

Pamphlets

No.12 Real Entitlement Reform Act

"A large proportion of these unemployed and their dependents have been forced on the relief rolls. The burden on the Federal Government has grown with great rapidity. We have here a human as well as an economic problem. When humane considerations are concerned, Americans give them precedence. The lessons of history, confirmed by the evidence immediately before me, show conclusively that continued dependence upon relief induces a spiritual disintegration fundamentally destructive to the national fiber. To dole our relief in this way is to administer a narcotic, a subtle destroyer of the human spirit. It is inimical to the dictates of a sound policy. It is in violation of the traditions of America. Work must be found for able-bodied but destitute workers.

The Federal Government must and shall quit this business of relief.

I am not willing that the vitality of our people be further sapped by the giving of cash, of market baskets, of a few hours of weekly work cutting grass, raking leaves, or picking up papers in the public parks. We must preserve not only the bodies of the unemployed from destitution but also their self-respect, their self-reliance, and courage and determination."

Franklin Delano Roosevelt

When in 1937 FDR was faced with a Supreme Court blocking New Deal initiatives he attempted what Marxians today are advocating; packing the Supreme Court. It was an idea even then Vice President Garner refused to support and was an actual constitutional crisis as opposed to the monthly histrionics of Marxians attempting the same strategy; blackmail that in the case of FDR was defused by "The switch in time that saved nine" allowing the creation of the welfare state.

The result was *Helvering v. Davis*, a 1937 Supreme Court case involving the Social Security Act which virtually removed the limits on the federal government's spending authority; allowing Congress to utilize the public purse for whatever they deemed promotes the "general welfare" of Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution.

If those nine Justices could have looked into the future and watched as the resulting urban decay spread to the heartland of America as a consequence of the welfare state it can be safely assumed even those Justices supportive of FDR's policies would have had the honest conversation; it is unconstitutional to take the property of one citizen to benefit another...especially if the beneficiary has no requirement for self-improvement to relieve the burden imposed upon society and the citizens deprived of property.

Marxians point to the general welfare clause as constitutional justification while studiously avoiding quoting the founders and the debates surrounding a Constitution which are explicit as to the interpretation of "general welfare".

"[If] it [were] assumed that the general government has a right to exercise all powers which may be for the 'general welfare,' that [would include] all the legitimate powers of government, since no government has a legitimate right to do what is not for the welfare of the governed"

Thomas Jefferson to George Washington, 1792

Since Obama's inane "You didn't build that" Marxians have pointed to roads and schools as "socialism" which begs the question 'Are you asserting the ancient Greek schools of philosophy and the Roman Appian way were socialist?' It is willful ignorance and deflection used to justify ever expanding central government.

In line with such reasoning Marxians have since the "New Deal" sought to extract any *"...meaning may be squeezed out of the text or invented against it [rather than] conform to the probable one in which it was passed"* justifying welfare to the point where more than half of Americans receive some type of government benefit at the expense of the upper, middle and working classes.

A report by the Senate Budget Committee documented that in fiscal year 2012 the average U.S. Household below the poverty line received \$168.00 a day between housing, food assistance, Medicaid, child care and utility subsidy while the median income household averaged just \$137.00 per day...welfare paid \$30 an hour while work paid \$20 per hour. Marxians believe voting for social justice as defined by Marxianity is work thus justifying the theft of property "legally"...but actually unconstitutionally and contrary to the "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God".

From *Where's the Outrage* by J. Peder Zane:

Democrats have long claimed that they know how to fix things through government action, but six decades of failed social policies have thoroughly undermined that notion. Joe Biden's recent declaration that he will erase a massive tranche of student debt is remarkable for many reasons. His unilateral, probably unconstitutional, move is a sure sign of our broken government drift towards authoritarianism. The wildly different estimates of its costs, which range from \$300 billion to nearly \$1 trillion, are yet more evidence that nobody knows what they're doing. Can you imagine running a business like that? The truly astonishing thing is that no one is claiming it addresses the immense and urgent problem of the high cost of college, which is strongly tied to wrongheaded federal loan policies. Democrats don't even pretend to have the answer. They are raising the red flag, finally admitting they only know how to throw money at the issue.

