States; documents that bear the personal imprimatur of a God of Sinai now actively directing the final scenes of this great production, inviting all who can hear to participate.

"The spirit of 1776 is not dead. It has only been slumbering. The body of the American people is substantially republican. But their virtuous feelings have been played on by some fact with more fiction; they have been the dupes of artful maneuvers, and made for a moment to be willing instruments in forging chains for themselves. But times and truth dissipated the delusion, and opened their eyes."

Thomas Jefferson to Thomas Lomax, 1799

Marxianity for all of its advantages is no match for Scottish Common Sense, the oracle of reason and a Creator close and getting closer every day; a false religion that has every intention of riding the back of the Constitution until the burden becomes too great and it collapses to be replaced by a social justice blank check built on sand rather than the stone tablets of Sinai. The God of Sinai's counteroffer; the Constitution itself as massive retaliation:

28th Amendment; States, House Seats and Apportionment

"I have always been afraid their numbers might lead to confusion. Twelve hundred men in one room are too many."
Thomas Jefferson to Thomas Paine, 1789

The population of the United States is fast approaching 350 million and as it is with the population of the world reaching 8 billion in 2026, for matrices in convergence it is all about the numbers as Judaism knows full well; a Holy Nation for whom numbers and letters speak the same language, requiring the search for the deeper meaning...the *derash*. The number 50 has profound significance; the number 50 is the distinguished number of transcendence confirmed by the key to the matrices that are deaths of Jefferson and Adams on July 4th 1826, exactly 50 years after the signing of the Declaration of Independence.

The number ten is the essential integer of "the language of God" mathematics; there is a reason humans have ten fingers and when multiplied by the transcendent number 50 equals 500. In convergence one extrapolates that which is to determine that which will be or must be; divide 350 million by the current divisor of 700,000 per seat in the House of Representatives and the number is exactly 500. The numbers add up quite well and when tested by Scottish Common Sense the logic test confirms 50 and 500 make uncommon sense; no more than 50 states and no more than 500 seats in the House of Representatives.

The founders had no way of knowing to what extent, in what direction and by what numbers the nation would grow and so allocated seats by the numbers in each state with the caveat every state would have at least one representative to have a voice in the House of Representatives. In the beginning only two states, Delaware and Rhode Island, had one representative and three states, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Massachusetts had more seats than New York.

There were a total of 64 representatives in the first congress and it can be safely assumed the debates which resulted in barely tolerable 10 to 1 seat disparity between Virginia and Delaware/Rhode Island did not foresee, and would not tolerate, the 53 to one advantage in the House of Representatives California now holds over 22 states combined...especially when half the "persons" are illegal migrants thanks to Justice Roberts who blocked asking "are you a citizen?" in the 2020 census i.e. vote fraud writ large.

The 28th Amendment is a necessity after Mayor Muriel Bowser allowed the United States to become the laughing stock of the world when rioting, looting and the destruction of monuments were allowed along with ordering the streets to be named and painted with graffiti openly advocating Black Lives Matter; a neo-Marxist organization intent upon the destruction of the United States. Of late Marxians in the guise of the "loyal opposition" have taken advantage of the specious Black Lives Matter "racial justice movement" to once again push for the district to become a state; passing the D.C. Statehood Act 232-180 on party lines June 26, 2020...so as to add two Marxian, nee Democrat, senators in perpetuity. The District of Columbia was meant to be neutral ground from the beginning and as such the 28th Amendment is designed to *take away the matter of them*.

The 28th Amendment would establish in perpetuity no more than 50 states and no more than 500 seats in the House of Representatives with a minimum of 5 at large seats per state; apportionment of House seats would thereafter be based upon the number of citizens in each state not "people".

The 28th Amendment would repeal the 23rd Amendment, reestablishing Washington DC as a neutral zone administered by congress with the Vice President serving as mayor of the District of Columbia with a ten member city council consisting of five members from the House of Representatives and five from the Senate chosen by lot for one year terms. The name District of Columbia would be preserved in posterity.

One need only look to the history of the District of Columbia to realize every change to the boundaries since the district was established has been political...and exactly the reason why the District of Columbia was intended to be a neutral zone .e.g. since passage of the 23rd Amendment in 1961 only one elector has voted Republican. The 28th Amendment would re-establish the original boundaries in perpetuity per Proverbs 22:28.

"Do not remove an ancient boundary that your forefathers set"

"Citizen of the District of Columbia" would cease to exist; if one chooses to live in a neutral zone one accepts the implications of neutrality. Immediately upon passage all billboard advertising and any partisan displays such as the Black Lives Matter mural and street signs would be barred. The United States is the gem in the crown of western civilization and the time has come to polish the crown.

The National Capital Planning Commission and the National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission under the auspices of the National Park Service would be tasked with transforming Washington DC into the shining city on the hill envisioned by the founders; professional staff as necessary including but not limited to urban designers, architects and historic preservation experts would create a master plan to eliminate high density housing and low end businesses to be replaced by single family housing with an emphasis on historic harmony and parklands.

All subsidized housing would be eliminated with relocation out of the capital subsidized by the federal government in partnership with the states.

The 28th Amendment would repeal the 26th Amendment given the absence of the draft, and the voting age would be restored to 21 with the exception of those in the active duty military; the young have not proven themselves to be trustworthy stewards of liberty and self-governance. The amendment would state clearly voting is more a privileged duty than right and the idea rewarding bad behavior to be “fair” is an insult to those who work hard and respect the founding principles of self-sufficiency and self-governance...an insult that extends to those who gave the last full measure of devotion buried in Arlington, Gettysburg and a thousand other cemeteries both blue and grey.

The 28th Amendment would establish American English as the official language of the United States; official government printing, state and federal, would be restricted to American English only with translations available upon written request on an individual basis.

29th Amendment; Term Limits

Levin concurrence with a slight modification to allow for legislative memory: No person shall serve more than twelve years as a member of the House of Representatives; No person shall serve more than eighteen years as a Senator. If service is divided between the House and the Senate no person shall serve more than eighteen combined years. Upon ratification, any incumbent member of Congress whose term exceeds the eighteen year limit shall complete the current term, but thereafter shall be ineligible for further service as a member of Congress.

Annual congressional salaries would be no more than three times the average median private sector salary as established by the Bureau of Economic Analysis and retirement would require 10 years vesting; deferred until SSA retirement age or in the event of total and permanent physical disability.

Congressional medical plans and survivor benefits would be equivalent to those provided by the DOD and whenever possible provided by the DOD and VA.

30th Amendment; Judicial Supremacy Check

Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall, nominated to the Supreme Court during the halcyon days of the Civil Rights Movement, believed the Constitution “was defective from the start” and he personified an emerging school of legal thought that would dominate the courts for 50 years; “*You do what you think is right and let the law catch up*”; legal realism, defined by Black’s Law Dictionary as “A perspective that legal rules are to benefit the larger society and public policy based on judicial decisions. Neither dogma nor supernatural authority applies. A court is expected to determine ‘legal rights’ and ‘legal duties’.” In simple terms, principle, i.e. dogma, and the “Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God” no longer apply.

The Supreme Court over 50 years proceeded to judicially legislate domestic issues, social mores, in an attempt to homogenize society and culture thus eliminating the states as the laboratory of democracy and islands of conscious; you will accept homosexuality, you will accept gender preference and you will allow the murder of innocents in the womb. In a case of the premise destroying the object the islands of conscious disappeared under the dark cloud of moral equivalence and the laboratories of democracy closed. The islands of conscious must rise again from the sea of homogeneity and the laboratories of democracy reopened, especially as it concerns social issues.

