

A Way Forward Source of the united methodist church

The Mission of the Commission

The Commission will bring together persons deeply committed to the future(s) of The United Methodist Church, with an openness to developing new relationships with each other and exploring a way forward for the UMC.

The matters of human sexuality and unity are the presenting issues for a deeper conversation – one that brings our regional and cultural differences to the surface and which highlights different ways of interpreting Scripture and theological tradition.

The work is meant to inform deliberation across the whole church and to help the Council of Bishops in their service to the next General Conference in finding a way forward. **The Vision for the Commission** The Commission will design a way for being church that:

- Maximizes the presence of a United Methodist witness in as many places in the world as possible,
- Allows for as much contextual differentiation as possible, and;
- Balances an approach to different theological understandings of human sexuality with a desire for as much unity as possible.

Three Models

One-Church Plan

Council of Bishops Recommends

Creates unity by allowing people of differing views to continue to be church together; allows for contextualization in different parts of the world.

Removes the controversial phrase "incompatible with Christian teaching" Removes restrictions that prohibit clergy from officiating same-gender weddings

Conference BOMs decide whether or not to recommend ordination of GLBTQ clergy based on fruitfulness and effectiveness

Local churches decide whether they will allow same-gender weddings on their property Adds protections for clergy who do not want to officiate same-sex weddings. This plan does not require clergy, churches, or BOMs to act against their conscience PRO: Allows for contextualization in different parts of the USA and the world

PRO: More coherent theology for unity. Does not assume that human sexuality is the defining issue for the UMC

PRO: Fewer trials

CON: Does not completely satisfy the progressives because it still allows for discrimination of LGBTQ in the UMC

CON: Does not completely satisfy the traditionalists because allowing homosexuality in the UMC violates their view of scriptural integrity. Connectional Conferences Model Not recommended by Commission or Council

Grounded in a unified core that includes shared doctrine and services and one Council of Bishops, while also creating different branches that have clearly defined values such as accountability, contextualization and justice The five U.S. jurisdictions would be replaced by three connectional conferences, each covering the whole country, based on theology and perspective on LGBTQ ministry (i.e. traditional or progressive or centrist)

Annual conferences would decide which connectional conference to affiliate with

Local churches could choose a branch other than the one chosen by their annual conference by voting to join another conference PRO: Makes a place for all three viewpoints within the UMC and yet creates enough separation that there is clarity for each position PRO: Conferences and local churches can make a clear choice on human sexuality and yet enjoy some of the missional advantages of remaining a global church.

CON: Creates a complex structure that is more congregational than connectional.

CON: It would take years of administrative work to put this in place and many constitutional amendments, which would be difficult to ratify in the annual conferences

CON: Churches may split over as they try to determine which branch they will join

CON: Some traditionalists will still be upset that LGBTQ is being affirmed in some parts of the UMC

CON: Some progressives will still be upset that LGBTQ are being discriminated against in the UMC

Traditionalist Model Not recommended by the Commission or Council

Affirms current BOD language: "The practice of homosexuality is incompatible with Christian teaching." Same-sex marriage is prohibited. BOM is prohibited from recommending non-celibate homosexual candidates for ordination.

Enforcement measures would be increased in effort to purge those who would disobey the current rules.

PRO: This is a plan for keeping the status quo and some will prefer that option

PRO: Requires no changes to our structure

CON: This is a plan for keeping the status quo. Fighting will continue and our mission will continue to be compromised with bad publicity from costly church trials.

CON: Not a plan for unity and asks the progressives to leave or face costly trials

CON: It is likely that we will lose most of the millennials and next generations