General welfare has a very understandable definition; all expenditures under the general welfare clause must benefit all equally but none specifically; open ended specific welfare benefiting any individual, identifiable group or entity is unconstitutional. Special provisions have been made to provide relief at various times for various reasons but up until the New Deal the family was relatively intact even with the increase mobility and disposable income that came with the emerging middle class of the 1890's; the elderly and disabled were supported by strong family and *faith* communities.

The sole exception was the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the reservation system that still exists today to serve as a warning against dependence; Indians have the highest rates of alcohol, drug abuse and poverty of any group in the United States. The only other identifiable group that has received specific welfare to the same extent has been the black subculture and the result has been the same; blacks have the second highest rates of alcohol, drug abuse and poverty compounded by crime rates that account for more than half of all crimes committed by 5% of the population...yet they are oppressed victims.

Thomas Jefferson's words to George Washington are not a "coincidence" and are ignored at great peril, but peril doesn't come close to describing the implications of ignoring "thou shalt not steal" and "thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's property". The young of America have rejected the Constitution and have bought into the false promises of Marxianity having its starting point the seizure of wealth...but they will not find a receptive or sympathetic ear from HaShem. Theft and coveting are bad enough but worshipping governance is to violate the most important commandment "Thou shalt not have any other gods before me" with the small 'g' of government as "god" very much intended.

This writing is not about history lessons, providing statistics or analysis; this writing is a warning and battle plan; do the necessary research and make a decision as to which side of the end of history as it is understood you will be standing in 2026. The existing political establishment must fall lest America die from loss of blood.

Marxist theory is one of historical determinations and the theories adapt as the conditions change hence the tendency to assert historical inevitability, and in that Marxism is in harmony with natural evolution but with a dark natural analog; "zombie" parasites.

Per Science Writer Mary Bates in an article titled *Meet 5 "zombie" parasites that mind-control their hosts* for National Geographic; "Zombies may still be a thing of fiction, but some parasites more or less turn their hosts into the walking dead. These masters of mind control manipulate their hosts from within, causing them to act in self-destructive ways that ultimately benefit the parasite". Bates quotes Colorado State University biologist Janice Moore who concluded the strategy works; "A parasite that can alter the behavior of its host, and in doing so improve its own transmission, is going to be favored by natural selection".

Marxians are very much "zombie parasites" that since 1965 has proven to be a master of mind control manipulating Americans from within to "...act in self-destructive ways that ultimately benefit the parasite" altering the behavior of American society and culture to "...improve its own transmission" and like every other instance in nature the host dies; Marxianity has been sucking the life blood of America culturally and economically thinking the Constitution would die and Marxism would remain. Marxians are wrong.

Marxians who will assert the analogy is dehumanizing welfare recipients by using the word parasite echoing the derisive but technically accurate term "leech" have two problems. First, Judeo-Christians have an obligation to provide to those who are in need and recognize the basic dignity of every human being but they are also obligated to judge which a government dominated by Marxians studiously avoids; parasite and leech is accurate when viewed from a distance. Second, it is not just people that receive welfare; welfare is a word generally used to associate human subsidies with the general welfare clause to infer a government obligation to provide basic necessities to its citizens which is untrue. Federal subsidies are extensive and pervasive across society.

There are subsidies for education, unemployment, urban development, rural development, water works, energy distribution, highways, railroads, airports, bridges, grazing, farming, business, the arts, transit systems, training, science, technology, disasters and universities...yet year after year there is talk of an "infrastructure" package that never gets done and as such projects never get built.

After Obama and Democrats were given a virtual blank check to spend close to \$1T on a "stimulus" largely benefiting Marxian constituencies Americans were given a costly lesson; there were no "shovel ready" projects to speak of and economic stimulus became a joke followed by 8 years of the worst "recovery" in American history.

"A most powerful objection always arises to propositions of [public works]. It is that public undertakings are carelessly managed and much money spent to little purpose."

Thomas Jefferson to George Washington, 1784

History certainly does rhyme. Every issue is framed in terms of agenda that at times involves a degree of counterintuitive logic which defies reasoned logic as was the case of the Keystone Pipeline; hydrocarbons must be resisted at every turn for the sake of the environment yet pipelines are the safest and most cost effective means of getting oil to the market...but in the interim "progressive" donors were enriched in a case of crony capitalism sleight of hand.