"The best general key for the solution of questions of power between our governments is the fact that 'Every foreign and federal power is given to the Federal Government, and to the States every power purely domestic'" Thomas Jefferson to Robert J. Garnett, 1824

It can be said two constitutions were layered upon the original with the New Deal stretching the necessary and proper clause far beyond the enumerated powers of Article 1 Section 8, then judicially after the Civil Right Act; two unconstitutional layers that must be stripped away to reveal original intent requiring the dismantling of the existing status quo. Democratic-Republicans are under no obligation to stare decisis which erred in the past with Dred Scott and resulted in inevitable war.

Thomas Jefferson is both key and measure as it concerns Republican governance and as such the time has come to set aside the idea slavery was the greatest failure of the Constitution; the 1857 Dred Scott decision probably more than any one event created a dividing line that within four years would be blue on one side, grey on the other and a river of blood between them; with Dred Scott any chance for a peaceful elimination of the peculiar institution that was slavery gave way to two sides more entrenched than ever in their positions...especially the empowered and validated slave owners.

The Dred Scott decision manifested two of Thomas Jefferson's fears as to a judiciary untethered from checks and balances; there was a failure of logic, reason and principle by a Supreme Court that had no checks on the personal beliefs and proclivities of the Justices'. The decision would stand for ten years until passage of the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments at the cost of hundreds of thousands of lives.

Included in Levin's *The Liberty Amendments* and Abbott's *Restoring the Rule of Law* Jefferson's assessment of the constitutional implications of judicial supremacy after Marbury v. Madison appears:

"The Constitution... meant that its coordinate branches should be checks on each other. But the opinion which gives to the judges the right to decide what laws are constitutional and what not, not only for themselves in their own sphere of action but for the Legislature and Executive also in their spheres, would make the Judiciary a despotic branch."

Thomas Jefferson to Abigail Adams, 1804

"But the Chief Justice says, 'There must be an ultimate arbiter somewhere.' True, there must; but does that prove it is either party? The ultimate arbiter is the people of the Union, assembled by their deputies in convention, at the call of Congress or of two thirds of the States. Let them decide to which they mean to give an authority claimed by two of their organs. And it has been the peculiar wisdom and felicity of our Constitution, to have provided this peaceable appeal where that of other nations is at once to force."

Thomas Jefferson to William Johnson, 1823

Both Levin and Abbott provide worthy judicial supremacy amendment proposals which for all intents and purposes can be fused into a simple common sense yet comprehensive proposal to take the matter of them yet in accord with Thomas Jefferson. As with the 28th Amendment proposal the 30th will provide the certainty and stability the Constitution intended while also continuing the essential process of restoring to the states the intended check of federal power; prompting Americans to become more involved in the local and state political process than ever before.

The 30th Amendment would set the number of Supreme Court Justices at 9 with a maximum term of 25 years. Any ten states could join and petition a process of review by all 50 states; review of any District, Appellate or Supreme Court ruling. A Petition for Review would be submitted to each of the state legislatures without comment where a vote to affirm, reverse, vacate and/or remand must be taken within 30 days. But rather than the 33 states needed to prevail just 30 reflecting a margin exceeding that of a 5-4 decision in the Supreme Court; 55% in the SCOTUS versus 60% for states.

31st Amendment; Repeal of 17th Amendment

"Remember, democracy never lasts long. It soon wastes, exhausts, and murders itself. There never was a democracy yet that did not commit suicide."

John Adams

Of all the Constitutional distortions none have been more destructive than the 17th Amendment marking the start of the 100 year decline into a postmodernist, post constitutionalist, post republican, post God America. The amendment upset the most delicately balanced form of governance in history originally intended to create two chambers representing two constituencies to serve as a check one upon the other; the House of Representatives as the people's house and a Senate chosen by state legislators.

In 1913 the American people were convinced by "progressives" the delicate balance of the Constitution should be sold to purchase more democracy; an expenditure that, like the national debt, was made at the expense of posterity. Pointing to an entire generation incapable of passing a basic civics test is ample evidence the democracy purchased by the 17th Amendment was not progress at all as a wise Winston Churchill well understood:

While it can be said John Adams was in the forefront incorporating the separation of powers into the structure of government it was Baron de Montesquieu who decades before advocated the principle of separation of powers; opining that the preservation of liberty would require a two chamber legislature chosen by different means representing different constituencies to check each other. Common sense and reason, not a degree in political science, affirm the proposition; after the 17th Amendment the states, which have different sovereign priorities than the people, were divested of the ability to act as a check upon the often unreasonable passions and demands of the people, the judiciary and executive.

From the higher vantage it can be said the 17th Amendment lent to creating the mob the founders to a man detested and the first step in creating the 'dictatorship of the proletariat'; the amendment was a classic case of not letting a crisis go to waste. There were a number of scandals, most famously that of Montana Senator William Clark, wherein the wealthy and corporate interests had bribed state legislators to purchase Senate seats; back room deals the god of the gaps technology would render extremely difficult to hide today; hiding no longer possible with passage of the Open Books Act.

Americans have a serious problem that goes far beyond the reasoned and rational arguments for repeal made by Mark Levin and many other constitutional scholars; the matrices evidence unequivocally the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and the United States in its entirety represent a third and last direct intervention by the God of Sinai and was intended for all mankind.

Repeal of the 17th Amendment is not optional; Americans will decide which side of the end of history they are standing on by this measure alone, but what appears to be a threat has a deeper meaning. There was a time when "All politics are local" was true and parents would attend school board meetings as if the future of their children depended upon what was being taught and by whom. Today, all politics are national and bureaucrats in Washington DC are deciding what children are taught; the curriculum and public school culture is John Dewey neo-Marxist "progressivism" en extremis.

"Ours may become the first civilization destroyed, not by the power of enemies, but by the ignorance of our teachers and the dangerous nonsense they are teaching our children. In an age of artificial intelligence, they are creating artificial stupidity." Thomas Sowell

Very simply, the chain was broken; where once a school board member would be elected to town council, the county seat, then state assembly, then state senate and then to national office the political establishment now focus almost exclusively on candidates at the national level...while the minions of Marxianity focus on indoctrination the young while parents stay home thinking all the decisions emanate from Washington DC. Repealing the 17th Amendment will reverse the sedition and politics will once again be local; if the state legislature is choosing the US Senator, choosing the state legislature will once again gain importance and the debates will be actually matter as to who sits in state legislatures.

The United States is a republic not a democracy, and if Americans want to preclude the possibility of a Democratic People's Republic of America a bit less democracy and lot more republic is a necessary concession. To concede otherwise is place the future in the hands of generations that cannot name the two chambers of congress; generations demonstrably receptive to and dependent upon the Leviathan created by Marxians that will have to die so the Constitution will survive.

The delicate equilibrium of the Constitution reflects the "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God" and Jefferson understood the implications of imbalance in nature and the Constitution:

"I do not think it for the interest of the General Government itself, and still less of the Union at large, that the State governments should be so little respected as they have been. However, I dare say that in time all these as well as their central government, like the planets revolving round their common sun, acting and acted upon according to their respective weights and distances, will produce that beautiful equilibrium on which our Constitution is founded, and which I believe it will exhibit to the world in a degree of perfection, unexampled but in the planetary system itself. The enlightened statesman, therefore, will endeavor to preserve the weight and influence of every part, as too much given any member of it would destroy the general equilibrium." Thomas Jefferson to Peregrine Fitzhugh, 1798

32nd Amendment; Legislation, not Chevron Deference

Article I Section I of the Constitution cannot be clearer: All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

It is virtually impossible for the average American to make it through the day without violating one or more federal laws a prosecutor can pursue at his or her discretion. It is also virtually impossible to engage in nature's economy without violating one or more federal regulations an unelected lawyer within an administrative agency wrote and that and administrative judge with the same agency can enforce with impunity with little or no recourse to appeal; the justice system defers to the agency with the deference afforded by *Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837 (1984).

Thomas Jefferson and every one of the founders would have been appalled with the creation of a virtual 4th branch of government unaccountable to the people, but more than that, to a man they would have been furious with Americans unwilling to face the challenge, choosing instead to forfeit their liberty for a degree of security Benjamin Franklin would have spat upon. Most legislation is written in broad terms then sent to agency lawyers to fill in the gaps; regulations, rules and sanctions are the most dangerous god of the gaps of polytheistic Marxian theology. The matter must be taken of them.

The 32nd Amendment would 1) limit the length of legislation to 10,000 words or less subject to a twice passed, thereafter confirmed, process; first passed by Congress and submitted to agencies to produce the administrative laws and regulations after public comment to be included as an amendment to the legislation subject to final vote in its entirety; all legislation submitted to the President for signature must include the legislation and the administrative law amendment as a complete package. Thereafter changes to the regulations would be subject to passage by congress but not requiring the president's signature.

The larger issue is that of a monolithic central government stretching the meaning of the "necessary and proper" and "supremacy" clauses far beyond the balance intended by the founders; both have become a noose around the neck of the states divesting the nation of the critical laboratories of democracy extolled by Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis and the matter must be taken from them. Unfortunately, the average American has no idea what the "necessary and proper" and "supremacy" clauses are thus it is incumbent upon the emissary to step down to ground level to more familiar territory to enlighten; to provide an easy to understand analogy.

Marxians tout diversity at every opportunity which in theory would welcome diverse ideas but the reality is quite different and can be evidenced far more effectively by a simple question; other than geography what is the difference between California and Colorado? Very little in fact and if hard pressed many would probably say the two things that come to mind as it concerns the difference between states...sales taxes, yes or no, and the perennial question as to whether it is lawful to turn right on a red light. The real question is where in the Constitution traffic lights are mentioned?

At any time congress could determine the confusion is a problem and find it 'necessary and proper' to enact a law requiring no right turn on red and force it upon states citing the supremacy clause; a clause that has been expanded far beyond the rightful place of the Constitution as the supreme law of the land so as to empower the federal government to compel enforcement simply because the laws as regulations written by an unelected bureaucrat fall under the auspices of Chevron deference.

There is absolutely no time to waste after the puppet masters pulling Biden's strings are staffing key agencies with Marxian activists with ties to activist organizations quite adept at using the 'sue and settle' strategy perfected under Obama to both enact regulations and guarantee federal funding for Marxian activists. Search for "Obama consent decree extortion" for examples.

Think smoke filled back room politics; a special-interest wants a rule or rule change but rather than relying on process sues an agency, enters into settlement negotiations (preferably with an ally) and a settlement is reached which includes the rule change and a treasury check...all of which is rubber stamped in the form of a "consent decree" by an amenable court.

To make matters worse, the more complicated and cumbersome the administrative state the more power, prestige and privilege is extended to the bureaucrats protected by unions and civil service regulation they themselves authored. That too will end with the rise of Democratic-Republicans.

"[Some] seem to think that [civilization's] advance has brought on too complicated a state of society, and that we should gain in happiness by treading back our steps a little way. I think, myself, that we have more machinery of government than is necessary, too many parasites living on the labor of the industrious. I believe it might be much simplified to the relief of those who maintain it." Thomas Jefferson to William Ludlow, 1824

The aforementioned indictment is not hyperbole and the choices to be made will depend upon the willingness to forego a one hour dose of PCP one or two days a week so as to read and consider Mark Levin's *The Liberty Amendments* and Greg Abbott's *Restoring the Rule of Law* to provide legal and historical foundation for the inevitable confrontation.

33rd Amendment; Reopening the Laboratories of Democracy

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis in *New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann* opined a "state may, if its citizens choose, serve as a laboratory; and try novel social and economic experiments without risk to the rest of the country"; the origin of the term "laboratories of democracy". The states once served as islands of common values where likeminded Americans could congregate and create societies and distinct cultures within the greater American society and culture...until the Supreme Court took it upon itself to decide a farmer growing wheat to feed his own cattle was interstate commerce in *Wickard v. Filburn*, 317 US. 111 (1942) thus codifying the far reaching power of the Leviathan created by FDR.

In 1941, Ohio farmer Roscoe Filburn planted 23 acres of wheat in violation of the Agricultural Adjustment Act designed to stabilize crop prices during the Great Depression; the act limited farmers to 11 acres which speaks volumes as to the stupidity of central planning; an act of stupidity rationalized by the 10th Amendment Commerce Clause which gave Congress the power to "regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian tribes". Filburn argued the wheat was not intended for sale but was grown to feed the cattle on his dairy farm and for personal consumption and would not be leaving his farm let alone crossing state lines.

The convoluted logic of the court held that Filburn *by not engaging in commerce was in fact engaging in commerce* in that he would not be buying wheat to feed his cattle and if other farmers did the same it would affect the price of wheat nationally ergo growing the wheat was to engage in interstate commerce.

One might argue with confidence Wickard v. Filburn provided the legal foundation for an ideology that would later provide the rational for the EPA rules designed to govern the Waters of the United States which had puddles on farms miles from any "navigable waters" subject to federal regulation i.e. all things by extension which when taken to the logical, or illogical, extreme would extend to melting glaciers in the artic due to climate change.

It is the same logic used to rationalize provisions of the Affordable Care Act wherein the circular ideological logic was used to justify forcing Americans to purchase medical coverage or pay a penalty tax. The logic is the cornerstone of the regulatory state and as such the matter must be taken from them.

"The power given to Congress by the Constitution does not extend to the internal regulation of the commerce of a State (that is to say, of the commerce between citizen and citizen) which remain exclusively with its own legislature, but to its external commerce only; that is to say, its commerce with another State, or with foreign nations, or with the Indian tribes."

Thomas Jefferson, 1791

The emissary has repeatedly referred to Mark Levin's *The Liberty Amendments* and Greg Abbott's *Restoring the Rule of Law* for a reason; the writings present comprehensive arguments designed to justify bold initiatives to salvage the American constitutional republic, but they also represent the pulse of Americans clinging to their guns, bibles and Constitution ...and the death knell of the republican establishment.

The arguments are valid and the writings well-reasoned appeals but the republican establishment simply ignored them; lack of vision, principle and courage within the ranks of ostensible republicans has left a void forcing Jeffersonian Democratic-Republicans to step into the breach. The Trump election was an act of grace to purchase Americans time to consider the implications of a fully engaged God of Sinai, Yeshua and 1776; rise from comfortable complacency or fall on the wrong side of the end of history.

Both Levin and Abbott seek to rein in the most abused amendment; the 10th Amendment Commerce Clause which has served as a vehicle to deny states their sovereignty by way of the "necessary and proper" and "supremacy" clauses and noted previously.

It is all in the numbers and if one does the math the abuse of an amendment included in the Constitution to mollify the Anti-Federalist's justified fear of federal encroachment upon states' rights reveal a record even more obvious than weaponized national injunctions; *Restoring the Rule of Law* notes the number of statutes passed by Congress under the Supremacy Clause preempting state law between 1790 and 1900 was a mere 30...but by 2011 there were 681. While I concur with Levin and Abbott in the main as to ending the abuse of the 10th Amendment in the future, there is a way to correct the past without violating ex post facto prohibitions.