It has been estimated that major donor to "progressive" causes Warren Buffet "earned" approximately \$30 from every barrel of tar sands oil shipped by Berkshire Hathaway's BNSF from Canada into the United States which could be shipped at \$10 barrel if the Keystone Pipeline were built; for every year of delay Buffet made about \$2 billion and now Biden quashed the permit eliminating thousands of jobs overnight.

In a Wall Street Journal interview Chairman and CEO of IBM, Samuel J. Palmisano, said he approached President Obama and members of his administration before the Affordable Care Act was passed with an offer to provide 'capture programs' developed by IBM that would identify fraud and waste saving an estimated \$1 trillion dollars annually at no charge. Palmisano was turned down; the offer did not align with the Marxian agenda. Obama's priorities were then and are now focused upon empowering Marxian alliances and solidifying government control and as such programs that would easily identify fraud carefully hidden from the American people for decades certainly did not align. That will end with the Open Books Act.

The current political establishment has gone to extreme lengths to hide the true scope of illegal migration fast approaching 60 million and the welfare state. The web is complex but providing one example is sufficient to prompt the necessary outrage to take the matter from them; Social Security which was optimistically projected in 2015 to become insolvent by 2035 serves as the canary in the coal mine of government entitlement spending that will go on autopilot when Modern Monetary Theory which has resulted in the national debt exceeding \$30T proves to be a chimera; a choice between the Article 1 Section 8 first priority of defense and entitlements will have to be made.

"Entitlements" is a concept that would have been an anathema to Americans in the past who valued hard work and personal responsibility before FDR created a constitution on the fringes of the original Constitution by extralegal means; the Constitution provides and protects the freedom to pursue happiness...but not at the expense of others.

The choice to eliminate entitlements must be made while there is still time to reduce fraud and reallocate funds to lift Americans from dependency i.e. replace the hammock created by Marxianity with a work bench from whence to strengthen the fabric of society so as to lighten the load on the social safety net by reducing the number of zombie parasites. The Main Street Initiative is just the beginning.

Social Security is perceived by most Americans as a worthy and noble program designed to provide the elderly and disabled income paid for by deductions thought to be "insurance" but is not and never has been insurance; Social Security is as Ayn Rand surmised...a Ponzi scheme that depends upon a growing population of workers. The calculation was inverted when the federal government began paying those who can work to vote for the privilege of not working e.g. the "mentally disabled".

The 7.65% in FICA taxes paid by both the employee and the employer alike are paying for far more "disabled" between the ages of 20 and 55 than the Americans over age 55 and disabled Social Security disability was originally designed for. The vast majority of recipients of SSDI and SSI are suffering from mental "diseases", the symptoms of which spread quicker than the flu when one recipient simply tells a prospective recipient what to say to the "mental health professional"; the preferred gatekeeper of disability benefits.

Every newly invented mental disorder used to explain dysfunction behavior is labeled a "disease"; a disease which cannot be objectively tested but simply subjectively "diagnosed" and most definitely can be learned which explains the preponderance of young people receiving SSDI and VA Disability for any number of creative "illnesses"; disabilities that recent studies have shown to have overlapping symptoms i.e. clinically worthless.

When social science disciplines, especially social psychology, have a 20 to one ratio of those who identify as "progressive" versus "conservative" it is not a question of diversity than it an extremely dangerous form of intellectual tyranny that has been given access to the treasury.

The Social Security Act had unintended consequences few give much thought; far too many have come to believe the government is a legitimate source of unearned income and government supplanted family...opening the door to an ever expanding number of programs that have been abused and intentionally transformed into specific welfare.

The process was slow at first with the first major addition being SSDI in 1956 twenty years after the passage of the Social Security Act which was quickly followed by Medicare and Medicaid in 1965 then SSI with the 1972 Social Security Amendments. With the programs in place the focus turned to specific welfare evidencing a seditious pattern of obfuscation and subterfuge that began just before passage of the Social Security Disability Reform Act of 1984; in 1980 the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) changed the race coding in a way that was incompatible with previous coding systems. It would not be the last time recipient racial demographics would be hidden.