The 33rd Amendment would forbid federal regulation of commerce entirely within a state and "would allow States and state officials to take legal action against federal officials and/or the United States for exceeding enumerated powers" and "allow a 30 state majority of the States to override a federal law or regulation" while also addressing the egregious abuses of the past; a 30 state majority of the states would be authorized to draft a separate opinion in re cases dating to the beginning of the republic having the weight of case law to be included in a reference mirroring in many ways the American Law Reports; the case law would remain intact but the separate state majority opinions would serve as guidance in future cases given the power to override and the affirm, reverse or remand of the 30th Amendment.

The next amendment when ratified will become the greatest bulwark against tyranny; purchasing votes has always been an issue in that as Yeshua said 'the poor will always be with you' but when designed to enslave over half of all Americans to advance an agenda seeking the destruction of the Constitution the matter must be taken of them before the people and the states are rendered inconsequential.

34th Amendment; General Welfare and Balanced Budget

"Aided by a little sophistry on the words "general welfare," [the federal branch claim] a right to do not only the acts to effect that which are specifically enumerated and permitted, but whatsoever they shall think or pretend will be for the general welfare."

Thomas Jefferson to William Giles, 1825

There is a vast difference between general and specific welfare; general welfare is constitutional and must benefit every citizen equally while specific welfare benefits the status society. It is not difficult to understand the difference and He Who Is insists you learn. Only self-absorbed narcissists would burden generations with \$31T in debt.

When Marxian presidential candidate Andrew Yang recently suggested a guaranteed income, Universal Basic Income (UBI), half of America was aghast, the other half was cheering then the other 20 Marxian candidates either joined or offered their own version in various permutations...but UBI as an idea whose time has come has backers far more reputable than those simply trying to buy votes.

Charles Murray actually wrote in a 2016 Wall Street Journal article “I think that a UBI is our only hope to deal with a coming labor market unlike any in human history and that it represents our best hope to revitalize American civil society” reflecting legitimate concerns shared by Elon Musk and Mark Zuckerberg who “argue that it would help workers impacted by job automation and provide Americans with a safety net” according to Meera Jagannathan writing for *Market Watch*.

There is some merit to Andrew Yang’s UBI but his program aligns with a Marxian agenda and has nothing to do with the more valid reasons of Murray, Musk and Zuckerberg. A cursory assessment of federal welfare programs supports a conclusion most Americans rarely consider; *one third of the total costs of welfare programs are wasted on administration and middlemen*. There are advocates for direct cash payments to welfare recipients citing one of the strengths of nature’s economy; individuals know best how to apply scarce resources to needs and as such direct payments would eliminate the need for administration i.e. an over \$100B savings.

However, the issue is not only one of savings but rather common sense; close to 90 overlapping federal welfare programs represent the largest budget item now approach \$1.3T; means tested programs rather than programs designed to lift people from situations caused by destructive behaviors has created an entire class of people who vote rather than work for a living and as such are dependent upon, slaves to, Marxian governance. In almost every instance there is no requirement for improved behavior.

The astronomical amount does not include “entitlement” programs requiring contributions, e.g. Social Security and Medicare, fast approaching insolvency and subject to Marxian definitions of “fair” and cold political calculation; interest payments on the national debt will collide with entitlements at which point there will no longer be discretionary spending leaving few options...by design.

Inevitably the retirement age will be pushed higher while benefit amounts for people who have paid in for decades will be pushed lower so as to continue welfare to Marxian constituencies by distorting the Article I, Section 8 General Welfare Clause to create a social justice blank check. Importantly, the primary responsibility of the federal government, defense, would necessarily be slashed thus exposing the United States to the looming threat of a China with its own definition of manifest destiny.

Americans are familiar with the 16th Amendment and income taxes but few are aware the Constitution did not prohibit income taxes but rather mandated the proceeds be apportioned to the states based upon population; the 16th Amendment merely allowed Congress to apportion as they saw fit under the guise of General Welfare but was still

restrained to align spending with the enumerated powers of Section 8. The restraint ended with two New Deal Supreme Court cases that among others prompted the court packing scheme by FDR and the "Switch in time that saved nine".

United States v. Butler in 1936 held that the power of Congress to levy taxes is not limited to the level necessary to fund the powers enumerated in Article I but rather had broad authority to tax and spend as necessary for their definition of "general welfare".

Helvering v. Davis, 1937, held the Social Security Act did not contravene the 10th Amendment and was constitutional exercise of Congress' power to fund programs for the general welfare of the United States, although the ruling did distinguish between the common or general benefit and those which were local; specific welfare. Jefferson would have been sorely disappointed...but proved prophetic once again.

"I hope our courts will never countenance the sweeping pretensions which have been set up under the words 'general defence and public welfare.' These words only express the motives which induced the Convention to give to the ordinary legislature certain specified powers which they enumerate, and which they thought might be trusted to the ordinary legislature, and not to give them the unspecified also; or why any specification? They could not be so awkward in language as to mean, as we say, 'all and some.' And should this construction prevail, all limits to the federal government are done away."

Thomas Jefferson to Spencer Roane, 1815

The basic measure for federal and state welfare program is means testing focused upon the symptoms which aligns perfectly with the neo-Marxist strategy of Planned Chaos; create an ailment in society then add perverse incentives which create layers of symptoms requiring government treatment that do nothing to effect a cure; the patient, American society and culture, becomes sicker and sicker with each perverse incentive, e.g. welfare programs that penalize marriage.

The Heritage Foundation's *Understanding the Hidden \$1.1 Trillion Welfare System and How to Reform It* authored by Robert Rector and Vijay Menon should be enough evidence for a reasoned American to realize welfare programs are designed to be an open and festering wound to drain the lifeblood of the United States with tens of millions of "zombie parasites".

--The financial cost of the War on Poverty has been enormous. Between 1965 and 2016, total means-tested welfare spending by federal and state governments cost taxpayers roughly \$27.8 trillion in constant FY 2016 dollars. By contrast, the cost to the U.S. government for all military wars from the American Revolution to the present is \$8 trillion in FY 2016 dollars.

In other words, the War on Poverty has cost the taxpayers nearly three and a half times the combined cost of all military wars in U.S. history. The most expensive military war in U.S. history was World War II, but its cost was only \$4.3 trillion in FY 2016 dollars: about one sixth of the ongoing cost of the War on Poverty.--

The 34th Amendment would repeal the apportionment section of the 16th Amendment and mandate a four year drawdown of every welfare program administered by the federal government in favor of block grant apportionment to each state based upon the number of citizens in each state not "people"; every federally administered welfare program benefiting individuals including the ACA which will pass into history if this writing has the intended effect. The federal government would once again be confined to the enumerated powers of Article I, Section 8.

"...the support of the state governments in all their rights, as the most competent administrations for our domestic concerns, and the surest bulwarks against anti-republican tendencies..."
Thomas Jefferson: 1st Inaugural Address, 1801

All federal programs benefiting specific constituencies would be unconstitutional with the exception of the elderly and physically disabled as would benefit by extension i.e. to help one group is to benefit all by extension; benefit by extension would be barred thus returning the definition of general welfare to one the founders would recognize. Low Income citizens are a well-defined constituency and the states working with counties and cities are better able to effect changes to mitigate low income issues originating locally and at the state level; heretofore federal programs have served to insulate states and cities from self-inflicted wounds that have created hundreds of thousands of homeless in decaying cities.

A four year welfare state drawdown would begin with ratification; the first year would leave programs in place while planning and infrastructure is developed. The second year would see the first block grants with federal agencies drawing down. The third year would see agency closures and the fourth fiscal year a balanced budget would be required based upon a draft budget completed six months prior to the start of the fiscal year; omnibus bills would forever barred as would borrowing except in the case of a declared war or emergency requiring a two thirds vote in both chambers of Congress.

The third year would require a draft budget to be completed on May 1st and signed by the start of the fiscal year on October 1st; the budget would determine the tax rate for the next year which would not exceed 15% for individuals and 20% for corporations. Failure to pass the budget would require both chambers of Congress to stay in session and every Senator and Representative to stay in Washington DC until the budget is passed. The matter must be taken of them.

Balanced budgets would be required thereafter and it can be safely assumed that between the efficiency of local block grant management and increased productivity resulting from work requirements the cost of welfare will be cut in half...and \$500-600B per year would be applied to the national debt.

"[With the decline of society] begins, indeed, the bellum omnium in omnia [war of all against all], which some philosophers observing to be so general in this world, have mistaken it for the natural, instead of the abusive state of man. And the fore horse of this frightful team is public debt. Taxation follows that, and in its train wretchedness and oppression.

Thomas Jefferson to Samuel Kercheval 1816

When one comes to accept Thomas Jefferson was indeed a prophet of governance then the machinations of Marxists like Lenin become understandable: *"The way to crush the bourgeoisie is to grind them between the millstones of taxation and inflation."*

This writing will provide for an economy of the future Murray, Zuckerberg and Musk might well find simple common sense, but until then common sense must be imposed on government spending...and the power of preferred constituencies such as public sector unions to write their own checks.

35th Amendment; Public Sector Unions/Civil Service Reform

"All Government employees should realize that the process of collective bargaining, as usually understood, cannot be transplanted into the public service. It has its distinct and insurmountable limitations when applied to public personnel management. The very nature and purposes of Government make it impossible for administrative officials to represent fully or to bind the employer in mutual discussions with Government employee organizations. The employer is the whole people, who speak by means of laws enacted by their representatives in Congress. Accordingly, administrative officials and employees alike are governed and guided, and in many instances restricted, by laws which establish policies, procedures, or rules in personnel matters."

FDR to Luther C. Steward, National Federation of Federal Employees, 1937

It should not be necessary to go into the Marxist focus on unions, the history of violent Marxist tactics or the politics by other means nature of public sector unions. The National Education Association is the largest labor union/special interest group in the United States followed by the American Federation of Teachers; two admittedly Marxian unions largely responsible for removing civics from K-12 classrooms, championing deconstructionist American history and now Critical Race Theory. True to the teaching of John Dewey the Teachers Unions are committed to the methods of Lenin's Soviet Union: "Give me just one generation of youth, and I'll transform the whole world."

In 1989 American 15 year old public school students ranked in the top 5-10 in reading, math and science among the industrialized nations but by 2013 they were 20th in reading, 32nd in math and 24th in science and today the majority 15 to 30 year olds cannot name the three branches of government let alone define the meaning of separation of powers. The Department of Education no longer requires basic civics; just 15% of 8th graders scored "proficient" in U.S. history.

Public education focuses upon any and every deviation from the founding principles and ideals to establish false comparisons; exaggerating the deviation while barely touching upon the origins of said principles and ideals; intentionally diminishing the overwhelming degree of honored adherence in the past which changed the world for the better.

Most recently United Teachers Los Angeles dispensed with any pretense of neutrality; jumping on the "anti-racism" movement the union demanded police defunding, implementation of 'Medicare for all', wealth taxes to benefit minority communities as a condition of reopening schools after the Covid closures because "safety has to be the priority" ...while blasting charter schools as a "drain" on district school resources.

Janus v. AFSCME, 2018, was a case of "no taxation without representation" pitting teachers unions against members not inclined to support the Marxian political causes unions for decades; allowing workers to avoid compelled political donations...now circumvented by NEA classification of explicitly partisan donations as "national partnership" grants. Since 1937 the words of "liberal" labor icon FDR should have precluded public sector unions given the clarity of the irrefutable logic which warns against wage negotiations between donors and politicians; public sector unions represent the largest special interest group and the most reliable Democrat voting bloc after dependent blacks.

The political establishment is unwilling to right a wrong that has resulted in astronomical unfunded liabilities and incompetent union workers that cannot be fired; an alliance that makes the words "government service" a mockery and as such the matter must be taken from them.

The 35th Amendment would prohibit public sector unions and reform the civil service system and pay scales; civil service would be brought into alignment with corporate human resources best practices and compensation would be aligned with that of private sector workers according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics; federal employees would be subject to well defined performance standards common in the private sector; "bonuses" would require either reducing costs or extraordinary performance with cost reductions a priority and all bonuses would be public record. Missing 45 days of work without penalty will become a thing of the past.

A completely different culture is necessary and "public service" will once again have meaning. Those who inhabit the halls of the federal administrative bureaucracy have for decades been immune to the imperatives of nature's economy and tend to make decisions designed to minimize risk while at the same time enhancing their power, prestige and privilege.

Extraordinary performance and reduced cost are inherent features of nature's economy where innovation, creation and the opportunity for a return on investment is critical to the mindset...a must be demanded as a condition of federal employment.

"In a virtuous government... public offices are what they should be: burthens to those appointed to them, which it would be wrong to decline, though foreseen to bring with them intense labor and great private loss." Thomas Jefferson to Richard Henry Lee, 1779

36th Amendment; Arranging the Meeting...the Death Penalty

"Mercy to the guilty is cruelty to the innocent."

Adam Smith

The most prevalent misconception surrounding the death penalty is somewhat analogous to those that surround the 2nd Amendment; Americans do not need "assault weapons" to hunt or protect their homes according to Marxians and the death penalty fails to deter murder. Both misconceptions do nothing to lend to understanding but do advance entirely intellectualized agendas appealing to emotion i.e. if there were no guns there would be no mass murders and the death penalty is state sanctioned murder with no societal benefit. Both conclusions are intellectualizations and fundamentally wrong.

The 2nd Amendment is unique and fully intended to provide a last line of defense between tyranny and the American people; "weapons of war/assault weapons" are nothing more than semi-automatic rifles common since the early 20th century and by definition equal to the musket of 1776. The rash of mass shootings are a symptom of a society detached from the fear of a God far more creative than simple death penalties; a last bullet to the head it not the end but the beginning...with as much time as is necessary to experience the pain inflicted upon others a hundred fold.

The debate as to the level of deterrence afforded by the death penalty is less about fear of death than manner of death; whether a bullet to the head at the crime scene or laying on a gurney and being put to permanent sleep, neither compare to being drawn and quartered. A debate about method and deterrence would be different if the method of death was the same as inflicted upon the victim, but doing so *would be* state sponsored murder.

In the movie "Man on Fire" Denzel Washington plays the part of 'Creasy'; a man seeking redemption by avenging an innocent child who taught him life was worth living...when lived to provide hope and meaning one to the other. As Creasy prepared to fire an RPG from an elderly couple's apartment the old man says "In the church, they say to forgive" to which he replies "Forgiveness is between them and God, my job is to arrange the meeting" and the 36th Amendment is all about arranging the meeting.

Murder is a violation of the 6th Commandment; there are 39 instances in the Torah where offenses warrant the death penalty and although inflicting the punishment was actually rare the penalty served a purpose; the Holy Nation was being disciplined, successfully, to serve as worthy guardians of Sinai Torah. The God of Sinai mandated death penalty as a critical aspect of a moral and just society; a penalty emphasizing the guilty have not only forfeit their life but the ability to make amends before facing an eternity of endlessness at the hands of an extant God of Sinai i.e. real deterrence.