"In every government on earth is some trace of human weakness, some germ of corruption and degeneracy, which cunning will discover, and wickedness insensibly open, cultivate and improve."
Thomas Jefferson: Notes on Virginia Q.XIV, 1782

Not surprisingly the Social Security Disability Reform Act of 1984 began with breakdowns within the Social Security Administration the first year Ronald Reagan held office in 1981, which resulted in over a million SSDI recipients having benefits cut off. The resulting "reform" vastly expanded the definition of disability to include undefined 'back pain' and entirely subjective mental illnesses not subject to independent, objective, testing.

Another compelling piece of evidence to support the thesis are procedural changes in 1993 that effectively eliminated the tracking of race data...which on the surface would seem utterly incongruous in that any kind of disabling medical affliction that might be race specific would be of particular concern to the government. In fact, the child asthma "epidemic" that swept the black population in 1990s was not an epidemic at all and the number of SSA claims can be closely correlated to the listing of asthma as a compensable disability; asthma which studies have determined to be issues of household cleanliness more than any other factor.

Independent efforts to compile race data was identified as a significant problem as early as 1999 but the results of a study defining the issue was buried in SSA's voluminous archival materials with innocuous title and single line description: *Identifying the Race or Ethnicity of SSI Recipients* Charles G. Scott* Social Security Bulletin • Vol.62 ° No. 4 ° 1999. The effect of the transformation is detailed on Table 1 and Table 4 of the SSA publication titled *Children Receiving SSI, 2006* which uses as its source the Social Security Administration Supplemental Security Record.

The study and the documents make a strong argument for the intentional politicizing of the programs which effectively transformed a program designed for disabled people over the age of 55 into a specific welfare and employability program for their African-American constituency; a 95% voting bloc critical to the "progressive" political effort being responsible for the majority of Marxian election victories since 1965.

In their analysis titled *The Growth in the Social Security Disability Rolls: A Fiscal Crisis Unfolding--Journal of Economic Perspectives*: Vol. 20 No. 3 (Summer 2006) MIT authors David H. Autor and Mark G. Duggan suggested "Ultimately...Congress must clarify whether the primary social objective of the DI program is to provide disability insurance or to provide employability insurance—and if the former, to revise the selection criteria accordingly."

The authors wrote that the most significant factor in the growth of SSDI recipients was loosening of the SSDI screening process that took place after passage of the Social Security Disability Reform Act of 1984 which, as noted, opened the door for any number of complaints such as objectively unverifiable back pain and equally unverifiable mental disorders.

The SSA policy decision to emphasize "race neutral" approaches took the form of refusing to identify the race of recipients which in turn facilitated the ultimate goal of transforming the SSDI and SSI programs into effective tools for redistribution to the black subculture without the fear of meaningful resistance based upon data published for public consumption, of which there were none. By 2000 the sedition was less about blacks as a less influential constituency than the young and women in particular.

The authors go on suggest solutions which would be necessary requiring the "honest conversations" Marxians would label racist and misogynistic: "Less controversial would be reforms that simultaneously raised the rejection rate of non-meritorious claims while increasing the acceptance rate of deserving claims" (*Ibid page 93*). The honest conversation would have to include the VA Disability program and as such piercing a cloak of invisibility as toxic as racist; real heroes are few and far between.

The last WW2 Medal of Honor recipient said what real heroes often say; those who never made it home are the real heroes; those who gave the last full measure of devotion including many worthy of the Medal of Honor who died alone knowing their story would never be told.

As a veteran who served on the flight deck of USS Coral Sea on Yankee Station the term "hero" is offensive in that the experience was no different than flight operations off the coast of California; I served but not like Carl McClanahan who served as a river boat gunner in country. The Carl I knew would not claim to be a hero but simply a proud American who followed in the footsteps of two of the most honorable parents in American history.

Then there is Tom who served as a Marine cook at Da Nang collecting \$4300 per month between VA PTSD and SSDI; Tom described all of the terrible things he said he shouldn't have been forced to see...and I could name every movie he watched. When I go the VA hospital it is difficult to sit next to a combat veteran missing a limb and getting 40% watching a 23 year old "Tom" with no combat telling the receptionist he is 100% disabled; one of thousands of healthy young Toms haunting the halls of the VA where actual heroes are neglected by a nation that has forgotten honor is not just a word.

Even the world of country music has been played, affording a platform from whence PTSD never heard of after WW1, WW2 and the Korean War is given a voice; playing on the patriotism of Americans to advance an agenda versed in dependency. Scottish common sense demands one avoid appeals to emotion and simply defer to the facts and reason rather than agenda; Agent Orange had all the necessary ingredients including American imperialism, disregard for the environment and a plague created by evil corporations with millions of "victims" in perfect alignment with the agenda much like George Floyd.

The truth is far different than the narrative; a total of 11 million gallons of Agent Orange were sprayed on 12000 sq. mi. over a ten year period mostly in remote areas and as easily accessed wiki notes "If not bound chemically to a biological surface such as soil, leaves or grass, Agent Orange dries quickly after spraying and breaks down within hours to days when exposed to sunlight and is no longer harmful". To put the amount in perspective a single refinery oil tank can hold 16 million gallons and South Vietnam was an expanse of 67,000 square miles...but if you pay they will come.

"You can dress up greed, but you can't stop the stench" Craig Lounsborough

It was in 1977 when the first Agent Orange claims were made, and in the ensuing 15 years less than 500 of the nearly 40,000 claims were approved simply because the illnesses claimed mirrored the rates in the population as a whole...but after the money flowed many simply asserted they were exposed and like lower back pain, the nightmares of PTSD and military sexual assault there is no evidence except the claimants story. Between 2002 and 2015 more than 650,000 veterans have been granted benefits related to Agent Orange exposure according to a 2015 ProPublica report.

Nature will repair the environmental damage in time but human nature is causing lasting damage; like every other Marxian welfare program feigned compassion is far more important than facts when one is advancing an agenda and as it concerns Agent Orange, PTSD, sexual trauma when compensation is authorized the result is as it was with asthma. The VA disability system has been transformed into yet another welfare program for far too many less than honorable "heroes" simply taking advantage of a broken system.

The issue is still ongoing to this day but the simple truth and the statistics so loved by Marxians do not support the preferred narrative but rather reflects a pathological adherence to a sacrament of Marxianity; all are "victims" and the solution is untethered compassion and unlimited amounts of money from the Cathedral of the false religion...Washington DC. Welfare in every form is at odds with "The Laws of Nature and of Nature's God"; destructive, and dangerous when one comes to accept dependence is slavery and as such the matter must be taken of them. Better now than in 2026 when the grifters must answer for weakening God's country.

Social Security, SSI and VA Disability; the Reckoning

Those who point to the lower end of the economic scale, derisively chastising Americans who used to be able to depend upon a factory job for gaming a system designed to be gamed, are now facing the truth on a far different scale; the national debt is over \$30T and an existential threat to the Constitution. With some irony, many Americans who have worked hard, played by the rules and never turned to the government for assistance will resist reform appealing to actual fairness, but the reckoning is now unavoidable with God engaged.

On the other hand there may be no greater hypocrites than those who fail to count their own blessings while pointing a finger at others; Social Security was originally intended as a safety net for the elderly and SSDI for those over age 55 no longer able to work...it was never intended to supplement income for those who are wealthy or have earned a retirement pension any more than those who refuse to work.

Someone who has honorably served the nation for 20 years earned a retirement which begins paying as early as age 38, a second before age 60 if that person goes into public service, a third retirement under the current Social Security laws and yet a fourth with full medical and dental that comes with a 100% PTSD VA Disability rating needs to redefine honor; tens of thousands receiving well over \$100K each year and many over \$200K.

Social Security is means tested from the bottom and near bankruptcy yet not one politician is willing to admit the situation requires a means test from the top; scamming the system is obviously as common at the top as it is the bottom but the calculation has changed. Even a simple mind knows the desperation of the displaced is far different than greed and is weighted far differently on the only scale that matters; that of a very real Creator close and getting closer every day.

Walk this path carefully that you do not fall this way or that, but stay centered; focused on what is right and just in the estimations a God warning the survival of the Constitution is nonnegotiable; a God not inclined to sympathize with the diversion of limited means to those with unlimited wants at the expense of stable cultures and the futures of children.