The death penalty serves a purpose equally as important as deterrence; someone who murders forfeits the right to walk among the living, even in prison, leaving families, friends and society to suffer under the weight of an injustice that violates one of the most fundamental laws of nature. The thought of the murderer enjoying a single smirk or joke weighs upon those who know in their heart of hearts meeting HaShem should have been arranged; arrangements impeded by arrogant human intellect that presumes to a higher morality per Alinsky who taught Marxism cloaked in morality is most effective. The God of Sinai makes the distinction clear in Proverbs 17:15: *"He who vindicates the wicked and condemns the righteous-both are an abomination to the Lord"*

The issue is less about deterrence than one of punishment and closure for the family of the victims and society. Cloaked in Christian morality the likes of Richard Allen Davis, murderer of 12 year old Polly Klass, has been in prison for almost 30 years and still entitled to as many appeals as those who would intentionally kill the soul of American society can write. Now Oprah Winfrey is leading a clemency effort to benefit Rodney Reed who raped and murdered a 19 year old weeks before her wedding...and again HaShem has made His position clear:

"It is not good to be partial to the wicked, to subvert the righteous in judgment"
Proverbs 18:5

A perceptive individual will have come to the conclusion the same strategy is being inflicted upon American society as whole; planned chaos where there are no solutions and the anxiety is increased every day with absurdities such as gender identity and miniature horses as service animals allowed on commercial flights suggesting all should once again heed Voltaire's *"Those who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities."*

Americans have lost their Judeo-Christian foundations and the attendant moral certainty; lacking the moral foundation needed for making judgments allows for the immoral continuity of an ideology that can on one hand justify murdering a child in the womb while also justifying withholding the death penalty from someone who murders or sexually abuses a child. Even at the most cynical level the housing cost of California death row prisoners every year alone could provide 250 single family homes for children who otherwise would not have a home; social stability subsequent to the death penalty.

From the higher vantage blocking the death penalty is actually a missed opportunity to deter; when one speaks of the death penalty henceforth one can simply say they are arranging the meeting; a meeting with the Creator of a binary existence capable of condemning murders to a 'Groundhog Day' existence to personally experience every murder committed and the pain it caused endlessly. For those who are not deterred by the fear of God there is the gallows; Edmund Burke in his *Reflections* concluded that those who exhibit a blatant disregard for basic human moral obligations can only be governed by the gallows.

It is utterly incongruous and hypocritical an ideology responsible for the deaths of over 100 million people would label the death penalty inhumane until one realizes Planned Chaos is meant to inflict pain. The death penalty as it is not only fails to deter but burdens the families of the victims and society; a long term and continuing burden made clear by Ecclesiastes 8:11: *"Because the sentence of the deed of evil is not executed swiftly; therefore, the heart of the children of men is encouraged to do evil."*

The 36th Amendment would mandate the death penalty for those convicted of 1st degree murder and/or sexual abuse of children under age 12 involving penetration and/or physical assault that results in great or permanent bodily harm to a child. The amendment would eliminate the insanity and diminished capacity defenses for said offenses and allow for no more than two appeals within a one year period then death by hanging within 365 days of conviction.

37th Amendment; Abortion...Never Again

"Moral duties [are] as obligatory on nations as on individuals" Thomas Jefferson, 1808

Marxians insist slavery is an indelible sin requiring never ending contrition but the mathematics suggest otherwise; HaShem was preparing a last chosen people for a commission that will prepare the world for 2026...the African Exodus. From the higher vantage, where events over expanses of time gain context, the machinations of the evil that is intellectually arrogant Marxianity are clear; since 1965 a dysfunctional and dependent subculture was created and tens of millions of black children have been murdered in the womb rendering a chosen people ill prepared for a destiny they will have to face one way or the other. For those blacks with abortions in the past who embrace the African Exodus it can be expected a just God would extend a degree of forgiveness given the trap set by evil incarnate to hinder their destiny.

For those willing to murder a child in the womb as a matter of convenient birth control after the fact up to and including the 9th month there is only the singular opportunity offered by Yeshua's sacrifice fully understood; the mikveh and resolving to adhere to the terms of peace offered by HaShem.

Abortion, not slavery, is the greatest offense against God hanging over a nation whose founding documents bear His personal imprimatur; an offense that cannot continue. The amendment defined herein will be difficult for many Christian absolutists to understand until they accept their Judaic roots; a time for all things.

"The "right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness" begins with "life", and "life" begins at conception"
A.E. Samaan

All life begins with conception but conception is not the merging of sperm and egg; conception begins when an embryo successfully attaches to the uterine wall and connects with the mother in a way that is yet to be understood while also connecting with our Creator's mainframe at which time life begins...a relatively rare event given the number of embryos that are rejected by nature for one reason or another.

The distinction was necessary so as to end the debates about embryonic research and intrauterine devices (IUD), neither of which destroys the conceived. Climb the mountain of ignorance by fusing history, science, logic and reason and consider an excerpt from the Convergence Matrix:

Only human intellectual hubris would suggest human technology exceeds the ability of a living Creator. Human computer technology has the hardware, operating systems, programs, file saving, the "cloud" and wireless connectivity...all governed by security measures and access limitations based upon conceptual keys, passwords and IP addresses. The soul stays with us as long as the body housing the soul is alive and transmitting but once the housing stops functioning in death the soul ceases to transmit but is by no means dead; the soul is logically, much to the chagrin of those who like to taunt the "Sky Daddy", stored in the spiritual "cloud".

To understand the functional nature of the soul another analog to human technology exists in the Mars rover appropriately named Spirit; the now silent "dead" rover had both serial number and encrypted communications address and as it explored the Martian landscape sent data back to a mainframe computer which stored the data...but what happened when the Spirit stopped broadcasting after the batteries died? It is the data which represents the Spirit; one can build another rover from the plans and download the data from the mainframe; the Spirit would be resurrected in a new body. Human beings have always had difficulty with that which is seen and that which is hidden.

Science seeks to understand how our binary existence works while technology actually comes very close to touching upon how existence is woven together; the weave of existence. The “mainframe” reference is nothing more than a metaphor for what logic and reason suggests; the weave, however constructed, has the capacity to store all information hence the cloud analogy...a concept intended to provide a degree of comfort Judaism will not need but Christians will have to come to accept as it concerns the 37th Amendment.

The 37th Amendment would define conception as the moment an embryo successfully attaches to the womb of the mother at which time the separate individual human being is entitled to constitutional protection; the amendment would prohibit interfering with the growth and nurturing of the unborn child in any way. Any offense against the mother that directly impacts the child, e.g. murder and physical assault, resulting in criminal charges would list both the mother and the unborn child as victims.

Abortions with the exception of reported rape, incest and physical, not mental, threat to the mother’s life would be prohibited. The federal government would be required to maintain a registry of approved adoptive families to raise unwanted children; families that would be afforded a monthly government stipend and tax benefits crafted as a reward for saving a child. Finally, all women over the age of 16 would be entitled to federally funded contraception; five year subdermal implants that for those under age 18 would require parental consent.

Christians who might rail at the rape and incest exception will need to align their mind with that of a just God and the deep faith and wisdom of Judaism; the offender must bear the burden not the victim. All can be assured that like every other child tragically lost, those conceived in the course of rape and incest and then lost are in the hands of a just and loving Creator...with no intention of allowing the guilty to go unpunished.

“Vengeance is poised with Me, and it will pay at the time their foot stumbles. For the appointed day of their reckoning is near, and what is destined for them hastens.”

Deuteronomy 32:35

38th Amendment; Plenary Emergency Powers

The Covid-19 pandemic has given Americans a taste of what tyranny looks like. It has morphed from millions of American dead to a very natural ‘culling of the herd’ virus virtually harmless to those under age 50 but potentially deadly to the elderly and those with what are largely lifestyle choice comorbidities such as diabetes and obesity. The virus has also morphed from a pandemic to a *plandemic* wherein initial panic based upon the “scientific”

" models led to draconian and unconstitutional measures so as to "flatten the curve" and avoid overloading the health care system to an obvious Marxian ploy to insure the economy did not recover before the 2020 election.