Real Entitlement Reform Act (RERA) by the numbers:

1. Given the magnanimous but rejected offer by Chairman and CEO Samuel J. Palmisano, IBM would be sole sourced to provide a centralized mainframe linking every entitlement program so as to incorporate algorithms to detect patterns of fraud; programs capable of publishing recipient data demographics including locations, age, sex, race and detailed breakdown of qualifiers e.g. early retirement, retirement, disability and medical coding for disability.
2. Means testing from the top would be instituted after one year.
3. Individuals with a net worth of over ten times the average income nationally, with a pension, or twenty times without a pension would no longer be eligible for Social Security payments.
4. Those with two earned pensions but under the net worth ceiling would no longer be eligible for full SS payments but rather one half until the total lifetime contribution is repaid to recipient.
5. Those with one earned pension exceeding the maximum SS retirement amount but under the net worth ceiling would receive full SS until the total lifetime contribution is repaid.

There is a reason why this emissary spent time in five different homeless shelters with five different nonprofit models; all were actually for profit ventures with the exception of the Rescue Mission but all did had one thing in common...shelter based SSI recipients who would rent a motel room for a week when the SSI deposit was made then spend the balance "partying" until the money ran out at which time they return to the shelter. When the patience of management ran out they would move to the next shelter i.e. homelessness as a nurtured lifestyle devoid of meaning and purpose.

The term "Supplemental Security Income" is a ruse and in many ways no different than current Marxian proposals for a guaranteed income; SSI merely supplements Section 8 Housing, Medicaid, SNAP and utility assistance...in many cases the recipient receives far more income than the struggling worker and as such there is no incentive to work. The primary vehicle for most recipients under age 55 is mental disability and combined but medically unverifiable infirmities i.e. those infirmities that cannot be tested definitively with medical testing and depend upon an individual's complaints or description of symptoms...which can be learned.

SSDI, SSI and VA Disability have all been corrupted and the time has come *to take away the matter of them.*

6. SSI would cease to exist one year after the RERA is signed into law with the SSDI and VA Disability programs subjected to stringent constraints as to what constitutes disability e.g. obesity can be cured by removing the means to unhealthy purchase and consume.
7. Using the IBM algorithms, doctors involved in an above average number of disability claims would be subjected to an independent second opinion in all future cases and a review of past claims which, if found to be suspect, would be referred to newly established FERA panels consisting of retired medical professionals for determination; seven independent medical doctors with minimal SSDI histories.
8. Those claims involving infirmities that cannot be tested definitively with medical testing, e.g. Lupus, and depend upon an individual's complaints or description of symptoms would be barred.
9. Cumulative infirmities would be barred and mental illness severely constrained.
10. The RERA would recognize two categories of mental illness; functional, who for purposes of SSDI assumes a short term relief, and nonfunctional, those who claim they are to mentally ill to work.
11. A filing for functional mental illness would be allowed one time in a five year period; assuming temporary disability related to some traumatic event or situation that can be well documented and if so SSDI benefits would commence immediately upon certification with no waiting period; certification that would require a second opinion and the aforementioned documentation.
12. Nonfunctional, those claiming they cannot work, would require certification and recertification by a second doctor who will not have access to the original certification records. The claim would then require final certification by a psychiatrist who would have access to all records; a final certification that would include assessments of the certifications and mandatory ongoing treatment recommendations.

13. It is safe to conclude those who assert a mental disability preventing them from work are lacking the abilities essential to handling personal finances and are incapable of health care decisions let alone prudently acting as stewards of the public trust and treasury; nonfunctional "permanently disabled" mentally impaired would be assigned a LCSW who would act as conservator making both health care and financial decisions as a condition of continued benefits.
14. The functional would be required to participate in behavioral health programs requiring group therapy weekly with monthly assessments by a psychiatrist tasked with making an assessment as to continued care. Treating clinics would receive funding for childcare.

It is at this point where the neo-Marxist strategy of undermining the Judeo-Christian ethic as the once impenetrable civil religion becomes apparent as does the willingness of many to sell America down the river and into slavery; "heroes" who by any objective measure are not heroes at all but rather quite willing to add to the national debt and by extension put the Constitution in peril. It should no surprise to anybody Marxians are in the forefront of distorting both history and the meaning of the word "hero".