Once called one of the most influential scientists in the world before stepping on Covid plandemic toes, John Ioannidis, professor of medicine, epidemiology, and population health at Stanford University and others, including Stanford fellows Scott Atlas and Joan Traitel, who wrote *'The data is in — stop the panic and end the total isolation'*, have questioned the science Marxians claim to champion i.e. the data did not and does not support shutting down the economy or the various mask mandates. Hannah Arendt recognized the strategy in her book *The Origins of Totalitarianism*...a strategy that also applies to equally specious climate change.

"There is hardly a better way to avoid discussion than by releasing an argument from the control of the present and by saying that only the future will reveal its merits."

When one is left to argue after the fact that emergency plenary power dehying constitutional rights in a way that advances a political agenda is oppressive, freedom has come as close to being lost as a nation and people will ever get short of outright tyranny. Those who argue the exercise of plenary emergency power reserved to state governors should continue due to an epidemic point to *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* (1905) wherein the Supreme Court ruled against a challenge to a state law requiring citizens to be vaccinated against smallpox.

Justice Harlan writing for a 7-2 majority held "The Constitution does not import an absolute right in each person to be, at all times and in all circumstances, wholly freed from restraint" in that "a community has the right to protect itself against an epidemic" and as such "may at times, under the pressure of great dangers, be subjected to such restraint, to be enforced by reasonable regulations, as the safety of the general public may demand". The operative word is "reasonable" as defined by the average American citizen, but when Marxians support lockdowns monolithically Covid "science" is political science.

The Constitution entrusted legislation to congress but as it concerns plenary power the congress has failed to exercise the trust; creating circumventing legislation Madison would have railed against such as the War Powers and Patriot Act. Since the Korean War the United States has been involved in a number of police actions that were, in essence, exercises in plenary power wherein the congress abdicated its sole power to declare war choosing instead to rubber stamp plenary executive power rather than debate, vote and take responsibility for a vote to declare war which would in turn require the consent of the American people. There is no greater imperative to prompt honest debate than war...and plenary power.

As with "hate crimes" the issue is one of definition; Minneapolis City Council Vice President Andrea Jenkins, the first openly transgender black woman elected to public office in the U.S., called on state officials to *declare racism a public health emergency* in the wake of the death of George Floyd and soon after there was a chorus within Marxianity. After the Covid overreaction and politicization of reopening an economy that never should have been closed in the first place one need only imagine what might come of a declaration that racism is a public health emergency.

The exercising of plenary power by past presidents, and now governors during the Covid-19 *plandemic*, demands an amendment the Constitution to require the congress and state legislatures to reassume the authority vested by the Constitution. There is very little time to debate the issue; when Obama used the military to intervene in the Libyan civil war under the umbrella of Samantha Powers "responsibility to protect" doctrine he not only ignored congress but also convinced obsequious NATO powers to join in regime change for a reason...Libya became a clearing house for weapons that would feed the Syrian civil war; sending millions of "refugees", most fighting age men, streaming into Europe...by design.

The 38th Amendment would allow a president just 30 days to act unilaterally in the defense of the United States and any military action thereafter would require a declaration of war. The same applies to emergency executive orders exercising plenary powers. The constraints imposed upon Governors would be tighter; any Governor declaring an emergency for any reason will have but one day to call the state legislature into mandatory continuous session and no more than seven days to secure approval for past and future plenary power legislatively so as to extend to Americans the opportunity to decide what is reasonable through elected representatives in their respective legislatures.

"It is comfortable to see the standard of reason at length erected, after so many ages, during which the human mind has been held in vassalage by kings, priests, and nobles; and it is honorable for us to have produced the first legislature who had the courage to declare that the reason of man may be trusted with the formation of his own opinions."

Thomas Jefferson to James Madison, 1786

'Never again' may well be the most powerful weapon in the arsenal of reason; learn to recognize evil from the lessons of history and act accordingly and as such know the armed forces of the United States must never again be used to police. A new breed of common sense, reasoned, Democratic-Republicans will have no use for saber rattling minions of the military industrial complex in the vein of Liz Cheney but rather demand accountability for the use of the armed forces; a force of last resort but when unleashed given clear and achievable winning objectives after which they would withdraw.

Americans as Democratic-Republicans must take away the matter of them.

39th Amendment; Marriage and Gender Identity

Supreme Court provided the strongest argument for the measures provided herein when it ruled in *Bostock v. Clayton County* sexual preference is legally equivalent to “sex” for purposes of applying the provisions of the 1964 Civil Rights Act Title VII which prohibits employment discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex and national origin.

Justice Neil Gorsuch wrote in the court’s opinion “We agree that homosexuality and transgender status are distinct concepts from sex,” Gorsuch wrote in the majority opinion. “But, as we’ve seen, discrimination based on homosexuality or transgender status necessarily entails discrimination based on sex” with the majority incredibly conceding that complying with the majority opinion may “require some employers to violate their religious convictions” i.e. the free exercise protections afforded under the First Amendment churches and religious institution depend upon no longer apply if perchance a homosexual wants to become a Catholic priest...and because priests are paid the position is merely employment in an organization.

To their credit Justices Samuel Alito and Brett Kavanaugh dissented to the decision, accusing the high court of legislating from the bench. Alito wrote “There is only one word for what the Court has done today: legislation” noting the protection was essentially lifted from the never passed Equality Act provision as to employment discrimination i.e. the provision was “issued it under the guise of statutory interpretation” by the court.

Alito added “A more brazen abuse of our authority to interpret statutes is hard to recall” adding the majority opinion “sails under a textualist flag, but what it actually represents is a theory of statutory interpretation that Justice Scalia excoriated – the theory that courts should ‘update’ old statutes so that they better reflect the current values of society.”

The only thing missing from the debate, buried under intellectual rationalization, are the "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God" which when applied does not provide for redefining sexual preference as sexual orientation as sex intended by the Laws of Nature i.e. the decision opens the door for asserting polygamy, bestiality, pedophilia and even incest as sexual preference by degree of social acceptance ergo societal anarchy. Planned chaos has reached its high water mark with the decision and Americans will have to make a choice...Pascal's wager as to the existence of God.

The order of the amendments thus far was random, but as it concerns the 39th Amendment it would be wise to set aside "coincidence"; the significance of the number 39 in Judaism is best known from Paul account in II Corinthians "Five times I received from the Jews the forty lashes minus one"; "stripes" inflicted upon one who violated the law to persuade them to return to righteousness i.e. scourging as purification to encourage those who violate God's law to lift themselves from an undesirable way of living...emerging as a new entity. The United States is being called upon to set aside the scourging inflicted upon the Judeo-Christian civil religion by the false religion Marxianity and become new again by reasserting the "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God"...acknowledging the God of Sinai.

The 39th Amendment would define marriage as sacred trust between a man and woman critical to social stability in accord with Thomas Jefferson's "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God" with the identity of Nature's God explicitly affirmed with certitude to be the God of Sinai...which is not an endorsement of religion by any objective standard given the mathematics of the matrices but rather an expression of certitude.

A clear distinction would be made between sexual preferences and gender with the legal definition "sex" solely determined by the genitals one is born with; civil rights protections extended to sexual preference by the Supreme Court, lower courts and legislation would be null and void; sex change surgery would not change birth "sex" ergo policies and laws such as those allowing males who identify as female to compete against biological females or use women's bathroom and shower facilities would be unconstitutional.

The 39th Amendment would reaffirm freedom of association; small business, including rental properties, managed directly by the owner would have the right to refuse service to anybody for any reason or no reason at all. Finally, like cigarettes, all forms of media portraying other than heterosexuality would require a warning as to mental health and societal impact.

"But we assure the socialists that we repudiate only forced organization, not natural organization. We repudiate the forms of association that are forced upon us, not free association. We repudiate forced fraternity, not true fraternity. We repudiate the artificial unity that does nothing more than deprive persons of individual responsibility. We do not repudiate the natural unity of mankind under Providence" Frédéric Bastiat, *The Law*

Marxianity claims abortion and sexual preference as sacraments but as the Supreme Court ruling makes clear the only basis for justifying the two rationalizations is the ever changing, potentially dangerous, "current values of society".

With the God of Sinai no longer subject to debate, moral absolutes have been reintroduced along with measures to be used to judge postmodernist rationalizations; abortion and sexual preference as a civil right fly in the face of the "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God" and to support either is an extremely dangerous proposition; notwithstanding the circular logic of Justice Gorsuch that no doubt made Chief Justice Roberts smile.

The God of Sinai, Yeshua and 1776 has no interest in human rationalizations and has but one reply the alphabet of sexual perversions; your blood is on your own hands.

40th Amendment; Unleashing the 2nd Amendment

"No government can be maintained without the principle of fear as well as duty. Good men will obey the last, but bad ones the former only. If our government ever fails, it will be from this weakness."

Thomas Jefferson to John Wayles Eppes, 1814

The time has come to rearm America to disarm the Marxian Jacobin mobs violating the constitutional right to be secure in both person and property with an expanded and clarified 2nd Amendment...because after all "Manners maketh the man".

I remember as a young boy wearing a Davy Crockett hat being taken by my father and Uncle Ed to meet a man in his 90's who lived outside Sidney, Nebraska old enough to remember the Battle of the Little Bighorn; an old man famous for his collection of Indian artifacts he traded for with some who might have actually fought in the battle. Raised on Roy Rogers and other Hollywood cowboys I was fascinated and after a tour of his basement museum collection he asked if I had any questions he might answer and a rather precocious young future emissary in retrospect asked about cowboy manners.

The question was actually generational and innocuously prompted by hats of all things; common until the 1950's. Cowboys tipped their hats in greeting and when addressing women said "Ma'am" and I wanted to know if everybody had manners in the old west; manners that I knew of all too well given my mother's habit of pinching my earlobe if I strayed.

The old man told me a story I remember to this day; he said Indians were not noble savages but dangerous scavengers as were the men who were traveling west, and everybody was armed for good reason; the law was sometimes days away and those who came in contact had good reason to mind their manners lest they end up buried in an unmarked grave on the prairie.

It was a story that comports with one my father told me about his 7'2" great uncles Adolf and Otto who alone could lift 400lb freight from the train depot onto a wagon. During WW2 German POW's worked on their farm and when two SS began bullying the others the prisoners were lined up one morning and while Otto addressed them in perfect German, Adolf lifted the two SS men by their throats as Otto warned them there were many graves on the prairie that had no markers and the abuse stopped.

Whether the situation is lawlessness wherein a weapon simply reminds the most basic of courtesies will not be denied or in the face of petty tyrants using threats of violence and intimidation, a weapon and the right to carry that weapon are integral to establishing, or reestablishing, civil society.

Marxian foot soldiers not just threatening but using violence and intimidation is now the norm and the situation will not improve until Americans overcome their fear of a weaponized government targeting the police and citizens for merely defending property from a protected mob; rights reduced to platitudes is to insult the very idea of unalienable rights and it is well passed time the government fear the people of the United States.

"Regulated" rights are not rights. They are niceties and platitudes intended to keep the populace thinking their individual autonomy is respected by their government."

A.E. Samaan

Marxianity is banking on an emasculated America entirely divorced from 'the last full measure' and white women in particular who simply want it all to go away. It will not go away and all can expect ever more egregious assaults designed to wear them down emotionally; children will be targeted by evil as they were in Sandy Hook and Uvalde and calling 911 will not suffice in the absence of an armed America.

A plan, the Trinity Defense, would require three conceal carry school employees trained in urban combat to be present at all times when school is in session; employees that would be paid for doing so. As for every other citizen, the 40th Amendment would allow for conceal carry across state lines after completing firearms and situational training...but only after passing a background check.

Citizens over age 21, age 19 if active duty military or an honorably discharged veteran age 20 would be allowed conceal carry after passing said background check; a history of compensated mental impairment (PTSD and bi-polar/schizophrenia) or felony criminal conviction (violent crimes against persons and property) are disqualifiers.

Citizens would have the right to a concealed carry permit after undergoing said training. If threatened the citizen would have the right to pull and display the concealed carry weapon and if necessary use the weapon in accord with same laws police officers abide. Carrying a long gun in public as a political statement would be subject to a good reason test; displaying a long gun when there is no reasonable expectation there will be a need for the rifle is an imprudent act of intimidation unbecoming of patriots yet still permitted by the 1st and 2nd Amendments; the rifles must be unloaded but ammunition at hand prudent.

The 40th Amendment would reassert the 2nd Amendment right to keep and bear arms and clearly state the primary purpose of the 2nd Amendment is the first line of defense as to person, home and property and the last line of defense against capricious and tyrannical government. The definition of arms would be the equivalent of the common shoulder arms employed by standard infantry; machine guns would be illegal but automatic shoulder arms would be constitutional.

The amendment would provide for the creation of militias comprised of members within a 30 mile radius of urban city centers; militias independent of state and federal authorities. The militias would receive funding for standardized training nationwide designed to bridge police and military functions while also benefiting from surplus military materials with an emphasis on communications and transport. Militias asserting a racial component would be barred from protection afforded by the 40th Amendment e.g. NFAC militia.

In the event of civil unrest or open rebellion involving the destruction of persons or property militias would immediately file a 'Notice of Intervention' with the civil authorities and police departments of the subject urban center; if the unrest is not quelled within 24 hours the militia would file a notice of 'Insufficiency or Abdication' asserting a constitutional right to intervene. The police would be required to act in conjunction with militias unconstrained by local police rules of engagement given the notice of 'Insufficiency or Abdication' to quell the unrest; the police would be prohibited from impeding the militia while retaining responsibility to arrest rioters.

The militia would be entitled to qualified immunity for using reasoned and reasonable force up to and including lethal force when protecting life and property; all physical force required to contain and suppress civil unrest. In the event of arson, looting or fatalities the militia need only issue two warning shots after which lethal force would be justified. Said qualified immunity is already extended by the 5th Amendment of the Constitution:

"No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger."

The militias would be free to organize as they will with a requirement; militia commanders must be retired Army or Marine infantry officers and training personnel retired or honorably discharged enlisted personnel from the ranks of Army and Marine infantry. The IRS would establish a special nonprofit category to allow for citizen tax deductible funding of the militias.

Any senior active duty military officer ordering movement against duly constituted militia acting in accord with the 40th Amendment forfeits both rank and pension. All officers who obey unlawful orders to impede or fire on militias forfeit commissions and would be subject to general courts martial.

Never again will politically protected rioters and looters be allowed free reign to burn American cities with impunity. The 40th Amendment would also bar no cash bail for rioters and looters and the organizations that provide cash bail to actual insurrectionists.

John Adams set the bar and he has proven to be prescient: *"Property is surely a right of mankind as really as liberty. ... The moment the idea is admitted into society, that property is not as sacred as the laws of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence."*

In Conclusion

The Yeshua's Sword pamphlet series provides an understanding of the true nature of the final confrontation, the false religion Marxianity, its goals, its strategy, its tactics...and everything American patriots will need to mount the massive retaliation necessary to protect and preserve the Constitution and good reason to do so; the God of Abraham/Sinai, Yeshua and 1776 not only exists but is close and getting closer every day.

What you do with the act of grace that is the Convergence Matrix is up to you.

Godspeed,

Adler von Pfingsten