All who serve or have served in the military are not "heroes" and asserting the equivalence diminishes actual heroes and ten times as many who will never be known. The question at hand goes to the ability to endure pain and yet still recover, stronger than before. Americans rightly lament the loss of close to 4500 in the almost decade long Iraq War but seem incapable of objectively weighing the loss against the weight of history that saw 6,800 dead at Iwo Jima in just 36 days or the 12,000 lost in the 82 day battle to take Okinawa...and the US population was two fifths that of today.

Where once the Navy used the recruiting slogan "It's not just a job, it's an adventure" today there are far too many who have embraced military service as a job with the most extravagant workers compensation benefits on earth and there are thousands of ideological attorneys, organizations, doctors and LCSW in the VA willing to advance an agenda and game the system; LCSW who are authorized to upgrade less than honorable discharges extending benefits to some who failed to finished boot camp and even those who never served a day of active duty.

The truth is self-evident for those who seek the truth as exemplified by the article *"Triple-Dipping: Thousands of Veterans Receive More than \$100,000 in Benefits Every Year"* written by Romina Boccia and published by the Heritage Foundation.

15. The RERA would remove PTSD and MST leading to PTSD from the SSA, SSDI and VA lists of compensable disabilities; replaced by one year of therapy and cash benefits. The cost burden of VA Disability exceeds that of SSI.
16. Those drawing VA disability would be barred from SSDI benefits for the same disability.

17. A one year draw down period for all those receiving disability ratings for mental trauma would begin when the RERA is signed into law during which benefits would continue.
18. After one year a LCSW conservator would assume control of the beneficiary's medical and financial decisions for those who remain certifiably nonfunctional.

Americans will regain the muscle of moral clarity lost in the last 60 years of permissive overindulgence if they are willing to accept everything has changed and nothing will be the same now that the existence of our Creator is no longer subject to debate. It is a time of quantum change and the words morality, honor and principle must have meaning as never before; words that have no meaning to the false religion Marxianity...but now serve as weights on a scale put in place in anticipation of 2026.

In anticipation of 2026 should be of grave concern for the first generation born after WW2; the generation most responsible for the success of Herbert Marcuse and the sexual revolution that undermined the Judeo-Christian foundations of America. The time has come for a common sense contribution to save what was so cheaply sold down the river...the 'long walk'.

I learned of the long walk at some time during my teen meanderings, most likely during the frequent visits to the home of close friend since grade school Robert Nakaidinae...a full blooded Navajo who would later take up the banner of Indian activism and kidnap the mayor of Gallup, New Mexico.

The long walk is both a common sense approach to the end of life and an act of nobility perfectly in line with 'no greater love than one who gives up life for others'. As I understood the long walk, when an elderly or sick Indian was too infirm to contribute or was slowing the tribe down they would say their goodbyes and then walk in one direction as far as strength would carry them, guaranteeing that even if they had a change of mind they could never return. I doubt any had a change of mind...honor stood in the way.

The issue of the long walk is germane to Medicare and the difficult decisions attendant to end of life issue that have been framed as "death panels" and a moral obligation to preserve life...which rarely addresses the fundamental economic reality of the tension between limited means and unlimited wants; the amount spent in the approach to death is where the majority of medical costs go and in many cases there is no hope for recovery or improved life.

19. The RERA would mandate that every Medicare participant be presented with the opportunity to include an irrevocable 'long walk' provision to end of life instructions; a decision that is far easier to make knowing with certitude God exists and a decision that should be made when the patient is still lucid.

20. Irrevocable in that as the end of life approaches clinging to life, even when there is nothing to fear, is a natural instinct that loved ones will encourage...even to their detriment in that the estate of the deceased is often seized by the government to pay for prolonging the inevitable.
21. The provision would allow the patient to order an end to treatment or in the case of incapacity automatic enforcement ending treatment.
22. The long walk provision would not mandate "death panels" but rather leave it to the patient to decide, and the attending physician to determine continued treatment would serve no purpose at which time the physician would confer with a medical ethics professional.
23. If the determination is made to invoke the long walk provision the patient would receive a visit from the doctor and preferred clergy at which time a choice would be made between hospice and home care where the patient would receive palliative care surrounded by family until death.
24. Surgery as an aggressive life-sustaining treatment would be prohibited however relatively minor surgery to alleviate pain so as to make the patient comfortable would be allowed.
25. All life sustaining interventions, life support, would be discontinued or prohibited.
26. The RERA would mandate removal of life support from coma patients deemed irreversible by medical professionals i.e. brain dead.
27. Euthanasia, doctor assisted suicide, would be barred.

The medical technology developed in the last 100 years has challenged religious authorities and medical ethicists to deal with end of life issues unheard of in thousands of years; technical advancements that have created a vast field of gray between the demands of nature and technology dangerously close to trespassing on territory never intended. With certitude a degree of order will be reintroduced to the chaos concerning end of life issues both for the dying and the living...it is now assured death is not the end and there is nothing to fear for those who go fearlessly into that good night to save others.

There is one last issue that demands common sense; gang violence is an entirely unnecessary plague like pornography and yet the establishment political class provides a cloak of invisibility asserting class distinctions and racism. Common sense makes no distinctions but rather seeks to hold gang members responsible jointly as well as individually; the cost of violent gang crime is forcing many medical facilities to withdraw from trauma networks and the answer is not more public treasury funds but individual responsibility.

28. The RERA would mandate law enforcement agencies, local, state and federal to link the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) and develop a comprehensive list of street, prison and criminal gangs along with known gang members.

29. Violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) is implied in fact as it concerns gangs; the RERA would codify that fact and direct the DOJ to aggressively pursue the legal theory in court if challenged as unconstitutional guilt by association.
30. The RERA would mandate that listed gang members assume joint responsibility for the actions of fellow gang members involved in violent assaults whether perpetrator or gang affiliated i.e. repayment for all medical care rendered and victim compensation; repayment that cannot be discharged in bankruptcy.
31. Individual convicted gang members would bear the full amount of recompense while fellow gang members would bear an amount determined by the number of members i.e. 1000 gang members would be responsible for \$1000 each of a \$1M bill.
32. Recompense would also include a shared responsibility for victim compensation and fines e.g. a gang member caught "tagging" or breaking and entering might be fined \$10,000 which would also append to the 1000 members.
33. Gang members would be barred from receiving any kind of public assistance and in the event of disabling injury due to gang violence SSI or SSDI would require a LCSW conservator.
34. Gang members would be held to account for medical treatment.
35. The costs to the SSA for innocents permanently disabled by gang violence would be shared by every gang member on the National Crime Information Center list.
36. The National Crime Information Center list would made available online and would include the name, affiliation, location and most recent photograph of gang members if available, and convictions.
37. The site would also provide for the submission of tips anonymously or with contact information.
38. Gang members would have the opportunity to publically renounce gang affiliation one time and reduce their burden by half, but if they are caught affiliating with gang members the full amount would be reinstated and they would forever be barred from renouncing and relief; a burden they will carry into their later years when remorse will have added weight.

The pain of withdrawal from dependency cannot be avoided any longer.

Every American who has visited a national park knows dependence is not good policy and at odds with Laws of Nature and as such must be prohibited. Most have probably seen the signs throughout the National Parks; "Please do not feed the animals. Feeding creates a dependent population that is a potential health hazard and makes a costly mess".

The Darwinists at the U.S. Park Service know animals must learn to fend for themselves if they are to survive and thrive; when you feed animals they become dependent and no longer function as nature intends; they lose their capacity to make their own way; they fill up on foods that are harmful to their digestive systems; there is a dulling of the instincts that help wildlife avoid danger; animals that lose their fear of humans. Is it any wonder Marxians decry any mention of Social Darwinism?

Marxians created the welfare state to advance a neo-Marxist political agenda and the time has come to take the matter of them.

"When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men in a society, over the course of time they create for themselves a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it."

Frédéric Bastiat

Worse yet, the dependent fail to realize they are not free but rather cattle.

"It's not an endlessly expanding list of rights; the 'right' to education, the 'right' to health care, the 'right' to food and housing. That's not freedom, that's dependency. Those aren't rights, those are the rations of slavery...hay and a barn for human cattle."

Alexis de Tocqueville

In less than four years the needy will once again be prioritized and the grifters will not find a sympathetic ear from a God unwilling to negotiate the United States and the Constitution.

Godspeed,

Adler von Pfingsten